Abstract

Introduction: insufficient levels of vitamin D (VD) have been associated with several non-musculoskeletal diseases. However, whether they are associated with a greater prevalence of metabolic syndrome (MS) is a matter of controversy.

Objective: to determine and compare the frequency of 25-hydroxy vitamin D (25(OH)D) insufficiency and deficiency in young, obese nondiabetic men and normal weight controls, and its correlation with metabolic syndrome.

Material and methods: a cross-sectional study which included 62 normal weight and 47 obese individuals. Serum levels of 25(OH)D were ascertained and anthropometric and biochemical parameters were measured to establish MS criteria.

Results: of the 47 obese subjects, 25 had MS, while none of the normal weight subjects met the criteria. There were no statistically significant differences in the presence of the syndrome related to the vitamin D levels (p=0.94). The mean serum 25(OH)D level for the total population was 30.6±8.3 ng/mL; in normal weight subjects it was 30.8±8.5 ng/mL, in obese subjects with MS it was 30.1±9.2 ng/mL, and in obese subjects without MS it was 30.6±7.5 ng/mL. Furthermore, there was no significant correlation between the individual MS parameters and serum VD, either globally or on subgroup analysis.

Conclusion: there was no significant correlation between serum 25(OH)D levels and MS, nor was any significant correlation found between these and the anthropometric and biochemical parameters studied. (Acta Med Colomb 2020; 45. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36104/amc.2020.1323).
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There is no consensus regarding normal levels of 25(OH)D. However, most societies concur in defining a serum concentration of 30 ng/mL or more as sufficient, 20-29 ng/mL insufficient, and less than 20 ng/mL deficient (10-12). Close to one billion people worldwide are estimated to have VD insufficiency or deficiency. In the United States, the prevalence is 50-80% of the general population, being more common in the elderly (10, 13, 14). In Colombia, a prevalence of insufficiency and deficiency of 55.1% and 16.6%, respectively, has been found in postmenopausal patients (15).

Low VD concentrations have been directly related to rickets and osteoporosis; colon, breast and prostate cancer; autoimmune diseases such as multiple sclerosis and type 1 diabetes mellitus; and cardiovascular diseases such as arterial hypertension, type 2 diabetes mellitus and heart failure (13, 16-19).

On the other hand, metabolic syndrome (MS) has been defined as a group of clinical and laboratory disorders, and is considered to be a primary event for the development of atherosclerotic disease (20, 21). The global prevalence lies between 20 and 50%, but varies according to the population studied (20, 22, 23). Individuals with MS have five times the risk of type 2 diabetes mellitus, two to four times the risk of myocardial infarction and cerebrovascular accidents, two times the risk of cardiovascular disease and a greater risk of mortality compared to individuals without MS (21, 24-27). Therefore, the search for new factors involved in the pathophysiology of MS, especially modifiable factors, has increased in recent years.

In addition, a relationship has been found between the serum concentration of VD and MS; however, the evidence is controversial in this regard. Thus, this study was proposed to characterize VD levels and correlate them with the presence of MS and its various components: waist circumference, glucose, arterial pressure, triglycerides and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), as well as with the concentration of C-reactive protein (CRP).

Materials and methods

This was a cross-sectional study using convenience sampling, including a total of 109 individuals. All participants were assessed by at least one physician and one nutritionist for the clinical evaluation and anthropometric measurements. In accordance with the current guidelines, obesity was defined as a BMI equal to or greater than 30 kg/m² (28, 29). All subjects with a diagnosis of diabetes mellitus, arterial hypertension, cardiovascular disease or other type of chronic disease, or who had taken medications on a regular basis during the previous year were excluded from the study.

One blood sample was taken to measure triglycerides (TGs), total cholesterol (TC), HDL-C, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), glucose and insulin. The Homeostasis Model Assessment - Insulin Resistance index (HOMA-IR) was calculated using Matthews et al.’s method (30). The serum concentration of 25(OH)D was determined by electrochemiluminescence using a commercially available kit, according to the manufacturer’s protocol (LIAISON® 25 OH Vitamin D Total Assay); insufficiency and deficiency were defined as serum values between 20-29 ng/mL and less than 20 ng/mL, respectively (10-11).

The diagnosis of metabolic syndrome was established when three of the following criteria were met: waist circumference equal to or greater than 90 cm (cut-off point recommended for South American men), triglycerides equal to or greater than 150 mg/dL, HDL cholesterol less than 40 mg/dL, systolic arterial pressure equal to or greater than 130 mmHg or diastolic equal to or greater than 85 mmHg, and fasting glucose equal to or greater than 100 mg/dL (21, 27).

The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Universidad Nacional de Colombia’s school of medicine. All participants received complete information regarding the study protocol, questions were answered, and then the consent form was signed.

Normality for the comparison groups (metabolic syndrome or no MS) was determined by the Shapiro-Wilk and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests. Subsequently, measures of central tendency were obtained: mean and standard deviation for the normally distributed group and median with interquartile range for the non-normal group. A nonparametric Wilcoxon rank test was used to compare variables between groups in non-normal cases and Student’s t-test was used for normal cases; p < 0.05 was used to determine statistically significant differences.

Finally, the two groups were correlated using the Pearson correlation for normally distributed variables, and Spearman’s correlation for non-normal variables, with their respective p-values.

Results

A total of 109 individuals were recruited for the study, with an average age of 23; of these, 62 had a weight within the normal range (normal weight) and the remaining 47 were in the obesity range. Of the obese individuals, 25 met at least three of the MS criteria (OMS+), while the other 22 met two or fewer criteria (OMS-). In the control group with normal weight individuals, none met the MS criteria.

Table 1 describes the baseline characteristics of the three groups; the anthropometric study found no significant difference in height, however, weight, BMI, waist circumference and hip circumference were significantly lower in the normal weight group than in both the obesity subgroups (p<0.01).

With regard to the parameters for defining MS, the mean waist circumference was 109.8±7.8 cm in the OSM+ group and 105.9±18.1 cm in the OSM- group, with no significant difference between the two (p=0.21); the same occurred with HDL-C levels (42.8±1.9 mg/dL vs 45.1±17.6 mg/dL p=0.6). The parameters which made a difference between obese individuals with and without MS were: systolic arterial pressure (133.6±9.7 mmHg vs 121.2±14.4 mmHg p=0.001), plasma glucose levels (93.6±12.5 mg/dL vs 84±6.8 mg/dL p=0.002) and diastolic arterial pressure (86.4±9.7 mmHg vs 79.6±11.3 mmHg p=0.03).
Furthermore, waist circumference, systolic and diastolic arterial pressure, LDL-C and triglyceride levels were significantly elevated in obese subjects without MS criteria compared to normal weight subjects, with p<0.01 for all comparisons.

An assessment of insulin concentrations showed a significant difference when all groups were compared, with the lowest values in normal weight subjects, intermediate values in OSM- and the highest values in OSM+, at 8.6±5.1 uIU/mL, 2.9±10.9 uIU/mL and 30.2±11.3 uIU/mL, respectively, with the same phenomenon seen with the HOMA-IR (1.8±1.2, 4.8±2.5 and 7±2.9, respectively). The CRP levels were significantly higher in OSM+ compared to OSM- (p<0.05), which, in turn, were greater than in normal weight individuals (p<0.01).

The mean serum level of 25-OHVD for the total population was 30.6±8.3 ng/mL; 46.8% of the subjects were found to be sufficient, 45.9% insufficient and 7.3% deficient in this hormone, according to the previously described classification.

The mean plasma concentration of VD was 30.8±8.5 ng/mL in normal weight subjects, 30.6±7.5 ng/mL in OSM- and 30.1±9.2 ng/mL in OSM+, with no significant difference between the subgroups studied (p=0.94) (Figure 1).

Lastly, a correlation matrix was constructed between the individual MS components and serum concentration of 25-OHVD for each group of obese individuals, with heterogeneous results. The lowest levels of VD were related to higher systolic arterial pressure, waist circumference and serum concentration of triglycerides; however, the degree of correlation was weak, both in OSM- as well as OSM+ (Figures 2a, 2c and 2f). Serum glucose had a neutral correlation with VD concentration in both groups (Figure 2e), diastolic arterial pressure had a heterogenous correlation, being positive in OSM+ and negative in OSM- (Figure 2b).

Finally, the highest HDL cholesterol levels were related to higher vitamin D concentrations in obese individuals without MS, but to lower concentrations in obese individuals with MS (Figure 2d); despite the tendencies, the degree of correlation was weak for all the variables.

Discussion

The prevalence of MS within the study group was 21%, slightly lower than the 25-33% reported by the Asociación Latinoamericana de Diabetes [Latin American Association of Diabetes] (31), but similar to what has been described in Colombia, where highly variable data are reported, ranging from 13.2 to 72.7% depending on the criteria used for its definition (31-34). Central obesity, elevated systolic arterial pressure and high triglycerides were the most frequently affected parameters, in accordance with what has been described in other studies (33).

There is little evidence in Latin America regarding the behavior of serum VD concentration in healthy adults. However, it is thought to be higher in tropical countries, given the greater sun exposure (35, 36). Oliveri et al. reported a 25-OHVD deficit of 90% in healthy adults in Argentina, with a lower prevalence in the northern part of the country (37). A study of postmenopausal women with osteoporosis in Mexico, Chile and Brazil found inadequate levels of VD in 67, 50 and 42% of patients, respectively (35, 38). In Colombia, reported data on the prevalence of hypovitaminosis D are scant. Molina et al. evaluated 205 postmenopausal women with osteoporosis, finding that 71.7% had VD concentrations under 30 ng/mL, similar to what was described by Devía et al. with a prevalence of 69.5% (39, 40). In addition, Quintana et al. compared patients with rheumatoid arthritis and healthy controls, finding a prevalence of inadequate 25-OHVD levels of 98.5 and 72.8%, respectively (41). In our population, 53.2% of the subjects had VD concentrations below 30 ng/mL, lower than what was described in Colombia, Argentina and Mexico, partially explained by the lower age of the study patients together with the absence of comorbidities, this being one of the first studies to assess the status of serum vitamin D in a healthy young adult Colombian population.

A comparison of serum 25-OHVD levels in the MS and non-MS groups showed no significant difference, and therefore no relationship was found between VD insufficiency and deficiency and MS. There is still no consensus on the possible causal relationship between inadequate 25-OHVD levels and the risk of having MS and cardiovascular disease (42, 43). However, some, mostly cross-sectional, studies have found an inverse relationship between these levels and MS, along with its isolated components, suggesting that pancreatic stimulation of insulin secretion, inhibition of the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and stimulation of endothelial nitric oxide secretion, among others, could be some of the extra-skeletal effects of VD, which would explain the greater risk of cardiovascular disease in these patients (1, 43-46).

Moreover, as in the results of this study, several studies have found no significant relationship between serum concentration of 25-OHVD and metabolic state. The same is true for cardiovascular disease risk; a meta-analysis published by Sang et al. found no significant relationship in longitudinal studies, considering that the relationship described in the cross-sectional studies is probably not causal, and that many confounding factors may be influencing the results, with one of the most important factors being obesity (47).

Likewise, when the correlation studies between independent MS variables and serum levels of VD were performed, there was no correlation with sufficient power to be considered relevant. Thus, there was considered to be no dependency between these variables, which could partially explain the negative results of intervention studies on the use of VD supplementation and the risk of developing MS, arterial hypertension, diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular disease (48, 49).

More recent studies have shown a relationship between low levels of vitamin D concentrations and the risk of cardiovascular morbidity and mortality, showing an increase of up to 7% in the risk of cardiovascular death with every 4 ng/mL lowering of VD plasma concentration, an thus being considered a non-classical cardiovascular risk factor susceptible to intervention.
Table 1. Comparison of the anthropometric and metabolic characteristics between subgroups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables (units)</th>
<th>Normal weight</th>
<th>Obese MS-</th>
<th>Obese MS+</th>
<th>p*</th>
<th>p**</th>
<th>p***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>23.1±3.40</td>
<td>24.0±3.87</td>
<td>24.5±5.19</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (meters)</td>
<td>1.73±0.05</td>
<td>1.71±0.06</td>
<td>1.75±0.06</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>64.9±6.57</td>
<td>105.9±18.14</td>
<td>111.8±15.46</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>21.6±1.49</td>
<td>35.7±4.83</td>
<td>36.5±4.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist circumference (cm)</td>
<td>77.1±4.38</td>
<td>106.7±8.78</td>
<td>109.8±7.80</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hip circumference (cm)</td>
<td>93.4±4.44</td>
<td>118.7±10.22</td>
<td>119.9±9.92</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist/hip ratio</td>
<td>0.82±0.03</td>
<td>0.90±0.03</td>
<td>0.91±0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>111.7±10.97</td>
<td>121.2±14.45</td>
<td>133.6±9.68</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAP (mmHg)</td>
<td>70.3±8.33</td>
<td>79.6±11.27</td>
<td>86.4±9.67</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal glucose (mg/dL)</td>
<td>84.1±8.40</td>
<td>84±6.81</td>
<td>93.6±12.48</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL-C (mg/dL)</td>
<td>47.1±6.81</td>
<td>41.9±5.28</td>
<td>42.8±11.94</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL-C (mg/dL)</td>
<td>94.1±27.89</td>
<td>115.3±25.59</td>
<td>101.4±24.86</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG (mg/dL)</td>
<td>94.8±31.56</td>
<td>124.6±56.80</td>
<td>205.7±57.5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HOMA-IR</td>
<td>1.80±1.22</td>
<td>4.8±2.46</td>
<td>7.0±2.90</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal insulin (uIU/mL)</td>
<td>8.6±5.10</td>
<td>22.9±10.05</td>
<td>30.2±11.31</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP (mg/dL)</td>
<td>1.36±3.21</td>
<td>4.5±3.97</td>
<td>4.5±3.76</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Comparison between subjects with a normal weight and obese subjects without metabolic syndrome.
** Comparison between subjects with a normal weight and obese subjects with metabolic syndrome.
*** Comparison between obese subjects without metabolic syndrome and obese subjects with metabolic syndrome.

The values are expressed in n±SD; number ± standard deviation.

Figure 1. Box plot of the comparison of serum concentration of vitamin D between subgroups.

(49, 50). However, recent clinical trials and meta-analyses have not been consistent in showing a clinically significant benefit for the strong outcomes in cardiovascular disease (51, 52).

Among the study’s limitations, the convenience sampling is worth highlighting, as it does not allow a faithful representation of the population as a whole. Another limitation is the lack of data regarding sun exposure. Furthermore, the changing definitions of metabolic syndrome lead to a very variable epidemiology.

In conclusion, low concentrations of 25-hydroxyvitamin D were not associated with a greater probability of having MS, nor was there a strong correlation with individual MS variables in the study population. These results contribute information to the global body of knowledge and that which is being developed nationally regarding this controversy, supporting the relative independence of energy metabolism and the lack of benefits from 25-OHVD supplementation in the prevention of cardiovascular disease.
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