EVALUACIÓN DE LA CALIDAD DE CARAMELOS DE UCHUVA SIN SACAROSA ADICIONADOS CON CALCIO

QUALITY ASSESSMENT OF CAPE GOOSEBERRY CANDY WITH ADDED CALCIUM WITHOUT SUCROSE
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RESUMEN

Este trabajo evalúa el efecto de la adición de calcio sobre los atributos de calidad de un caramelo blando a base de fruto de uchuva sin sacarosa, comparándolo con uno similar sin calcio. Se emplea calcio lácteo como ingrediente funcional, el cual se incorpora a granel, con el objetivo de alcanzar un valor diario de referencia mayor del 20% en una porción de 15 g de producto, según la normativa colombiana. El calcio afecta principalmente la acidez del producto (<), el pH (>), la elasticidad (>), la cohesividad (<) y la luminosidad (>). El caramelo adicionado presentó contenidos de 292,5 ± 9 mg Ca/porción, correspondiente a un 20 % del valor diario de referencia, lo cual permite identificar al producto con el descriptor “Excelente fuente de calcio”. Los caramelos blandos de uchuva sin azúcar adicionados con calcio, representan una alternativa económica para cubrir necesidades de calcio en la dieta de la población.
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ABSTRACT

The effect of added calcium on the quality attributes of a soft candy made of cape gooseberry fruits without sucrose is assessed by comparing it to a similar candy without calcium. Dairy calcium is used as functional ingredient; it is incorporated in bulk to reach a daily reference value higher than 20% of calcium in a 15 g portion of the product, according to the Colombian regulations. The calcium addition in the candy mainly affects the acidity of the product (>, the pH (>), elasticity (>, cohesiveness (<), and luminosity (>). The candy with added calcium presented contents of 292,5 ± 9 mg Ca/portion, corresponding to 20% of the daily reference value, which makes it possible to identify the product with the following descriptor: “An excellent source of calcium.” Cape gooseberry soft candies with added calcium and without sugar represent an inexpensive food alternative to fulfill the calcium needs of the population’s diet.

INTRODUCTION

In Colombia, cape gooseberry (*Physalis peruviana* L.) is a fruit considered to be promissory, and over the past years its export volume and internal market consumption have increased [1]. Such situation is an opportunity for the Colombian growers and industrialists to try to take advantage of the cape gooseberry fruits that do not make the export quality cut and, therefore, to give them added value through their transformation and incorporation with physiologically active components in the development of food products such as confectionery and dehydrated derivatives, beverages, among other products. With this practice, the current food product offering of the national and international markets will be considerably improved, thus, it will contribute to the strengthening of the fruit productive chain and its industry in Colombia [2].

Developing candy becomes a healthy alternative to adding gooseberry pulp and physiologically active components. Soft candies are amorphous gummy structures [3,4] and they can be chewable or not-chewable. Soft candies are the result of cooking a syrup composed by ingredients such as
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sugar, glucose (or mixes of both), fat, and edible oils; also, they can have added milk and emulsifiers, among other ingredients suitable for human consumption and allowed by the corresponding authorities [5]. Sucrose is the main sweetener used in the production of candy, but its caloric contribution is quite high, which makes such candies unsuitable for diabetic people. By replacing sucrose in this type of food products, the functional qualities are affected; therefore, it must be substituted for compounds such as polydextrose, sorbitol, maltodextrins, artificial sweeteners, among other [6]. Furthermore, it allows the addition of other ingredients fit for human consumption allowed by the competent authority.

Calcium has been widely used as an additive in food to achieve multiple purposes such as the following: interacting with pectins and other components of fruit and vegetable cell walls to obtain products with a higher firmness [7], reducing the browning induced by the polymerase enzyme [8], limiting the sporulation caused by pathogens [9], and improving cheese structure [10]. The interactions that can occur between the added source of calcium and the other ingredients present in the food products (polymers: fibers, pectic acids or proteins) can affect the rheological properties of food and, at the same time, the availability of calcium [11, 12]. Insoluble calcium sources can precipitate in the container and in the equipment surface, causing its deterioration due to the incrustations that appear [13].

Calcium is currently used in the production of functional food [14,15,16,17] because it is a physiologically active component that improves osseous health [18, 19]. The source of calcium must have specific characteristics in terms of technological application methods and food processing; it must have a reasonable price, and it should not interfere in the metabolism of other nutrients, or produce undesirable sensorial effects. The addition of calcium to the food must be controlled by regulations specifying allowed levels in a defined portion [20]. In the case of Colombia, products with added nutrients are regulated by the Ministry of Social Protection, through Resolution 333, which establishes the declaration of nutritional properties with the following descriptors: "High, Good source, Free, Low, Very low, Lean and Extra-lean", or synonyms such as: "-rich, Excellent source of, Good source of, Provides, Source of, Contains, or With" [21]. Other criteria reported for the case of calcium as a good source of calcium is the fact that it provides at least 30 mg of absorbable calcium per standard portion, or for every 418 kJ (100 kcal) of food [22].

The bioavailability of the calcium source indicates how much of it is truly absorbed during the digestion process [23]. Calcium sources used in food have different calcium content in mg/g of product, and it can range from 9% to 40% according to the size of the molecules. For example, calcium citrate and calcium carbonate have 50% and 40% of calcium respectively; but due to the fact that the size of the citrate molecule is considerably big, only 10.5% of the calcium is available, while 25% of calcium is available in the case of calcium carbonate [26]. The following are some of the calcium sources used to enrich milk, beverages, and other food products: calcium carbonate, calcium chloride, calcium phosphate, tricalcium phosphate, calcium citrate malate, calcium lactate, calcium gluconate, calcium lactate gluconate, and dairy calcium [14,25].

The objective of this research project consists in assessing the quality attributes of a cape gooseberry soft candy with added calcium and without sucrose by comparing it to a similar candy without calcium.

**METHOD**

**Raw materials.** For this research, fresh Colombia ecotype cape gooseberry fruits were used, which were grown in La Union, Antioquia. The selected cape gooseberry fruits were in the range of 3 - 4 in the color scale according to the commercial ripeness as it is established by the Colombian Technical Regulation NTC 4580 [26]. Pulp extraction was performed according to the method established by Echeverri [27]. Dairy calcium (with a purity percentage of 26.5) was bought in the local market, and used as the source of calcium.

**Production Process of the Candy.** Two formulations (each batch of 47 Kg) of soft candy were produced, both without sucrose but one without added calcium and the other with added calcium (treatment 1 and 2, respectively). Table 1 shows the studied formulations, and Figure 1 presents the candy production process flowchart.

A 200 L stainless steel cooking pot, a scratching agitator (60 RPM), and a direct transfer gas burner were used. The thermal treatment was performed until the ingredient mix reached 92°C; then, the mix was molded in plastic trays covered with transparent polyethylene bags, and stored in a room where they were left to stand at 28 ± 3°C for 24 hours. Later, the manual cutting process of 5,1 – 5,5 g portions was
performed. The product was packaged in an automatic pillow-shaped KD-260 packaging machine, using plates metalized with three layers: biaxially oriented polypropylene (BOPP), aluminum foil, and low-density polyethylene (PEBD), for a total grammage of 55 g/m². The secondary package consists of BOPP and polyethylene bags with a gauge of 60 µ, and a grammage of 71 g/m². Eight units were packaged per bag, and then the bag was thermally sealed with a pedal sealing machine. The sealed bags were stored at room temperature (≈ 25°C) until the analyses were performed.

**Physicochemical Analyses.** The moisture content (% p/p) was determined by heating the product with a stove at 105°C for 5 hours until the constant weight was reached. The method used was the 966.02 gravimetric of the AOAC International [28]; soluble solids (ºBrix) measuring the refraction index of the samples diluted at 1% with the HANNA HI 96801 refractometer set in a scale of 0 - 85 ºBrix at 20°C. In order to obtain the total value of the sample’s ºBrix, equation 1 was used.

\[
ºBrix = \frac{100L}{C}
\]  
(Eq.1)

Where \(L\) = refractometer reading of the solution’s soluble solids, and \(C\) = solution concentration (5%).

pH was measured in a HANNA HI 9025 pH-meter previously calibrated with buffer solutions 4 and 7 at 25°C. Total acidity by potentiometric titration at pH 8,1 [29], expressing the results as g of citric acid/100 mL. Calcium was determined by means of the AOAC 985.35 Ed 16 atomic absorption method [38], and the water activity \(a_w\) with an AquaLab Decagon CX-3 AOAC 978.19Bc dew-point hygrometer [30].

**Physical Properties.** The texture profile analysis (TPA) was performed in the TA-XT2i texture analyzer (Stable Micro Systems), equipped with a 50 kg charge cell, and a 100 mm-diameter compression plate. Operation speeds for pre-assay, assay, and post-assay were 9, 10, 9 mm/s respectively; the candy relative deformation was set to 80%, and the time between compressions was 0.8 s. The contact area for the candies was a 30 mm² square. TPA parameters (hardness, tackiness, elasticity, cohesiveness, compression, gumminess, chewiness, and spreadability) were determined from the strength vs. time graphic [31], which was provided by the Texture Expert Exceed software.

Color measurement was performed using the X-Rite SP-64 portable sphere spectrophotometer, D65 illuminator, and observer 2º, with a built-in specular and a 4 mm observation window. Color coordinates CIEL*a*b* were determined from the reflection spectra.

Table 1. Candy formulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Raw materials</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Treatment 1</th>
<th>Treatment 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cape gooseberry’s pulp</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>37.02</td>
<td>37.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polymers of glucose</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>23.05</td>
<td>23.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>and fructose</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powdered dairy products</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>17.63</td>
<td>17.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sugar alcohols</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>9.45</td>
<td>9.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fat Source</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source of calcium</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweetener solution</td>
<td>mL</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preservative solution</td>
<td>mL</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stabilizers</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution Acidity</td>
<td>mL</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>emulsifier</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Candy production process flowchart.
Microstructural Analysis and Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy. The scanning electron microscopy technique (SEM) was used, performing it with a JEOL JSM 5950 LV microscope at a vacuum condition of 25 Pa, and 15 kv. Simultaneously, the Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) technique was performed; this technique allows obtaining the compositional microanalysis and calcium relative quantities (among others) of the calcic raw material and treatments. This technique poses the advantage of allowing to analyze specific areas in the surface, and it comes pre-installed in the JEOL equipment [32].

Statistical Analysis. A factorial design was made with one factor (formulation), which had two levels: with and without calcium (treatment 1 and 2). Physicochemical and physical variables (rheology and color) were determined in two replicas with 3 and 8 repetitions/lot, respectively. Each replica was the result of a pool of 10 candy samples of 5 g/sample. Results were assessed according to the ANOVA, using the LSD (Least Significant Differences) procedure as a multiple comparison method, with a 95% confidence level ($\alpha=0.05$) and an 85% power level. The analysis of variance was performed with the 5.1 Statgraphics Plus Statistical Software.

RESULTS

Physicochemical Analyses. Table 2 shows mean values, standard deviation and analysis of variance of the candies’ physicochemical analyses for the candies without and with added calcium. Moisture, °Brix, and $a_*$ did not show significant differences ($p>0.05$) regarding the treatment factor due to the high variability coefficients that the samples presented; while in the case of acidity and pH, there were significant differences ($p<0.05$).

Acidity in treatment 1 was higher than in treatment 2, which is consistent with the lowest pH. These differences can be attributed to the hydrolysis and dissociation of the dairy calcium complex, where the calcium citrate predominates. Calcium citrate generates a strong base of calcium hydroxide, which has the shock-absorbing capacity of the acids supplied by the cape gooseberry pulp, reducing proton concentration [12]. Some authors have reported the shock-absorbing effect of calcium over the acidity in the production process of different food products [12, 17].

Table 3 shows the mean values and standard deviation for the calcium concentration in the treatments. Treatment-2 candies presented a calcium content equivalent to the 400% of treatment 1, which corresponds to a DRV% of approximately 20% in a 15 g portion. Therefore, in the nutritional properties of this product, the following descriptors can be declared: “excellent source of calcium” or “good source of calcium” [21].

Texture Profile. Table 4 shows mean values, standard deviation and analysis of variance of the candies’ TPA for the applied treatments. Hardness, gumminess and chewiness, did not present significant differences ($p>0.05$) regarding the treatment factor, while springiness and cohesiveness did present significant differences ($p<0.05$).

Treatment-2 candies presented higher elasticity, which can be attributed to the interactions of calcium as divalent cation with the other components of the candy (protein, fiber, carbohydrates, among others). These interactions have been exposed by several authors who have researched the linking capacity of casein with calcium through the residues of phosphoserine, with the formation of bridges that tend to cause growth and aggregation of the submicelles [33]. Such situation is affected by factors like pH, temperature, ionic strength and concentration of calcium ions [34]. The previously described facts could cause that treatment-2 candies have a higher recovery rate than treatment-1 candies after eliminating the distorting strength. The elastic characteristic of the treatments could also be conferred to the presence of sorbitol as plasticizing agent in the system, which is a fact that several authors have noted [35, 36].

Table 2. Candies’ physicochemical analyses without and with added calcium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Soluble solids (°Brix)</th>
<th>Moisture (%)</th>
<th>$a_*$</th>
<th>$L_*$</th>
<th>Acidity (%)</th>
<th>pH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>91.16 ± 6.47&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.40 ± 0.90&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.17 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.90 ± 0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>84.90 ± 4.50&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.37 ± 0.65&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.29 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.04 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a, b</sup> Values in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different ($p<0.05$). Values are expressed as mean ± SD ($n = 3$).
Cohesiveness, defined as the texture attribute that refers to the food product deformation rate before it breaks [37], was higher in treatment-1 candies. Such adherence is probably provided to the system by the addition of sorbitol [6] because it is in a medium with a low water availability. In treatment 2, cohesiveness was affected possibly by the greater ionic strength that the system experiences due to the presence of the calcium salt ions. This characteristic causes that treatment-2 candies tend to fall apart easier than treatment-1 candies [38].

**Color Analysis.** Table 5 shows mean values, standard deviation and analysis of variance of the measurements of the CIE-L*a*b* color coordinates for the applied treatments to the candies. a* and b* color coordinates do not show significant differences (p>0.05) regarding the treatment factor, while L* color coordinate does show significant differences (p<0.05).

Treatment-2 candies presented lower values in the L* parameter than treatment-1 candies (samples with lighter color and creamy tone); this is attributed to the higher calcium content (white color) in the formulation [13]. Such situation contrasts with the photographs shown in Figure 2.

In general, both candies showed a very similar creamy color tonality, which was contributed by the cape gooseberry pulp. According to the chromatic plane a*b* (Figure 3), such color is located near the limits of the yellow, grey and carrot zones, with tone values (h*) for treatments 1 and 2 of 71.3 ± 1.8 and 69.9 ± 2.5;

**Table 3. Candy calcium content**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Candy</th>
<th>Calcium mg / portion*</th>
<th>DRV** % /portion*</th>
<th>Calories / portion*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment 1</td>
<td>45.5 ± 2.0</td>
<td>4.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment 2</td>
<td>192.5 ± 9.0</td>
<td>19.0 ± 1.0</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Portion: 15 g, ** DRV: Daily Reference Value

**Table 4. TPA results in cape gooseberry soft candies (treatments 1 and 2)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Hardness (N)</th>
<th>Springiness (N)</th>
<th>Cohesiveness (N)</th>
<th>Guminess (N)</th>
<th>Chewiness (N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.39 ± 0.17</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.27</td>
<td>0.391 ± 0.026</td>
<td>0.43 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.36 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.24</td>
<td>0.331 ± 0.011</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5. Candies’ CIE-L*a*b* color coordinates without and with added calcium (treatments 1 and 2)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>51.06 ± 0.61</td>
<td>12.48 ± 0.14</td>
<td>35.8 ± 1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>53.00 ± 0.50</td>
<td>12.25 ± 0.19</td>
<td>35.47 ± 0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * Values in the same column followed by different letters are significantly different (p<0.05). Values are expressed as mean ± SD (n = 8).

**Figure 2. Candies’ physical appearance**

**Figure 3. Location of the candies in the a*b* chromatic plane**
and chroma or saturation values ($C_{ab}^*$) of $38.4 \pm 2.8$ and $36.8 \pm 3.2$, respectively. Similar behaviors have been observed in powdered cape gooseberry obtained through atomization drying [48].

**Micro-Structural Assessment**

Figure 4 shows micrographs of the used calcium source at 500x and 1000x (Figures 4a and 4b), treatment-1 candies (Figures 4c and 4d), and treatment-2 candies (Figures 4e and 4f). The calcium source showed densely and irregularly packed agglomerates, formed by calcium and other components such as dairy protein, carbohydrates, fat, and phosphorus among others, with sizes ranging from 10 to 40 $\mu m$. A microstructure with a homogeneous folding shaped surface was observed in the candies of both treatments 1 and 2, but the shape was slightly more regular in those of treatment 2. This microstructure can be due to the plasticizing effect of the sorbitol in the system [45], and a good dispersion of the components in the polymer matrix, in which fat particles suspended in the network stood out. These interactions could contribute to increasing the candy stability and protecting the fat particles against oxidation [43].

Micro-Structural Assessment. Figure 4 shows micrographs of the used calcium source at 500x and 1000x (Figures 4a and 4b), treatment-1 candies (Figures 4c and 4d), and treatment-2 candies (Figures 4e and 4f). The calcium source showed densely and irregularly packed agglomerates, formed by calcium and other components such as dairy protein, carbohydrates, fat, and phosphorus among others, with sizes ranging from 10 to 40 $\mu m$. A microstructure with a homogeneous folding shaped surface was observed in the candies of both treatments 1 and 2, but the shape was slightly more regular in those of treatment 2. This microstructure can be due to the plasticizing effect of the sorbitol in the system [45], and a good dispersion of the components in the polymer matrix, in which fat particles suspended in the network stood out. These interactions could contribute to increasing the candy stability and protecting the fat particles against oxidation [43].

Figure 4. Micrographs of dairy calcium and treatments
Table 6 shows the results of the EDS analysis obtained for the calcic raw material surface and both applied treatments. It can be observed that common elements that are present in a higher proportion are oxygen, carbon, and calcium representing almost 50% of the material content, as it was expected. Regarding the treatments, the highest calcium content was found in treatment-2 candies. Figures 5 and 6 illustrate the spectra for both treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Peso (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>40,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O</td>
<td>35,07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>16,48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>44,38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>1,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0,95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>3,2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5. Spectrum of treatment-1 candies

Figure 6. Spectrum of treatment-2 candies
CONCLUSIONS

Calcium, as a functional ingredient in the production process of cape gooseberry candies, positively affects some product properties, mainly the acidity, pH, elasticity, cohesiveness, and color (L* parameter with the highest significance).

The production of cape gooseberry soft candies with added calcium and without sucrose represent a viable and healthy technological food alternative, which could contribute to the strengthening of the fruit productive chain and the confectionery industry sector, and at the same time, it could help to prevent health issues among its consumers.

The microstructure of the soft candies with added calcium and without sucrose evidenced the food matrix of plasticizing character, in which its components are dispersed and protected in the polymer network.
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