



Differential response of photosynthetic activity, leaf nutrient content and yield to long-term drought in cacao clones

Respuesta diferencial de la actividad fotosintética, contenido de nutrientes en las hojas y producción en periodos largos de sequía en clones de cacao

Ramón Jaimez 🔟^{1,2}, Rey Loor 🔟^{2,5}, Francisco Arteaga 🔟^{1,6}, Víctor Márquez 🔟^{1,7}, Wilmer Tezara 🔟^{3,8}.

¹Universidad Técnica de Manabí. Portoviejo, Facultad de Ingenieria Agronómica Ecuador. ²Instituto Nacional de Investigaciones Agropecuarias. Estación Experimental Tropical Pichilingue. Quevedo, Ecuador. ³Universidad Técnica Luis Vargas Torres. Esmeraldas, Ecuador. Universidad Central de Venezuela. Caracas, Venezuela. ⁴ aramon.jaimez@utm.edu.ec, ⁵ rey.loor@iniap.gob.ec, ⁶ javier.arteaga@utm.edu.ec, ⁷ victor.marquez@utm.edu.ec, ⁸ wilmer.tezara@ciens.ucv.ve

6080 https://doi.org/10.15446/acag.v70n3.92252

2021 | 70-3 p 274-284 | ISSN 0120-2812 | e-ISSN 2323-0118 | Rec.: 08-12-2020 Acep.: 03-12-2021

Abstract

Cacao (Theobroma cacao L.) is not frequently cropped in water limited environments, however it is cultivated in several drought-prone regions, where soil water is gradually depleted on an annual cycle. In regions where cacao is subjected to long periods without precipitation, the physiological responses under field conditions may differ between cacao clones. Evaluation of these responses are required to select potential clones that could be used for breeding programs in the context of future climatic scenarios. In order to identify physiological and yield responses to drought of 10 Ecuadorian cacao clones, relative leaf water content (RWC), CO₂ assimilation rate (A), transpiration (E), stomatal conductance (g_c), water use efficiency (WUE), relative quantum yield of photosystems II (Φ_{PSII}), non-photochemical quenching (NPQ), leaf nutrient content (N, P K, Ca, and Mg) and yield were assessed during the dry (DS) and rainy seasons (RS). On average, A and E decreased by 33 and 22 %, respectively under drought, whereas WUE was higher during RS. Clones exhibited an increase in NPQ during DS, suggesting greater energy dissipation. Clones showed differences in macronutrient content and in most cases drought caused a reduction in Ca and Mg content. Two groups were established according to yield: one which maintained higher yields during RS, while the other had higher yields during drought. This offers strategic alternative in order to maintain a relatively high yield throughout the year with combination of clones in the plantations.

Keywords: cacao, chlorophyll fluorescence, photochemical protection, photosynthesis, water deficit.

Resumen

El cacao (Theobroma cacao L.) no se cultiva con frecuencia en ambientes donde existen limitaciones hídricas, sin embargo, se encuentra cultivado en algunas regiones propensas a la sequía, donde el agua del suelo se agota gradualmente en un ciclo anual. En regiones donde el cacao está sujeto a largos períodos sin precipitación, las respuestas fisiológicas en condiciones de campo pueden diferir entre los clones de cacao. Por ello, se requiere la evaluación de estas respuestas para seleccionar clones potenciales que podrían usarse para programas de mejoramiento en el contexto de escenarios climáticos futuros. Con el fin de identificar la respuesta fisiológica y de rendimiento a la sequía de 10 clones de cacao ecuatorianos, se evaluó el contenido relativo de agua foliar (RWC), la tasa de asimilación de CO₂ (A), la transpiración (E), la conductancia estomática (g.), la eficiencia en el uso del agua (WUE), el rendimiento cuántico del fotosistemas II ($\Phi_{\mbox{\tiny PSII}}$), el coeficiente de extinción no fotoquímica (NPQ), el contenido de nutrientes en la hoja (N, P K, Ca y Mg) y la producción durante las estaciones seca y lluviosa. En promedio, A y E disminuyeron en un 33 y 22 %, respectivamente, durante la sequía, mientras que el WUE fue mayor durante la temporada de lluvias. Los clones mostraron un aumento de NPO durante la seguía, lo que sugiere una mayor disipación de energía. Los clones mostraron diferencias en el contenido de macronutrientes y en la mayoría de los casos la sequía provocó una reducción en el contenido de Ca y Mg. Se establecieron dos grupos según rendimiento: uno que mantuvo mayores rendimientos durante la época de lluvias, mientras que el otro tuvo mayores rendimientos durante la sequía. Esto ofrece una alternativa estratégica para mantener un rendimiento relativamente alto durante todo el año con la combinación de clones en las plantaciones.

Palabras claves: cacao, fluorescencia de la clorofila, protección fotoquímica, fotosíntesis, déficit hídrico.

Introduction

The physiological response of plants to water deficit is complex because a wide range of metabolic processes are affected (Lawlor & Tezara, 2009). Drought lead to a series of changes that produce lower CO_2 assimilation rate (A). The decreased diffusion of CO_2 to carboxylation sites due to stomatal closure has been proposed as an important factor in this process (Chaves *et al.*, 2009). Other non-stomatal limitations also lead to a reduction in photosynthesis under water deficit (Tezara *et al.*, 1999). During drought, there are also limitations on plant nutrient uptake from the soil and a consequent decline in nutrient concentrations due to lower transpiration rates (Gessler *et al.*, 2016).

Cacao is a shade-tolerant species found in tropical regions under shade trees or without shade at altitudes between 0 and 500 masl and with an average annual precipitation above 1500 mm. Despite these precipitation levels, cacao is subjected to periods of drought that vary in duration and severity between regions (Ofori et al., 2014; Tezara et al., 2020). Long periods of drought can have substantial negative impacts on cacao tree growth, where younger trees are more sensitive than older ones (Moser et al., 2010; Lahive et al., 2018). Cacao responds to drought by closing stomata, causing a reduction in A and transpiration rat; E (Araque et al., 2012; Avila-Lovera et al., 2016). Drought also causes changes and limitations in nutrient uptake, which vary between cacao clones (Santos et al., 2014). However, the effects of irrigation or drought on cacao yield remain unclear (Carr & Loockwood, 2011).

Over the last 15 years, cacao plantations in Ecuador have experienced reduced levels of shade and this condition can rapidly affect plant metabolic processes during drought periods. However, the weather is mostly overcast during dry seasons (DS), leading to lower air evaporative demands and fewer high light hours, which determines a slower onset of water deficit. Ecuadorian clones have shown, on average, an increase in A and acclimation of some physiological and morphological traits with increasing photosynthetic photon flux density (Tezara *et al.*, 2015; Jaimez *et al.*, 2018; Suárez *et al.*, 2018).

In Ecuador the frequency of high temperatures has increased in the 1951-2010 period. Furthermore, despite an increased frequency in extreme rainfall events in some regions of Ecuador, there has been a consistent increase in the number of consecutive days without rainfall (Donat *et al.*, 2013). Physiological responses after 150 days of drought are unknown in cacao and will be important to know differences of tolerance to drought between clones to use in breeding programs. To address these concerns, we examined seasonal changes in water status, gas exchange, photochemical activity, leaf macronutrient concentrations and yield in 10 clones of Ecuadorian

cacao in order to gain knowledge of the effects of drought on physiological traits and their relationship with the cacao yield. We expect a negative effect of drought on physiological performances and yield.

Materials and methods

Experimental design and climate conditions. Measurements were carried out in an established seven-year-old cacao plantation in Quevedo, Ecuador (1º 4' 33" S, 79º 29' 15" W) at an altitude of 110 masl. The plantation includes 30 clones, which were previously propagated by grafting onto seedlings of the 'IMC 67' rootstock, obtained from open-pollinated seeds. The plantation was a randomized complete block design with two blocks, and each block consisted of 30 rows of 5 plants planted at 3 m, for a total of 10 plants per clone (see Jaimez et al., 2018). For this study, only eight Nacional clones still under evaluation to be released coded by the Cacao and Coffee Breeding Program of National Institute of Agricultural Research (INIAP) as: T1, T8, T12, T14, T17, T23, T24, and T28, were selected based on higher yield and disease tolerance to frosty pod rot (caused by Moniliophthora roreri). The clones come from crosses of CCN 51 with Nacional clones selected on characteristics of yield, organoleptic quality or tolerance to diseases (Table 1). Two clones (EET 103 and CCN 51) were also included. EET 103 is a commercial Nacional clone planted in various regions of the country and CCN⁵¹ is a high yield Forastero clone.

During the plantation period (from August 2008 to July 2015), average air temperature and relative humidity were 24° C and 82 %, respectively. Maximum water vapour pressure deficit (VPD) fluctuated between 1.3 and 1.9 KPa from 13:30 h to 16:30 h. Mean annual precipitation was 2210 mm, characterized by two marked seasons: a rainy season (RS) from November to May, with a total rainfall

 Table 1. List of 10 clones of Ecuadorian clones evaluated and their genetic background (parental cross)

0 1		
Clone	Parental cross	
T1	CCN 51 x EET-233 (EETP-800)*	
Т8	CCN 51 x EET-233 (EETP-801)*	
T12	CCN 51 x EET-450	
T14	CCN 51 x EET-450	
T17	CCN 51 x EET-462	
T23	CCN 51 x EET-534	
T24	CCN 51 x EET-534	
T28	CCN 51 x CCAT 21-19	
T29	EET 103	
Т30	CCN 51	

T1 and T8 have recently been released to farmers and are known as EETP-800 and EETP-801.

average of 2124 mm; and a DS, from June to November, with 86 mm (National Institute of Meteorology and Hydrology, Pichilingue weather station). The soil was a volcanic andisol, characterized by 32 %, 54 %, and 14 % sand, silt, and clay, respectively and pH was 5.8. Cacao plants received a yearly fertilization of 200 g plant⁻¹ of commercial formula NPK (10-30-10) and 100 g of urea, to guarantee well physiological and productive performance.

Soil water capacity and relative leaf water content. Evaluations took place under field conditions in the DS (at 130 and 133 days without precipitation; 21 and 23 October 2015) and RS (3 and 5 February 2016). Under laboratory conditions, the water retention capacity of the soil was determined at -33 (field capacity), -456 and -1500 KPa (wilting point). Soil water content (SWC), during physiological measurements was determined by the gravimetric method in three samples at a depth of 0-0.2 and 0.2-0.4 m from the surface. Leaf relative water content (RWC) was determined from leaf discs (six discs per leaf, one leaf per plant, four leaf per clone; n = 4) for which the fresh mass (FM) was measured. Samples were then hydrated in distilled water for 5 h for measurement of turgid mass (TM) and then placed in an oven (65 °C) for 40 h to determine dry mass (DM). RWC was calculated as FM-DM/TM-DM.

Gas exchange. Measurements of instantaneous: CO. assimilation rate (A), transpiration rate (E), stomatal conductance (g), and water use efficiency (WUE) were performed in fully expanded leaves using a portable infrared gas analyser (CIRAS 2, PP Systems, Hitchin, UK) connected to an assimilation chamber (PP Systems) and a LED light unit. The WUE was calculated as A/E. Measurements were made at 1000 \pm 4 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ of photosynthetic photon flux density (PFD), an external CO₂ concentration of 400 \pm 10 μ mol mol⁻¹, leaf temperature of 28 \pm 1°C and a Vapour pressure deficit (VPD) of 1.6 ± 0.3 KPa. These measurements were made on two days of each season on the third intact mature, fully expanded leaf belonging to a mature branch of six plants (n =6) per clone (three per block) selected at random. Measurements were carried out between 10:00 h and 12:00 h to ensure high g (Jaimez et al., 2018) and therefore the potential for the highest values of A to be achieved.

Photochemical variables. Chlorophyll fluorescence measurements were made using a portable fluorimeter (PAM 2100, Heinz Walz GmbH, Germany). Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured simultaneously on the same leaf where gas exchange was evaluated. Fluorescence parameters were evaluated using six plants (n = 6) per clone under the same PFD used during leaf gas exchange measurements, i.e. (1000 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹). Calculated photochemical variables were: relative quantum yield of photosystem II (Φ_{PSII}) was calculated as $\Phi_{PSII} = (F'_m - F_s)/F_m$, where F'_m and F_s are the maximum

and steady state fluorescence in light-adapted leaves, respectively. Non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) was calculated as NPQ = F_v -(F'_v/F_v), where F'_v is the maximum variable fluorescence in any light adapted state and F_v is the maximum variable fluorescence when all non-photochemical process are minimum, i.e. dark-adapted leaves.

Leaf macronutrient determination. For each measurement period, three samples of mature leaves from three plants (n = 3) of each clone were harvested and dried at 70 ° C for N, P, K, Ca and Mg determinations. Kjeldahl method was used for N, while for P, K, Ca, and Mg was carried out through the mineralization of the samples by wet digestion with nitric-perchloric mixture. Phosphorus content was quantified by colorimetry and the determination of K, Ca, and Mg was made by atomic absorption spectrophotometry. Results are expressed as percentages of dry matter.

Yield data. Yield was measured in ten cacao tree (n = 10) per each clone and cacao bean fresh weight per plant was registered during years 2012, 2013, and 2014. Total annual rainfall for years 2012, 2013, and 2014 were 3304, 2070, and 1592 mm, respectively.

Statistical analysis. All variables were analysed in a factorial design with 10 clones and two different soil moisture values (dry and rainy season) with six replicates. ANOVA was performed at a significance of $p \le 0.05$. Differences between mean parameters among clones for each season were determined using Tukey test ($p \le 0.05$) in SPSS (version 23, IBM USA). Relationships between content of different macronutrients were tested by means of simple regressions. All data are shown as mean \pm standard error.

Results

Soil water content and relative leaf water content. Drought period started in mid-June during the measurement year. Under the dry period in October, soil moisture percentage was between 28 % and 32 % at 0-0.2 m and 0.2-0.4 m in depth, respectively; compared to a RS moisture percentage in February of 42 % and 40 % at 0-0.2 and 0.2-0.4 m, respectively (Table 2). Mean soil moisture percentage obtained at 0.033 and 1.5 MPa in the laboratory were 45.4 % and 21.6 %.

The interaction season × clone for RWC was not significant (p > 0.05), however mean RWC was significantly higher (74.9 ± 0.7 %) in RS than those in DS (68.9 ± 1.1 %). Clones T1, T17, T23, T28, EET 103, and CCN 51 showed significantly higher RWC (71.7-77.3 %) during RS (p < 0.05), while the remaining clones RWC did not change between seasons. The CCN 51 had the lowest RWC (61.5 %) during DS (Table 3). Table 2. Soil water moisture measured

Depth	Soil water moisture (%)				
м	-33 KPa	-456 KPa	-1500 KPa	Dry	Rainy
0-0.2	44.6 ± 0.01	31.1 ± 0.01	21.9 ± 0.01	28.6 ± 1.8	42.4 ± 0.01
0.2-0.4	46.2 ± 0.01	30.0 ± 0.01	21.3 ± 0.01	32.3 ± 4.2	40.5 ± 0.01

Soil water moisture measured at -33, -456, and -1500 KPa determined in laboratory conditions and soil water moisture in the field for dry and rainy seasons. Values represent three replications for laboratory determinations (n = 3) and six for measurements in the field (n = 6). All values are means \pm standard error.

 Table 3. Relative leaf water content (%) of 10 clones of Ecuadorian cacao during the rainy and the dry seasons.

Clone	Sea	son
	Rainy *	Dry **
T1	$74.1 \pm 3.5^{\circ}$	$65.90\pm3.5^{\text{b}}$
Т8	$71.02 \pm 1.4^{\rm a}$	$69.24 \pm 1.4^{\text{a}}$
T12	$72.23 \pm 1.7^{\circ}$	$71.95\pm3.5^{\text{a}}$
T14	$73.39 \pm 1.2^{\rm a}$	$71.76\pm2.1^{\text{a}}$
T17	75.10 ± 1.2^{a}	68.13 ± 3.1^{b}
T23	$77.16 \pm 1.6^{\rm a}$	$69.76\pm3.5^{\text{b}}$
T24	$77.28\pm0.8^{\text{a}}$	$71.12\pm4.0^{\text{b}}$
T28	$76.83 \pm 2.0^{\text{a}}$	$69.54\pm2.6^{\text{b}}$
EET 103	$74.94\pm2.8^{\rm a}$	$63.17\pm2.9^{\text{b}}$
CCN 51	$71.72\pm2.3^{\text{a}}$	61.50 ± 6.0^{b}

*(December-May); **(June-November). Similar letters in the same column are not significant (p < 0.05) according to Tukey's test.

Gas exchange. On average, drought caused a 33 % reduction in A, from 10.3 ± 0.9 to $6.9 \pm 0.7 \mu$ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (p < 0.05). The interaction clone × season for A was significant (p < 0.05). Clones T1, T8, T12, T14, T17, and T28 showed significantly higher A during RS, while clones T23, T24, EET 103, and CCN 51 did not change seasonally. During drought, T23 showed a significant higher A with respect to T12, T14, and T17 (Figure 1 A).

The interaction clone \times season for g was significant (p < 0.05). The T8 showed a significant different g between seasons, and this clone showed the highest g during drought. T12 and T28 showed higher g_s during RS (Figure 1 B). The interaction clone \times season for E was significant (p < 0.05). During drought E mean showed a 22 % of reduction. With the exception of T8, all clones exhibited lower E during drought. Clones T12, T17, and T28 showed significant highest E during RS (Figure 1 C). The interaction clone × season was significant for WUE $(2.3 \pm 0.3 \text{ and } 2.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ mmol mol}^{-1} \text{ during DS and}$ RS, respectively). During RS, T1 showed higher WUE in relation to T23, T24, T28, EET 103, and CCN 51, whereas during drought, T23 exhibited a significant highest WUE (Figure 1 D). Low relationship was found between A and g ($r^2 = 0.10$, p < 0.05). Lower RWC values resulted in lower values of A ($r^2 = 0.30$, p < 0.05, Figure 2).

Chlorophyll fluorescence. Non-significant differences were observed in Φ_{PSII} between seasons (p < 0.05); whereas T1 showed the highest Φ_{PSII} for RS (Figure 1 E). For most clones, there was a significant increase for NPQ under drought (Figure 1 F); the mean NPQ was 0.87 \pm 0.06 during drought which was significantly higher compared to 0.56 \pm 0.04 in RS. A significant negative correlation was found between the NPQ and the RWC ($r^2 = 0.28$, p < 0.05).

Leaf macronutrient content. Leaf macronutrient content was affected by drought and differences were found between clones. The interaction clone \times season for N was significant (p < 0.05). The T17, T28, and ETT 103 clones showed a significant increase in N during drought. There were only significant differences in P between seasons (p < 0.05), where the mean P content value of RS was significantly higher than DS. Phosphorus content was similar in both seasons for all clone. Mean K content during drought was significantly higher than for RS (p < 0.05). Clones T8, T14, T17, T24, T28, and CCN 51 exhibited significantly higher K concentrations during drought ($p \le 0.05$). Calcium content showed significant interaction clone × season (p < 0.05), T17 and T12 clones decreased during drought (p < 0.05). There was a significant linear relationship between Ca and E ($r^2 = 0.52$, p < 0.05). Mean Mg content for RS was significantly higher than under drought (p < 0.05). T1 and CCN 51 exhibited significantly higher values of Mg content during RS than in drought. The rest of the clones showed similar Mg contents between seasons (Table 4). There was a significant linear relationship between leaf Mg content and RWC ($r^2 = 0.54$, p < 0.05, Figure 3).

Yield. Clones showed significant differences in fresh bean yield between seasons (p < 0.05). Yields of T1, T8, and CCN 51 were 33 %, 39 %, and 45 %, respectively, higher under drought; whereas in T17 and T24 yields were 48 % and 36 % higher during RS (Table 5). A low production was observed in clone T28, which showed a small change between seasons (< 9 %). Clones T1, T23, and T24 showed the highest yields; similar to those observed in T8, T12, T14, T17, and CCN 51 (p < 0.05).

All clones had higher yields during RS of the year with the highest rainfall recorded (2012). During 2014, the driest year, all clones decreased yields in RS. Clones T1, T8, and CCN 51 increased their production



 $\bullet \bullet \bullet \bullet$

Figure 1. A, CO₂ assimilation rate; B, stomatal conductance; C, transpiration rate; D, water use efficiency; E, relative quantum yield of PSII; F, non-photochemical quenching coefficient in Ecuadorian cacao clones measured during the dry (open bars), and the rainy (striped-bars) seasons. Different small letters represent significance between clones during DS; different capital letters represent significance between dry and rainy season conditions for the same clone.



Figure 2. Relationships between CO₂ assimilation rate and relative water content in ten Ecuadorian cacao clones, during dry (open circles) and rainy seasons (closed circles). The regression including both seasons all clones is represented by the solid line, ; $r^2 = 0.30$.

in the drought period as the year was drier, while the other clones did not show that trend (Figure 4). No significant correlations were found between yield and physiological traits.

Discussion

Soil moisture in the field after 120 days without rain was close to the value obtained at -456 KPa in the laboratory, indicating an intermediate water availability between field capacity and the wilting point. Rains lasted until June 23 in 2015, which is not usual for the region. Lower SWC may probably be obtained when the rains end in May as it usually occurs. As was expected, drought in the Ecuadorian coast caused a reduction in A and E as a consequence of a decrease in leaf RWC, which varied among the cacao clones studied. Stomatal conductance showed differences among clones; but, no significant Table 4. Leaf macronutrients content (%) of Ecuadorian cacao clones for the rainy and the dry seasons Values are means of three samples ± standard error.

Clone	Season	Ν	Р	К	Ca	Mg
	Rainy	$1.90\pm0.05^{\scriptscriptstyle A}$	0.17 ± 0.01	1.72 ± 0.17	$1.89\pm0.1^{\text{AB}}$	0.39 ± 0.03
11	Dry	1.97 ± 0.14^{ab}	0.11 ± 0.02	2.10 ± 0.17	$1.95\pm0.29^{\text{a}}$	0.31 ± 0.00
то	Rainy	$1.77\pm0.06^{\text{ABC}}$	0.15 ± 0.03	*1.57 ± 0.14	$2.15\pm0.18^{\text{AB}}$	0.41 ± 0.06
18	Dry	1.70 ± 0.02^{bc}	0.11 ± 0.02	2.42 ± 0.19	$2.24\pm0.43^{\text{a}}$	0.39 ± 0.01
T10	Rainy	$1.73 \pm 0.03^{\text{AB}}$	0.14 ± 0.02	1.09 ± 0.05	$*2.65\pm0.12^{\text{A}}$	0.40 ± 0.03
T12	Dry	$2.30\pm0.11^{\text{ab}}$	0.14 ± 0.01	2.11 ± 0.14	$1.77\pm0.25^{\text{ab}}$	0.41 ± 0.04
T14	Rainy	$1.83\pm0.06^{\text{AB}}$	0.14 ± 0.02	*1.61 ± 0.07	$1.99\pm0.24^{\text{AB}}$	0.36 ± 0.04
	Dry	$1.75\pm0.08^{\text{bc}}$	0.14 ± 0.02	1.92 ± 0.02	$1.75\pm0.01^{\text{ab}}$	0.35 ± 0.01
T17	Rainy	$*1.70 \pm 0.01^{\text{AB}}$	0.12 ± 0.01	*1.30 ±0.16	$^{*}2.62\pm0.34^{\text{A}}$	0.42 ± 0.04
	Dry	$2.10\pm0.05^{\text{a}}$	0.10 ± 0.00	1.93 ±0.18	1.16 ± 0.29^{b}	0.34 ± 0.02
Clone T1 T8 T12 T14 T17 T23 T24 T28 EET 103 CCN 51	Rainy	$1.63\pm0.06^{\text{AB}}$	0.18 ± 0.01	1.77 ± 0.05	$1.64~\pm~0.10^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.41 ± 0.02
123	Dry	$1.80\pm0.05^{\rm b}$	0.15 ± 0.02	KC 1.72 ± 0.17 1.89 ± 0.17 2.10 ± 0.17 1.95 ± 0.17 1.57 ± 0.14 2.15 ± 0.14 2.42 ± 0.19 2.24 ± 0.19 2.42 ± 0.19 2.24 ± 0.19 1.09 ± 0.05 $*2.65 \pm 0.14$ 2.11 ± 0.14 $1.77 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.07$ 1.92 ± 0.02 $1.75 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.16 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.15 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.17 \pm 0.25 \pm 0.07 \pm 0.164 \pm 0.12 \pm 0.26 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.169 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.169 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.169 \pm 0.10 \pm 0.19 \pm 0.09 \pm 0.28 \pm 0.08 \pm 0.06 \pm 0.03 $	$1.50\pm0.06^{\text{ab}}$	0.36 ± 0.01
T24	Season N Rainy 1.90 ± 0.05 ⁷ Dry 1.97 ± 0.14 ³ Rainy 1.77 ± 0.06 ^{Af} Dry 1.77 ± 0.02 ^b Rainy 1.73 ± 0.02 ^b Rainy 1.73 ± 0.02 ^b Rainy 1.73 ± 0.03 ^A Dry 2.30 ± 0.11 ^a Rainy 1.83 ± 0.06 ^A Dry 1.75 ± 0.08 ^b Rainy 1.63 ± 0.06 ^A Dry 2.10 ± 0.05 ^a Rainy 1.63 ± 0.06 ^A Dry 1.87 ± 0.08 ^a Rainy 1.63 ± 0.06 ^A Dry 1.87 ± 0.08 ^a Rainy 1.63 ± 0.06 ^A Dry 1.87 ± 0.08 ^a Rainy 1.63 ± 0.06 ^A Dry 2.23 ± 0.17 ^a Rainy 1.53 ± 0.08 ^A Dry 1.97 ± 0.03 ^A Dry 1.97 ± 0.03 ^A Dry 1.63 ± 0.03 ^A Dry 1.63 ± 0.03 ^A	$1.57\pm0.12^{\text{AB}}$	0.15 ± 0.05	$*1.39 \pm 0.08$	$2.27 \pm 0.05^{\text{AB}}$	0.45 ± 0.01
124	Dry	$1.87\pm0.08^{\text{ab}}$	0.12 ± 0.08	KCa 1.72 ± 0.17 1.89 ± 0.1^{A} 2.10 ± 0.17 1.95 ± 0.29 $*1.57 \pm 0.14$ 2.15 ± 0.18 2.42 ± 0.19 2.24 ± 0.43 1.09 ± 0.05 $*2.65 \pm 0.12$ 2.11 ± 0.14 1.77 ± 0.25 $*1.61 \pm 0.07$ 1.99 ± 0.24^{A} 1.92 ± 0.02 1.75 ± 0.01 $*1.30 \pm 0.16$ $*2.62 \pm 0.32$ 1.93 ± 0.18 1.16 ± 0.29 1.77 ± 0.05 1.64 ± 0.10 2.13 ± 0.12 1.50 ± 0.06 $*1.39 \pm 0.08$ 2.27 ± 0.05^{A} 1.75 ± 0.07 2.06 ± 0.11 $*1.57 \pm 0.17$ 2.52 ± 0.43 2.55 ± 0.07 1.64 ± 0.13 1.21 ± 0.26 2.30 ± 0.41^{A} 1.96 ± 0.10 1.69 ± 0.27 $*1.49 \pm 0.09$ 2.28 ± 0.08 1.98 ± 0.06 2.03 ± 0.01	$2.06\pm0.11^{\text{a}}$	0.43 ± 0.01
TOO	Rainy	$*1.63 \pm 0.06^{\text{AB}}$	0.14 ± 0.01	*1.57 ± 0.17	$2.52\pm0.43^{\text{A}}$	0.41 ± 0.02
T28	Dry	$2.23\pm0.17^{\text{a}}$	0.13 ± 0.01	2.55 ± 0.07	$1.64\pm0.13^{\text{ab}}$	0.39 ± 0.02
EET 103	Rainy	$1.53 \pm 0.08^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$	0.12 ± 0.01	1.21 ± 0.26	$2.30\pm0.41^{\text{AB}}$	0.35 ± 0.04
	Dry	1.97 ± 0.03°	0.09 ± 0.00	1.96 ± 0.10	$1.69\pm0.27^{\text{ab}}$	0.34 ± 0.03
	Rainy	1.63 ± 0.03 ^B	0.12 ± 0.02	*1.49 ± 0.09	$2.28\pm0.08^{\text{AB}}$	*0.41 ± 0.02
CCN 5 1	Dry	$*1.40 \pm 0.05^{\circ}$	0.09 ± 0.01	1.98 ± 0.06	$2.03 \pm 0.01^{\text{a}}$	0.30 ± 0.01

Capital and small letters represent significance between clones during the rainy and dry season, respectively within column according to Tukey's test ($p \le 0.05$). Asterisk (*) represents a significant difference between dry and rainy seasons for the same clone according to Tukey's t-test ($p \le 0.05$).



Figure 3. Relationships between leaf Mg content and relative leaf water content in ten Ecuadorian cacao clones during dry (open circles) and rainy seasons (closed circles). The regression including both seasons all clones is represented by the solid line, [Mg] = 0.0069 x RWC - 0.1093; r² = 0.52.

reduction in g_s mean was observed between seasons. During DS, NPQ increased in most clones as an effective mechanism to dissipate excess heat to avoid damage to the photosynthetic machinery. Clones showed differences in macronutrient content and drought reduced Ca and Mg content in almost clones. The T1 and T8 clones can be selected for future drought-tolerance breeding programs, since **Table 5.** Annual, rainy and dry season fresh cacao beans yield for Ecuadorian Nacional cacao clones. Mean values of 10 plants for a three-year period \pm standard error.

Clone	Annual yield g plant ⁻¹ year ⁻¹	Yield g plant ⁻¹
-------	---	-----------------------------

		Rainy*	Dry**
T1	$5008\pm530^{\text{a}}$	2009 ± 203^{bc}	$3000\pm605^{\text{a}}$
Т8	4198 ± 536^{ab}	1599 ± 398^{bc}	$2599\pm410^{\text{ab}}$
T12	3611 ± 502^{ab}	2403 ± 263^{ab}	1208 ± 119^{bcd}
T14	$3970\pm469^{\text{ab}}$	$2467 \pm 285^{\text{ab}}$	1503 ± 147^{bc}
T17	3851 ± 472^{ab}	$2595\pm324^{\text{ab}}$	1256 ± 341^{bc}
T23	$5270 \pm 720^{\text{a}}$	$2994\pm489^{\text{a}}$	2296 ± 610^{bc}
T24	$4636\pm470^{\text{a}}$	$2828\pm462^{\text{a}}$	1808 ± 350^{abc}
T28	$1967\pm510^{\rm b}$	1014 ± 326^{c}	953 ± 257^{cd}
EET103	$1599\pm225^{\rm b}$	$1115 \pm 250^{\circ}$	$484\pm204^{\text{d}}$
CCN51	3304 ± 419^{ab}	1183 ± 356^{bc}	2121 ± 203^{bc}

*(December-May); **(June-November). Similar letters in the same column are not significant (p < 0.05) according to Tukey's test.

no significant changes in nutrient content was found during the year and due to its high yield during DS. Drought caused reduction in an annual yield in almost clones, however T1, T8, and CCN 51 showed higher yields during DS.



Figure 4. Bean fresh weight per plant over three years of 10 seven-year-old clones of Ecuadorian cacao measured during dry (striped bars, May thru October) and rainy (open bars, November thru April) seasons. Data of T23 for 2014 was collected but was removed from the analysis due to data inconsistency.

Gas exchange. There were differences in g_s between clones for both seasons, and a decrease in A of 30 % during drought, however the relationship between g_s and A was low ($r^2 = 0.10$). The little variation between seasons found in g_s agrees with that obtained in the same region for the cacao Nacional clones under field conditions (Jaimez *et al.*, 2018)). It seems that, in the same study area, g_s is regulated largely by VPD; spite lower SWC, low VPD due to high humidity (above 65 %) and cloudy days during DS little affect g_s (Jaimez *et al.*, 2018). Maximum VPD are between 1.3 and 1.6 KPa in the region, suggesting that gas exchange in cacao is not limited by stomatal closure. Variations

found in g_s between clones during drought show that there are different levels of sensitivity to water deficit to conserve water and different mechanisms used by cacao clones to tolerate water deficit (Lahive *et al.*, 2018). Indeed, reduced A was correlated to a reduction in the RWC. The RWC obtained for RS were similar to those found under field conditions by Avila- Lovera *et al.* (2016). In cacao seedlings was reported a RWC of 90 % in well watered plants; after 60 days without irrigation, RWC gradually decreased to 50 % (Almeida *et al.*, 2002). Reductions in RWC affects several metabolic processes, such as uncoupling of the photosystems which lead to reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, reduction or inhibition of enzymes and ATP synthesis and an increase in photorespiration (Lawlor & Tezara, 2009; Farooq *et al.*, 2012). This may lead to a reduction in photoassimilates which, depending on its distribution to the different parts of the plant, specific to each clone, could negatively affect yields to a greater or lesser extent.

It seems that non-stomatal factors could have played a major role affecting A under field conditions in the central area of the Ecuadorian coast, especially during drought. Similarly, Tezara et al., (2020) have reported that metabolism was inhibited by drought in Trinitarios and Modern Criollo cacaos during DS. In Ghana, cacao seedlings of several clones showed lower g_c in DS compared to RS (Acheampong et al., 2013). Stomatal closure was also reported during periods of drought in field conditions in Criollo cacao cultivars in Venezuela (Araque et al., 2012; Avila-Lovera et al., 2016; De Almeida et al., 2016; Tezara et al., 2020). However, Avila-Lovera et al. (2016) also found clones with similar g during dry and rainy seasons and that maximum A values did not correspond with the highest g_s values in the clones studied. The lack of a relationship between A and g observed in this study has been reported previously in other cacao cultivars (Baligar et al., 2008).

Maximum A in cacao has been obtained at PFDs of 300-600 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ (Almeida et al., 2014; De Almeida et al., 2018). In Ecuador, in the last twenty-five years, clones have been developed under cloud cover and high relative humidity in the coastal region and few plantations use shade. The exposure of cacao plants to full sun in the overcast coastal Ecuador has led to a greater efficiency of the photosynthetic machinery at PFDs above 400 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, as recently reported by Jaimez et al. (2018) in Ecuadorian cacao clones, also in17 National clones from a selection of hybrids and three Criollos clones at the North of Esmeraldas province, Ecuador (Tezara et al., 2015) and in CCN 51 clone in Colombia (Suárez et al., 2018). The strategy of developing unshaded cacao plantations in the central Ecuadorian coast is apparently a good one, since A would otherwise be lower due to limitations of both PFD and water during drought. This environmental condition is very different from other regions where the dry period is characterized by high radiation and lower relative humidity and temporary or permanent shades are provided by different species according to the locality (Acheanpong et al., 2018; Araque et al., 2012).

An average decrease of 33 % in A obtained in this study in the late dry period should also certainly affect yield in some cacao clones. In contrast, full exposure is not suitable for Criollo cocoa, as high PFD caused photoinhibition of PSII, which may result in lower resource use efficiency and reduced tree lifespan (De Almeida *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, supplementary irrigation should be initiated at least 100 days after the start DS in the region. It is known that cacao yields are strongly affected by lower SWC (Moser *et al.*, 2010), which may be due to its shallow root system that reaches down to 0.8 m deep, and the long drought periods limit water uptake at these depths.

The WUE was higher during RS, in agreement with long-term WUE (higher carbon isotope composition; δ^{13} C) found in Criollo cacao (Avila-Lovera *et al.*, 2016). It seems that water deficit tolerance in cacao was not regulated by the stomatal mechanisms under ambient of high humidity levels. However, T23 displayed a major and significant WUE during drought at high PFDs. This optimization, which involves water loss at critical times of water availability, should be considered in the selection of this clone for breeding programs.

Photochemical variables. Dry season did not affect $\Phi_{_{PSII}}$ in any cacao clones studied, suggesting that drought does not caused damage to photochemical activity. Similar results have been reported in Criollo and Trinitario cacao under field conditions (Araque et al., 2012; De Almeida et al., 2016). In contrast, photochemical activity in 31 Venezuelan cacao clones were reduced during drought, suggesting that metabolism was inhibited (Tezara et al., 2020). In Ecuadorian cacao clones studied, energy thermal dissipation through increased NPO helps to prevent the generation of ROS that result from excess light absorption during drought, as have been reported before (Jaimez et al., 2018, Suárez et al., 2018; De Almeida et al., 2018; Tezara et al., 2020). An increase in NPQ in cacao plant subjected to high PFDs and drought represent an efficient mechanism of photoprotection to avoid photo damage of PSII.

Macronutrient uptake. Leaf macronutrient contents were significantly different between Ecuadorian clones and affected by drought. Similarly, differences in leaf nutrient content in seedlings of cacao clones under controlled and field conditions submitted to water deficit have been reported (Santos et al., 2014; Puentes-Paramo et al., 2016). In our study only three clones showed significant changes in N content between seasons. It is possible that significant increase in leaf N found in T17 and CC N51 during drought may be related to a rapid accumulation of amino acids due to a low rate of protein synthesis (Viets, 1972). In Criollo and Trinitarios cacao, drought in field conditions induced a lower leaf N content (Araque et al., 2012; Avila-Lovera et al., 2016; Tezara et al., 2020). Lower A has been associated with a reduction in leaf N content (Avila-Lovera et al., 2016; Tezara et al., 2020). Leaf P content of the clones studied remained unchanged, which agrees with the report in Criollo cacao cultivars in Venezuela (Araque et al., 2012). Apparently, the uptake of P in cacao is little affected by drought in field conditions; however, the seedlings of some cacao clones have reduced P

contents under drought conditions (Santos *et al.*, 2014). Several Forastero cacao showed a significant increase in P content during drought, while in other clones was unchanged (Almeida *et al.*, 2002). As a consequence, it appears that young cacao plants are more sensitive to drought and therefore experience changes in P uptake which differs among clones. The 4 months period without rain in which clones showed no change in leaf P content suggests that the roots of mature Ecuadorian cacao plants have the capacity to absorb P and its uptake does not depend on the duration of water deficits in the Ecuadorian coastal region.

In spite of lower *E* the long-duration of water deficit induced a significant increase in leaf K content in six clones studied; this trend has been reported before in cacao seedlings (Almeida *et al.* 2002); suggesting that K may play an important role in osmotic adjustments during drought.

Few studies have been carried out on Mg uptake under drought conditions in cacao. In the Ecuadorian cacao clones studied, the gradual dehydration of leaves led to a decrease in Mg which varied among clones. Physiological responses to Mg deficiency are complex for example, N metabolism is affected and the sucrose entry to phloem is interrupted but others processes are not yet known (Guo et al., 2016). In Citrus reticulata has been shown that Mg deficiency lead to a decrease in electron transport which caused a decline in A (Tang et al., 2012). Santos et al. (2014) have proposed that Mg content should also be a criterion for the selection of drought tolerant cacao cultivars since its deficiency leads to lower rates of A, as was found in our study. Even though Ca was lower in drought-stressed plants of different clones, only two clones showed a significant decrease. Several problems could be caused by Ca deficiencies, such as cell and membrane deterioration and consequent loss of turgor (Rouphael *et al.*, 2012), which is necessary to keep stomata open. Gilliham et al. (2011) proposed a model in which movements of Ca influence the flow of water through plants where a dynamic equilibrium can be established or produce oscillations that lead to a balance of the water flow between cells.

Yield. The physiological traits evaluated do not directly influence yield, since not correlation between *A* and yield was found and probably the combination of several traits of them influence yield. Results of cacao bean fresh weight showed that clones could be divided into three groups based on their production during the different seasons: six clones (T12, T14, T17, T23, T24, and EET 103) that maintained higher yields during RS, three clones (T1, T8, and CCN 51) had higher yields under drought conditions and T28 had similar yield in both seasons. T1 and T8 come from the same cross (CCN 51 × EET-233), that likely to lead to clones with higher yield in the dry period. Additionally, the highest-yielding clones in the drought period showed the highest yields in

the year of least precipitation in DS (year 2014). While higher-yielding clones during RS kept yields in the dry period in the year of lower precipitation. The yields of most clones in the rainy period were associated with the total amount of precipitation of each year. For example, in a dry year (around 1550 mm total precipitation) the yields in RS reach 1450 g fresh weight per plant, while in years with total annual precipitation between 2050-3200 mm, the yields in RS reach values between 3100-3500 g of fresh weight per plant. Cacao growth rates and yields have previously been related to the amount and distribution of rainfall (Moser et al., 2010); furthermore, yield has been related to soil water storage capacity. The length of drought periods was variable among years and is one of the main limiting factors that affect the stability of cacao yield (Carr & Lockwood, 2011).

Lahive et al. (2018) highlighted that little is known about the processes affected by water deficit in the early stages of development of cacao pods. In Ecuador, the period of greatest production occurs between October and December. This means that the first stages of pod formation in a large number of farms must occur in the first three months of DS (July-August). However, soil water availability of this period does not strongly limit A. It seems that clones with higher yields during DS showed different strategies for assimilate accumulation. It is probable that pod growth rates are low due to gradual reduction of available soil water; however, it seems that a greater amount of assimilates are allocated to pod growth during DS in an exceptional region of the world where cacao can be cultivated without irrigation for up to 140 days without rain.

The high yields in two Nacional clones (T1 and T8) offers important strategic alternatives because farmers can choose to grow a combination of these new released Nacional clones and thus maintain relatively high yields throughout the year, even in dry years.

Conclusion

In conclusion, in the case of Ecuadorian cacao clones growing in the central coastal regions where overcast and low evaporative demand predominate, g_s shows differences among clones but it was relatively constant during drought, suggested that non-stomatal limitations also lead to a reduction in A during drought. During DS, decrease in RWC produce reduction in A and *E* about 33 and 22 %, and decreases leaf Mg content and it is likely that these changes lead increases in NPQ as a photoprotective mechanism and increases in K that could be related to a greater osmotic adjustment.

The differences in yield between clones are related to the dynamics of pod production in drought and in RS and the total rainfall. The yield of the clones is affected negatively by drought but the level of affectation depends on the intrinsic capacity of distribution of assimilates towards pods and seeds that each clone has.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the Prometeo Project of the Ministry of Higher Education, Science, Technology and Innovation (SENESCYT) of the Republic of Ecuador for its sponsorship and funding in this work, specifically the scholarships for R. Jaimez and W. Tezara. Thanks also to the logistical support provided by the staff of the cacao and coffee program at INIAP Estación Experimental Tropical Pichilingue, Mocache, Provincia de Los Rios, Ecuador.

References

- Acheampong, K., Daymond, A.J., Yeboah, A., & Hadley, P. (2018). Improving field establishment of cacao (Theobroma cacao) through mulching; irrigation and shading. Experimental Agriculture, 55(6), 898-912. https://www.cambridge.org/core/ journals/experimental-agriculture/article/abs/improvingfield-establishment-of-cacao-theobroma-cacao-throughmulching-irrigation-and-shading/6B4EEAE84195B22BA390 67A27C5692F6
- Acheampong, K., Hadley P., & Daymond, A.J. (2013). Photosynthetic activity and early growth of four cacao genotypes as influenced by different shade regimes under west African dry and wet season conditions. *Experimental Agriculture*, 49(1), 31-42. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0014479712001007
- Almeida, A.A., Brito, R.C.T., Aguilar, M.A.G., & Valle, R.R. (2002). Water relation's aspects of Theobroma cacao L. clones. Agrotrópica, 14, 35-44. https://www.researchgate. net/publication/303146788_Water_relations'_aspects_of_ Theobroma_cacao_L_clones
- Almeida, A.A.F., Gomes, F.P., Araujo, R.P., Santos, R.C., & Valle, R.R. (2014). Leaf gas exchange in species of the Theobroma genus. Photosynthetica, 52(1), 16-21. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11099-013-0048-8
- Araque, O., Jaimez, R.E., Tezara, W., Coronel, I., Urich, R., & Espinoza, W. (2012). Comparative photosynthesis; water relations; growth and survival rates in juvenile Criollo cacao cultivars (Theobroma cacao) during dry and wet seasons. Experimental Agriculture, 48(4), 513–522. https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/experimentalagriculture/article/abs/comparative-photosynthesis-waterrelations-growth-and-survival-rates-in-juvenile-criollo-cacaocultivars-theobroma-cacao-during-dry-and-wet-seasons/ DBA8BD139479E43AC1DD4828D992F0F1
- Ávila-Lovera, E., Coronel, I., Jaimez, R., Urich, R., Pereyra, G., Araque, O., Chacón, I., & Tezara, W. (2016). Ecophysiological traits of adult trees of Criollo cocoa cultivars (Theobroma cacao L.) from a germplasm bank in Venezuela. Experimental Agriculture, 52(1), 137-153. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0014479714000593
- Baligar, V.C., Bunce, J.A., Machado, R.C.R., & Elson, M.K. (2008). Photosynthetic photon flux density, carbon dioxide concentration, and vapor pressure deficit effects on

photosynthesis in cacao seedlings. Photosynthetica, 46(2), 216-221. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11099-008-0035-7

- Carr, M.K.V., & Lockwood, G. (2011). The water relations and irrigation requirements of cocoa (Theobroma cacao L.): a review. Experimental Agriculture, 47(4), 653-676 https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0014479711000421
- Chaves, M.M., Flexas, J., & Pinheiro, C. (2009). Photosynthesis under drought and salt stress: regulation mechanism from whole plant to cell. Annals of Botany, 103(4), 551-560. https:// doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcn125
- De Almeida, J., Herrera, A., & Tezara, W. (2018). Phenotypic plasticity to photon flux density of physiological, anatomical and growth traits in a modern Criollo cocoa clone. *Physiologia Plantarum*, 166(3), 821-832. https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.12840
- De Almeida, J., Tezara, W., & Herrera, A. (2016). Physiological responses to drought and experimental water deficit and waterlogging of four clones of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) selected for cultivation in Venezuela. Agricultural Water Management, 171, 80-88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. agwat.2016.03.012
- Donat, M.G., Alexander, L.V., Yang, H., Durre, I., Vose, R., Dunn, R.J.H., Willett, K.M., Aguilar, E., Brunet, M., & Kitching, S. (2013). Updated analyses of temperature and precipitation extreme indices since the beginning of the twentieth century: The HadEX2 dataset. Journal of Geophysical Research Atmospheres, 118(5), 2098-2118. https://doi.org/10.1002/jgrd.50150
- Farooq, M., Hussain, M., Wahid, A., & Siddique, K.H.M. (2012). Drought stress in plants: an overview. In R. Aroca (Ed.), Plant Response to Drought Stress (pp. 1-36). Springer. https://doi. org/10.1007/978-3-642-32653-0_1
- Gessler, A., Schaub, M., & McDowell, N.G. (2016). The role of nutrients in drought-induced tree mortality and recovery. *New Phytologist*, 214(2), 513-520. https://doi.org/10.1111/ nph.14340
- Gilliham, M., Dayod, M., Hocking, B.J., Xu, B., Conn, S.J., Kaiser, B.N., Leigh, R.A., & Tyerman, S.D. (2011). Calcium delivery and storage in plant leaves: exploring the link with water flow. Journal of Experimental Botany, 62(7), 2233-2250. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jxb/err111
- Guo, W., Nazim, H., Liang, Z., & Ang, D. (2016). Magnesium deficiency in plants: An urgent problem. *The Crop Journal*, 4(2), 83-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2015.11.003
- Jaimez, R.E., Amores Puyutaxi, F., Vasco, A., Loor, R.G., Quijano, G., Tarqui, O., Jimenez, J.C., & Tezara, W. (2018). Photosynthetic response to low and high light of cacao growing without shade in an area of low evaporative demand. Acta Biológica Colombiana, 23(1), 95-103. https://doi. org/10.15446/abc.v23n1.64962
- Lahive, F., Hadley, P., & Daymond, A.J. (2018). The impact of elevated CO₂ and water deficit stress on growth and photosynthesis of juvenile cacao (Theobroma cacao L.). Photosynthetica, 56(3), 911-920. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11099-017-0743-y
- Lawlor, D., & Tezara, W. (2009). Causes of decreased photosynthetic rate and metabolic capacity in water-deficient leaf cells: a critical evaluation of mechanisms and integration of processes. Annals of Botany, 103(4), 561-579. https://doi.org/10.1093/aob/mcn244
- Moser, G., Leuschner, C., Herte, L.D., Ischer, D.; Kohler, M., Leitner, D., Michalzik, B., Prihastanti, E., Tjitrosemito, S., & Schwendenmann, L. (2010). Response of cocoa trees (Theobroma cacao) to a 13-month desiccation period in

Sulawesi, Indonesia. Agroforestry Systems, 79, 171-187. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10457-010-9303-1

- Puentes Paramo, Y.J., Goméz Carabalí, A., & Menjivar Flores, J.C. (2016). Influence of the relationship among nutrients on yield of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao L.*) clones. *Acta Agronómica*, 65(2), 176-182. http://dx.doi.org/10.15446/acag.v65n2.47387
- Ofori, A., Konlan, S., Dadzie, M.A., & Amoah, F.M. (2014). Genotypic performance of cocoa (Theobroma cacao L.) during establishment under natural drought stress. Journal of Crop Improvement, 28(6), 804-824. https://doi.org/10.1080/15427 528.2014.947529
- Rouphael, Y., Cardarelli, M., Schwarz, D., Fraken, P., & Colla, G. (2012). Effects of drought on nutrient uptake and assimilation in vegetable crops. In R. Aroca (Ed.), *Plant responses to drought stress* (pp. 171–195). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32653-0 7
- Santos, I.C., Furtado De Almeida, A.A., Anher, D., Da Conceiçao, A.S., Pirovani, C.P., Pires, J.L., Valle, R.R., & Baligar, V.C. (2014). Molecular, physiological and biochemical responses of Theobroma cacao L. genotypes to soil water deficit. Plos One, 9(12), e115746. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0115746
- Suárez Salazar, J.C., Melgarejo, L.M., Cassanoves, F., Di Rienzo, J.A., DaMatta, F.M. & Armas, C. (2018). Photosynthesis limitations in cacao leaves under different agroforestry systems in the Colombia Amazon. Plos One, 13(11), e0206149. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0206149
- Tang, N., Li, Y., & Chen, L.S. (2012). Magnesium deficiencyinduced impairment of photosynthesis in leaves of fruiting *Citrus reticulata* trees accompanied by up-regulation of antioxidant metabolism to avoid photo-oxidative damage. *Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science*, 175(5), 784-793. https://doi.org/10.1002/jpln.201100329

Tezara, W., Pereyra, G., Ávila-Lovera, E., & Herrera, A. (2020). Variability in physiological responses of Venezuelan cacao to drought. Experimental Agriculture, 56(3), 407-421. https:// doi.org/10.1017/S0014479720000058

- Tezara, W., Mitchell, V.J., Driscoll, S.D., & Lawlor, D.W. (1999). Water stress inhibits plant photosynthesis by decreasing coupling factor and ATP. Nature, 401, 914-917. https://doi. org/10.1038/44842
- Tezara, W., De Almeida, J., Valencia, E., Cortes, J.L., & Bolaños, M.J. (2015). Actividad fotoquímica de clones élites de cacao (Theobroma cacao L) ecuatoriano en el norte de la provincia Esmeraldas. Revista Científica Interdisciplinaria Investigación y Saberes, IV(3), 37-52. https://www.researchgate.net/ publication/303459609_ACTIVIDAD_FOTOQUIMICA_DE_ CLONES_ELITES_DE_CACAO_THEOBROMA_CACAO_L_ ECUATORIANO_EN_EL_NORTE_DE_LA_PROVINCIA_ ESMERALDAS_PHOTOCHEMICAL_ACTIVITY_OF_ELITES_ CLONES_OF_ECUATORIAN_CACAO_THEOBROMA_ CACAO_L_AT_NO
- Viets, F.G. (1972). Water deficits and nutrient availability. In T.T. Kozolowski (Ed.), Plant responses and control of water balance (pp. 217-237). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-424153-4.50012-6