Acta Agron. (2017) 66 (1) p 21-26 ISSN 0120-2812 | e-ISSN 2323-0118

©00

Estimation of carbon stocks under different soil uses in the central highlands of Mexico

Estimación de almacenes de carbono bajo diferentes usos de suelo en el altiplano central de México

Gustavo Álvarez Arteaga*, Belina García Fajardo, María Estela Orozco Hernández, Patricia Mireles Lezama y Julieta Contreras Martínez

Facultad de Planeación Urbana y Regional, Universidad Autónoma del Estado de México, México. Author for correspondence: galvareza68@gmail.com

Rec.:01.09.2015 Acep.: 19.01.2016

Abstract

The loss of organic carbon stocks from the soil and their expulsion into the atmosphere due to the effect of anthropogenic activities must be understood as a problem that goes beyond the environmental to the social and economic context, with the soil degradation being just one of its many impacts. While the accumulation of carbon in the soil depends naturally on the interaction among a series of biotic and abiotic factors, management practices often cause the deterioration of its physical, chemical and biological properties, and, thus, increase the levels of mineralization and a reduction in carbon stocks. This research was undertaken in the municipality of San José del Rincón, State of Mexico, in volcanic soils under different conditions of soil use and disturbation time periods. Samples were obtained at 11 plots, on which physical and chemical analyses were undertaken, obtaining soil organic carbon stocks at 20 cm top soil. The results indicated that, for the soils used for agriculture and induced pasture, there were significant increases in the bulk density, greater acidity and a decrease in carbon concentration compared to forest soils. The organic carbon stocks taken from soils used in forestry, agriculture and induced pasture at a depth of 20 cm were 131, 53 and 63 Mg C ha⁻¹ respectively, results which suggest the timing and intensity of management are determinants in the loss of soil organic carbon stocks from the soil, as well as the alteration of some of their physical and chemical properties.

Key words: Stocks, bulk density, organic material, management practices, forest soils.

Resumen

La pérdida de los almacenes de carbono orgánico en el suelo y su expulsión a la atmósfera por efecto de las actividades antrópicas debe entenderse como un problema que trasciende del contexto ambiental al social y económico, siendo la degradación de los suelos una de sus múltiples manifestaciones. Si bien, la acumulación del carbono en el suelo depende de manera natural de la interacción de una serie de factores bióticos y abióticos, las prácticas de manejo, con frecuencia implican el deterioro de sus propiedades físicas, químicas y biológicas, y con ello el incremento de los niveles de mineralización y reducción de este almacén. Este trabajo se realizó en el municipio de San José del Rincón, Estado de México en suelos volcánicos bajo diferentes condiciones de uso y tiempos de manejo, de los cuales se obtuvieron muestras en 11 sitios de las que se realizaron análisis físicos y químicos y se obtuvo el almacén de carbono orgánico del suelo a una profundidad de 20 cm. Los resultados obtenidos indicaron que para los suelos bajo uso agrícola y pastoreo hubo incrementos significativos en la densidad aparente, mayor acidez y disminución de la concentración de carbono, con respecto a los suelos forestales. Los almacenes de carbono orgánico para los usos de suelo forestal, agrícola y pecuario a 20 cm de profundidad fueron de 131, 53 y 63 Mg C ha⁻¹ respectivamente, estos resultados sugieren que el tiempo e intensidad de manejo son determinantes en la pérdida de los almacenes del carbono orgánico del suelo, así como en la alteración de algunas de sus propiedades físicas y químicas.

Palabras clave: Almacenes, densidad aparente, materia orgánica, prácticas de manejo, suelos forestales.

Introduction

It is estimated that the soils of the world store 1500 Gt of carbon (C) at 100 cm depth and that, approximately, half of this amount is found in 0- 30 cm topsoil (Batjes, 1996). In comparison, the carbon reserves in biomass are of the order of 500 Gt (IPCC, 2001; Denman, Brasseur, & Chidthaisong, 2007).

Considering the size and location of these reserves, a greater understanding of their composition and dynamic is required, not only at the level of the major bioclimatic regions of the world, but also for the local ecosystems on which the effects of global warming will be more evident.

From this, two general considerations must be taken into account: if the reduction of these stocks as a consequence of anthropogenic activities, continues, significant quantities of CO_2 and other Greenhouse Gases (GG) will be transferred into the atmosphere. This would increase the concentration to critical levels which significantly alter the physical and biotic processes of the planet (IPCC, 2000; Canadell *et al.*, 2007; Ritchter & Houghton, 2011).

On the other hand, the implementation of local and regional mitigating strategies, such as reforestation, conservation agriculture and the rehabilitation of soils present a high potential contribution to the preservation of these stocks and the capture of a proportion of atmospheric C (Guo & Gifford, 2002; Lal, 2004; Smith, Martino, & Cai, 2007). The incorporation of C in forest soils depends, in the first instance, on the contribution of organic residues to the surface layer and the transformation and stabilization of a fraction of these residues through different biogeochemical mechanisms (Smith, 2008).

However, when the natural recycling of the forest is altered through changes in their use, these contributions decrease and, in the extreme case of their conversion to agricultural soil, practically disappear. Similarly, the physical alteration of the soil through the removal of vegetal cover or farmland predisposes it to the breaking of its internal structure, exposing to mineralization the fractions of soil organic matter, encapsulated and protected by the mineral fraction, facilitating their loss until a new state of temporary equilibrium is reached (Guo & Gifford, 2002; Lal, 2005; Van der Werf, Morton, & DeFries, (2009).

Lal (2009), estimated the tropical and subtropical agricultural soils have lost among 60 and 80 % of their C reserves, which translates into the atmosphere to the order of 136+/-55 Gt.

In the case of soils converted to agricultural use, a large part of carbon loss is considered to have

taken place during the first years of cultivation (Watson et al., 2000; Smith, 2002). Initially, the C content decreases with the mineralization of the soluble fractions (carbohydrates and simple proteins). Subsequently, as tillage intensify, C content decreases with the gradual loss of more stable fractions contained in humic substances (Alvarez-Arteaga, Krasilnikov, & García-Calderón, 2012). With these, C tends to be reduced by 25 to 30 %, until reaching the next level of temporary stability, which occurs independent to the soil type, management practices, and decomposition processes (Murty, Kirschbaum, Mcmurtrie, & Mcgilvray, 2002; Houghton 2004thereby, either releasing carbon dioxide (a greenhouse gas)thereby, either releasing carbon dioxide (a greenhouse gas.

After agriculture, the conversion of forest into induced pasture (IP) is the condition of change in soil use which releases the second largest amount of carbon into the atmosphere in Mexico, with a little more than 6 % of total emissions. However, some evidence suggests that this change does not always act in this way, with some cases having shown increases post conversion, principally when the forest soil presents a low C content (Guo & Gifford, 2002).

The main difference among pasture and agricultural soil is that, in the latter, the constant alteration of the surface layer facilitates the mineralization of the labile soil organic carbon (LSOC), with the loss expected to be more intense. In contrast, when a crop field is abandoned, population of creeping and herbaceous plants will gradually increase the carbon reserves in the soil depending on the intensity of previous management, or even due to the different biophysical factors present in the soil (Murty *et al.*, 2002).

Considering the importance of the study of SOC and distribution of soil carbon stocks as a starting point for the implementation of local actions to mitigate GG emissions in both natural and productive ecosystems, the aim of this research was to estimate the organic carbon stocks in volcanic soils (humic andosols), under different management practices and times of soil use. The research also seeks to determine the influence of soil use change on the bulk density of the soil, its acidity and, finally, its potential for preserving SOC.

Material and methods

Study plots

The study was undertaken in soil plots in the municipality of San José del Rincon, State of Mexico, located among 19° 40´38.8" and 19°

42⁻11.8" North and 100° 13⁻5.6" and 100° 12⁻54.8" East, in the central region of the Trans Mexican Volcanic Belt (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Area of study in de municipality of San José del Rincon, Mexico

The region performs an average annual temperature oscillating among 8 and 12°C and an average annual rainfall among 1000 and 1200 mm, with a marked seasonal variation between June and October.

The underlying geological material dates from the middle and late Miocene, and is characterized by extrusive igneous rock, specifically andesite and rhyolite. The dominant topographical system comprises low ridges of round hills and basalt plateaus. Slope varies among 10 and 30 %. The region is covered by plant associations of *Abies religiosa* (oyamel), *Pinus pseudostrobus* (pino), *Cupressus lindheyi* (cedro), and *Quercus rugosa* (encino).

Similar to other forest regions of the highlands on Central México, the region featured in this research, historically is subject to an accelerated process of disturbance and soil use change, characterized by fires, clandestine tree felling, controlled timber extraction and the exploitation of soils for agriculture and livestock.

Specifically, the plots under forest cover are subject to forest harvesting throughout a management plan, while soils under agricultural and IP use have a history of use with different ages.

Selection of sampling points and soil sampling

With the aim of including natural and productive systems and subsystems more representative of the evaluated area, a delimitation of the current soil uses was undertaken. Three conditions of soil use (forestry, induced pasture and agriculture) were obtained, as were eleven variants, all of which were found in soils originating from volcanic ash (humic andosols) among 2912 and 3060 m. a. s. l., four of these variants corresponded to forestry use (AF, CF, QF, PF) according with dominant species, four to seasonal agriculture (SA1, SA2, SA3, SA4), and three to induced pasture (IP1, IP+SA, IP2) (Table 1).

Table 1. General characteristics of the sampling plots by altitude, soil use and vegetation cover

Plot	Altitude	Soil use	Dominant species / crop	
	m. a. s. l.			
AF	3032	Forestry under management	Abies religiosa	
CF	3012	Forestry under management	Cupressus lindleyi	
QF	3033	Forestry under management	Quercus rugosa	
PF	3060	Forestry under management	Pinus pseudostrobus	
IP1	2952	Induced Pasture >15 years	Induced Pasture	
IP+SA	2940	Induced Pasture + Seasonal agriculture > 100 años	Grazing oats	
IP2	2918	Induced Pasture > 100 years	Grazing and confinement of livestock	
SA1	2929	Seasonal agriculture > 100 years.	Association Corn, pea, broad bean and black bean	
SA2	2919	Seasonal agriculture > 100 years	Wheat	
SA3	2912	Seasonal agriculture > 100 years	Corn	
SA4	2970	Seasonal agriculture > 30 years	Rotation corn – potato	

Soil samples were taken in each subsystem at 20 cm top soil in the central part of a representative polygon, with an average surface of 1500 m^2 , using a zigzag sampling scheme, thus generating a composite soil sample for each plot.

The samples were bagged and ticketed to be then transferred to the laboratory, where they were dried at room temperature and passed throughout a 2 mm mesh sieve.

An approximate portion of 5g of soil was ground and passed through a 0.25mm sieve for the total organic carbon analysis (TOC), using the Walkley and Black method. Dry and wet color analyses were undertaken using Munsell tables, while the pH (ratio 1:2.5 in H_2O and KCl), and bulk density (BD) were taken using the cylinder method.

The estimation of the soil carbon stocks $(Mg C ha^{-1})$, was carried out taking into account depth, bulk density, and SOC content. To determine possible significantly statistical differences among the different conditions for the soil use and management and soil carbon stocks, some variables were undertaken using a one factor ANOVA test which, in the event of significantly results, was complemented with post hoc Tukey (p= 0.05) tests.

Results and discussion

The results for the soil properties analyzed and significantly differences found, are shown in Table 2.

 Table 2. Estimated parameters for the calculation of the soil organic carbon stocks at the different study plots at 20 cm top soil.

	BD*	pH		SOC**	
Plot	g.cm- ³	$H_{2}O$	KC1	g. kg ⁻¹	Mg.ha ⁻¹
AF	0.680ª	6.42ª	5.10	47.9ª	138.49 ^a
CF	0.691ª	6.15ª	4.97	95.0 ^b	129.14^{a}
QF	0.683ª	6.25ª	5.2	82.4 ^b	112.56^{a}
PF	0.798ª	6.2ª	5.15	82.0 ^b	145.50^{a}
IP1	0.696^{ab}	6.43 ^{ab}	4.98	94.4 ^b	73.60^{b}
II+SA	0.83 ^{ab}	5.81^{ab}	5.18	33.1ª	55.28⁵
IP2	0.88 ^{ab}	5.94^{ab}	4.95	35.3ª	62.02 ^b
SA1	0.85^{b}	5.86 ^b	5.02	26.7°	42.94 ^b
SA2	$0.90^{\rm b}$	5.96 ^b	5.05	25.8°	45.56 ^b
SA3	0.91 ^b	5.31 ^b	4.50	27.5°	49.83 ^b
SA4	0.82 ^b	5.8 ^b	4.99	45.6ª	74.64 ^b

*BD= Bulk Density; **SOC = Soil Organic Carbon

† Value taken at a 20 cm top soil

Average values with different letters in the same column, differing significantly amonst themselves (P < 0.05)

Different studies have reported the implications of the soil use changes on several soil properties, such as the organic material content, bulk density, porosity and acidity.

Habitually, under similar formation conditions, forest soil performs BD lower than those for other soil uses, while these tend to increase with practices such as mechanized cultivation or grazing owing to the loss of the original structure and the compacting of the soil particles (Pulido-Moncada, Helwig-Penning, Timm, Gabriels, & Cornelis, 2014).

The BD was observed as greater in 22 and 12 % of the soil use for agriculture and induced pasture in this research, respectively, compared to the value obtained for the forest soils, with the only significantly difference found among soil use for agriculture and forestry. Such variations are consistent with those presented by Murty *et al.*, (2002), who compared data from 78 case studies, observing average increases of 17 % for

agricultural soils and 9.5% for livestock, with the extreme cases showing an increase of above 60%.

This variation translates in the reduction or loss of the original structure of the soil, caused by machine tilling or trampling by livestock. According to other cases, such as those presented by Gou & Gifford (2002), and Houghton (2004), it is probable the condition of the slope and the zero vegetation coverage in these soils present during one part of the year, can reduce the infiltration capacity and increase the levels of soil runoff, generating soil degradation conditions.

Table 2, shows an acidification gradient for the soil caused by the intensification of the soil use going from forestry to IP or agriculture. Statistically, this difference becomes significantly among soils used for forestry and agriculture, more than soils used for IP and the two remaining uses.

The previous finding could be considered to be related to leaching and the loss of interchangeable bases, including interchangeable aluminum (Al³⁺), which is abundant in soils originating in volcanic ash and contributes to the acidification of the soil, above all when its buffer capacity decreases by the reduction in SOC (Bernier & Alfaro, 2006)

The correlation among the SOC and BD (Figure 2), is significantly and negative, denoting with higher BD, decrease the soil carbon content. Given these concerns, consistent with the effect of soil tillage on the destruction of aggregates and the release of organic components, which become more susceptible to attack and the mineralization of microorganisms in the soil or their loss throughout leaching (Unger, 2001).



Figure 2. Relation among bulk density and SOC, Soil Organic Carbon in different soil uses.

The highest concentration of SOC is found in forest soils with an average value of 76.8 +/- 20.2 g C kg soil⁻¹. It should be noted, the management conditions for these forest systems were considered as a referent, and there are no primary forests in the region. However, the average value differs significantly to those for agricultural soils (SA2, SA3, and SA4), with 3.3 +/- 1.9 g C kg soil⁻¹ and those managed under induced pasture (IP1, IPI+SA and IP2) with 54.3 +/- 34.8 g C kg soil⁻¹.

In the case of forest soils, management practices have allowed to favor a controlled felling and preservation of the organic layer which lies over the mineral soil, facilitating the nutrient recycling and preservation of the soil properties, such as high porosity, low bulk density, and slight levels of acidification.

Carbon stocks

In soils under agriculture and induced pasture, a lowest level of C accumulation was measured at 30 cm top soil (expressed in Mg C ha⁻¹), compared to the original condition of forestry use. (Figure 3). The C stored in the forestry, SA and IP soils was 131.42 +/- 14.25, 53.24 +/- 14.54 and 63.63 +/- 9.27 Mg C. ha⁻¹, respectively. While the differences among SA and IP use, were not revealed any significantly difference.



Figure 3. Soil Organic Carbon stocks in different soil uses in the municipality of San José del Rincon, Mexico

The highest level of variability was recorded in agricultural soils, particularly for plot AT4. While this plot is currently subject to intensive management practices with the rotation of corn and potato crops, this management history only dates back 30 years, in contrast to the other agricultural soil plots with more than 100 years.

The foregoing tends to corroborate the assumption the tillage timing is another determining factor in the loss of carbon stocks, and which corresponds to the references consulted in this research. In IP soils, the C stock performs intermediate values compared to those previous soil uses, a finding mainly attributed to the contribution of grass root biomass to the soil, based on the assumption of a greater incorporation of organic material and an increase in the C stocks, once the agricultural soils is converted to IP.

One management alternative proposed for these regions, is the broadening of mixed production systems, not only to increase the carbon reserves in the soil throughout crop rotation and incorporation of perennial vegetation, but also to improve and even increase the long-term capacity of these soils to perform their distinct functions.

While the C content is significantly higher for forest soils, the current management conditions for the purpose of timber extraction do not enable the establishment of a point of reference for the natural condition of the forest, which would be able to indicate whether such management practices influence the accumulation of this component in the soil.

Conclusion

The soil use change from forestry to induced pasture and seasonal agriculture causes alterations in the physical and chemical properties evaluated which is in large part, the quantitative and qualitative changes in the organic material incomes, as well as the initial condition of the soil and the management intensity.

The foregoing suggests the implementation of local soil and vegetation management practices, such as the incorporation of living fences, crop rotation, or soil conservation practices, which can lead to the capture of atmospheric carbon, but also the recovery of some soil properties which facilitates the soil ecological functions, such as carbon capture and water infiltration.

Acknowledgements

To the PROMEP project DSA/103.5/15/7186 for the funds awarded to this research, as well as to the laboratory technicians Urani Bastida López and Ma. Antonieta Reyes Suazo for the laboratory analysis.

References

- Alvarez-Arteaga, G., Krasilnikov, P., & García-Calderón, N. (2012). Vertical distribution and soil organic matter composition in a montane cloud forest, Oaxaca, Mexico. Eur J Forest Res, 131(6), 1643-1651. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10342-012-0643-4
- Batjes, N.H. (1996). Total carbon and nitrogen in the soils of the world. Soil Science, 47, 151-163. http:// dx.doi.org/j.1365-2389.1996.tb01386.x

- Bernier V., R. & Alfaro V., M. (2006). Acidez de los suelos y efectos del encalado, Boletín INIA, 151, 5-45. http://biblioteca.inia.cl/medios/biblioteca/ boletines/NR33824.pdf.
- Canadell, J.G., Quere, C.L., Raupach, M.R., Field, C.B., Buitenhuis, E.T., Ciais, P., ...Marland, G. (2007). Contributions to accelerating athmospheric Co₂ growht from economic activity, carbon intensity and efficiency of natural sinks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*, 104(47),18866-18870. *http://dx.doi.org/10.1073. pnas.0702737104*
- Denman, K.I., Brasseur, G., & Chidthaisong, A. (2007). Couplings between changes in the climate system and biogeochemistry. In: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Eds: S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning et al., Cambridge University Press.
- Guo, L.B., & Gifford, R.M. (2002). Soil carbon stocks and land use change: a meta analysis. *Global Change Biol*, 8(4), 345-360. http://dx.doi. org/10.1046/j.1354-1013.2002.00486.x
- Houghton, R.A. (2004). Effects of Land-Use Change on the Carbon Balance of Terrestrial Ecosystems. Carbon, 153, 85–98. *doi:10.1029/153GM08*
- IPCC. (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). (2000). Land use, land use change and forestry. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, UK. https://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/special-reports/spm/ srl-en.pdf.
- IPCC. (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). (2001). Climate Change 2001. The Scientific Basis (Contribution of Working Group I to the third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press. http://www.grida.no/climate/ipcc_tar/wg1/pdf/ WG1_TAR-Front.pdf.
- Lal, R. (2005). Forest soils and carbon sequestration. Forest Ecol Manag, 220(1-3), 242-258. http:// dx.doi.org/10.101016/j.foreco.2005.08.015

- Lal, R. (2009). Challenges and opportunities in soil organic matter research. Eur J Soil Sci, 60(2),158–169. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.2008.01114.x
- Murty, D., Kirschbaum, M.U.F., Mcmurtrie, R. E., & Mcgilvray, H. (2002). Does conversion of forest to agricultural land change soil carbon and nitrogen? a review of the literature. *Global Change Biol*, 8(2),105–123. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1354-1013.2001.00459.x
- Pulido-Moncada, M., Helwig-Penning, L., Timm, L.C., Gabriels, D., & Cornelis, W. M. (2014). Visual examinations and soil physical and hydraulic properties for assessing soil structural quality of soils with contrasting textures and land uses. *Soil Till Res*, 140, 20–28. *http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. still.2014.02.009*
- Richter, D. & Houghton, R.A. (2011). Gross CO₂ fluxes from land use change: Implications for reducing global emissions and increasing sinks. *Carbon Manage*, 2(1), 41-47. *http://dx.doi.org/10.4155/ cmt.10.43*
- Smith, P., Martino, D., & Cai, Z. (2007). Policy and technological constrains to implementation of greenhouse gas mitigation options in agriculture. *Agric Ecosust Environ*, 118, 6-28. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.agee.2006.06.006
- Unger, P. W. (2001). Total Carbon, Aggregation, Bulk Density, and Penetration Resistance of Cropland and Nearby Grassland Soils. In: L. Rattan (2nd Ed.), Soil Carbon Sequestration and the Greenhouse Effect Soil Science Society of America. pp. 236.
- Van der Werf, G.R., Morton, D.C., & DeFries, R.S. (2009). CO₂ emissions from forest loss. Nat Geosci, 2,737-738. http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ngeo671
- Watson, R.T., Noble, I.R., Bolin, B., Ravindranath, N.H., Verado, D.J., & Dokken, D. J. (2000). Land use, land-use change and forestry: a special report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press. p 388.