# Selection of sowing date and biofertilization as alternatives to improve the yield and profitability of the F68 rice variety

Selección de fecha de siembra y biofertilización como alternativas para mejorar el rendimiento y rentabilidad de la variedad de arroz F68

Yeison Mauricio Quevedo-Amaya<sup>1</sup>, José Isidro Beltrán-Medina<sup>1</sup>, José Álvaro Hoyos-Cartagena<sup>1</sup>, John Edinson Calderón-Carvajal<sup>1</sup>, and Eduardo Barragán-Quijano<sup>1</sup>\*

# ABSTRACT

Multiple factors influence rice yield. Developing management practices that increase crop yield and an efficient use of resources are challenging to modern agriculture. Consequently, the aim of this study was to evaluate biological nitrogen fixation and bacterial phosphorous solubilization (biofertilization) practices with the selection of the sowing date. Three sowing dates (May, July and August) were evaluated when interacting with two mineral nutrition treatments using a randomized complete block design in a split-plot arrangement. Leaf carbon balance, leaf area index, interception and radiation use efficiency, harvest index, dry matter accumulation, nutritional status, and yield were quantified. Results showed that the maximum yield was obtained in the sowing date of August. Additionally, yield increased by 18.92% with the biofertilization treatment, reaching 35.18% of profitability compared to the local production practice. High yields were related to a higher carbon balance during flowering, which was 11.56% and 54.04% higher in August than in July and May, respectively, due to a lower night temperature. In addition, a high efficient use of radiation, which in August was 17.56% and 41.23% higher than in July and May, respectively, contributed to obtain higher yields and this behavior is related to the selection of the sowing date. Likewise, a rapid development of the leaf area index and an optimum foliar nitrogen concentration (>3%) were observed. This allowed for greater efficient use of radiation and is attributed to the activity of nitrogen-fixing and phosphate solubilizing bacteria that also act as plant growth promoters.

**Key words:** *Azotobacter chroococcum*, plant growth promoters, respiration, biological nitrogen fixation, photosynthetically active radiation, night temperature.

# Introduction

Rice is an essential commodity for more than 60% of the world population (Patel *et al.*, 2010). The crop yield is

Received for publication: 21 May, 2019. Accepted for publication: 19 March, 2020

El rendimiento del arroz está influenciado por múltiples factores. Desarrollar prácticas de manejo que aumenten el rendimiento y sean más eficientes en el uso de los recursos es un reto de la agricultura. En consecuencia, el objetivo de este estudio fue evaluar las prácticas fijación biológica de nitrógeno y solubilización de fosforo por bacterias (biofertilización) con la selección de fecha de siembra. Se evaluaron tres fechas de siembra (mayo, julio y agosto) en interacción con dos tratamientos de nutrición mineral empleando un diseño en bloques completos al azar en arreglo de franjas divididas. Se cuantificó el balance de carbono, índice de área foliar, intercepción y uso eficiente de radiación, índice de cosecha, acumulación de masa seca, estado nutricional y rendimiento. Los resultados mostraron que el máximo rendimiento se obtuvo en la fecha de siembra de agosto; adicionalmente, con la biofertilización el rendimiento se incrementó en 18.92%, alcanzando una rentabilidad del 35.18% en comparación con la práctica local de producción. El alto rendimiento se relacionó con un mayor balance de carbono durante la floración, que fue un 11.56 y 54.04% mayor en agosto, comparado con julio y mayo respectivamente, debido a una menor temperatura nocturna. Asimismo, un alto uso eficiente de la radiación que en agosto fue un 17.56 and 41.23% mayor que julio y mayo respectivamente, contribuyó a mayores rendimientos y este comportamiento se relacionó con la selección de la fecha de siembra. Además, se observó un rápido desarrollo del índice de área foliar y de la concentración optima del nitrógeno foliar (>3%). Esto permitió alcanzar un mayor uso eficiente de la radiación y se atribuye a la actividad de las bacterias fijadoras de nitrógeno y solubilizadoras de fosforo que también son promotoras del crecimiento.

**Palabras clave:** *Azotobacter chroococcum*, promotores del crecimiento vegetal, respiración, fijación biológica del nitrógeno, radiación fotosintéticamente activa, temperatura nocturna.

influenced by climatic factors, physical and chemical soil conditions, water management, sowing date, variety, sowing rate, weed control, and fertilization (Yosef Tabar, 2013). Furthermore, climate change is considered a potential

<sup>1</sup> Corporación colombiana de investigación agropecuaria - AGROSAVIA, Centro de investigación Nataima, El Espinal, (Colombia).

\* Corresponding author: ebarragan@agrosavia.co



Doi: 10.15446/agron.colomb.v38n1.79803

RESUMEN

limitation for rice producers from the socio-economic point of view (Delerce *et al.*, 2016). For Colombia, an increase of up to 7°C in temperature and a decrease in precipitation of 10% (IPCC, 2014) could generate crop yield instability between 5% and 29% (Iizumi *et al.*, 2014).

Crop management practices maintain or improve yield in function of the environmental offer through the selection of sowing dates based on the varietal requirements. With this strategy, it is possible to escape abiotic stress during critical growth phases or to ensure maximum solar radiation uptake by the crop (Van Ittersum et al., 2008; Zhu et al., 2013). Studies have been carried out around the world to identify optimal sowing dates for different rice varieties to maximize their yield (Akbar et al., 2010; Khalifa et al., 2014; Osman et al., 2015; Pal et al., 2017). However, in Colombia, there are only two evaluation reports that include this practice (Garcés and Restrepo, 2015; Quevedo et al., 2019). Nonetheless, these were evaluated with other varieties that are no longer available for producers and in localities with different edaphoclimatic characteristics; moreover, the impact of these practices was not evaluated in economic terms.

Currently, the increase in crop productivity is due to an increase in fertilization rates. However, in the context of climate change and with limited resources, the indiscriminate application of fertilizers will be a limiting factor in production (Han et al., 2018). In rice crops, nitrogen fertilization is carried out with high doses generating a low efficiency in the use of nitrogen and further pollution problems (Tilman, 2001; Ju et al., 2015). Regarding phosphorus, this element is not available for the plant because it is easily fixed, i.e., through adsorption or precipitation (Roberts and Johnston, 2015). Considering the above and that the majority of the agricultural soils worldwide are deficient in phosphorus (Velázquez et al., 2017), producers usually apply high amounts of this element, generating eutrophication, contamination with heavy metals, and depletion of the resource (Krüger and Adam, 2017).

Based on this problem, several practices have been developed using biological nitrogen fixation processes to increase the availability of the element for the plant (Reinhold and Hurek, 2011). These practices are implemented in addition to the use of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria that transforms the insoluble phosphorus into assimilable forms for the plants (Lavakush *et al.*, 2014). Some of these microorganisms act as plant growth promoters by releasing phytohormones such as auxins, gibberellins, and cytokinins (Castanheira *et al.*, 2014; Shabanamol *et al.*, 2018). This practice is considered eco-friendly and effective to reduce crop fertilization costs (Hallmann et al., 1997), and has also been evaluated with promising results because it increases yield and reduces the fertilization with phosphorus up to 40% (Roger and Ladha, 1992; Lavakush et al., 2014). The use of Azospirillum brasilense and Pseudomonas fluorescens in rice crops increase aerial biomass production, harvest index, and grain yield. This behavior was attribuited to their performance as plant growth promoters (García de Salamone et al., 2012). In Colombia, some products act as nitrogen fixers and phosphorus solubilizers in rice crops. However, there is only one report in Colombia of the effect of Azotobacter chroococcum Beijerinck acting as nitrogen fixating bacteria and plant growth promoter on cotton crops (Romero et al., 2017). However, the effect of this practice at the agronomic and physiological level is not known for rice crops in addition to the financial benefits with its application. Therefore, it was necessary to evaluate some of the products used by rice producers in the country such as the biofertilizer Monibac<sup>®</sup> based on the nitrogen fixating bacteria A. chroococcum and a biofertilizer under development based on Rhizobium pusense, which operates as a phosphorus solubilizer and plant growth promoter.

Considering the previously mentioned state of the art and that currently there is no knowledge of the effect of the integration of practices, such as the selection of sowing date and biofertilization on rice crops, at the agronomic, physiological and financial levels, our hypothesis is as follows: the selection of the optimal sowing date with the implementation of a biofertilization practice increases the yield and profitability of rice crop. Accordingly, the aim of this study was to evaluate the agronomic, physiological, and financial behavior of variety Fedearroz 68 at three sowing dates with and without biofertilization.

# **Materials and methods**

# Study site and plant material

This research was carried out in the municipality of El Espinal, located in the center of the province of Tolima, Colombia, during the year 2017. The experimental site was the Nataima research center of AGROSAVIA georeferenced with coordinates 4°11'28.7" N and 74°57'39.2" W. The soil of the area has a clay-loam texture and is of an alluvial origin. It belongs to the order inceptisol.

The plant material used was the variety Fedearroz 68 that was selected because it is one of the most cultivated varieties in this agro-ecological zone and for its high yield and precocity. The climatic variables were monitored with a Davis Vantage Pro 2 meteorological station (Davis Instruments, San Francisco, USA).

### **Experimental design**

The study employed a randomized complete block design in a split-plot arrangement. The main plot corresponded to the three sowing dates (SD): May 26, July 7, and August 8, 2017. The subplot corresponded to two mineral nutrition treatments (biofertilization and local production practice). Each treatment was comprised of the SD x nutrition treatment interaction with three replicates, each with an area of 1600 m<sup>2</sup>.

#### Application of treatments

Two mineral nutrition treatments were assessed in this study. The first was a local production practice that included the application of chemically synthetized fertilizers in the following rates usually applied by the local producers: 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of nitrogen, 80 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of  $P_2O_5$ , and 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K<sub>2</sub>O. The second is the biofertilization treatment that included the 100% chemical synthesis fertilization applied by the local producers, plus the inoculation with nitrogenfixing bacteria (A. chroococcum strain AC1 and AC10) at a concentration 1x<sup>9</sup> colony forming units (CFU) cm<sup>-3</sup>, whose commercial name is Monibac<sup>®</sup> with a dose of 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>. Additionally, phosphorus and zinc solubilizing bacteria (R. pusense strain B02) obtained from the germplasm bank of AGROSAVIA microorganisms at a concentration of 1x<sup>10</sup> CFU cm<sup>-3</sup>. These microorganisms were applied at a dose of 500 g ha<sup>-1</sup>. The bacteria was sprayed to the soil between 21 and 23 d after plant emergence.

#### Agronomic management of the crop

Mechanized planting was carried out in furrows with a sowing rate of 120 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. Additionally, mineral nutrition was divided into four applications administered in the same quantities for both treatments. Agronomic crop management was used at the scale of a commercial crop. Tillage of primary and secondary soil was performed. A mechanized planting by furrows was carried out. Control of weeds using pre-emergent and post-emergent herbicides selective to the crop was also performed: pendimethalin 2500 cm<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>, clomazone 1200 cm<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>, buthaclor 3000 cm<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>, sodium bispiribac 150 cm<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>, cyhalofop 1500 cm<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup>. Pest and disease controls were carried out with chemical synthesis products based on periodic monitoring. At phenological stages 30 and 61 the following active ingredients were applied: lambdacihalotrina + thiamethoxam (insecticide) at a dose of 125 cm<sup>3</sup> ha<sup>-1</sup> and azoxystrobin + diphenoconazole (fungicide) at a dose of 500  $\text{cm}^3$  ha<sup>-1</sup>.

#### Estimation of gas exchange variables

Net photosynthesis was estimated at phenological stage 65 (i.e., complete flowering: anthers visible in most panicles) and evaluated in completely expanded young leaves using an open system portable gas exchange meter LI-6400 XT (Li-Cor, Lincoln, USA). The photosynthetic photon flux density was 1600 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> inside the chamber was 400 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>, and the vapor pressure deficit remained between 1.5 and 1.7 kPa. Data were collected after reaching the steady-state equilibrium (~10 min). Further, the leaf area inside the chamber was measured to correct the data (Quevedo et al., 2019). For the evaluation of respiration and leaf carbon balance (LCB), the methodologies described by Da Silva et al. (2017) were followed, using an open system portable gas exchange meter LI-6400 XT (Li-Cor, Lincoln, USA). The photosynthetic photon flux density was 0 µmol photons  $m^{-2} s^{-1}$ , with a concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> inside the chamber of 400  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>. The plants were previously adapted to darkness for 30 min, and the temperature was adjusted inside the chamber with the maximum night temperature of the previous night to simulate field conditions. With the net photosynthesis and respiration data, Equation 1 was used to calculate LCB.

$$LCB = \frac{Net \ photosynthesis}{Respiration} \tag{1}$$

# Leaf area index (LAI)

From phenological stages 21 (i.e., start of tillering: first visible tillers) up to 99 (i.e., harvest), the leaf area index (LAI) was estimated every 10 d. To perform such task, a ceptometer ACCUPAR LP-80 (Decagon devices, Hopkins, USA) was used. The evaluation was conducted between 11:00 and 13:00 h. The ceptometer was calibrated according to the manufacturer's instructions, and a leaf distribution value of 0.96 was established. The sensor was located at approximately 45 degrees from the direction of the furrow (Fang *et al.*, 2014).

# Interception of photosynthetically active radiation (IPAR), radiation use efficiency (RUE) and harvest index

With the LAI data, third-order logistic regressions were performed, and the daily LAI value was calculated by replacing data in this regression equation. With daily accumulated solar radiation data, daily photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was estimated, which is equivalent to 50% of the global solar radiation (Garcés and Restrepo, 2015). With this data, Equation 2 was applied to calculate the daily intercepted photosynthetically active radiation (IPAR). The extinction coefficient (k) was assumed to be 0.55, and the calculation of the total IPAR of the crop was carried out by adding the daily IPAR.

$$IPAR = PAR \times exp^{(-k \times LAI)}$$
(2)

Further, Equation 3 was used to calculate the radiation use efficiency (RUE) using the sum of the total solar radiation and the total dry weight obtained at phenological stage 99, as follows:

$$RUE = \frac{Dry \ weight}{Accumulated \ solar \ radiation}$$
(3)

The harvest index was estimated at phenological stage 99 and the aereal dry matter and the grain weigth were also quantified. Once this information was recorded, the harvest index was calculated (Zhang *et al.*, 2013).

#### **Concentration of nutrients in tissue**

One hundred g of foliar tissue were collected from the two youngest leaves during phenological stage 65. These samples were analyzed by spectrophotometry in the Soil and Water Laboratory of the Tibaitata research center (AGROSAVIA), where the nitrogen and phosphorus contents were estimated in percentage, in addition to zinc in mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

### Yield

At phenological stage 99, four samples of 1 m<sup>2</sup> were collected per experimental unit (Garcés and Restrepo, 2015). From these samples, the whole grains were weighed and the green paddy yield was calculated in kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, and adjusted to a grain moisture content of 22% (Quevedo *et al.*, 2019).

# **Financial analysis**

Financial analysis exercises of SD and nutrition practices as well as their interaction were carried out. In this analysis, cost structures were elaborated where the direct and indirect costs were included. The green paddy rice sale price in US dollars was set at US\$0.960 kg<sup>-1</sup>. With this information, the total utility, profitability, monthly profitability, unit production cost, and unit utility were calculated.

# Data analysis

Data analysis was performed using general and mixed linear models considering SD and nutrition as a fixed effect, and the block as a random factor. These models were selected according to the Akaike and Bayesian information criteria. The mean comparison test used was Di Rienzo, Guzmán and Casanoves (DGC). The results show that only the factor or interaction had statistical significance ( $P \le 0.05$ ). Linear and quadratic regression analyses were

also performed among the variables LCB, nitrogen content, and yield. The selection of these variables that are highly related to yield was performed using Pearson's correlation coefficient and its *P*-value. The analyzes described were carried out with the software RStudio version 3.5.1 (RStudio Inc, Boston, USA).

# Results

#### **Environmental offer**

Table 1 shows the diurnal and nocturnal temperature, and accumulted solar radiation conditions to which the rice plants were subjected. It is noteworthy that the maximum night temperature was lower in the August SD (26.18°C) because it was 0.98°C and 1.24°C below the SD conditions of May and July, respectively. The accumulated solar radiation at the fruit development stage (71-79) was higher for the August SD (4,307.08 Cal cm<sup>-2</sup>/d) than in July (3,877.34 Cal cm<sup>-2</sup>/d) and May (4,156.56 Cal cm<sup>-2</sup>/d).

# **Crop yield**

Crop yield values showed significant differences for SD factors and nutrition treatments; however, the interaction of these factors did not show significant differences. The SD of August showed the highest yield (8,744.43 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  $\pm$  259.24) being 10.22% and 33.43% higher than in July and May, respectively (Fig. 1A). Regarding the nutrition treatments, the biofertilization treatment obtained the highest yield (8,251.88 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  $\pm$  178.14), which is 18.92% higher compared to the local production treatment (Fig. 1B).

# Dry matter accumulation and harvest index

The dry matter accumulation showed significant differences for SD. The effect of nutrition treatments was not significative. The higher dry matter was for the August SD (4051.95 g m<sup>-2</sup> ± 168.31) and the lower dry matter was for the May SD (2830.59 g m<sup>-2</sup> ± 113.16) (Fig. 2A). The harvest index showed significative differences among SD. The August (0.52 ± 0.01) and July (0.53 ± 0.01) SD were equal to a significant level, whereas the May SD showed the lowest harvest index (0.47 ± 0.01) (Fig. 2B).

# Radiation use efficiency (RUE)

The RUE showed significant differences for SD factors and nutrition treatments, but not for the interaction of these factors. The SD of August showed the highest RUE (1.31 mg  $MJ^{-1} \pm 0.03$ ), namely, 17.56 and 41.23% higher than the values obtained in July and May, respectively (Fig. 3A). For the nutrition treatments factor, the biofertilization treatment obtained higher RUE values (1.13 mg  $MJ^{-1} \pm 0.02$ ) which are 14.16% higher than those obtained with the local production practices treatment (Fig. 3B).

TABLE 1. Temperature conditions between 70 and 100 days after the emergence of the rice plants established on three different sowing dates.

Sowing date	Phenological state*	Days after emergence	Maximum day temperature (°C)	Minimum night temperature (°C)	Maximum night temperature (°C)	Accumulated solar radiation (Cal cm <sup>-2</sup> /day
May	51-59	70-80	34.56	23.33	26.79	4,210.01
May	61-69	81-90	35.80	23.88	28.21	4,565.91
May	71-77	91-100	32.97	23.60	26.48	4,156.56
July	51-59	70-80	34.28	24.47	27.43	4,510.17
July	61-69	81-90	34.31	24.24	27.62	3,775.17
July	71-77	91-100	32.90	23.16	27.22	3,877.34
August	51-59	70-80	32.40	23.08	26.49	3,928.64
August	61-69	81-90	33.56	23.17	26.43	4,139.45
August	71-77	91-100	30.97	22.47	25.62	4,307.08

\*indicated phenological state according to the BBCH scale (Lancashire *et al.*, 1991). Beginning of panicle emergence: 51; end of panicle emergence: 59; beginning of flowering: 61; end of flowering: 69; watery ripe: 71; late milk: 77.



**FIGURE 1.** Rice yield obtained at three sowing dates with two nutrition treatments. A) rice yield for three planting dates, and B) rice yield for two nutrition treatments. Error bars correspond to the standard error. Different letters indicate treatments with significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ).



**FIGURE 2.** Dry matter accumulation and harvest index in rice plants at three sowing dates. A) dry matter, and B) harvest index. Error bars correspond to the standard error. Different letters indicate treatments with significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ).



**FIGURE 3.** Radiation use efficiency in rice plants obtained at three sowing dates with two nutrition treatments. A) radiation use efficiency in three sowing dates, and B) radiation use efficiency for two nutrition treatments. Error bars correspond to the standard error. Different letters indicate treatments with significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

#### Leaf carbon balance (LCB)

The LCB showed statistical differences at a significant level only regarding the effect of SD. The SD of August and July are equal at the statistical level (Fig. 4). However, the LCB in the SD of August is 11.56 and 54.04% higher than that of July and May, respectively.



**FIGURE 4.** Leaf carbon balance in rice plants at phenological phase 65 (i.e., complete flowering: anthers visible in most panicles) at three sowing dates. Error bars correspond to the standard error. Different letters indicate treatments with significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

# Leaf area index (LAI) and interception of photosynthetically active radiation (IPAR)

The LAI varied significantly only by the SD factor. The SD of August reached a LAI of 10.38, while in May and July it was lower, reaching values of 7.84 and 5.7, respectively; these two SD are statistically equal (Fig. 5). Figure 6 shows the effect of nutrition treatments on the LAI variable. Figures 6A, 6B and 6C show that although the maximum LAI is very similar in each of the SD, with the biofertilization treatment leaf area development is more accelerated compared to the local production practice treatment.



**FIGURE 5.** Leaf area index of rice plants at phenological stage 65 (i.e., complete flowering: anthers visible in most panicles) at three sowing dates. Error bars correspond to the standard error. Different letters indicate treatments with significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

Regarding the IPAR, Table 2 shows that this variable was lower for the SD of August compared to the ones of May and July, which showed similar behaviors. Interestingly, the biofertilization treatment in the SD of August increased the IPAR by 10.05%.

**TABLE 2.** Interception of photosynthetically active solar radiation (IPAR)

 on three sowing dates under two mineral nutrition treatments.

Nutrition treatment	IPAR (MJ m <sup>-2</sup> )	Effect of biofertilization (%)
Local farm practice	732,740.0	
Biofertilization	735,393.0	0.36
Local farm practice	742,940.4	
Biofertilization	759,110.4	2.18
Local farm practice	634,755.5	
Biofertilization	698,553.7	10.05
	Nutrition treatment Local farm practice Biofertilization Local farm practice Biofertilization Local farm practice Biofertilization	Nutrition treatmentIPAR (MJ m²)Local farm practice732,740.0Biofertilization735,393.0Local farm practice742,940.4Biofertilization759,110.4Local farm practice634,755.5Biofertilization698,553.7

MJ:Megajoule



**FIGURE 6.** Leaf area index for rice plants obtained with two nutrition treatments in three sowing dates (SD). A) May SD, B) July SD, and C) August SD. Error bars correspond to the standard error. Different letters indicate treatments with significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

#### **Nutritional status**

The nutritional status of the rice plants evaluated by the content of nitrogen, phosphorus, and zinc, only showed significant differences for the SD factor. The effect of nutritional treatments was not significant. The nitrogen content was significantly higher in the SD of August,



**FIGURE 7.** Nutritional status of rice plants at phenological stage 65 (i.e., complete flowering: anthers visible in most panicles). A) foliar nitrogen content in rice plants in three sowing dates, B) foliar phosphorus content in rice plants in three sowing dates, and C) foliar zinc content in rice plants in three sowing dates. Error bars correspond to the standard error. Different letters indicate treatments with significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

while the lowest content was observed in May (Fig. 7A). Regarding the phosphorus content, the SD of July and May are equal at a significant level, but higher compared to August (Fig. 7B). As for the zinc content, the SD of May and July showed equal values at a significant level, while in the one of August it was significantly higher compared to the other SD (Fig. 7C).

# Relationship between leaf carbon balance (LCB) and nitrogen concentration with yield

Figure 8 shows the two variables that were most related to yield (LCB and nitrogen concentration). In Figure 8A, a positive linear relationship between LCB and yield is observed. Figure 8B shows a quadratic relationship between nitrogen content and yield, with 3.2% nitrogen at the leaf level observed at the inflection point.

# Financial analysis of the treatments assessed

The financial analysis showed that the economic benefit was affected by the effect of the agronomic management practices (treatments) evaluated. Table 3 shows the financial analysis for the SD x nutrition treatment interaction. This interaction showed that the SD of August with the biofertilization practice showed the highest profit (US\$800.73), in which 35.18% profitability was reached; this contrasts with the local production practice that showed 50% lower profitability for this SD. On the other hand, the May SD was highlighted for not obtaining any financial profit, for this reason, negative profitability was found for each of the nutrition treatments, reaching 1.63% and 19.47% for the biofertilization and local production practices, respectively. This allows observing that the exercise of producing rice in this SD is completely unviable in terms of economic efficiency for the evaluated variety (Fedearroz 68). Proof of this is the high production cost per kg of paddy rice produced, which is higher than US¢9 for the biofertilization practice, and US¢12 for the local production practice compared to the SD of the month of August.

Additionally, the July SD must be highlighted as it showed a negative return of 3.34% with the local production practice. However, this contrasts with what was obtained with the biofertilization practice that yields a positive return of 20.35%, that is, a net profit of US\$483.63. This is mainly



**FIGURE 8.** Correlation of yield with two variables evaluated at phenological stage 65 (i.e., complete flowering: anthers visible in most panicles). A) correlation of yield with leaf carbon balance, and B) correlation of yield with foliar nitrogen content. Dots correspond to the original data. Solid lines refer to the linear and quadratic regressions.

Sowing date	Nutrition treatment	Total sales (\$)*	Total costs (\$)*	Accumulated profit (\$)*	Profitability (%)	Monthly profitability (%)	Unit cost (kg)	Unit profit (kg)
May	Biofertilization	2104.14	2139.10	-34.96	-1.63	-0.33	0.33	-0.01
May	Local farm practice	1681.14	2087.64	-406.49	-19.47	-3.89	0.40	-0.08
July	Biofertilization	2871.63	2388.00	483.63	20.25	4.05	0.27	0.05
July	Local farm practice	2236.16	2313.36	-77.21	-3.34	-0.67	0.34	-0.01
August	Biofertilization	3076.70	2275.97	800.73	35.18	7.04	0.24	0.08
August	Local farm practice	2612.04	2219.96	392.09	17.66	3.53	0.28	0.05

TABLE 3. Financial analysis of the interaction between sowing date and mineral nutrition treatment.

\*Values are given in United States dollars (USD) with an average exchange rate for 2017.

due to a lower unit production cost (US $\ddagger$ 27 kg<sup>-1</sup> of rice) which generated US $\ddagger$ 5 of net profit (Tab. 3).

In the analysis of the SD practice as an independent factor (Tab. 4), the SD in May showed a negative level of profitability (-10.55%) compared to the SD in July and August. Notably, in August, a higher profit of US\$1,193 and a 26.42% profitability were obtained.

**TABLE 4.** Results of the accumulated profit and profitability in three sowing dates under two mineral nutrition treatments.

Sowing date	Profit (US\$)*	Profitability (%)	Monthly profit (US\$)*	Monthly profitability (%)
May	-441.46	-10.55	-88.29	-2.11
July	406.43	8.45	81.28	1.691
August	1192.81	26.42	238.56	5.28

\*Values are given in United States dollars (USD) with an average exchange rate of 2017.

Considering the differential result of the yield with the biofertilization practice in the SD evaluated, it was found that it increases a 0.22% in production costs. However, this excess cost allowed achieving 17.93% profitability in the three assessed SD, while the implementation of the local production practice showed a loss of 1.71%. With this exercise, it can be inferred that the implementation of the biofertilization practice allowed achieving US\$1,249.4 in profit, while with the local production practice, losses of US\$91.62 were obtained in the three SD evaluated, as can be seen in Table 5.

**TABLE 5.** Accumulated profit and profitability for two mineral nutrition treatments during three sowing dates.

Nutrition treatment	Profit (US\$)*	Profitability (%)	Monthly profit (US\$)*	Monthly profitability (%)
Biofertilization	1249.4	17.93	249.88	3.58
Local farm practice	-91.62	-1.71	-18.324	-0.34

\*Values are given in United States dollars (USD) with an average exchange rate of 2017.

# Discussion

Rice yield is influenced significantly by the effect of the SD. The highest yield was obtained in the August SD, so the environmental offer in this SD is optimal for the Fedearroz 68 variety. Nonetheless, high RUE values were observed, and this variable is related to the amount of solar radiation, the capacity of the canopy to capture solar radiation and the efficiency of its conversion into phytomass (Parry *et al.*, 2011; Ceotto *et al.*, 2013). In this case, the accumulated solar radiation at the late milk stage in the August SD

(4,307.08 Cal cm<sup>-2</sup>/day) was higher than other SD. The solar radiation collection capacity estimated by the LAI was higher than 10 during the August SD, which is considered the optimal LAI for rice plants to obtain a maximum yield (Mae *et al.*, 2006; Quevedo *et al.*, 2019). However, it is noteworthy that the lowest IPAR was obtained during this SD, which is contradictory to what was found by Garcés and Restrepo (2015) in the municipality of Saldaña (province of Tolima), where they identified that the SD where the highest IPAR value was obtained was the one with the maximum yield (7000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). This may be because the Fedearroz 68 variety in the presence of high radiation suffers a photoinhibition phenomenon.

For the efficiency in the conversion of solar radiation into carbohydrates, the LCB was directly related to the yield. For this reason, in the SD of August, the highest yield and the LCB reached 25  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> /  $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> respiration; this, however, is explained by the lower nighttime temperature that occurred in this SD. The above is favorable for rice plants since this environmental condition decreases their respiration rate (Alvarado et al., 2017). Furthermore, this condition affects the availability of carbohydrates for grain filling, so the magnitude of respiration can increase or reduce yield (Peraudeau et al., 2015). The high night temperature could generate damage of cell membrane by the generation of reactive species of oxygen (Xue et al., 2012). The partitioning to developing sink (grain) was determinated through the harvest index which is affected by the high night temperature (Zhang et al., 2013). In this research, it was observed that the harvest index and dry matter accumulation were higher on the August and July SD, when the night temperature was lower than in the May SD. This is related with the high yield on the August SD and the low yield on the May SD.

Regarding the ability to fix  $CO_2$ , no clear relationship was found between the net photosynthesis evaluated at the leaf level and crop yield, which is contradictory to what Hidayati *et al.* (2016) found. However, it is hypothesized that in the SD of August the photosynthesis at the canopy level was higher than in the other SD, given that only in this SD a nitrogen concentration higher than 3% was reached, which is considered ideal (Ray, 2013). Nonetheless, this can be related to a high concentration of chlorophyll (Lee *et al.*, 2011) and RuBisCO (Imai *et al.*, 2008). In addition to this, authors as Mae *et al.* (2006) consider that the optimal LAI has a value of 10.38, so considering the above mentioned, the photosynthesis at the canopy level may be higher compared to the other SD. However, this hypothesis must be verified in future studies. The nitrogen content was related to yield in a quadratic way; hence, the maximum yield was found with a foliar nitrogen content of 3.3%. However, if the content is higher than this value, the yield shows a decreasing tendency. This can be attributed to the higher availability of the element in the soil solution, which increases vegetative development, and specifically, the development of late tillers that affect the IPAR and the distribution of carbohydrates (Wang *et al.*, 2017).

Regarding the effect of the nutrition treatments on yield, biofertilization was found to increase yield by 18.92%; this was similar to what was found in other studies in which this practice increased yield between 19.8% and 29.32% (Roger and Ladha, 1992; Lavakush et al., 2014). However, no significant changes were observed in the concentration of nitrogen, phosphorus, and zinc in tissue at phenological stage 65 as a result of this practice. This suggested that the biofertilization did not increase the availability in soil of nitrogen, phosphorus and zinc. Despite this, biofertilization affected the growth level because the plants under this treatment developed their LAI more quickly, which allowed increasing IPAR up to 10.05%. This behavior can be attributed to the fact that the phosphate solubilizing bacterium R. pusense strain B02 and A. chroococcum act as plant growth promoters as they produce indole acetic acid that is an auxin (Castanheira et al., 2014; Romero et al., 2017) controlling cell division and foliar expansion processes (Li et al., 2007). Moreover, they have also been found to affect the degree of foliar inclination, which generates a higher leaf area exposed to light (Zhao et al., 2013). For these reasons, it is believed that leaf development and IPAR were higher with the biofertilization treatment. By increasing the uptake of light, the CO<sub>2</sub> fixation capacity increases (Garcés and Restrepo, 2015), so the plant would have greater availability of photoassimilates to fill a higher number of demanding organs, producing the increase in yield that was observed in this study.

The financial analysis of the evaluated practices allowed estanblishing the level of productivity of the local production practice in economic terms. In this practice, low percentages of profitability were obtained, which in many cases generates economic losses. The above was evidenced in two of the three SD evaluated; the SD of May showed a negative return of 10.55% with losses of US\$441.4, while in the SD of July there was a positive profitability of 8.45% and a profit of US\$406.46. The latter differs with the results found by Akbar *et al.* (2010), who evaluated the Super Basmati variety in six SD, estimating a loss of US\$40.47 ha<sup>-1</sup>. Additionally, Bashir et al. (2010) also reported that the July SD cultivated with the KS-282 variety recorded a loss of US\$184.54. In contrast, Osman et al. (2015) stated that this SD shows a higher net profit with US\$746.07 ha<sup>-1</sup> in the semi-arid conditions of Sudan, which reach a yield of 2900 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> with the Nerica 4 variety. A 17.66% profitability was obtained in the August SD with the local production practice, However, with the implementation of the biofertilization practice, the profitability increased up to 35.18%. This practice was also crucial in the July SD, given that it showed a return of 20.25% yielding a cumulative profit of US\$1,284.36 ha<sup>-1</sup>, while with the local production practice, a negative return of -3.34% was obtained. Considering the above, we can conclude that the use of biofertilizers is a viable alternative from the technical and economic points of view, which is similar to what was stated by Mohammadi and Sohrabi (2012), who also reported that the use of biofertilizers plays a key role in soil productivity and sustainability.

# Conclusions

Crop yield and profitability was affected by the management practices evaluated. With the selection of the sowing date, it was possible to maximize the yield of the Fedearroz 68 variety, given by the occurrence of low nocturnal temperatures during flowering and an ideal range of solar radiation. With the biofertilization practice, plant growth was improved allowing a better light interception and, therefore, higher radiation use efficiency.

The selection of the sowing date does not change the production costs but increases the profitability of up to 26.42%. Further, with the biofertilization practice, the production cost increases by 0.22%, while the profitability increases by up to 35.18%. This allowed reducing the production unit cost to US\$0.24 kg<sup>-1</sup>. For this reason, we conclude that these practices are viable from the technical and economic points of view because they increase productivity and economic benefit. However, this should be evaluated in other varieties and agroecological zones, to allow the elaboration of recommendations for a large part of the rice producing areas of Colombia.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria - AGROSAVIA and Ministerio de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural (MADR) who provided the resources to finance this research.

# Literature cited

- Akbar, N., A. Iqbal, H.Z. Khan, M.K. Hanif, and U. Bashir. 2010. Effect of different sowing dates on the yield and yield components of direct seeded fine rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). J. Plant Breed. Crop Sci. 2(10), 312-315.
- Alvarado, O., G. Garces, and H. Restrepo. 2017. The effects of nighttime temperatures on physiological and biochemical traits in rice. Not. Bot. Horti. Agrobot. Cluj-Napoca 45(1), 157-163. Doi: 10.15835/nbha45110627
- Bashir, M.U., N. Akbar, A. Iqbal, and H. Zaman. 2010. Effect of different sowing dates on yield and yield components of direct seeded coarse rice (*Oryza sativa* L). Pak. J. Agri. Sci. 47(4), 361-365.
- Castanheira, N., A. Dourado, P. Alves, A. Cortés, A. Delgado, Â. Prazeres, B. Nuno, C. Sánchez, M. Barreto, and P. Freleira. 2014. Annual ryegrass-associated bacteria with potential for plant growth promotion. Microbiol. Res. 169(9-10), 768-779. Doi: 10.1016/j.micres.2013.12.010
- Ceotto, E., M. Di, F. Castelli, F. Badeck, F. Rizza, C. Soave, A. Volta, G. Villani, and V. Marletto. 2013. Comparing solar radiation interception and use efficiency for the energy crops giant reed (*Arundo donax* L.) and sweet sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor* L. Moench). Field Crops Res. 149, 159-166. Doi: 10.1016/j. fcr.2013.05.002
- Da Silva, J.R., A.E. Patterson, W.P. Rodrigues, E. Campostrini, and K.L. Griffin. 2017. Photosynthetic acclimation to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> combined with partial rootzone drying results in improved water use efficiency, drought tolerance and leaf carbon balance of grapevines (*Vitis labrusca*). Environ. Exp. Bot. 134, 82-95. Doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2016.11.007
- Delerce, S., H. Dorado, A. Grillon, M.C. Rebolledo, S.D. Prager, V.H. Patiño, G. Garcés, and D. Jiménez. 2016. Assessing weatheryield relationships in rice at local scale using data mining approaches. PLOS ONE 11(8), 1-25. Doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0161620
- Fang, H., W. Li, S. Wei, and C. Jiang. 2014. Seasonal variation of leaf area index (LAI) over paddy rice fields in NE China: Intercomparison of destructive sampling, LAI-2200, digital hemispherical photography (DHP), and AccuPAR methods. Agr. Forest. Meteorol. 198-199, 126-141. Doi: 10.1016/j. agrformet.2014.08.005
- Garcés, G. and H. Restrepo. 2015. Growth and yield of rice cultivars sowed on different dates under tropical conditions. Cienc. Investig. Agrar. 42(2), 217-226. Doi: 10.4067/ S0718-16202015000200008
- García de Salamone, I.E., J.M. Funes, L.P. Di Salvo, J.S. Escobar-Ortega, F. D'Auria, L. Ferrando, and A. Fernandez-Scavino. 2012. Inoculation of paddy rice with *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Pseudomonas fluorescens*: Impact of plant genotypes on rhizosphere microbial communities and field crop production. Appl. Soil Ecol. 61, 196-204. Doi: 10.1016/j.apsoil.2011.12.012
- Hallmann, J., A. Quadt, W.F. Mahaffee, and J. Kloepper. 1997. Bacterial endophytes in agricultural crops. Can. J. Microbiol. 43(10), 895-914. Doi: 10.1139/m97-131
- Han, H., C. Building, S. Campus, and S. Bonington. 2018. Appraisal of biofertilizers in rice: To supplement inorganic

chemical fertilizer. Rice Sci. 25(6), 357-362. Doi: 10.1016/j. rsci.2018.10.006

- Hidayati, N., T. Triadiati, and I. Anas. 2016. Photosynthesis and transpiration rates of rice cultivated under the system of sice intensification and the effects on growth and yield. HAYATI J. Biosci. 23(2), 67-72. Doi: 10.1016/j.hjb.2016.06.002
- Iizumi, T., J. Luo, A. Challinor, G. Sakurai, M. Yokozawa, H. Sakuma, M. Brown, and T. Yamagata. 2014. Impacts of El Niño Southern Oscillation on the global yields of major crops. Nat. Commun. 5(3712), 1-7. Doi: 10.1038/ncomms4712
- Imai, K., Y. Suzuki, T. Mae, and A. Makino. 2008. Changes in the synthesis of Rubisco in rice leaves in relation to senescence and N influx. Ann. Bot. 101(1), 135-144. Doi: 10.1093/aob/mcm270
- IPCC Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2014. Cambio Climático 2014: Informe de síntesis. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland.
- Ju, C., R. Buresh, Z. Wang, H. Zhang, L. Liu, J. Yang, and J. Zhang. 2015. Root and shoot traits for rice varieties with higher grain yield and higher nitrogen use efficiency at lower nitrogen rates application. Field Crops Res. 175, 47-55. Doi: 10.1016/j. fcr.2015.02.007
- Khalifa, A., W. Elkhoby, and E. Okasha. 2014. Effect of sowing dates and seed rates on some rice cultivars. Afr. J. Agric. Res. 9(2), 196-201. Doi: 10.5897/ajar08.233
- Krüger, O. and C. Adam. 2017. Phosphorus in recycling fertilizers - analytical challenges. Environ. Res. 155, 353-358. Doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2017.02.034
- Lavakush, J., J. Yadav, J. Verma, D. Jaiswal, and A. Kumar. 2014. Evaluation of PGPR and different concentration of phosphorus level on plant growth, yield and nutrient content of rice (*Oryza sativa* L). Ecol. Eng. 62, 123-128. Doi: 10.1016/j. ecoleng.2013.10.013
- Lee, Y., C. Yang, K. Chang, and Y. Shen. 2011. Effects of nitrogen status on leaf anatomy, chlorophyll content and canopy reflectance of paddy rice. Bot. Stud. 52, 295-303.
- Li, L., D. Kang, Z. Chen, and L. Qu. 2007. Hormonal regulation of leaf morphogenesis in *Arabidopsis*. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 49(1), 75-80. Doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7909.2006.00410.x
- Mae, T., A. Inaba, Y. Kaneta, S. Masaki, M. Sasaki, M. Aizawa, S. Okawa, S. Gasegawa, and A. Makino. 2006. A large-grain rice cultivar, Akita 63, exhibits high yields with high physiological N-use efficiency. Field Crops Res. 97(2-3), 227-237. Doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2005.10.003
- Mohammadi, K. and Y. Sohrabi. 2012. Bacterial biofertilizers for sustainable crop production: a review. ARPN J. Agric. Biol. Sci. 7(5), 307-316.
- Osman, K., A. Mustafa, Y. Elsheikh, E. Idris, and P. Box. 2015. Influence of different sowing dates on growth and yield of direct seeded rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) in semi-arid zone (Sudan). Int. J. Agron. Agric. Res. 6(6), 38-48.
- Pal, R., G. Mahajan, V. Sardana, and B. Chauhan. 2017. Impact of sowing date on yield, dry matter and nitrogen accumulation, and nitrogen translocation in dry-seeded rice in North-West India. Field Crops Res. 206, 138-148. Doi: 10.1016/j. fcr.2017.01.025

- Parry, M., M. Reynolds, M. Salvucci, C. Raines, P. Andralojc, X. Zhu, D. Price, A. Condon, and R.T. Furbank. 2011. Raising yield potential of wheat. II. Increasing photosynthetic capacity and efficiency. J. Exp. Bot. 62(2), 453-467. Doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq304
- Patel, D., A. Das, G. Munda, P. Ghosh, J. Sandhya, and M. Kumar. 2010. Evaluation of yield and physiological attributes of high-yielding rice varieties under aerobic and flood-irrigated management practices in mid-hills ecosystem. Agric. Water Manage. 97(9), 1269-1276. Doi: 10.1016/j.agwat.2010.02.018
- Peraudeau, S., T. Lafarge, S. Roques, C.O. Quiñones, A. Clement, P. Ouwerkerk, J. Van Rie, D. Fabre, K. Jagadish, and M. Dingkuhn. 2015. Effect of carbohydrates and night temperature on night respiration in rice. J. Exp. Bot. 66(13), 3931-3944. Doi: 10.1093/jxb/erv193
- Quevedo, Y., J. Beltrán, and E. Barragán. 2019. Identification of climatic and physiological variables associated with rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) yield under tropical conditions. Rev. Fac. Nac. Agron. Medellín 72(1), 8699-8706. Doi: 10.15446/rfnam.v72n1.72076
- Ray, C. 2013. Reference sufficiency ranges for plant analysis in the southern region of the United States. URL: www.ncagr.gov/ agronomi/saaesd/scsb394.pdf (accessed January 2019).
- Reinhold, B. and T. Hurek. 2011. Living inside plants: bacterial endophytes. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 14(4), 435-443. Doi: 10.1016/j. pbi.2011.04.004
- Roberts, T. and A. Johnston. 2015. Phosphorus use efficiency and management in agriculture. Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 105, 275-281. Doi: 10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.09.013
- Roger, P. and J. Ladha. 1992. Biological N<sub>2</sub> fixation in wetland rice fields: estimation and contribution to nitrogen balance. Plant Soil 141(1-2), 41-55. Doi: 10.1007/bf00011309
- Romero, F., J. Abril, M. Camelo, A. Moreno-Galván, I. Pastrana, D. Rojas-Tapias, and R. Bonilla. 2017. Azotobacter chroococcum as a potentially useful bacterial biofertilizer for cotton (Gossypium hirsutum): Effect in reducing N fertilization. Rev. Argent. Microbiol. 49(4), 377-383. Doi: 10.1016/j.ram.2017.04.006
- Shabanamol, S., K. Divya, T. George, K. Rishad, T. Sreekumar, and M. Jisha. 2018. Characterization and in *planta* nitrogen fixation of plant growth promoting endophytic diazotrophic *Lysinibacillus sphaericus* isolated from rice (*Oryza sativa*). Physiol. Mol. Plant Pathol. 102, 46-54. Doi: 10.1016/j.pmpp.2017.11.003

- Tilman, D. 2001. Diversity and productivity in a long-term grassland experiment. Science 294(5543), 843-845. Doi: 10.1126/ science.1060391
- Van Ittersum, M.K., F. Ewert, T. Heckelei, J. Wery, J. Alkan Olsson, E. Andersen, I. Bezlepkina, F. Brouwer, M. Donatelli, G. Flichman, L. Olsson, A. Rizzoli, T. Van der Wal, E. Wien, and J. Wolf. 2008. Integrated assessment of agricultural systems a component-based framework for the European Union (SEAMLESS). Agr. Syst. 96(1-3), 150-165. Doi: 10.1016/j. agsy.2007.07.009
- Velázquez, M., M. Cabello, L. Elíades, M. Russo, N. Allegrucci, and S. Schalamuk. 2017. Combinación de hongos movilizadores y solubilizadores de fósforo con rocas fosfóricas y materiales volcánicos para la promoción del crecimiento de plantas de lechuga (*Lactuca sativa* L.). Rev. Argent. Microbiol. 49(4), 347-355. Doi: 10.1016/j.ram.2016.07.005
- Wang, Y., J. Lu, T. Ren, S. Hussain, C. Guo, S. Wang, R. Cong, and X. Li. 2017. Effects of nitrogen and tiller type on grain yield and physiological responses in rice. AoB Plants 9(2), 1-14. Doi: 10.1093/aobpla/plx012
- Xue, D.W., H. Jiang, J. Hu, X.Q. Zhang, L.B. Guo, D.L. Zeng, G.J. Dong, G.C. Sung, and Q. Qian. 2012. Characterization of physiological response and identification of associated genes under heat stress in rice seedlings. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 61, 46-53. Doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2012.08.011
- Yosef Tabar, S. 2013. Role of biological nitrogen fixation in rice. Int. J. Geol. Agric. Environ. Sci. 1(1), 9-12.
- Zhang, Y., Q. Tang, S. Peng, Y. Zou, S. Chen, W. Shi, J. Quin, and M.R.C. Laza. 2013. Effects of high night temperature on yield and agronomic traits of irrigated rice under field chamber system condition. Aust. J. Crop Sci. 7(1), 7-13.
- Zhao, S., J. Xiang, and H. Xue. 2013. Studies on the rice leaf inclination1 (LC1), an IAA-amido synthetase, reveal the effects of auxin in leaf inclination control. Mol. Plant 6(1), 174-187. Doi: 10.1093/mp/sss064
- Zhu, L., F. Shah, L. Nie, K. Cui, T. Shah, W. Wu, Y. Chen, C. Chen, K. Wang, Q. Wang, Y. Lian, and J. Huang. 2013. Efficacy of sowing date adjustment as a management strategy to cope with rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) seed quality deterioration due to elevated temperature. Aust. J. Crop Sci. 7(5), 543-549.