

ARTÍCULO DE INVESTIGACIÓN/RESEARCH ARTICLE

# EVOLUTION OF ALLOMETRIC CHANGES IN FRUIT FLY LEGS: A DEVELOPMENTALLY ENTRENCHED STORY

## La evolución de los cambios alométricos en las patas de las moscas de la fruta: una historia enraizada en la biología del desarrollo

Juan Nicolas MALAGON<sup>1</sup>; Waleed KHAN<sup>1</sup>.

<sup>1</sup> University of Toronto, Department of Cell and Systems Biology, 25 Harbord street M5S 3G5, Toronto, Canada.

**For correspondence.** nicolasmalagon@gmail.com

**Received:** 28<sup>th</sup> October 2015, **Returned for revision:** 24<sup>th</sup> November 2015, **Accepted:** 21<sup>st</sup> January 2016.

**Associate Editor:** Rodolfo Jaffé Ribbi.

**Citation/Citar este artículo como:** Malagon JN, Khan W. Evolution of allometric changes in fruit fly legs: a developmentally entrenched story. Acta biol. Colomb. 2016;21(3):509-519. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15446/abc.v21n3.53650>

Este artículo fue presentado por invitación de la Red Colombiana de Biología Evolutiva (COLEVOL) y Acta Biológica Colombiana con el fin de incentivar la investigación en el área de biología evolutiva.

### ABSTRACT

Allometric studies measure the scaling changes between different body parts and these often have implications on understanding ecology and evolution. Although most work on allometry has described its importance during phenotypic evolution, few studies have focused on studying how entrenched developmental processes can affect allometric changes. To explore this problem, here we used the sex comb, a male-specific group of bristles with a spectacular morphological diversity among *Drosophila* species. By combining morphometric analysis in wild type and genetically perturbed *Drosophila melanogaster* and *Drosophila* species, we studied the allometric changes that occur in leg length and other bristle rows in concert with sex comb radiation. We show that bristle-developmental processes are important for understanding the allometric changes of *Drosophila* first tarsal segments. Different lines of evidence suggest that a complicated interaction between bristle spacing and movement are crucial for understanding the evolution of allometry in this system. As a result, this work shows that although the emergence of a new trait, the sex comb, can modify the allometric relationships, there is a hierarchy of ancestral developmental processes with respect to how easily they can be modified. As a result, the interconnection of developmental processes can bias the direction of morphological changes.

**Keywords:** rotation, sex combs, transverse rows.

### RESUMEN

La alometría estudia los cambios de tamaño entre las diferentes partes del cuerpo de los seres vivos y sus implicaciones ecológicas y evolutivas. Aunque la mayoría de los estudios en esta área se han centrado en investigar la importancia de los cambios alométricos en la evolución fenotípica, pocos estudios han analizado como la interconexión de los diferentes procesos del desarrollo afectan dichos cambios de tamaño. Para investigar la relación entre los mecanismos de desarrollo y los cambios alométricos, utilizamos los peines sexuales de diferentes especies del género *Drosophila*. Dichas estructuras, constituidas por un grupo de sedas ubicadas en las patas anteriores de los machos, presentan una variedad morfológica sobresaliente durante la evolución. Por medio de análisis morfométricos entre diferentes especies de *Drosophila*, incluidas líneas de *Drosophila melanogaster* modificadas genéticamente, investigamos los cambios alométricos que ocurren en el tamaño de las patas y diferentes tipos de sedas como resultado de la radiación de los peines sexuales. En este trabajo presentamos evidencia que sugiere una interacción compleja entre los mecanismos del desarrollo encargados de definir la distancia entre las sedas y su movimiento. Además, mostramos que dichos mecanismos son fundamentales para entender cómo evoluciona la alometría en los segmentos tarsales. Aunque la emergencia de una nueva característica puede modificar las relaciones alométricas, los procesos ancestrales de desarrollo varían en su susceptibilidad de ser modificados. De igual forma, este trabajo muestra que la interconexión entre los diferentes procesos de desarrollo puede sesgar la dirección de los cambios morfológicos.

**Palabras clave:** hileras transversales, peines sexuales, rotación.



## INTRODUCTION

Allometry can be defined as the scaling relationship between different body parts and its ecological and evolutionary impact (Thompson, 1917; Huxley, 1972; Gould, 1976; Klingenberg, 1996; Klingenberg, 1998). A proper regulation of allometry is crucial for the survival of an organism and defects in different pathways regulating allometry have constantly been linked to different types of cancer including those caused by insulin-like growth factor (Pollak, 2008) and by TGF- $\beta$ , transformation growth factor-Beta (Massagué, 2008). Although previous studies have shown the importance of allometric changes for adaptive evolution (Beldade and Brakefield, 2002; Frankino *et al.*, 2005; Pélabon *et al.*, 2014), few studies have concentrated on studying how the inextricable relationships between developmental processes can affect the evolution of allometry (Malagón *et al.*, 2014). To explore this problem, we study the evolution of various leg bristle rows and their relationship to leg length among *Drosophila* species. In this study allometric changes mainly refer to changes in leg length.


The majority of the allometric studies are mainly based on morphometric changes between populations of the same species (Young *et al.*, 2010; Gutierrez and Eberhard, 2015) or phylogenetic comparisons among various species or clades (Baker and Gerald, 2001; Langlade *et al.*, 2005; Marroig and Cheverud, 2010). In addition to this approach, a few studies have combined these morphological measurements with various genetic manipulations to understand how allometry evolves in more detail (Brakefield, 2006; Carreira *et al.*, 2009; Vasseur *et al.*, 2012; Warren *et al.*, 2014). For example, artificial selection experiments in the butterfly, *Bicyclus anynana*, show the potential for incredible flexibility in allometry during evolution (Beldade and Brakefield, 2002; Frankino *et al.*, 2005). Here we use *D. melanogaster* legs as a system to study the evolution of allometry. This system provides the opportunity to integrate morphometric studies in *D. melanogaster* and several *Drosophila* species to multiple types of genetic perturbations including mutations, artificial selection, and producing spatial and temporal developmental perturbations by manipulating them genetically. (Ahuja and Singh, 2008; Tanaka *et al.*, 2011; Malagón *et al.*, 2014).

Although *D. melanogaster* legs have apparently similar structures, each one of the three legs displays a different length and bristle patterns (Hanna-Alava, 1958a; Hanna-Alava, 1958b). In particular, the first tarsal segment (t1) of each leg displays a spectacular diversity of bristle patterns during *Drosophila* evolution (Hanna-Alava, 1958a; Hanna-Alava, 1958b; Held, 2002) (Fig. 1A). While the 2<sup>nd</sup> leg seems to conserve the ancestral bristle pattern, mainly formed by separated bristles evenly spread in the t1, the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> legs display an evolutionary innovation, the emergence of contiguous bristles attached to each other forming rows (Held, 2002). *D. melanogaster* legs display

two main types of bristles rows: 1) transverse rows (TRs) and 2) sex combs. TRs are formed by lightly pigmented bristles, which seem to be used as cleaning utensils for the eyes and wings (Kopp, 2011). Sex combs, on the contrary, are heavily pigmented and are a male-specific group of bristles, used in the courtship ritual of more than 150 *Drosophila* species (Atallah, 2008, Kopp, 2011). Sex combs display spectacular diversity (Kopp, 2011); some of those morphological changes have been suggested to play a role in modifying the t1 allometry (Atallah, 2008, Atallah *et al.*, 2014). The variation in sex comb orientation is an example of their rapid morphological diversification (Kopp, 2011, Atallah *et al.*, 2012).

Sexcomb orientation can be grouped into three categories: transverse (0-30°), diagonal (30-60°), and vertical (60-90°). Sex combs with a transverse orientation resemble the ancestral *Drosophila* chaetotaxy, in which leg bristle rows are relatively parallel to the leg joints. Diagonal and vertical orientations, in contrast, represent an evolutionary novelty among *Drosophila* species. However, those changes in position are accompanied by developmental changes, which can promote or limit changes in leg length (Malagón *et al.*, 2014). For, example, in some *Drosophila* species such as *D. melanogaster*, sex combs move from a transverse to a vertical orientation (Fig. 1B). This ~90° rotation is accompanied by male-specific lengthening of the tarsal segment, which increases t1 male leg (Atallah, 2009). Similarly, the increase in *D. melanogaster* sex comb length seems to be rapidly limited, since there is not enough space available for the rotation (Malagón *et al.*, 2014). The present work evaluates the relationship between t1 allometry and bristle numbers during evolution.

Previous work showed that similar to that in the *B. anynana*'s study, the evolution of sex comb length at the high flexibility to decrease or increase the bristle number in *D. melanogaster* (Ahuja and Singh, 2008). (Any mention in this paper regarding sex comb length strictly refers to bristle number per comb). However, despite the flexibility of sex comb length, the spacing between bristles is conserved in *D. melanogaster* and *Drosophila* species (Malagón *et al.*, 2014). As a result, long *D. melanogaster* sex combs bend, showing atypical shapes, while multiple allometric changes take place during evolution (Malagón *et al.*, 2014). Here, we extended our previous work and studied in more detail how the sex comb evolution affects the t1 allometry in short and long term evolution. We found a highly conserved coupling between two traits, the t1 length and TR number during *Drosophila* evolution. Although the appearance of the sex combs modifies the t1 allometry, those changes usually occur without disrupting this conserved coupling. These results are consistent with previous findings, suggesting a bias in variation of some phenotypes during evolution (de Bakker *et al.*, 2013). Although many potential evolutionary paths are conceivable, evolutionary changes generally



follow only a few routes (Schluter, 1996; Renaud *et al.*, 2006; Weinreich *et al.*, 2006; Marroig, 2007).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

Flies were grown in standard culture conditions on yeast-cornmeal-molasses media at a temperature of 25 °C. To change sex comb length and tarsal segment length three different types of genetic perturbations were used: mutations, artificial selection and UAS-GAL4 system. The following three mutations were studied in t1 or 2<sup>nd</sup> tarsal segment (t2): *bric à brac*<sup>PR72</sup> (*bab*<sup>PR72</sup>) (Godt *et al.*, 1993), *Sex comb reduced*<sup>13A/6</sup> (*Scr*<sup>13A/6</sup>) (Sivanantharajah and Percival-smith, 2009) and *sex comb distal* (*Scd*) (Randsholt and Santamaria, 2008). Artificial selection was performed following the protocol described by Ahuja and Singh (2008). Hence, two lines were generated, a line with a low and a line with a high sex comb tooth number; Low and High lines respectively. Based on the bristle number, sex combs were divided into three groups: 1) short ( $\leq 7$  bristles), 2) intermediate (9-11 bristles), and 3) long sex combs (12-15 bristles). Finally, the UAS-GAL4 system was used to perturb sex comb development. *rnGAL4-5* (Ng and Kopp, 2008), *babGAL4* (Godt *et al.*, 1993) and *dll-GAL4* (Held, 2010) were used to drive gene expression in the distal part of the t1. As a responder (UAS lines), four different pathways were perturbed: bristle morphogenesis (*rab11 RNAi*, *flamingo RNAi*, *Dishevelled RNAi*), leg morphogenesis (*Scr RNAi*, *Dll RNAi*, *Dachousond RNAi*), the sex determination pathway (*Transformer RNAi*, *Double-sex RNAi*) and epithelial morphogenesis (*Shg RNAi* and *aCat RNAi*).

## Introgression of fluorescent protein into *D. melanogaster* lines with different sex comb length

The ubi-DEcad::GFP line used was generated by others for a previous study (Oda and Tsukita, 2000). Four genotypes were studied: male wild type (wt), artificially selected lines for high and low number of sex comb teeth and the mutant *bab*<sup>PR72</sup>. High and Low sex comb bristle number lines were developed by artificial selection as previously described (Ahuja and Singh, 2008). Wild type males came from the outbred base population described in Ahuja and Singh (2008). The introgression of the fluorescent marker into the artificially selected lines required several generations of backcrossing between the ubi-DE::cadGFP and the artificially selected lines. In addition, the mutant *bab*<sup>PR72</sup> was generated by Godt *et al.* (1993). Different from artificially selected lines, standard genetic crosses were enough to introgress the fluorescent marker into this mutant strain.

**Figure 1.** *Drosophila melanogaster* legs as a system to study allometry. A-C) *D. melanogaster* first tarsal segment (t1) chaetotaxy and development D-F) Parameters measured to study allometric changes in adult fly leg. A) Schematic displaying relationship between t1 length and bristle row number in three legs. B) Schematic displaying sex comb rotation. C) Schematic displaying potentially coupled and uncoupled changes between t1 and bristle row number. D) Number of transverse rows (TRs) and sex comb tooth number. E) t1 length. F) Degree of sex comb rotation. The t1 in the second leg is the only tarsal segment lacking rows with contiguous bristles and displaying the potentially ancestral condition, (empty individual circles in A represent single bristles). Then, the first type of leg bristle rows, known as TRs (rows of contiguous empty circles in A-F), appear in the t1 in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> legs. Although this leg chaetotaxy is highly conserved during evolution, the sex comb (Black rows of circles in A-B and D-F), a new bristle row emerges in males of some *Drosophila* species. Sex combs display a spectacular developmental and phenotypic variation. In some *Drosophila* species such as *D. melanogaster*, the developing sex comb (gray circles in B) rotates from a transverse to a vertical position (as indicated by black arrow in B). The relationship between t1 and bristle row number can be used as a system to study how allometric changes occur during evolution. In D-F, leg photographs (left panels) and schematic (right panels) indicate how measurements were done. White-dotted rectangles enclose TRs in A-C. Black dotted line displays the tarsal segment length covered by TRs (in E) and white dotted lines represent the entire tarsal segment length (in E). Dotted gray lines indicate degree of sex comb rotation in D. A was modified from Held 2002. Scale bar 20  $\mu$ m.

## Data collection

Adult legs were dissected for image acquisition. They were then mounted in Hoyer's medium in 22X22 mm No 1 (VWR) coverslips, and imaged with a Cool Snap camera U-CMAD (Photometrics) using protocols that have been previously described (Atallah, 2008). ImageJ software (<http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij>) was then used to measure the length of the t1.

## Data collection of developing legs

For imaging developing legs, pupae were mounted in halocarbon oil (series 700; Halocarbon Products) on a coverslip (Sigma) and imaged with a laser 510 scanning confocal microscope (ZEISS) at 25 degrees with a 40× objective, using LSM Browser software (ZEISS). Z-stacks had a 3 μm step size. For post-acquisition image analysis and manipulation, maximum intensity projections were performed with LSM software (ZEISS). The removal of the pupal case background from images and the generation of 2D and 3D projections were manually performed as described by Atallah (2008).

## Morphological measurements in *D. melanogaster* lines and *Drosophila* species with different sex comb length

In *D. melanogaster*, the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> legs were dissected in both males and females, to compare the allometric relationship between the sexes. The following measurements were done in adult legs: number of TRs, t1 length, sex comb tooth number and degree of sex comb rotation (Fig. 1D-F). The *Drosophila* species used were *D. willistoni*, *D. virilis*, *D. mojavensis*, *D. guanche*, *D. rhopaloa*, *D. nikananu*, *D. ficusphila*, and *D. serrata*.

## Statistical Analyses

To determine whether t1 length is coupled to the number of TRs, the correlation coefficient was calculated using Excel (Microsoft). In addition, to compare how different degrees of rotation affect t1 length, initial comparisons were made using analysis of variance while *post hoc* comparisons were performed using Tukey's test. Similar statistical analysis were done for comparing various cellular parameters in lines with different sex comb length, including the number of cells in the distal region, the distance between TR and top sex comb tooth, and apical cell area. In both cases, the statistical package Sigma Plot11.0 was used. Error bars represent the standard deviation.

## RESULTS

### Coupling between t1 length and TR number: An ancestral leg relationship

To study the relationship between chateotaxy and allometry during evolution, we asked whether variation in t1 length was coupled to bristle row number (Fig. 1B), we used the t1 of the female 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> leg as a system (Fig. 1A). Those TRs have been suggested to represent the ancestral pattern in t1 bristle


rows (Fig.1A) and vary in number depending on leg length (Hanna-Alava, 1958a; Hanna-Alava, 1958b). To do so, we measured the t1 length and the number of TRs in the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> leg of female *D. melanogaster* as indicated in Fig 1D-E. The measurements of 20 adult female legs (Fig. 2A-C) confirmed the results of previous studies, showing that the t1 is longer in the 3<sup>rd</sup> leg than in the 1<sup>st</sup> leg (Average of t1 length in 3<sup>rd</sup> leg = 277.49, +/- 22.60 μm; 1<sup>st</sup> leg = 139.76, +/-12.02 μm) (Hanna-Alava, 1958a; Hanna-Alava, 1958b). The TR number is also higher in the 3<sup>rd</sup> leg than the 1<sup>st</sup> leg (Average of TR number in 3<sup>rd</sup> leg = 12.3, +/-1.03 μm; 1<sup>st</sup> leg = 7, +/-0.46 μm). In addition, these measurements revealed a coupled relationship between these two traits, as indicated by the high correlation coefficient ( $r^2 = 0.95$ ,  $N = 40$ ). An increase in t1 length was associated with an increase in the number of TRs along the t1.

Then we asked whether this coupled relationship between t1 length and TR number is still observed after appearance of the sex comb and whether changing sex comb length, a common evolutionary modification, could modify this tarsal segment allometry. For this purpose, adult male legs with different sex comb lengths were measured as shown in Fig. 1D-F. To modify sex comb length, we used mutations and artificial selection in t1 and t2 as indicated in the material and methods section. The analysis of light microscope pictures revealed that the coupled relationship is independent of sex comb length (Fig. 2D-H). The TR number increases when studying t1 and t2 as indicated by the high value of the correlation coefficient, whether measuring from top to bottom TR ( $r^2 = 0.83$ ,  $N = 25$ ; Fig. 2E) or from top TR to the bottom sex comb teeth ( $r^2 = 0.92$ ,  $N = 25$ ; Fig. 2F). As a result, these data suggest that modifying sex comb length occurs without disrupting the ancestral morphological relationship, a coupled relationship between t1 length and the TR number. In addition, we also found that t1 length was independent of sex comb length while displaying complete rotation. When comparing short or long sex combs, we found that the t1 length is statistically homogeneous if those legs have similar numbers of TRs (Figure 2G-H; 5 to 6 TRs Tukey's test,  $HSD, F_{3,16} = 0.03$ ,  $p = 0.99$ ; 7 to 8 TRs Tukey's test,  $HSD, F_{1,18} = 2.34$ ,  $p = 0.13$ ). However, when comparing between t1 with different numbers of TRs, the length is significantly different (5-8 TRs Tukey's test,  $HSD, F_{5,34} = 7.85$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). These results indicate an unexpected finding, changes in sex comb length can occur independently of the t1 allometry. In the next section, we show how sex comb morphogenesis can provide various mechanisms to explain how dramatic changes in sex comb length can have only a small effect in t1 length.

### Developmental aspects of relationship between t1 and sex comb length

Malagón *et al.*, (2014) show that the space available for the rotation of the *D. melanogaster* sex comb is independent of the sex comb's length. This finding can explain the reason






**Figure 2.** Coupled relationship between 1<sup>st</sup> tarsal segment and number of transverse rows (TR) in *Drosophila melanogaster*. A) Photograph of *D. melanogaster* female 1<sup>st</sup> leg. B) Photograph of *D. melanogaster* female 3<sup>rd</sup> leg. C) Relationship between t1 length and TR number in 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> legs lacking sex combs. D) Photographs of sex combs of different lengths. E-G) Relationship between tarsal segment length and number of TRs of *D. melanogaster* lines with various sex comb lengths. H) Schematic displaying relationship between lengths of TR and sex comb regions in relationship to t1 length. White (A-B) and black (D) dotted boxes enclose TRs, while numbers next to those boxes indicate the TR number per tarsal segment. Brackets in D indicate the number of bristles in each sex comb. t1 and t2 represent 1<sup>st</sup> and 2<sup>nd</sup> tarsal segment respectively. Schematics in E-H follow the same legends described in figure 1, empty circles represent TR bristles and black circles indicate the sex comb teeth. Sex combs in E-G were divided into three groups, based on the bristle number: 1) short ( $\leq 7$  bristles), 2) intermediate (9-11 bristles), and 3) long sex combs (12-15 bristles). N = 5 samples per treatment. In G, statistically significant differences (Tukey's test) are indicated by brackets (\*\* $p < 0.01$ ) and line over bars indicates groups which were not significantly different. Scale bar 20  $\mu\text{m}$ .

why changing sex comb length occurs without affecting t1 allometry. To understand in more detail, the developmental basis of this phenomenon we measured four lines of *D. melanogaster* with variable numbers of bristles per comb. We used five developing legs per line with three groups of sex comb length: short (Low line  $\leq 7$  bristles), intermediate (wt = 9-11 bristles) and long (*bab<sup>PR72</sup>* and High line = 12-15 bristles) sex combs. We introgressed a fluorescent protein in these lines to visualize cell boundaries as described in the materials and methods section. We measured different cellular parameters that can indicate allometric changes in the sex comb field (group of cells around this bristle row), including cell density and the distance between the distal TR and the sex comb (Fig. 3A-F). Our results confirmed and extended our previous findings (Malagón *et al.*, 2014), suggesting that the sex comb field is limited to increase in size, and as a result, changing sex comb length occurs without changing t1 allometry.

First, we asked whether the size of the sex comb field changes with the number of sex comb teeth. We found that increasing sex comb length also significantly increases the number of cells underneath this row of bristles (Tukey's test,  $HSD, F_{4,15} = 21.9, p < 0.001$ ) (Fig. 3C). These preliminary results seem to indicate that increasing sex comb length could also increase the t1 length. However, when analyzing the apical cell area of cells distal to sex combs (Fig. 3B), we found that cells close to the distal TR are significantly more crowded in long sex combs ( $>9$  bristles) than short combs ( $<5$  bristles) (Tukey's test,  $HSD, F_{4,15} = 10.16, p < 0.001$ ; Fig 3B). In contrast, cells far from the distal TR are evenly packed independent of the sex comb length ( $F_{4,15} = 1.71, p = 0.203$ ; Fig 3B). As a result, increasing cell numbers will lead to tighter cell packing rather than producing longer t1s (Fig. 3F).

A potential explanation for the difference in cell density is that selection or mutations can remove or add bristles, but those changes occur without a proportional change in space in the sex comb field. To test this hypothesis, we quantified the distance between the sex comb and the most distal transverse row after rotation (Fig. 3D-F). We found that the distance between the distal TR and the top proximal sex comb tooth is significantly higher in short combs ( $<7$  bristles) than in wt or long combs ( $>10$  bristles) (Tukey's test,  $HSD, F_{4,15} =$



**Figure 3.** Relationship between sex comb allometry and first tarsal segment (t1) length in *Drosophila melanogaster*. A) Schematic displaying how the measurements were taken: Apical cell area (dotted hexagons in A and F) in region distal to the sex comb and distance between sex comb and distal transverse row (TR) (black line, I shaped line in A and F). B) Apical cell area in region distal to the sex comb. C) Distance between sex comb and TR. D) Number of cells in region distal to the sex comb. E) Confocal images and F) schematics of sex combs with different bristle number. White-dotted line encloses cells close to TR and gray line encloses cells far from TR. Brackets indicate the sex comb in E. (N = 5 samples per treatment). In B, statistically significant differences (Tukey's test) are indicated by brackets (\*\*\*) and line over bars indicates groups which were not significantly different. Scale bar 20 µm.

160,  $p < 0.001$ ). In other words, although the sex comb changes in length, the vertical space allocated for rotation remains without significant changes. These results together can begin to explain why changes in sex comb bristle number unexpectedly occur without affecting t1 length. In the next section, we discuss another unexpected developmental effect on allometry; reducing the angle of sex comb rotation, in this instance, does contribute to change in *D. melanogaster* t1 length (Atallah, 2008; Atallah *et al.*, 2009).


### Changes in degree of rotation produce changes in 1<sup>st</sup> tarsal segment allometry in *D. melanogaster*

As previously discussed, in some *Drosophila* sex combs such as that of *D. melanogaster*, the sex combs rotate up to 90° during development (Fig. 1B). The change in position of this bristle row produces a male specific-tissue

elongation, leading to a sexually dimorphic t1 length in *D. melanogaster* (Atallah, 2008). To test whether different degrees of sex comb rotation can modify the allometry of t1 length, we used highly specific perturbations of the expression of the gene *Dll*. To do so, we use the UAS-GAL4 system along with the temporal regulation of tubGAL80<sup>ts</sup> (Atallah *et al.*, 2014). As a result, three different orientations were observed: 1) transverse (0°-30°), 2) diagonal (30°-60°), and 3) vertical (60°-90°). Analysis of the adult leg chaetotaxy showed that this genetic perturbation varies the degree of sex comb rotation without affecting virtually any other t1 traits including sex comb tooth number and TR formation (Atallah *et al.*, 2014). Similar genetic perturbations of different pathways (Fig. 4A-C) again showed that increasing the degree of sex comb rotation produces significant lengthening of the t1 (genetic perturbations of *Dll* and four different pathways, Tukey's test, HSD,  $F_{3,76} = 238.3$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ). As a result, the developmental degree of rotation of the sex comb can produce a change in the leg allometry in the distal region, without altering the proximal region, where the TRs are located. As *Drosophila* sex combs display a great diversity of morphologies, the next step is to test whether patterns observed in *D. melanogaster* are also conserved among other *Drosophila* species, both in terms of coupling between orientation and t1 length as well as TR number and t1 length.

### Changes in various bristles row parameters and t1 length in other *Drosophila* species

To test whether the *Drosophila* t1 length displays similar patterns observed in *D. melanogaster*, we studied males of eight *Drosophila* species (Fig. 5A). We integrated the different parameters studied in *D. melanogaster* adults including t1 length, TR number, sex comb orientation and length. To do so, the *Drosophila* species were carefully chosen to represent a good approximation of sex comb developmental and morphological diversity. We found that despite great developmental differences observed among *Drosophila* species, the same relationship between t1 length and bristles patterns



**Figure 4.** Relationship between degree of sex comb rotation and first tarsal segment (t1) length in male *Drosophila melanogaster*. A) Schematic displaying correlation between degree of sex comb rotation and t1 length. B) Degree of sex comb rotation and t1 length by genetically perturbing four different pathways (C). In A, black circles represent adult sex combs, while empty circles represent the transverse rows (TR). Black dotted square shows TR region in A. Length of double-headed arrows represents degree leg elongation in A. N = 20 legs per treatment.

observed in *D. melanogaster* also occur in other *Drosophila* species. However, those rules seem to be used in novel ways to produce a diversity of morphologies during evolution.

First, we examined whether there is a relationship between t1 length and the orientation of the sex comb as observed in *D. melanogaster*. To do so, we measured the t1 length as well as the distance between the joint to top part

of the sex comb or homologous distal TRs in adult male legs (Fig. 5B-C). Different from that in *D. melanogaster*, we found that there was no relationship between sex comb orientation and t1 length as indicated by the low correlation coefficient ( $r^2 = 0.15$ ,  $N = 40$ ; Fig. 5B). However, there is an elongation of the distal region of t1 based on the sex comb orientation. The lowest distance was observed in transverse bristle rows and highest in vertical rotating combs, thus displaying a high correlation coefficient ( $r^2 = 0.79$ ,  $N = 40$ ; Fig. 5C). These data indicate that although there is a local modification in the sex comb field, the top part of the t1, the region covered by TRs is also fundamental to understand how the t1 length changes during evolution.

To study the changes observed in top part of t1, we measured how t1 length changes among *Drosophila* species and test whether the coupling between TR number and t1 length is still conserved during evolution. Similar to *D. melanogaster*, t1 length was measured from the top TR to the bottom sex comb tooth or TR region as indicated in Fig 5D-E. We observed that in *Drosophila* species that lack a comb or have a short comb, the coupling is still conserved as indicated by the correlation coefficient ( $r^2 = 0.97$ ,  $N = 25$ ) (Fig. 5D). However, those morphological changes are different from those observed in *D. melanogaster*, because when sex comb length increases, the TR region decreases in length (Fig. 5E). This trade-off between region lengths is indicated by reduction in the correlation coefficient ( $r^2 = 0.52$ ,  $N = 25$ ) (Fig. 5E).


To examine in more detail, the trade-off in length between TR and sex comb regions, we focused on 4 *Drosophila* species: *D. willistoni*, *D. persimilis*, *D. yakuba*, and *D. rhopaloa* (Fig. 5F). Although these species show differences in sex comb length and orientation, their t1s have a statistically similar length (t1 length among the 4 different *Drosophila* species chosen, Tukey's test, HSD,  $F_{3,16} = 1.23$ ,  $p = 0.33$ ) (Fig. 5G). However, when studying the TR and sex comb regions, we observed statistically significant changes in length between these two regions (sex comb region length among the four different *Drosophila* species chosen, Tukey's test, HSD,  $F_{3,16} = 224.8$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ; TR region length among the four different *Drosophila* species chosen, Tukey's test, HSD,  $F_{3,16} = 188.2$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) (Fig. 5H-I). An increase in length in the sex comb region produces a reduction in the TR region. Together, the conservation in TR spacing and variation in TR and sex comb regions show an association between t1 length and chaetotaxy during *Drosophila* macro and micro-evolution.

## DISCUSSION

### *D. melanogaster* and *Drosophila* species couple the t1 length to the TR number

The coupling between t1 and TR number is a highly conserved trait observed in all the legs studied, in both *D. melanogaster* and other *Drosophila* species. The first evidence of the conservation





of this coupling emerges when comparing the t1 of the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> leg. As the t1 in the 3<sup>rd</sup> leg is much longer than the 1<sup>st</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> leg have extra TRs (Fig. 2A-C). In addition, the appearance of the *D. melanogaster* sex combs occurs without disrupting this coupling, independently of the sex comb length or degree of rotation (Fig. 3-4). The final evidence of this evolutionary conservation emerges when studying different *Drosophila* species (Fig. 5D-E). Despite the spectacular morphological diversity of the sex comb, the changes occur mainly in the sex comb region without modifying the coupling between the TR and t1 length in top region of the t1. These types of developmental correlation have been previously described in evolutionary studies (Marroig, 2007; Tobler and Nijhout, 2010). For example, the number of finger digits of frogs is associated with cell numbers in the presumptive digit region during development, suggesting a link between developmental changes and evolutionary variation (Alberch and Gale, 1983; Smith *et al.*, 1985; Brakefield, 2006; Monteiro *et al.*, 2011; Malagón *et al.*, 2014; Pélabon *et al.*, 2014).

We hypothesize that a developmental mechanism, lateral inhibition, is responsible for the coupling between t1 length and TR number (Held, 1990; Orenic *et al.*, 1993; Held, 2002). Regular spacing between bristle rows occurs because the future bristle cells, the sensory organ precursors, inhibit the surrounding epithelial cells from having a neural cell fate (Held, 1990; Orenic *et al.*, 1993; Held, 2002). Interestingly, although several genetic perturbations can modify the distance between bristles (Held, 1990; Held, 2002), our data show that this type of modification is not usually the path taken during evolution (Fig. 5D-E). Future studies are necessary to understand the extent to which the evolutionary conservation of this coupling, is due to internal factors, such as genetic or developmental factors, or an external factor such as selection (Gould, 1976; Weber, 1992). For example, since TRs are used as cleaning utensils (Kopp, 2011), it is necessary to test whether changing the spacing between TRs can potentially reduce cleaning efficiency.

### Influence of sex comb length and rotation on t1 length changes in *D. melanogaster* and *Drosophila* species

Our data show that in *D. melanogaster*, the evolutionary innovation of producing a rotating sex comb plays an important role in determining t1 length (Atallah, 2008; Malagón *et al.*, 2014).

**Figure 5.** Relationship between 1<sup>st</sup> tarsal segment (t1) length and various bristle row parameters among *Drosophila* species. A) Photographs of the t1 in various *Drosophila* species. B-C) Relationship between t1 length and sex comb orientation. D-E) Relationship between t1 length and transverse row (TR) number in various *Drosophila* species. F-I) Trade-off between sex comb and TR region when changing sex comb length and orientation among 4 *Drosophila* species. F) Schematics, G) t1 length H) TR region length, and I) sex comb region length. In D-E, sex combs were divided into three groups, based on the bristle number: 1) short ( $\leq 7$  bristles), 2) intermediate (9-11 bristles), and 3) long sex combs (12-15 bristles). In B-E, N = 40 in each graph and G-I, N = 5 samples per *Drosophila* species. Gray dotted lines indicate orientation of sex comb in B and C, while black dotted lines indicate various t1 length measurements in B and E. Scale bar 20  $\mu$ m. In G-I, statistically significant differences (Tukey's test) are indicated by brackets (\*\*\*) and line over bars indicates groups which were not significantly different. Scale bar 20  $\mu$ m.



Previous studies show that the change in position of the sex comb leads to a male-specific lengthening of the distal part of t1, which are never seen in females (Atallah, 2008; Malagón, 2013). Consistent with this information, when genetically reducing the degree of rotation in different ways (Fig. 4A-C), a reduction in the t1 length takes place, thus returning to the allometric relationship observed in the t1 *D. melanogaster* females (Fig. 4). In contrast to rotation, changes in the sex comb length have a small effect on modifying t1 length. In *D. melanogaster*, the distal TR seems to function as a barrier preventing the *D. melanogaster* sex comb field from increasing beyond a certain size. As a result, although there is an increase in cell number underneath the sex comb (Fig. 3C), this increment translates into a higher cell density rather than longer t1s (3B-F).

In other *Drosophila* species studied, the relationship between t1 length and sex combs can be divided into two main groups: 1) species without combs or with transverse combs and 2) species with diagonal or vertical combs. In the first group, t1 length correlates to the number of TRs. In second group, the distal region of the t1 can increase in length and such elongation can be associated, in some cases, with sex comb orientation (Atallah, 2008; Tanaka *et al.*, 2009). In addition, the evolution of *Drosophila* sex comb length can provide a good example of the differential effects of short vs. long term selection in allometric relationships (Malagón, 2013). In *D. melanogaster*, changing sex comb length through artificial selection, did not produce dramatic changes in t1 allometry (Fig. 3), in lines with similar degrees of rotation (Malagón, 2013). On the contrary, in *Drosophila* species males with long sex comb have a trade-off between lengths of the sex comb and and TR regions (Fig. 5E-I). As the sex combs increase in the lengths, the number of TRs is reduced. Future studies are necessary to study the genetic and developmental restrictions that limit dramatic changes in the short-term selection and how those restrictions are removed over the long term.

This work is also consistent with previous studies showing a bias in the morphological variation between traits (de Bakker *et al.*, 2013; Kavanagh *et al.*, 2013; Malagón *et al.*, 2014), while changes in t1 allometry do occur, such changes generally occur without disrupting the ancestral coupling between t1 length and TR number. In addition, these results also suggest that in the fruit fly male t1 there can be a hierarchy of selection “accessibility” with spacing between bristle rows being the most conserved feature, bristle row numbers being more easily changed, and t1 length being the most easily modified given.

### Entrenched developmental processes and t1 allometric changes in *Drosophila* evolution

Consistent with previous studies, this work highlights the importance of developmental studies for understanding the evolution of allometry (Gould, 1966; Stern and Emlen, 1999;

Shingleton *et al.*, 2007; Malagón *et al.*, 2014). In particular, our data suggest that understanding the entrenchment of developmental processes can provide a better understanding of how allometric changes occur during *Drosophila* evolution.

We show a highly conserved correlation between t1 length and TR number. Independent of the allometric changes observed, the relationship between these two traits remain the same among *D. melanogaster* legs and *Drosophila* species. Our study hypothesizes that this simple spacing rule is important for understanding allometric changes in the t1 and sex comb. For example, as the *D. melanogaster* sex comb is a modified TR, the spacing between the *D. melanogaster* sex comb and the spacing between the TR, are independent of sex comb length (Malagón *et al.*, 2014). In addition, although increasing sex comb length will increase the number of cells in the field, it seems that the distal TR acts as a barrier preventing the sex comb field from increasing in size (Fig 3B-F). As a result, unexpectedly long and small *D. melanogaster* sex combs can display similar t1 lengths. In contrast, as rotating the sex comb is accompanied by an elongation of the tissue surrounding it, a higher degree of rotation will also lead to a longer t1 (Atallah *et al.*, 2009). Finally, in long-term evolution, the spacing between bristle rows is still fundamental to understanding allometric trade-offs between t1 regions among *Drosophila* species. Rather than changing the spacing between bristles rows, *Drosophila* species with long sex combs reduce the number of TRs.

Finally, future studies are necessary to study the effect of changes in sex comb length on female chaetotaxy and t1 length. Although, it is believed that t1 female chaetotaxy is highly conserved among *Drosophila* species (Kopp, 2011), preliminary results indicate that in *Drosophila* species with long sex combs, female TRs are reduced in number or length. This biological phenomenon could be another example, showing the importance of development to understand morphological diversity.

### CONCLUSIONS

Adaptation constantly requires changes in proportions between different body parts (Frankino *et al.*, 2005; Brakefield, 2006; Pélabon *et al.*, 2014). In order to study how such allometry evolves, we used t1 *Drosophila* legs. We compared morphometric measurements in wild type and genetically perturbed *D. melanogaster* with analysis of various *Drosophila* species. We suggest that bristle-developmental processes that are apparently unrelated to leg elongation, are crucial for understanding the evolution of *Drosophila* t1 allometry. In particular, we suggest that the complicated connections between various developmental processes such as bristle row spacing and movement are vital for understanding the allometric changes observed. These complex interactions between developmental processes can explain some biases in phenotypic variation (Brakefield, 2006; de Bakker *et al.*, 2013; Malagón *et al.*, 2014). Finally, although this work

concentrated on how body scale proportions change over time, we consider that the entrenchment of developmental processes described in this work, can be an important feature for understanding how some phenotypes originate and evolve (Malagon and Larsen, 2015).

## REFERENCES

- Ahuja A, Singh RS. Variation and evolution of male sex combs in *Drosophila*: nature of selection response and theories of genetic variation for sexual traits. *Genetics*. 2008;179(1):503-509. Doi:10.1534/genetics.107.086363
- Aberch P, Gale E. Size dependence during the development of the amphibian foot. Colchicine-induced digit loss and reduction. *J Embryol Exp Morphol*. 1983;197:177-197.
- Atallah J. The Development and Evolution of Complex Patterns: The *Drosophila* Sex Comb as a Model System (PhD thesis). Toronto: Department of Cell and Systems Biology; University of Toronto. p. 45-90.
- Atallah J, Liu NH, Dennis P, Hon A, Godt D, Larsen EW. Cell dynamics and developmental bias in the ontogeny of a complex sexually dimorphic trait in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Evol Dev*. 2009;11(12):191-204. Doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2009.00319.x
- Atallah J, Vurens NS G, Mavong S, Mutti A, Hoang D, Kopp A. Sex-specific repression of dachshund is required for *Drosophila* sex comb development. *Dev Biol*. 2014;386(2):440-447. Doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2013.12.01
- Baker R, Gerald SW. Phylogenetic analysis of sexual dimorphism and eye-span allometry in stalk-eyed flies (Diopsidae). *Evolution*. 2001;55(7):1373-1385. Doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2001.tb00659
- Beldade P, Brakefield PM. The genetics and evo-devo of butterfly wing patterns. *Nat Rev Gen*. 2002;3(3):442-452. Doi:10.1038/nrg818
- Brakefield PM. Evo-devo and constraints on selection. *Trends Ecol Evol*. 2006;21(7):362-369. Doi:10.1016/j.tree.2006.05.001
- Carreira V, Mensch J, Fanara J. Body size in *Drosophila*: genetic architecture, allometries and sexual dimorphism. *Heredity*. 2009;102(3):246-256. Doi:10.1038/hdy.2008.117
- De Bakker M, Fowler D, Den Oude K, Dondorp EM, Navas MCG, Horbaczuk JO. Digit loss in archosaur evolution and the interplay between selection and constraints. *Nature*. 2013;500(7463):445-448. Doi:10.1038/nature12336
- Frankino WA, Zwann BJ, Stern DL, Brakefield PM. Natural selection and developmental constraints in the evolution of allometries. *Science*. 2005;307(5710):718-720. Doi:10.1126/science.1105409
- Godt D, Couderc J, Cramton S, Laski F. Pattern formation in the limbs of *Drosophila*: bric à brac is expressed in both a gradient and a wave-like pattern and is required for specification and proper segmentation of the tarsus. *Development*. 1993;119(7463):799-812. Doi:10.1038/nature12336
- Gould SJ. Allometry and size in ontogeny and phylogeny. *Biol Rev*. 1966;41(4):587-640.
- Gutierrez EE, Eberhard WG. Male Dimorphisms in beetles and earwigs and the question of developmental constraints. *Evolution*. 1991;45(1):18-28. Doi: 10.2307/2409478
- Hanna-Alava A. Developmental genetics of the posterior legs in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genetics*. 1958a;43(5):878-905
- Hanna-Alava A. Morphology and chaetotaxy of the legs of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J Morphol*. 1958b;103(2):281-310. Doi:10.1002/jmor.1051030205
- Held L. Arrangement of bristles as a function of bristle number on a leg segment in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Roux's Archiv Dev Bio*. 1990:48-62. Doi:10.1007/BF01681532
- Held L. Imaginal Discs: The Genetic and Cellular Logic of Pattern Formation. Cambridge  
Cambridge University Press; 2002. p. 5-29.
- Held LJ. How does Scr cause first legs to deviate from second legs? *Dros Inf Serv*. 2010;93:132-146.
- Huxley JS. Problems of Relative Growth. London: Johns Hopkins University Pres; 1972. p. XX-XL.
- Kavanagh KD, Shoal O, Winslow BB, Alon U, Leary BP, Kan A. Developmental bias in the evolution of phalanges. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A*. 2013;110(45):18190-18195. Doi:10.1073/pnas.1315213110
- Klingenberg CP. Multivariate allometry. In: Advances in morphometrics. Marcus L. F., Corti M, Loy A., Naylor G. J. P., Slice D. E., editors. Multivariate Allometry. Advances in morphometrics. New York: Plenum Press; 1996. p. 23-49.
- Klingenberg CP. Heterochrony and allometry: the analysis of evolutionary change in ontogeny. *Biol Rev Camb Philos Soc*. 1998;73(1):79-123. Doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.1997.tb00026.x
- Kopp A. *Drosophila* sex combs as a model of evolutionary innovations. *Evol Dev*. 2011;13(6):504-522. Doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2011.00507.x
- Langlade NB, Feng X, Dransfield T, Copsey L, Hanna AI. Evolution through genetically controlled allometry space. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA* 2005;10221-10226 Doi:10.1073/pnas. 0504210102
- Malagón JN. Sex combs in motion: Cellular processes involved in sex comb rotation in *Drosophila melanogaster* (PhD thesis). Toronto: Department of Cell and Systems Biology, Arts and Science Faculty, University of Toronto. 2013. p. 120-150.
- Malagón JN, Ahuja A, Sivapatham G, Hung J, Lee J, Muñoz-Gómez SA, Atallah J, Singh R, Larsen E. Evolution of *Drosophila* sex comb length illustrates the inextricable interplay between selection and variation. *Proc Nat Acad Sci USA*. 2014;111:E4103-E4109. Doi:10.1073/pnas.1322342111

- Malagon JN, Larsen E. Heredity and self-organization: partners in the generation and evolution of phenotypes. *Int Rev Cell Mol Biol.* 2015;315:153-181. Doi:10.1016/bs.ircmb.2014.12.003
- Marroing G. When size makes a difference: allometry, life-history and morphological evolution of capuchins (*Cebus*) and squirrels (*Saimiri*) monkeys (*Cebinae*, Platyrrhini). *BMC Evol Biol.* 2007;7:20. Doi:10.1186/1471-2148-7-20
- Marroing G, Cheverud J. Size as a line of least resistance II: direct selection on size or correlated response due to constraints? *Evolution.* 2010;64:1470-1488. Doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00920.x.
- Massgugue J. TGFbeta in Cancer. *Cell.* 2008;134:215-30. Doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a022053
- Monteiro A, Brakefield PM, French V, Url S. Butterfly Eyespots: The Genetics and Development of the Color Rings. *Evolution.* 2011;51:1207-1216. Doi:10.2307/2411050
- Ng CS, Kopp A. Sex combs are important for male mating success in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Behavioral genetics.* 2008;38:195-201. Doi:10.1007/s10519-008-9190-7
- Oda H, Tsukita S. Real-time imaging of cell-cell adherens junctions reveals that *Drosophila* mesoderm invagination begins with two phases of apical constriction of cells. *J Cell Sci.* 2000;114:493-501.
- Orenic TV, Held LI, Paddock SW, Carroll SB. The spatial organization of epidermal structures: hairy establishes the geometrical pattern of *Drosophila* leg bristles by delimiting the domains of achaete expression. *Development.* 1993;118:9-20.
- Pélabon C, Firmat C, Bolstad GH, Voje KL, Houle D, Cassara J. Evolution of morphological allometry. *Ann N Y Acad Sci.* 2014;1320:58-75. Doi:10.1038/nrc2536
- Pollak M. Insulin and insulin-like growth factor signalling in neoplasia. *Nature Rev Cancer.* 2008;8(12):915-928. Doi:10.1111/nyas.12470
- Randsholt NB, Santamaria P. How *Drosophila* change their combs: the Hox gene Sex combs reduced and sex comb variation among *Sophophora* species. *Evol Develop.* 2008;10(1):121-133. Doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2008.00219.x
- Renaud S, Auffray J, Michaux J. Conserved phenotypic variation patterns, evolution along lines of least resistance, and departure due to selection in fossil rodents. *Evolution.* 2006;60(8):1701-1717. Doi: 10.1554/05-330.1
- Schluter D. Adaptive radiation along genetic lines of least resistance. *Evolution.* 1996;50:1766-1774. Doi:10.2307/2410734
- Shingleton AW, Frankino WA, Flatt T, Nijhout HF, Emlen DJ. Size and shape: the developmental regulation of static allometry in insects. *BioEssays.* 2007;29(6):536-548. Doi:10.1002/bies.20584
- Sivanatharajah L, Percival-Smith A. Analysis of the sequence and phenotype of *Drosophila* Sex combs reduced alleles reveals potential functions of conserved protein motifs of the sex combs reduced protein. *Genetics.* 2009;182(1):191-205. Doi:10.1534/genetics
- Smith M, Burian R, Kauffman N S, Alberch P, Campbell J, Goodwin B. Developmental constraints and evolution: A perspective from the Mountain Lake Conference on development and evolution. *Quart Rev Biol.* 1985;60(3):256-287.
- Stern DL, Emlen DJ. The developmental basis for allometry in insects. *Development.* 1999;1101(6):1091-1101.
- Tanaka K, Barmina O, Kopp, Sanders LE, Arbeitman MN, Kopp A. Evolution of sex-specific traits through changes in HOX-dependent doublesex expression. *PLoS biology.* 2011;9(8):e1001131. Doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.1001131
- Tanaka K, Barmina O, Kopp A. Distinct developmental mechanisms underlie the evolutionary diversification of *Drosophila* sex combs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2009;106(12):4764-4769. Doi:10.1073/pnas.0807875106
- Thompson DW. *On Growth and Form.* New York: Dover publications, INC; 1917. p. 78-85.
- Tobler A, Nijhout HF. Developmental constraints on the evolution of wing-body allometry in *Manduca sexta*. *Evol Dev.* 2010;12(6):592-600. Doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2010.00444.x
- Vasseur F, Violle C, Enquist B, Granier C, Vile D. A common genetic basis to the origin of the leaf economics spectrum and metabolic scaling allometry. *Ecol Lett.* 2012;15(10):1149-1157. Doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01839.x
- Warren I, Vera J, Johns A, Zinna R, Marden N J, Emlen ND. Insights into the development and evolution of exaggerated traits using de novo transcriptomes of two species of horned scarab beetles. *PLoS one.* 2014;9(2):88365. Doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0088364
- Weber KE. How small are the smallest selectable domains of form? *Genetics.* 1992;130(2):345-353.
- Weinreich D, Delaney N, Depristo O M, Harlt D. Darwinian evolution can follow only very few mutational paths to fitter proteins. *Science.* 2006;7(5770):111-114. Doi:10.1126/science.1123539
- Young N, Wagner G, Hallgrímsson B. Development and the evolvability of human limbs. *Proc of the Nat Acad Sci.* 2010;107(8):3400-3405. Doi:10.1073/pnas.0911856107

