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Abstract

Objective: to determine the association of prior antibiotic use, prior hospitalizations, prior urinary tract infections, age, sex and comorbidities in adult patients hospitalized with urinary tract infections caused by extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Escherichia coli*.

Materials and methods: a case-control study carried out in the hospital setting of private clinics in Lima. Thirty cases and 30 controls were included, with cases defined as hospitalized patients with an ESBL-producing *E. coli* urinary tract infection diagnosed by urine culture, and controls defined as hospitalized patients without ESBL-producing *E. coli* infection. Data were taken from incident cases. A bivariate analysis was performed followed by multivariate logistic regression using the significant variables from the bivariate analysis.

Results: the associated factors were: prior antibiotic use OR: 261 (22.5-11,017.4), prior hospitalization OR: 4.6 (1.39-16.1), and prior urinary tract infection OR: 36 (6.9-227.2). After adjusting for potential confounding factors using logistic regression, the main statistically significant associated factor was prior antibiotic use, OR: 97.7 (8.4-1,128.3, p<0.000).

Conclusion: evidence was found that prior antibiotic use is a risk factor significantly associated with ESBL *E. coli* urinary tract infections. (Acta Med Colomb 2022; 47. DOI: https://doi.org/10.36104/amc.2022.2131).
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Introduction

Bacterial resistance is a global problem which began naturally with the use of antibiotics. Resistant strains are increasing alarmingly worldwide. In Peru, there are descriptive studies such as the one carried out at Hospital Cayetano Heredia in 2017, which found a 28.6% prevalence of *E. coli* bacterial resistance to beta-lactamases. Ten years ago, the prevalence in the community was less than 5% (1).

Studies on the impact of the appearance of bacterial resistance on patients, healthcare providers and the economic burden are heterogenous. One systematic review found that excess costs could be as much as 21,832 USD per patient, to more than 3 trillion USD in lost gross domestic product worldwide (2).

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is defined as the isolation of a pathogen in the urine or leukocyturia, nitrites and/or bacteriuria on the urinalysis, together with urinary symptoms (dysuria, nocturia, frequency, cloudy urine) (3). Urinary tract infections can be classified as lower tract infections or cystitis, which are usually mild, and upper tract infections which entail greater severity and need for hospitalization (pyelonephritis) (3). Severe UTIs account for 13% of healthcare episodes in countries like the United States. Severe UTI is the second most frequent cause of infection in humans, after upper respiratory tract infections (4).

*Escherichia coli* is the most frequently found microorganism in UTIs and is responsible for 80-90% of community-acquired infections and 30-50% of nosocomial infections. It is also known that 25% of women with UTIs will have another episode within the next six months (5).

Extended-spectrum betalactamase (ESBL)-producing bacteria are resistant to penicillin and cephalosporin-derived antibiotics which have beta-lactam rings in their structure. Betalactamases are coded for by gene families known as TEM and SHV, and others such as CTM-X have recently been discovered, with as many as 95 known variants. Betalactamases have been found in strains of *E. coli*, *Klebsiella spp.* and *Enterobacter spp.*, although also in non-fermenting microorganisms like *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (6).

The objective of this study is to determine the risk factors for bacterial resistance in patients hospitalized for
ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* urinary tract infections, considering that it is an increasingly prevalent problem and the differences and risk factors in the literature must be determined to then propose interventions.

### Materials and methods

An observational, analytical, case-control study. The clinical charts of adult hospitalized patients over the age of 18 who were hospitalized in the medical wards of two private clinics in the city of Lima (Clínica Ricardo Palma and Clínica Good Hope) with a discharge diagnosis of ESBL *E. coli* urinary tract infection (CIE-10: N39.0) during 2018-2019 were reviewed.

The study hypothesis was the following: in hospitalized patients, are the prior use of antibiotics, prior UTIs, comorbidities, prior hospitalization, age and sex risk factors for ESBL *E. coli* UTIs? Are there differences with what is reported in the literature? (The null hypothesis being that there is no association.)

Cases were defined as the clinical charts of patients hospitalized in the medical ward with a diagnosis of ESBL *E. coli* urinary tract infection confirmed by a urine culture taken on admission. Controls were patients hospitalized in the medical ward for any reason. These were controls because they shared the same characteristics of age, sex and having been hospitalized on the medical ward.

Cases were identified when patients were admitted to the medical ward with a diagnosis of pyelonephritis plus a positive urine culture for ESBL-producing *E. coli* recorded in the medical chart and at least one of the following signs or symptoms: dysuria, urgency to void, increased frequency, pelvic pain, flank pain, vesical tenesmus or fever or chills. A urine culture was considered positive when the bacterial count was greater than 10^5 colony forming units (CFUs)/mL in a sample of urine. Sensitivity tests and ESBL organism identification were performed by laboratory staff, as they have an automated VVTec II system which generates a report showing the presence of betalactamase-producing resistance genes. None of the patients was using a urinary catheter on admission.

Once a case was identified, 1:1 pairing was performed with a patient hospitalized on the medical ward with the same demographic characteristics (age and sex).

Patients with the required data in the clinical chart were included. Patients with active pregnancy or with a permanent Foley catheter were excluded.

Age groups were classified as over or under 60 years old. Prior antibiotic use was defined as use from 24 hours up to six months prior to hospitalization. Hospitalizations up to one year prior to the current episode were taken into account. A UTI was considered to be recurrent when the patient had had at least one other urinary tract infection within the last six months. Comorbidities included any of the following conditions: myocardial infarction, congestive heart failure, peripheral vascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, dementia, chronic pulmonary disease, connective tissue disease, mild or moderate liver disease, diabetes, hemiplegia, chronic kidney disease (moderate or severe), neoplasms, leukemias, malignant lymphomas, solid metastases or HIV infection.

### Data analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using the Stata 11.0 program, to determine association using a clinically significant Chi^2 (p<0.05), the degree of association using the odds ratio, and 95% confidence intervals. The associated factors with a p<0.05 in the bivariate analysis were included in the multivariate analysis according to the conditions of the logistic regression model.

The sample size (n=30) was calculated based on the literature for an approximate OR value of 8.7 (15), with a frequency of exposure in the cases (P1) of 54% and in the controls (P2) of 18%. Applying the Epi Info 3.5.3 program, a sample of 28 cases and 28 controls is needed to obtain an OR of 8.7 with a confidence level of 95% and a power of 80%.

### Ethical considerations

The study was initially approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universidad Cayetano Heredia School of Medicine, and by the research committees of both clinics. The clinical charts of incident cases of patients hospitalized on the medical ward with a diagnosis of complicated ESBL *E. coli* urinary tract infection (pyelonephritis) were reviewed. Likewise, controls were recruited from patients hospitalized on the medical ward.

A form was used to collect the data from the clinical chart (Annex 1), for which a code was created to conceal the patients’ identity; this code was also placed on another worksheet along with the clinical chart numbers, to which only the investigator had access. This ensured data confidentiality.

### Results

The average age of the cases was 72.4±16.9, and of the controls was 76.16±19.2. In the group of cases, 73.3% were over the age of 60, while 83.3% of the controls were over this age. Males made up 36.7% of the group of cases and 43.3% of the group of controls. There was no statistically significant difference in age or sex. In the group of cases, the most common prior antibiotics were second and fourth-generation cephalosporins, quinolones and nitrofurantoin.

The following variables were included in the bivariate analysis: age, sex, prior use of antibiotics, history of urinary tract infections and comorbidities. The last variable was divided into two categories: none or one or more comorbidities (Table 1).

A logistic regression with the significant variables (prior antibiotic use, prior urinary tract infections, recent hospitalization) showed that only the use of antibiotics was statistically significant (Table 2).
Discussion

The study highlights the importance of identifying the prior use of antibiotics as a risk factor for bacterial resistance, as it was the only factor which increased the probability of an ESBL *Escherichia coli* UTI with a statistically significant difference and a significant magnitude on multivariate analysis.

The study population is older adults, with the overall proportion of those over 60 being 73.3% for cases and 83.3% for controls, with no difference in sex; therefore, the findings should be interpreted in this context. According to the literature, as age increases, the prevalence of UTIs equalizes between men and women. Increased life expectancy and the increased risk of hospitalization for infections in older adults explains these proportions.

Regarding the prevalence of antibiotic resistance in *E. coli* urinary tract infections, a prospective multicenter study in the United States to evaluate the occurrence of *E. coli* urinary tract infections found that 3.9% of the isolated samples were ESBL *E. coli*; of these, 55% were community-acquired (7). Other similar studies in Spain, performed by a research group devoted to studying the sensitivity of ambulatory urinary pathogens, found a 5.2% prevalence of ESBL *E. coli* in 2006, which rose to 8.8% in 2012 (8,9). In Peru, a study of ambulatory patients found that 16.3% of 325 *E. coli* isolates were confirmed to be ESBL producers (10, 11). These figures reflect the alarming growing tendency towards increased *E. coli* antibiotic resistance worldwide.

Concerning the risk factors for acquiring ESBL *E. coli*, the studies agree on some very important factors such as prior hospitalization, the prior use of antibiotics, especially beta-lactams and quinolones, and gastrointestinal colonization with ESBL-carrying strains (12, 13). Other studies also describe other factors such as diabetes mellitus, recurrent UTIs, age over 60 and male sex (14).

Other diseases besides those mentioned above, such as heart failure, malignancy, liver disease and immunosuppression also cause a 2.6 to 6-fold increase in the risk of contracting a urinary tract infection caused by a resistant organism (15). The prior use of antibiotics (quinolones), use of urinary catheters, prior UTIs and recent hospitalization are associated factors with a significant magnitude (OR: 8.7, 6, 3 and 2, respectively) (16,17).

A study in Spain published in 2006 with 19 recorded cases of patients with community-acquired ESBL *E. coli* (with an average age of 61 years), found on multivariate analysis that exposure to second-generation cephalosporins

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk factors</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>OR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>X2</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prior antibiotic use</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>22.5-11.017</td>
<td>45.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior urinary tract infection</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6.97-227.24</td>
<td>29.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.24-2.41</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>&lt;60</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.12-2.26</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;=60</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior hospitalization</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4.67</td>
<td>1.39-16.04</td>
<td>8.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comorbidities</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.18-1.83</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 or more</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Logistic regression of the risk factors for ESBL *E. coli* UTIs.

| Risk factors       | OR   | Standard Error | z     | P>|z| | 95% Conf. Interval |
|--------------------|------|----------------|-------|------|-------------------|
| Prior antibiotic use | 97.73 | 121.98 | 3.67  | 0.000 | 8.47 - 1,128.29 |
| Prior urinary tract infection | 6.49 | 7.20 | 1.68  | 0.092 | 0.74 - 57.14 |
| Prior hospitalization | 2.90 | 3.29 | 0.94  | 0.349 | 0.31 - 26.95 |
was the only factor significantly associated with the development of resistance (OR: 21.42; 95% CI: 5.38-85.22; P < 0.05) (18).

A case-control study in Pennsylvania of 33 cases with ESBL *E. coli* or ESBL *K. pneumoniae* and a similar age to our study found that the only factor in the multivariate analysis was the length of antibiotic therapy (OR for each day of antibiotic therapy: 1.10; 95% CI: 1.03–1.18; p<0.006). This study concluded that the antibiotic load was the only factor associated with bacterial resistance (19).

In both studies, both the prior use of antibiotics as well as the length of treatment increased the risk of bacterial resistance.

A study in 2013 also found other risk factors such as recent travel to Asia or Africa (OR: 21, 95% CI: 4.5-97), prior use of quinolones (OR:16, 95% CI: 3.2-80) and diabetes mellitus (OR:3.2, 95% CI:1.0-11). Travel tends to foster genetic exchange among bacteria, especially tourism in developing countries (20).

Another study in New Zealand in 2015, with 76 cases over the age of 65, found that the main risk factors associated with ESBL *E. coli* were: people residing in a nursing home and the prescription of antibiotics in a hospital (OR: 5.6; 95% CI: 2.5-12.9). When the OR for the prior use of any antibiotic is calculated, the result is also significant (OR: 20.96, 95% CI:8.94-43.59) (21).

In Japan, the final model of a retrospective case-control study published in 2016, in which 53% of the cases were over the age of 65, found that the independent risk factors for ESBL *E. coli* UTIs were male sex (OR:0.39, 95% CI:0.24-0.65), major surgery within the previous 60 days (OR: 2.89, 95% CI:1.54-5.30), prior use of tetracyclines (OR: 2.89, 95% CI:12.58-57.28), second-generation cephalosporins (OR:3.04, 95% CI:1.48-6.07) and fourth-generation cephalosporins (OR: 50, 96% CI:27.51-94.18) (22).

The importance of new, emergent strains, including MDR ESBL *E. coli*, and the role of prior antibiotic use as a risk factor was also shown in recent studies in Korea in 2017, which found that the prior use of quinolones (OR: 15.53; 95% CI: 2.9-84.3) and nosocomial infections (OR: 5.98; 95% CI: 2.26-15.86) were the main risk factors for resistance (23).

### Table 3. Case-control studies of the risk factors for ESBL *E. coli* UTIs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>Risk factor</th>
<th>OR 95%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Castillo-Tokumori F</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>Prior antibiotic use</td>
<td>3.09 (1.42-6.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prior hospitalization</td>
<td>2.92 (1.29-6.62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prior surgery</td>
<td>2.75 (1.94-8.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Use of corticosteroids</td>
<td>24.3 (2.39-246.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park SY</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Prior antibiotic use</td>
<td>15.53 (2.9-84.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nosocomial infection</td>
<td>5.98 (2.3-15.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nakai H</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>647</td>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>0.39 (0.24-0.65)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Major surgery within the last 60 days</td>
<td>2.89 (1.54-5.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prior tetracycline use</td>
<td>2.89 (12.58-57.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Second-generation cephalosporins</td>
<td>3.04 (1.48-6.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Fourth-generation cephalosporins</td>
<td>50 (27.51-94.18)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ikram R</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>Prior antibiotic use (hospital, other indication)</td>
<td>5.6 (2.5-12.9), 20.96 (8.9-43.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dependence</td>
<td>7.5 (2.2-25.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3.2 (1.5-6.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soraas A</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>Prior antibiotic use (quinolones)</td>
<td>16 (3.2-80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Travel to Asia or Africa</td>
<td>21 (4.5-97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>3.2 (1.0-11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calbo E</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Prior antibiotic use (cefuroxime)</td>
<td>21.42 (5.4-85.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In summary, most of the risk factor studies come to the same conclusion as our study, that the prior use of antibiotics is a critical risk factor for bacterial resistance in urinary tract infections. Our study also has a strength in that the cases were incident hospitalized patients, that is, those with clinically relevant infections with regard to severity and higher associated costs.

The selection of controls is a study limitation because not all of these patients had a non-ESBL *E. coli* urinary tract...
infection. Rather, patients hospitalized with other medical diagnoses and similar characteristics with regard to age, sex and being hospitalized were also included; most of them had non-ESBL urinary tract infections, but not necessarily all of them. The design could be improved in future studies using controls with the condition of urinary tract infection.

Conclusions
The most important factor associated with ESBL E. coli urinary tract infections in predominantly elderly hospitalized patients is the prior use of any antibiotic within the previous six months.
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Data collection sheet

FICHA DE REGISTRO DE DATOS: CASO-CONTROL ITU BLEE

CODIGO: 

FECHA DE RECOLECCION DE DATOS: 

1. Infección Urinaria E. coli. 
2. Uso previo de catéterismo urinario
   Fecha de inicio y retiro de catéter 
3. Tratamiento previo con antibióticos (ult. 6 meses)
   - Antibiótico utilizado (nombre):
   
5. ¿Tuvo infecciones de orina previas? (último año)
   - Fecha de inicio y fin: 

Nombre del antibiótico usado 
6. Hospitalización reciente (último año)
   
7. Edad 
8. Comorbilidades
   - Infarto de miocardio 
   - Insuficiencia cardiaca congestiva 
   - Enfermedad vascular periférica 
   - Enfermedad cerebrovascular 
   - Demencia 
   - Enfermedad Pulmonar Crónica 
   - Patología del tejido Conectivo 

Observaciones:
V. 2.0