# CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes during paddy rice crop development, post-harvest, and fallow

Flujos de CH<sub>4</sub> y N<sub>2</sub>O durante el desarrollo del cultivo de arroz, post-cosecha y barbecho

Magda Aparecida de Lima<sup>1</sup>\*, Rosana Faria Vieira<sup>1</sup>, Alfredo José Barreto Luiz<sup>1</sup>, and José Abrahão Haddad Galvão<sup>1</sup>

# ABSTRACT

Paddy fields are major sources of greenhouse gases, mainly methane ( $CH_4$ ) and nitrous oxide ( $N_2O$ ). Defining the sampling times for determining the average diurnal emission rates is an important step in optimizing field measurement, avoiding the influence of possible peaks. With this purpose, diurnal gas measurements (CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O) were taken using the static chamber method during five 24 h-periods (campaigns), every 2 h, at three rice crop development stages (R2, C1 campaign; R5, C2 campaign, and R8, C3 campaign), and in post-harvest (PH, C4 campaign) and in fallow (FP, C5 campaign) periods. The CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes remained close to the average flux both at C1 (9.4  $\pm$  1.0 mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) and C2 (10.2  $\pm$  1.4 mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>), allowing the gas sampling at any time of the day, except at 5:00 p.m. when a peak was observed at C1. As the CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes for C3, C4, and C5 were close to zero, no average value was identified. The average  $N_2O$  fluxes were low at C1 (1.0 ± 5.7 µg  $N_2O$  m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) and at C4 (6.7  $\pm$  2.6  $\mu g$   $N_2O$  m  $^2$   $h^{\text{-1}}),$  increasing at C2 (26.9  $\pm$  9.3  $\mu g$   $N_2O$  $m^{\text{-2}}\,h^{\text{-1}})$  and C3 (21.2  $\pm$  7.2  $\mu g$   $N_2 O$   $m^{\text{-2}}\,h^{\text{-1}})$  and reaching higher values during the C5 campaign (73.7  $\pm$  33.3  $\mu$ g N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). In general, considering the average flux values recorded in this study, the most appropriate times for sampling N<sub>2</sub>O during the C1, C2, C3, and C4 campaigns would be from 9 p.m. to 1 a.m. and also around 11:00 a.m. Average N2O flows in fallow would be more likely around 11:00 p.m. and 11 a.m.

**Key words:** greenhouse gases, diurnal flux variation, continuous water management.

# Introduction

Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) are the most important greenhouse gases (GHG), exceeding the 100year global warming potential of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) by factors of 28 and 265, respectively (Myhre *et al.*, 2013). Flooded rice soils represent an important source of global CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Shang *et al.*, 2011). Moreover, it is increasingly recognized that rice-based cropping systems can emit substantial amounts of N<sub>2</sub>O (Zou *et al.*, 2009; Wang *et al.*,

Received for publication: January 31, 2023. Accepted for publication: April 28, 2023

\* Corresponding author: magda.lima@embrapa.br



#### RESUMEN

Los arrozales son fuentes importantes de gases de efecto invernadero, principalmente el metano (CH<sub>4</sub>) y el óxido nitroso (N<sub>2</sub>O). Definir los tiempos de muestreo para la determinación de las tasas de emisión diurna promedio es un paso importante en la optimización de la medición en campo ya que evita la influencia de posibles picos. Con este fin se realizaron mediciones diurnas de gases (CH4 y N2O) utilizando el método de cámara estática durante los periodos de muestreo (M) de 24 h, cada 2 h, en tres etapas de desarrollo del cultivo de arroz (R2, M1; R5, M2; y R8, M3), en los periodos post-cosecha (PH, M4) y barbecho (FP, M5). Los flujos de CH<sub>4</sub> permanecieron cercanos al flujo promedio en M1 (9.4  $\pm$  1.0 mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) y en M2  $(10.2 \pm 1.4 \text{ mg CH}_4 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1})$ , permitiendo la toma de muestras de gas en cualquier momento del día, excepto a las 5:00 p.m., cuando se observó un pico en M1. Como los flujos de CH<sub>4</sub> para M3, M4 y M5 fueron cercanos a cero, no se identificó un valor promedio. Los flujos de N<sub>2</sub>O fueron bajos en M1 ( $1.0 \pm 5.7 \mu g$  $N_2O m^{-2} h^{-1}$ ) y en M4 (6.7 ± 2.6 µg  $N_2O m^{-2} h^{-1}$ ), aumentando en M2 (26.9  $\pm$  9.3 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) y M3 (21.2  $\pm$  7.2 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup>  $h^{-1}$ ) y alcanzando valores más altos en M5 (73.7 ± 33.3 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>, en promedio). En general, considerando los valores de flujo promedio registrados en este estudio, los momentos más apropiados para el muestreo de N2O en M1, M2, M3 y M4 serían de 9 p.m. a 1 a.m. y también alrededor de las 11:00 a.m. Los flujos promedio de N<sub>2</sub>O en el período de barbecho serían más probables cerca de las 11:00 p.m. y 11:00 a.m.

**Palabras clave:** gases de efecto invernadero, variación diurna del flujo, manejo continuo del agua.

2011), although with a smaller contribution in terms of global warming potential (Linquist *et al.*, 2012).

In Brazil, more than 80% of the rice production comes from wetland areas, where the basic cultivation system is irrigation by flooding (Marrenjo *et al.*, 2016). The anaerobic soil conditions in this type of management lead to  $CH_4$  generation as the final product of the organic matter decomposition by methanogenic microorganisms (Dalal *et al.*, 2008). Nitrous oxide, on the other hand, results from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Embrapa Meio Ambiente, Jaguariúna, São Paulo (Brasil).

denitrification, the primary cause being the higher soil moisture content (Tan *et al.*, 2018). However, the emission of  $N_2O$  from flooded rice may be lower than in other types of ecosystems since under prolonged soil flooding conditions the  $N_2O$  may be reduced to a great extent to  $N_2$  (Zou *et al.*, 2007).

Most of the studies assessing GHG emission rates in flooded rice systems are still based on manual chamber measurements, usually limited by low sampling frequencies, with only one or two measurements per day or week (Sander & Wassmann, 2014). However, previous studies have shown that, in flooded ecosystems,  $CH_4$  fluxes fluctuate regularly at diurnal timescales (Ma *et al.*, 2021). This may lead to overestimated or underestimated quantifications of the  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  fluxes, depending on the time of day and stages of rice growth when the measurements are made. Therefore, knowledge of the diurnal patterns is very important to adequately determine the average gas emission values and to limit uncertainties concerning flux estimates on seasonal scales.

Experiments aiming to evaluate the diurnal variations in CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes have been already carried out during different rice crop growth stages, but there were some inconsistencies regarding the time that best represented the average flux. According to Brye et al. (2017), the optimum time to determine mean CH<sub>4</sub> emissions is generally around late morning to mid-day. Weller et al. (2015) found that the best times to determine the mean diurnal CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were from 7:00-9:00 a.m. or 5:00-7:00 p.m. These authors also showed that the diurnal CH<sub>4</sub> emissions were affected by air, floodwater and soil temperatures. The importance of temperature in CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions has already been demonstrated by other authors (Das & Adya, 2012; Gaihre et al., 2016). Diurnal variations in N<sub>2</sub>O emissions have also been found and may also differ according to the rice growth stages (Wang et al., 2017).

Data on the diurnal variation of greenhouse gases in rice production systems are scarce in Brazil and mostly related to  $CH_4$  fluxes and to specific varieties (Costa *et al.*, 2008; Lima *et al.*, 2018). However, due to the variation that these results may have as a function of the climatic, soil type and handling conditions (Gaihre *et al.*, 2014; Dai *et al.*, 2019), more experiments should be carried out in different rice ecosystems to better interpret possible differences in emission patterns. The  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  fluxes in flooded rice fields were measured to identify diurnal patterns and to develop guidelines for timing flux measurements. In the Southeast region of Brazil, the rice crop is generally cultivated in one season, followed by a fallow period with no other commercial cultivation. The importance of measuring gas emissions in this period has been recognized by many authors (Weller *et al.*, 2016; Maboni *et al.*, 2021). Considering the rice cultivation and associated fallow period as a farming system, the objective of this study was to verify the diurnal  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  flux variations during three stages of the rice development and during the post-harvest and fallow periods, to identify the average flux times. Also, the influence of the air, soil and water temperatures on  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emissions was investigated.

# **Materials and methods**

# Experimental site and field design

The study was carried out in 2018 in a rice paddy field in Pindamonhangaba, São Paulo State, Brazil (22°55' S, 45°30' W). The climate is of the Cwa type, according to the Köppen classification, with an average highest temperature above 22°C and an average lowest temperature below 18°C. The study area has been used for flooded rice cultivation for decades. The soil is classified as Haplic Gleysol (Embrapa, 2013), with 39.4  $\pm$  2.5% of sand, 24.9  $\pm$  2.4% of silt, and 35.6  $\pm$  0.3% of clay, bulk density = 1.3  $\pm$  0.3 g cm<sup>-3</sup>, total porosity = 38.0  $\pm$  1.6%, total carbon = 12.9  $\pm$  0.8 g kg<sup>-1</sup>, and total nitrogen = 1.4  $\pm$  0.1 g kg<sup>-1</sup>.

The experiment was carried out using continuous water management and the IAC-400 rice variety (average cycle = 115 d). The straw had been incorporated into the soil after the previous harvest. On January 12th, 2018, the area was flooded and drained 4 d later and maintained under this condition until sowing was carried out on January 25<sup>th</sup>, 2018. Eight lines were used, each 10.4 m long, with spacing of 0.2 m x 0.3 m. Emergence occurred on January 31<sup>st</sup>, 2018. When the plants reached approximately 8 cm in height, a water blade of 6 cm was established. Due to problems of unequal plant growth, more seedlings were transplanted on February 19th, 2018. The field was then flooded to an average water blade of 15 cm. The area was sprayed with the herbicides Basagran (500 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>), Ally (0.66 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Ricer (40 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) on February 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2018, and with Nominee (30 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>), Ricor (20 ml), Basagran (30 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>), and oil (20 ml ha<sup>-1</sup>) on March 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2018.

Fertilization was carried out twice, on March 5<sup>th</sup> and March 21<sup>st</sup>, 2018. On the first occasion (13 d after the V4 stage), 250 kg of NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> were applied using the NPK formulation 10-10-10 and on the second occasion (one day before the panicle differentiation) the same amount of NPK ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied using the formulation 20-05-20. Urea, simple superphosphate, and potassium chloride were used as sources of N, P, and K, respectively. Flowering occurred on April 17<sup>th</sup>, 2018 (77 d after emergence) and maturation occurred on May 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2018. Drainage was carried out on May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2018, and harvest was carried out on June 4<sup>th</sup>, 2018.

#### Measurement of the CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes

The static closed chamber method was used for gas collection and flux calculation as described by Lima *et al.* (2018). Four chambers were positioned following a transect in an area of 88.8 m<sup>2</sup> containing the transplanted rice. Each measurement period (total of five) was considered as a 24 hcampaign. Gas sampling was carried out every 2 h at 0, 10, 20, and 30 min intervals, starting at 9:00 a.m. and ending at the same time on the next day, except for the C3, which started at 11:00 a.m. and ended at this same time on the next day, due to operational issues. The gas samples were analyzed using a Thermo Scientific chromatograph model Trace 1310, equipped with an automatic injector TriPlus RSH, and FID and ECD detectors.

Methane and nitrous oxide fluxes were calculated from the linear increase in gas concentration inside the chamber during the gas sampling, using the equation:

$$F = \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta t} \frac{PV}{RT} \frac{M}{A}$$

where F represents the flow of  $CH_4$  (µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) and N<sub>2</sub>O (µmol m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), P is the mean atmospheric pressure in the chamber (assumed to be 1 atm), M is the gas molecular mass ( $CH_4 = 16.04$  g mol<sup>-1</sup>, N<sub>2</sub>O = 44.013 g mol<sup>-1</sup>), A is the chamber basal area (m<sup>2</sup>); R is the universal gas constant

 TABLE 1. Dates of the 24 h gas measurement campaign in 2018.

(8.31441 J mol<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>), T is the temperature inside the chamber during degrees Kelvin sampling (K), V is the chamber volume (L), and  $\Delta C/\Delta t$  is the change in gas concentration ( $\Delta C$ ) during the sampling time ( $\Delta t$ ) (mg L<sup>-1</sup>min<sup>-1</sup>). The CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate was converted to mg of CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> and µg of N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>.

The 24 h gas sampling campaigns were carried out for the stages R2, R5, R8, and for the post-harvest and fallow (Tab. 1), defined in this study as C1, C2, C3, C4, and C5, respectively.

#### **Environmental variables**

The hourly data for the minimum and maximum temperatures ( $T_{max}$  and  $T_{min}$ ) were obtained from an automatic weather station located about 6 km away. While sampling the gases, the air temperature ( $T_{air}$ ) inside the chamber was measured and applied in the calculation of the gas fluxes. The maximum and minimum temperatures of the floodwater ( $T_{fw}$ ) and of the soil ( $T_s$ ) at a depth of 5 cm were also registered.

#### Statistical analysis

Measurements of the  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  emissions were carried out using four chambers installed in the rice cultivation area, each of them considered a repetition. The mean standard error for the gas emissions was estimated for each sampling time for the five 24 h-campaigns using the PROC CORR procedure of the SAS program (SAS, 2011). Correlations between the  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  fluxes and environmental parameters were estimated using the Pearson's correlation method ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

# **Results and discussion**

The results related to the temperatures measured during each campaign are shown in Table 2. It did not rain during the measurement days (Fig. 1).

Campaign	Rice growing stage	Date	Floodwater (cm)
C1	R2 – Booting (34 d after sowing)	March 28 - 29	9
C2	R5 – Milking grain (62 d after sowing)	April 25 - 26	12.5
C3	R8 – Mature grain (88 d after sowing)	May 21 - 22	-
C4	Post-harvest (44 d after harvest)	July 18 - 19	-
C5	Fallow (135 d after harvest)	October 17 - 18	-

**TABLE 2.** Maximum ( $T_{max}$ ) and minimum ( $T_{min}$ ) air temperatures, maximum ( $T_{fw max}$ ) and minimum ( $T_{fw min}$ ) floodwater temperatures and maximum ( $T_{s max}$ ) and minimum ( $T_{s min}$ ) soil temperatures at 5 cm depth, during each campaign.

Variables ——	Campaigns				
	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5
T <sub>max</sub>	30.8	27.5	22.0	27.1	28.0
T <sub>min</sub>	21.1	16.6	6.2	9.7	20.7
T <sub>fw_max</sub>	33.7	24.8	-	-	-
T <sub>fw</sub> _min	22.4	20.0	-	-	-
T <sub>s _ max</sub>	30.1	23.5	19.5	26.5	29.2
T <sub>s_min</sub>	24.1	20.9	12.5	14.0	23.3

C1= R2 stage; C2= R5 stage; C3= R8 stage; C4= post-harvest (PH); C5= fallow period (FP).



**FIGURE 1.** Distribution of precipitation throughout the experimental period. The solid arrows represent the campaign dates. Dotted arrows: PF = preliminary flooding, D = final drainage.

The methane fluxes during the C1 campaign were stable and near the average flux value ( $9.4 \pm 1.0 \text{ mg CH}_4 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ) (Fig. 2), except at 5:00 p.m. when a peak was observed with a value of 13.4 mg CH<sub>4</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. This late peak occurred within the range of time reported by Lima *et al.* (2018), between 2:00 p.m. and 5:00 p.m. These results also corroborate those obtained by Ma *et al.* (2021) where the CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes reached a peak at 2:00 – 4:00 p.m. during the reproductive stage. No significant correlations were observed between the CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes and  $T_{max}$ ,  $T_{min}$ ,  $T_{s}$ , and  $T_{fw}$ . These results are contrary to those obtained by other authors (Wassmann *et al.*, 2018; Dai *et al.*, 2019) and could indicate that other factors not evaluated in this study could be affecting the CH<sub>4</sub> emissions.



**FIGURE 2.** Diurnal  $CH_4$  emission rates in the five 24-h campaigns. Bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean. The left Y axis presents the scale of the values for C1 and C2, while the right Y axis presents the scale of the values for C3, C4, and C5. C1=R2 stage; C2=R5 stage; C3=R8 stage; C4=post-harvest (PH); C5=fallow period (FP).

During the C2 campaign the CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes remained near the average value of  $10.2 \pm 1.4 \text{ mg CH}_4 \text{ m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  (Fig. 2) with no clear diurnal pattern. Similarly, Wassmann *et al.* (2018) showed that during wet seasons, the CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes of the reproductive and ripening stages remained within a stable range and no diurnal patterns were observed. According to Gaihre *et al.* (2014), the lack of a distinct diel variation may be due to a smaller change in soil temperature, which at C2 was within the range of 20.9°C and 23.5°C (Tab. 2). In this campaign, as in C1, no correlations were obtained between the CH<sub>4</sub> fluxes and the T<sub>max</sub>, T<sub>min</sub>, T<sub>s</sub>, and T<sub>fw</sub> values.

During the C3 campaign the  $CH_4$  emission rates were very low, although in this campaign the soil was still soaked (Fig. 2). The low temperatures may also have affected the microbiological activity and consequently the  $CH_4$ emissions during the C3 campaign, since temperature is a major factor regulating that activity (Schütz *et al.*, 1990). In addition, on reaching plant maturity, the  $CH_4$  transport capacity decreases due to a probable collapse of the aerenchyma lacunae and resultant blockage of the aerenchyma channels (Aulakh *et al.*, 2000). Furthermore, the carbon supply from plant photosynthates is also reduced at the end of the growing season (Martínez-Eixarch *et al.*, 2018).

In the C4 and C5 campaigns, the  $CH_4$  emissions were also low in all sampling times. Likewise, Maboni *et al.* (2021) reported low  $CH_4$  fluxes, with values around zero, during the fallow period. During the C3, C4, and C5 campaigns, the  $CH_4$  fluxes were not only very low but also stable throughout the 24 h period. In this case, the time of sampling would be irrelevant. The N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were very low during the C1 campaign (Fig. 3), with an average estimated diurnal emission of  $1.0 \pm 5.7 \ \mu g N_2 O m^{-2} h^{-1}$ . In general, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions are negligible in continuously flooded rice. Once an anaerobic condition is installed in the soil, most of the N<sub>2</sub>O produced in the soil is reduced to N<sub>2</sub> (Hou *et al.*, 2000; Liang *et al.*, 2013) and the diffusion of N<sub>2</sub>O is hindered by the water layer (Hua *et al.*, 1997). Higher fluxes were observed during the C2 campaign, with an average of  $26.9 \pm 9.3 \ \mu g N_2 O m^{-2} h^{-1}$ , and values close to this mean were observed at 5 p.m. and from 9 p.m. to 7 a.m. The N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were positively correlated with T<sub>max</sub> and T<sub>min</sub> and with the T<sub>fw</sub> during the C1 and C2 campaigns, but not with T<sub>s</sub> (Tab. 3). A positive correlation between the N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and the water temperature was also obtained by Denmead *et al.* (1979).

During the C3 campaign the N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes varied from a maximum of 33.5  $\pm$  6.9 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> registered at 9:00 a.m. to a minimum of 9.4  $\pm$  2.1 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> at 11:00 a.m. The mean flux, estimated as  $21.2 \pm 7.2 \,\mu g \, N_2 O \, m^{-2} h^{-1}$ , was observed at 7:00 a.m., 1:00 p.m. and 9:00 p.m. A similar result to this diurnal pattern was reported by Wang et al. (2017), who found maximum emission between 9:00 a.m. and 12:00 a.m. during the maturation stage. In this campaign (C3), the mean maximum and minimum temperatures were the lowest registered amongst all the campaigns (14.2°C and 12.1°C, respectively), as well as the soil temperature (mean of 16.3°C), which could have affected the activity of the denitrifying microorganisms, although no correlations were found between the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions and temperature (Tab. 3). The soaked condition of the soil could have compensated the low temperature effect, creating favorable conditions for the occurrence of the fluxes registered. Future studies should test this hypothesis.



**FIGURE 3.** Diurnal N<sub>2</sub>O emission rates at three stages of rice development, at post-harvest and at the fallow period. Bars indicate the standard deviation of the mean. C1=R2 stage; C2=R5 stage; C3=R8 stage; C4=post-harvest (PH); C5=fallow period (FP).

**TABLE 3.** Pearson's correlation coefficients (*r*) between the N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and the maximum and minimum air temperatures ( $T_{max}$ ,  $T_{min}$ ), floodwater temperature ( $T_{fw}$ ), and soil temperature ( $T_{s}$ ).

Campaigns –	T <sub>max</sub>	T <sub>min</sub>	T <sub>fw</sub>	Ts
C1	0.67***	0.59***	0.47***	0.22ns
C2	0.45***	0.44***	0.35**	0.10ns
C3	0.18ns	0.14ns	-	-0.33ns
C4	0.40***	0.33***	-	0.33**
C5	0.50***	0.51***	-	0.32**

C1=R2 stage; C2=R5 stage; C3=R8 stage; C4=post-harvest; C5=fallow period.  $P_{value} \le 0.01$ ,  $P_{value} \le 0.05$ ,  $P_{value} \le 0.10$ , ns - not significant.

During the C4 campaign, the N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were very low, possibly due to the low temperatures and dry soil conditions (Fig. 1). Positive, although low, correlations were obtained between the N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and  $T_{max}$ ,  $T_{min}$  and  $T_s$ , (Tab. 3). There was also a probable reduction in the available forms of nitrogen in the soil after harvest, affecting microbial activity. The average flux rate was estimated at 6.7 ± 2.61 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, with values close to this occurring at 5:00 p.m. (7.2 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), at 9:00 p.m. (7.1 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), 11:00 p.m. (6.8 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>), 1:00 a.m. (6.5 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) and 3:00 a.m. (6.6 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) (Fig. 3).

During the C5 campaign, the average N<sub>2</sub>O flux increased to 73.7  $\pm$  33.3 µg N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup> with the values closest to this being obtained at 11:00 a.m. and at 11:00 p.m. The maximum flux was observed at 1:00 p.m. (119.9  $\mu$ g N<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup>h<sup>-1</sup>) and the minimum at 9:00 a.m. This increase in the  $N_2O$ fluxes was probably due to an increment in organic residues, possibly originating from the decomposition of rice straw, from the humid soil conditions after abundant rain in the previous days and from higher air temperatures, as confirmed by the significant positive correlation between the N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes and the mean maximum and minimum air temperatures (Tab. 3). The presence of C sources associated with high soil moisture is a condition that favors the activity of denitrifying microorganisms and, consequently, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Pérez et al., 2010). Kajiura et al. (2018) showed that  $N_2O$  emissions during fallow periods (7-9 months) could be around 5-fold higher than those occurring during growing seasons.

# Conclusions

Considering the average flux values recorded in this study, the sampling of  $CH_4$  under the specific conditions of the study area and at the observed stages would be possible at any time of the day, except at 5:00 pm, during the Cl

campaign. The most appropriate times for sampling the  $N_2O$  fluxes at the R2, R5 and R8 stages and PH would be from 9:00 p.m. to 1:00 a.m. and around 11:00 a.m. For the fallow period the most appropriate time would be at 11:00 p.m. and at 11:00 a.m. Stages R2 (C1) and R5 (C2) emitted more CH<sub>4</sub>, while higher  $N_2O$  emissions were found in the fallow period (FP) (C5), with median flows at the R5 (C2) and R8 (C3) stages and lower flows at the R2 stage (C1) and at post-harvest (C4).

The results showed the importance of determining the best time for sampling gases, in order to avoid underestimates and overestimates of the mean fluxes. This trial should be repeated including other stages of plant growth to better characterize the diurnal  $CH_4$  and  $N_2O$  flux patterns throughout the growing season. It must be emphasized that these results are specific for the area studied and could be influenced by the type of soil, field management, climate, rice cultivar, and environmental conditions.

## **Acknowledgments**

This research was funded by Embrapa as part of the *ABC Monitor* project of Macroprogram 2.

# **Conflict of interest statement**

The authors declare there are no conflicts of interests regarding the publication of this article.

## Author's contributions

MAL and AJBL designed the experiment, MAL and JAHG carried out the field experiments, AJBL contributed to the data analysis, MAL and RFV wrote the article. All authors reviewed the final version of the manuscript.

# Literature cited

- Aulakh, M. S., Wasmann, R., Rennenberg, H., & Fink, S. (2000). Pattern and amount of aerenchyma relate to variable methane transport capacity of different rice cultivars. *Plant Biology*, 2(2), 182–194. https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2000-9161
- Brye, K. R., Smartt, A. D., & Norman, R. J. (2017). Diurnal methane fluxes as affected by cultivar from direct-seeded, delayed-flood rice production. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 8(9), 957–973. https://doi.org/10.4236/jep.2017.89060
- Costa, F. S., Bayer, C., Lima, M. A., Frighetto, R. T. S., Macedo, V. R. M., & Marcolin, E. (2008). Variação diária da emissão de metano em solo cultivado com arroz irrigado no Sul do Brasil. *Ciência Rural*, 38(7), 2049–2053. https://doi.org/10.1590/ S0103-84782008000700041
- Dai, S., Ju, W., Zhang, Y., He, Q., Song, L., & Li, J. (2019). Variations and drivers of methane fluxes from a rice-wheat rotation agroecosystem in eastern China at seasonal and diurnal scales.

Science of the Total Environment, 690(10), 973–990. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.07.012

- Dalal, R. C., Allen, D. E., Livesley, S. J., & Richards, G. (2008). Magnitude and biophysical regulators of methane emission and consumption in the Australian agricultural, forest, and submerged landscape: a review. *Plant and Soil*, 309(1-2), 43–76. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-007-9446-7
- Das, S., & Adhya, T. K. (2012). Dynamics of methanogenesis and methanotrophy in tropical paddy soils as influenced by elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and temperature interaction. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 47, 36–45. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2011.11.020
- Denmead, O. T., Freney, J. R., & Simpson, J. R. (1979). Nitrous oxide emissions during denitrification in a flooded field. Soil Science Society of America Journal, 43(4), 716–718. https://doi. org/10.2136/sssaj1979.03615995004300040017x
- Embrapa-Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária. (2013). Sistema brasileiro de classificação de solos. Brasília, DF: Embrapa.
- Gaihre, Y. K., Wassmann, R., Tirol-Padre, A., Villegas-Pangga, G., Aquino, E., & Kimballc, B. A. (2014). Seasonal assessment of greenhouse gas emissions from irrigated lowland rice fields under infrared warming. Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment, 184, 88–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2013.11.024
- Gaihre, Y. K., Wassmann, R., Villegas-Pangga, G., Sanabria, J., Aquino, E., Sta. Cruz, P. C., & Paningbatan Jr, E. P. (2016). Effects of increased temperatures and rice straw incorporation on methane and nitrous oxide emissions in a greenhouse experiment with rice. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 67, 868–880. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejss.12389
- Hou, A. X., Chen, G. X., Wang, Z. P., Van Cleemput, O., & Patrick Jr, W. H. (2000). Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from a rice field in relation to soil redox and microbiological processes. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 64(6), 2180–2186. https:// doi.org/10.2136/sssaj2000.6462180x
- Hua, X., Guangxi, X., Cai, Z. C., & Tsuruta, H. (1997). Nitrous oxide emissions from three paddy fields in China. *Nutri*ent Cycling in Agroecosystems, 49(1-3), 23–28. https://doi. org/10.1023/A:1009779514395
- Kajiura, M., Minamikawa, K., Tokida, T., Shirato, Y., & Wagai, R. (2018). Methane and nitrous oxide emissions from paddy fields in Japan: An assessment of controlling factor using an intensive regional data set. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 252*, 51–60. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2017.09.035
- Liang, X. Q., Li, H., Wang, S. X., Ye, Y. S., Ji, Y. J., Tian, G. M., Van Kessel, C., & Linquist, B. A. (2013). Nitrogen management to reduce yield-scaled global warming. *Field Crops Research*, 146, 66–74. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2013.03.002
- Lima, M. A., Pazianotto, R. A. A., Villela, O. V., & Paraiba, L. C. (2018). Diurnal variation of methane emission from a paddy field in Brazilian Southeast. *Ciência Rural*, 48(04), Article e20170054. https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-8478cr20170054
- Linquist, B. A., Adviento-Borbe, M. A., Pittelkow, C. M., Van Kessel, C., & Van Groenigen, K. J. (2012). Fertilizer management practice and greenhouse gas emissions from rice systems: a quantitative review and analysis. *Field Crops Research*, 135, 10–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fcr.2012.06.007

- Ma, L., Liu, B., Cui, Y., & Shi, Y. (2021). Variations and drivers of methane fluxes from double-cropping paddy fields in Southern China at diurnal, seasonal and inter-seasonal timescales. *Water*, *13*(16), Article 2171. https://doi.org/10.3390/w13162171
- Maboni, C., Bremm, T., Aguiar, L. J. G., Scivittaro, W. B., Souza, V. A., Zimermann, H. R., Teichrieb, C. A., Oliveira, P. E. S., Herdies, D. L., Degrazia, G. A., & Roberti, D. R. (2021). The fallow period plays an important role in annual  $CH_4$  emission in a rice paddy in Southern Brazil. *Sustainability*, *13*(20), Article 11336. https://doi.org/10.3390/su132011336
- Marrenjo, G. J., Pádua, E. J., Silva, C. A., Soares, P. C., & Zinn, Y. L. (2016). Impactos do cultivo por longo tempo de arroz inundado em Gleissolos. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 51(08), 967–977. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2016000800009
- Martínez-Eixarch, M., Alcaraz, C., Viñas, M., Noguerol, J., Aranda, J., Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X., Saldaña-De La Vega, J. A., Catalá, M. M., & Ibáñez, C. (2018). Neglecting the fallow season can significantly underestimate annual methane emissions in Mediterranean rice fields. *PLoS ONE*, *13*(8), Article e0202159. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0198081
- Myhre, G., Shindell, D., Bréon, F.-M., Collins, W., Fuglestvedt, J., Huang, J., Koch, D., Lamarque, J.-F., Lee, D., Mendoza, B., Nakajima, T., Robock, A., Stephens, G., Takemura, T., & Zhang, H. (2013). Anthropogenic and natural radiative forcing. In T. F. Stocker, D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S. K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex, & P. M. Midgley (Eds.), *Climate Change 2013: The physical science basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* (pp. 659–740). Cambridge University Press. https://www.ipcc.ch/site/assets/ uploads/2018/02/WG1AR5\_Chapter08\_FINAL.pdf
- Pérez, C. A., Carmona, M. R., Fariña, J. M., & Armesto, J. J. (2010). Effects of nitrate and labile carbon on denitrification of Southern temperate forest soils. *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*, 70(2), 251–258. https://doi.org/10.4067/ S0718-58392010000200008
- Sander, B. O., & Wassmann, R. (2014). Common practices for manual greenhouse gas sampling in rice production: a literature study on sampling modalities of the closed chamber method. *Greenhouse Gas Measurement and Management, 4*(1), 1–13. https:// doi.org/10.1080/20430779.2014.892807
- SAS Institute Inc. (2011). SAS/STAT® 9.3 User's Guide, Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc.
- Schütz, H., Seiler, W., & Conrado, R. (1990). Influence of soil temperature on methane emission from rice paddy fields. *Biogeochemistry*, 11(2), 77–95. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00002060
- Shang, Q. Y., Yang, X. X., Gao, C. M., Wu, P. P., Liu, J. J., Xu, Y. C., Shen, Q. R., Zou, J. W., & Guo, S. W. (2011). Net annual global warming potential and greenhouse gas intensity in Chinese double rice-cropping systems: a 3-year field measurement in long-term fertilizer experiments. *Global Change Biology*, 17(6), 2196–2210. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02374.x
- Tan, X. Z., Shao, D. G., & Gu, W. Q. (2018). Effects of temperature and soil moisture on gross nitrification and denitrification rates in Chinese lowland paddy field soil. *Paddy and Water Environment*, 16, 687–698. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10333-018-0660-0

- Wang, C., Lai, D. Y. F., Sardans, J., Wang, W., Zeng, C., & Peñuelas, J. (2017). Factors related with CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from a paddy field: clues for management implications. *PLoS ONE*, *12*(1), Article e0169254. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0169254
- Wang, J. Y., Jia, J. X., Xiong, Z. Q., Khalil, M, A. K., & Xing, G. X. (2011). Water regime-nitrogen fertilizer-straw incorporation interaction: field study on nitrous oxide emissions from a rice agroecosystem in Nanjing, China. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 141(3–4), 437–446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. agee.2011.04.009
- Wassmann, R., Alberto, M. C., Tirol-Padre, A., Hoang, N. T., Romasanta, R., Centeno, C. A., & Sander, B. O. (2018). Increasing sensitivity of methane emission measurements in rice through deployment of 'closed chambers' at nighttime. *PLoS ONE*, 13(2), Article e0191352. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0191352
- Weller, S., Janz, B., Jörg, L., Kraus, D., Racela, H. S. U., Wassmann, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., & Kiese, R. (2016). Greenhouse gas emissions and global warming potential of traditional and diversified tropical rice rotation systems. *Global Change Biol*ogy, 22, 432–448. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13099
- Weller, S., Kraus, D., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Wassmann, R., & Tirol-Padre, A. (2015). Diurnal patterns of methane emissions from paddy rice fields in the Philippines. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* and Soil Science, 178(5), 755–767. https://doi.org/10.1002/ jpln.201500092
- Zou, J., Huang, Y., Qin, Y., Liu, S., Shen, Q., Pan, G., Lu, Y., & Liu, Q. (2009). Changes in fertilizer-induced direct N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from paddy fields during rice-growing season in China between 1950 and 1990. *Global Change Biology*, 15(1), 229–242. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01775.x