

Sexual Function and Associated Biopsychosocial Factors in a Hospital-Based Sample of Patients with Pituitary Adenomas*

Función sexual y factores biopsicosociales asociados en una muestra hospitalaria de pacientes con adenomas hipofisarios



Loraine Ledón Llanes **

ORCID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6051-030X>

loraineaitana@gmail.com

Department of Biology of Human Reproduction, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias

Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán (INCMNSZ), México

Marta Margarita Durand Carbajal ***

mdurand65@gmail.com

Department of Biology of Human Reproduction, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias

Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán (INCMNSZ), México

Daniel Cuevas Ramos ****

ceptamim@gmail.com

Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias

Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán (INCMNSZ), México

Beatriz Torres Rodríguez *****

beatorre@infomed.sld.cu

Department of Psychology, Centro de Investigaciones Médico-Quirúrgicas (CIMEQ),

Cuba

Silvia Elena Turcios Tristá *****

silviaelena@infomed.sld.cu

Vice-Direction of Medical Assistance, Instituto Nacional de Endocrinología (INEN),

Cuba

Cinthia Ivette Botello Mendoza *****

drabotello@gmail.com

Department of Biology of Human Reproduction, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias

Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán (INCMNSZ), México

Irazú Contreras Yáñez *****

protocolosar@live.com

Department of Immunology and Rheumatology, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias

Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán (INCMNSZ), México

Fernando Larrea *****

fernando.larreag@gmail.com

Department of Biology of Human Reproduction, Instituto Nacional de Ciencias

Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán (INCMNSZ), México

Received: 02 september 2021

Accepted: 12 April 2022

Citar como: Ledón, L. L., Durand, C. M. M., Cuevas, R. D., Torres, R. B., Turcios, T. S. E., Botello, M. C. I., Contreras, Y. I. & Larrea, F. (2022). Sexual Function and Associated Biopsychosocial Factors in a Hospital-Based Sample of Patients With Pituitary Adenomas. *Diversitas: Perspectivas en Psicología*, 18(2). <https://doi.org/10.15332/22563067.8201>

** Corresponding author. Address: Vasco de Quiroga 15, Belisario Domínguez Secc 16, Tlalpan, 14080, Mexico City, Mexico. Phone: 52-5554870900 (ext. 2409).

*** Vasco de Quiroga 15, Belisario Domínguez Secc 16, Tlalpan, 14080, Mexico City, Mexico.

**** Vasco de Quiroga 15, Belisario Domínguez Secc 16, Tlalpan, 14080, Mexico City, Mexico.

***** Ave 216 entre 11 y 13, Siboney, Playa, Havana, Cuba.

***** Zapata y D, Vedado, Plaza de la Revolución, Havana, Cuba.

***** Vasco de Quiroga 15, Belisario Domínguez Secc 16, Tlalpan, 14080, Mexico City, Mexico.

***** Vasco de Quiroga 15, Belisario Domínguez Secc 16, Tlalpan, 14080, Mexico City, Mexico.

Abstract

Pituitary adenomas (PA) can impair sexual function (SF). The study (cross-sectional and comparative) assessed the SF of patients with functional and nonfunctional PA and determined its relationship with biopsychosocial variables. 66 patients completed a semi-structured interview and self-administered questionnaires. The total SF mean score overcame the cut-off and 26 % of women and 38 % of men presented impaired SF. Hypogonadism, the perception of sexual discomfort, and anxiety in women, whereas the age in men, had a decreasing effect on the total SF score ($p \neq 0.05$). The studied patients with PA showed a moderate overall SF performance and sex-related vulnerabilities expressed in specific sexual domains.

Keywords: gender, pituitary adenomas, sexual and reproductive health, sexual function.

Resumen

Los adenomas hipofisarios (AH) pueden afectar la función sexual (FS). El estudio (transversal y comparativo) evaluó la FS de pacientes con AH funcionantes y no funcionantes y determinó su relación con variables biopsicosociales. 66 pacientes completaron una entrevista semiestructurada y cuestionarios autoadministrados. La puntuación media de la FS superó el punto de corte y el 26 % de mujeres y 38 % de varones presentaron deterioro de la FS. El hipogonadismo, la percepción de dificultades sexuales y la ansiedad en las mujeres, y la edad en los hombres, se asociaron a disminución del puntaje total de FS ($p \neq 0.05$). Los pacientes con AH tuvieron un desempeño sexual moderado y vulnerabilidades en dominios sexuales específicos en mujeres y varones.

Palabras clave: género, adenomas hipofisarios, salud sexual y reproductiva, función sexual.

Introduction

The incidence of pituitary adenomas (PA) is 3.9-7.4 cases per 100,000 (Daly &

Beckers, 2020) and some of the pathological entities they cause are considered rare diseases (Orphanet Report Series, 2021). They represent 10 % of all intracranial neoplasia and are usually benign (Blanco, Fernández & Escribano, 2016), although the benign-malignant paradigm in pituitary neuroendocrine tumors is being questioned (Tortosa, 2019). PA are classified according to their size into microadenomas (<1 cm) and macroadenomas (≥ 1 cm), and in functional (FPA) and nonfunctional (NFPA) depending on their hormonal activity (Blanco, Fernández & Escribano, 2016).

Both FPA and NFPA affect the health-related quality of life (HRQoL), even after the disease control when hypopituitarism is treated (González et al., 2016). Sexual and reproductive health (SRH) is an important dimension of the HRQoL, as it is an expression of the human integral health closely linked with individual welfare. The World Health Organization (WHO) considers reproductive health (RH) as a key aspect of sexual health (SH), defined as the state of physical, mental, and social well-being in relation to sexuality, and not merely the absence of infirmity, dysfunction, or discomfort (OMS, 2018). SRH is closely related to physical and mental health and is significantly influenced by sociocultural aspects (Omo-Aghoja, 2013).

One of its dimensions, the sexual function (SF), is a crucial part of the individual's identity and it is relevant in all health and disease stages. It consists of the transition between phases from arousal to relaxation, with pleasure and satisfaction (APA, 2013). SF is related to sexual and gender roles, individual health status, and other biopsychosocial variables (Simon & Lukas, 2017).

PA can affect different aspects of the SRH such as hypopituitarism in acromegaly, oligomenorrhea and decreased sexual desire

(DSD) in Cushing's disease (CD), hypogonadism and DSD in PA-secreting prolactin (PRL), erectile disorder (ED) in men, subfertility, and infertility (Blanco, Fernández & Escribano, 2016; Krysiak et al., 2016). Hypopituitarism also occurs in many patients with NFPA (González et al., 2016). Treatments for PA, which combine surgery, radiotherapy, and/or pharmacotherapy, may likewise impair SRH (Blanco, Fernández & Escribano, 2016; González et al., 2016), and specifically, SF can be affected by hormonal disturbances, compressive effects, the comorbidities, the associated psychological disorders, and the changes produced in physical appearance (Balercia et al., 2007; Novoa et al., 2013; Tortosa, 2019). Nevertheless, SF in patients with PA is still little explored, considered as determined by medical factors, and insufficiently understood regarding its relationship with psychosocial parameters which have been suggested as SF determinants in people with chronic illnesses (Daleboudt et al., 2013).

To our knowledge scant studies have researched SF in patients with PA, comparing different groups of diagnosis (FPA/NFPA), sex (women/men), and age (young adults/middle-aged adults), and relating SF performance with psychosocial and health variables. The present study aimed to evaluate SF in patients with PA and to determine its relationship with some sociodemographic, health, SRH, and psycho-affective variables.

Materials and methods

The study was approved by the local ethics committee (Instituto Nacional de Ciencias Médicas y Nutrición Salvador Zubirán-INCMNSZ, with registration number CONBIOÉTICA-09-CEI-011- 20160627). The principles of the Declaration of Helsinki

were followed, and informed consents were obtained from all patients.

A comparative cross-sectional study was carried out between September-November 2016, and from December 2018-August 2019. Seventy-three patients with PA (52 women and 21 men) between 20- 59 years old, diagnosed according to the 2017 WHO Classification (Osamura et al., 2017), were recruited from the Neuroendocrinology outpatient clinic of the Department of Endocrinology and Metabolism at INCMNSZ, Mexico City. They were selected in a non-probabilistic convenience way and distributed into two age groups: young adults (20-39 years old) and middle-aged adults (40-59 years old) (Kurubilla et al., 2018).

Seven patients were excluded because of hysterectomy (3 women), brain aneurysm clipping (1 man), Sheehan syndrome (1 woman), early-stage pregnancy (1 woman), and for not completing the evaluation (1 woman). To exclude patients with major cognitive disorders, they completed a brief cognitive assessment: the Mini-Mental State Exam during the first phase of the study and the Montreal Cognitive Assessment during the second phase, both validated for the Mexican population (Ostrosky-Solís, López-Arango & Ardila, 2000; Águila-Navarro et al., 2018). No patient was diagnosed with moderate or major cognitive disorder (Llamas-Velasco et al., 2015; Loureiro et al., 2018).

The participants were asked to complete a semi-structured interview aimed to collect sociodemographic, general health, and SRH information, some of which was supplemented with their medical records. All patients completed the Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI) and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI), validated for the Mexican population, to evaluate the presence and severity of anxiety and depression, respectively (Jurado et al., 1998; Robles et al., 2001). These multiple-

choice self-reporting scales have a total score range from 0-63, a cut-off value to discriminate the presence of anxiety ≥ 6 in BAI (Robles et al, 2001) and depression ≥ 10 in BDI (Jurado et al., 1998), and specific ranges to describe their severity (Beck & Steer, 1987; 1990).

Women were subjected to the validated Spanish version of the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) (Blümel et al., 2004), which is a self-report questionnaire including 19 items subdivided into six domains of the SF performance for the last four weeks: sexual desire, sexual arousal, vaginal lubrication, orgasm, pain and sexual satisfaction (Rosen et al., 2000). For each domain, a score was calculated and the higher scores indicated better performances. The total SF score, being the sum of the six domain scores, may range from 2-36, with lower values indicating a higher symptom burden. Women with a total SF score of <26.55 were classified as presenting impaired SF (Wiegel, Meston & Rosen, 2005) and each sexual domain with a score $<65\%$ (<3.9) was considered impaired (Barut et al., 2018).

Men were subjected to the validated Spanish version of the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF) (López et al., 2013), which is a self-administered questionnaire including 15 items of the SF performance for the last four weeks, subdivided into five domains: erectile function (EF), orgasmic function, sexual desire, overall satisfaction, and intercourse satisfaction (Rosen et al., 1997). For each sexual domain, a score was calculated and the higher scores indicate better performances. The six domain scores were added to obtain the total SF that lies from 5-75, with higher scores indicating better SF. The total EF score ranged from 6-30 (Hernández et al., 2017), with a cut-off for ED <26 and the following intervals to describe its severity: no ED (26-30), mild (22-25), mild-moderate

(17- 21), moderate (11-16), and severe (1-10) (Cappelleri et al., 1999). A cut-off value was used for the other sexual domains based on a qualitative analysis in which the diminished and/or the presence of difficulties was interpreted as an impairing indicator. Therefore, each domain under a 60 % score (<6 in orgasmic function, sexual desire, and overall satisfaction, and <9 in intercourse satisfaction) was considered as impaired. The cut-off value for the total SF score was determined by adding the cut-off values of each sexual domain; hence, a total SF <53 was considered as impaired.

A trained interviewer conducted the interviews and assisted participants in completing the questionnaires when necessary, in a private room at the clinical center.

Descriptive statistics were performed to describe the variables of the patients, with frequencies and percentages for qualitative variables and the mean ($\bar{x}$) and standard deviation (Sd) for quantitative variables. The characteristics of patients were compared considering diagnosis, sex, and age, using the Pearson- χ^2 test and the Student's t-test for qualitative and quantitative variables, respectively. Association between variables with total SF score, impaired SF, DSD in women, and ED in men was tested with the Mann-Whitney U Test or the Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks (for quantitative variables), the Pearson's- χ^2 test (for qualitative variables) and the Spearman-Rank correlations (high >0.8 , average 0.5-0.7, and low <0.5). Simple linear or binary logistic regression analyses were performed for variables with a significant association in bivariate analysis. The univariate odds ratios (exp B) and confidence intervals (95 % CI) were computed for each analysis.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS (version 22.0, IBM Corp. USA). P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Sociodemographic and health characteristics

Patient sociodemographic characteristics and their comparisons between diagnosis, sex, and age group are summarized in Table A1. Sixty-six patients (46 women, 70 %, and 20 men, 30 %) participated in the study: 47 with FPA (72.2 %) and 19 with NFPA (28.8 %), 32 young adults (48.5 %) and 34 middle-aged adults (51.5 %). The mean age for the total sample was 40.2 ± 10.1 years, with men showing higher values. Most patients lived in Mexico City (32, 48.5 %), reported a superior level of formal education (32, 48.5 %), had paid occupations (51, 77.3 %), were mainly men, and referred being Catholic (51, 77.3 %). Most patients (45, 68.2 %) had a couple relationship, mainly steady (42, 93.3 %), and reported living with the couple (37, 82.2 %). The mean of the length of the couple relationship was 13.3 ± 10.1 years, being higher in NFPA patients, men, and middle-aged adults.

Considering the type of secreting hormone in FPA, 15 patients (31.9 %) were diagnosed with CD (adrenocorticotrophic hormone-secreting), 14 (29.8 %) with acromegaly (growth hormone GH secreting), 14 (29.8 %) with prolactinoma (PRL secreting), and 4 (8.5 %) as plurihormonal (GH and PRL secreting). According to the PA size, 25 patients (53.2 %) with FPA were classified with macroadenomas and 22 (46.8 %) with microadenomas, whereas 10 patients with NFPA (57.9 %) were classified with microadenomas and 9 (47.4 %) with macroadenomas.

Patient health characteristics and their comparisons between diagnosis, sex, and age group are summarized in Table A2. The mean of time since the PA diagnosis was 7.9 ± 6.6 years, being higher in NFPA. Most patients (43, 65.2 %) were in control of the PA, especially the NFPA ones. Almost all patients (64, 97.0 %) had other endocrine and metabolic disorders (EMD), and all of them had at least one uncontrolled. The most frequent EMDs were dyslipidemia (42, 65.6 %), vitamin D deficiency (34, 54.7 %), thyroid disease (28, 43.8 %), insulin resistance (27, 42.2 %), and obesity (25, 39.1 %). Twelve patients (18.2 %) had secondary hypogonadotropic hypogonadism (SHH), mainly men, and mostly (7, 58.3 %) were uncontrolled from this condition. More than half of patients (36, 54.6 %) were living with other chronic illnesses (CI), and most of them (21, 58.3 %) had at least one uncontrolled. The most frequent CI diagnoses were digestive (19, 52.8 %) and cardiovascular (17, 47.2 %). About a quarter of patients (16, 24.2 %) received mental health assistance, especially for depressive disorders (7, 43.8 %) and coping with conflict situations (6, 37.5 %), and a similar proportion (14, 21.2 %) used psychoactive substances, mostly cigarettes (8, 57.1 %) and alcohol (7, 50 %).

Sexual and reproductive health characteristics

With regard to the RH variables, 5 middle-aged women (10.9 %) were in physiological menopause. They were excluded from the analysis of the following reproductive variables, except for the parenthood desire. Table A3 shows specific information about sexual and reproductive health characteristics. Less than half of patients (27, 44.3 %) used contraceptive methods, especially condoms (10, 37.0 %) by young adults and bilateral tubal ligation-BTL (8, 29.6 %) by middle-aged adults. More than a

third (24, 36.4 %) perceived having fertility difficulties and 7 (11.9 %) had a medical diagnosis of infertility, mainly the NFPA patients. More than half of patients (35, 53.0 %) had children, mostly middle-aged, and around a third (21, 31.8 %) referred parenthood desire, especially young adults.

With regard to the SH variables, almost all patients identified themselves as heterosexuals (61, 92.4 %) and reported having initiated sexual intercourse (62, 93.9 %). Most patients referred current coital activity (45, 68.2 %) and more than a third (24, 36.4 %) reported autoerotic activity; hence, most (52, 78.8 %) participants reported being sexually active at the time of the study. Three patients (4.6 %) were infected with sexually transmitted infections-STI (all middle-aged men with FPA and HIV), and 27 (40.9 %) were considered to have sexual discomforts as DSD (10, 37.0 %), mainly in women, and ED in men (6, 22.2 %). Other sexual discomforts referred were pain/discomfort during coitus (5, 18.5 %), women referred self-limited sexual activity because of unsatisfied self-image (3 with FPA, 11.1 %), coitus absence, coital bleeding, difficulty in reaching the orgasm, couple conflicts with negative effects on the couple's sexual performance, and health worries for the absence of sexual activity (1, 5.9 %, each one), and men referred decreased seminal volume (2, 7.4 %), an ejaculation, premature ejaculation, and increased sexual desire (1, 10.0 %, each one).

Assessment of the psycho-affective state and the sexual function

The results of the psycho-affective state assessment and their comparisons between diagnosis, sex, and age group are summarized in Table A4. Most patients (49, 74.2 %), generally women, scored with anxiety at a mild level (32, 48.5 %). The severity

anxiety ranges showed significant differences considering the age group. Twenty-eight patients (42.4 %) scored with depression, mainly mild (13, 19.7 %) and moderate (11, 16.7 %).

Most patients (43, 65.2 %) completed the SF questionnaires (27 women, 58.7 %, 16 men, 80 %). The results of the SF assessment and comparisons according to diagnosis, sex, and age group are summarized in Table A5 and A6. The mean of the total SF score was above the cut-off in women (29.0 ± 4.4) and men (54.5 ± 12.2), and a quarter of women (7, 25.9 %) and more than a third of men (6, 37.5 %) had scores consistent with impaired SF.

Almost all the mean scores of the female sexual domains surpassed the cut-off values, except for sexual desire (3.7 ± 0.9) in which more than half of women (16, 59.3 %) had scores consistent with impairing. The other female sexual domains showed frequencies of impairment under 23.0 %. The higher scores were obtained in the pain domain (5.4 ± 0.8) mainly in women with FPA. No significant differences were found in the total SF mean scores nor in the frequencies of the impaired SF or other female sexual domains.

Most of the mean scores of the male sexual domains surpassed the cut-off values, except for intercourse satisfaction (8.0 ± 1.2) and EF (22.8 ± 6.8), and half of the men (8, 50 %) showed mainly mild and mild-moderate ED (3, 18.8 %, each one). The other sexual domains showed frequencies of impairment under 19 %. No significant differences were found regarding the means of the total male SF scores and the sexual domain scores neither in the frequencies of impaired SF and impaired sexual domains.

Association analysis between some variables with the sexual function and

with the presence of impaired sexual function

From the sociodemographic variables, it was found a negative and very low correlation between age and the SF score ($p < 0.05$, $r = -0.027$), and an association between age with the impaired SF and ED in men ($p < 0.05$). From the health variables, it was found an association between having SHH with the SF score and with the impaired SF in women ($p < 0.05$), between living with other CI and the SF score, and between having at least one uncontrolled CI and the impaired SF, these last in men ($p < 0.05$). From the SRH variables, there was a significant association between the perception of having sexual discomforts with the SF score, the impaired SF ($p < 0.01$), and the DSD ($p < 0.05$), and between the use of contraceptive methods with the impaired SF ($p < 0.05$), all in women; whereas in men between the autoerotic activity with the impaired SF and ED, and between the perception of fertility difficulties with the SF score and with the impaired SF ($p < 0.05$). It was found a negative and average correlation between anxiety ($p < 0.05$, $r = -0.614$) and depression ($p < 0.05$, $r = -0.586$) and the SF scores, an association between depression with the impaired SF, and an association between anxiety and depression with the DSD, all in women. In all cases, the correlations had p values < 0.05 , and the point value is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Association between some sociodemographic, general health, SRH, and psycho-affective variables with the SF score, the impaired SF, and the decreased SD in women and ED in men

Variables	SF score		Impaired SF		DSD		ED	
	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men
Socio-demographic	Age	$r = 0.021^1$	$r = -0.027^{1*}$	$r = 0.439^2$	$r = 0.007^{2**}$	$r = 0.824^2$	$r = 0.016^{2*}$	
	Formal education	0.220 ²	0.511 ³	0.874 ⁴	0.441 ⁴	0.366 ⁴	0.074 ⁴	
	Religion	0.554 ³	0.410 ³	0.080 ⁴	0.869 ⁴	0.782 ⁴	0.435 ⁴	
	Length of the couple's relationship	$r = 0.034^1$	$r = 0.138^1$	0.934 ²	0.465 ²	0.289 ²	0.685 ²	
	Diagnosis (FPA-NFPA)	0.167 ²	0.687 ²	0.201 ⁴	0.869 ⁴	0.384 ⁴	0.522 ⁴	

Variables	SF score		Impaired SF		DSD		ED	
	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men
SRH	Size of PA	0.328 ²	0.431 ²	0.711 ⁴	0.551 ⁴	0.701 ⁴	0.248 ⁴	
	Control of PA	0.937 ²	0.210 ²	0.373 ⁴	0.424 ⁴	0.053 ⁴	0.302 ⁴	
	SHH	0.013 ^{4*}	0.590	0.033 ^{4*}	0.889 ^{4*}	0.223 ⁴	0.910	
	Living with other CI	0.903 ³	0.026 ^{3*}	0.326 ⁴	0.182 ⁴	0.930 ⁴	0.614 ⁴	
	At least 1 uncontrolled CI	0.078 ²	0.071 ²	0.121 ⁴	0.028 ^{4*}	0.558	0.058 ⁴	
	Receiving mental health assistance	0.351 ²	0.801 ²	0.535 ⁴	0.606 ⁴	0.166 ⁴	1.000 ⁴	
	Psychoactive substance use	0.973 ²	0.968 ²	0.963 ⁴	0.424 ⁴	0.296 ⁴	0.590 ⁴	
	Current autoerotic activity	0.902 ²	0.128 ²	0.895 ⁴	0.039 ^{4*}	0.701 ⁴	0.046 ^{4*}	
	Perception of sexual discomfort	0.001 ^{2*}	0.496 ²	0.0002 ^{4*}	0.515 ⁴	0.048 ^{4*}	0.614 ⁴	
	Use of contraceptive methods	0.821 ²	0.745 ²	0.049 ^{4*}	0.182 ⁴	0.313 ⁴	0.302 ⁴	
	Perception of fertility difficulties	0.198 ²	0.046 ^{2*}	0.580 ⁴	0.037 ^{4*}	0.310 ⁴	0.244 ⁴	
	Medical diagnosis of infertility	0.640 ²	0.382 ²	0.426 ⁴	0.137 ⁴	0.296 ⁴	0.522 ⁴	
	Having children	0.542 ²	0.650 ²	0.326 ⁴	0.330 ⁴	0.930 ⁴	0.106 ⁴	
	Parenthood desire	0.884 ²	0.508 ²	0.922 ⁴	0.381 ⁴	0.381 ⁴	0.055 ⁴	
	Anxiety	$r = -0.614^{1*}$	$r = -0.166^1$	0.086 ²	0.175 ²	0.015 ^{2*}	0.318 ²	
	Depression	$r = 0.586^{1*}$	$r = 0.227^{1*}$	0.031 ^{2*}	1.000 ²	0.008 ^{2**}	0.834 ²	

Source: Authors elaboration

1 Spearman Rank order correlation.

r : Spearman's coefficient: $*p \leq 0.05$.

2 p value from Mann-Whitney U Test: $*p \leq 0.05$.

3 p value from Kruskal-Wallis ANOVA by Ranks.

4 p value from Pearson's

Chi-squared test: $*p \leq 0.05$.

CI: chronic illness; DSD: decreased sexual desire; ED: erectile dysfunction; FPA: functional pituitary adenoma; NFPA: nonfunctional pituitary adenoma; PA: pituitary adenoma; SF: sexual function; SHH: secondary hypogonadotropic hypogonadism; SRH: sexual and reproductive health.

Regression analysis from the associated variables with the sexual function and with the presence of impaired sexual function

As summarized in Table 2, the predictive variables that showed an effect on the decrease of the total SF score in women were the SHH diagnosis [adjusted R^2 (AR^2): 0.274; OR: -0.55 CI 95 % (-14.9_- 3.4)], the perception of sexual discomfort [AR²: 0.450; OR: -0.69 CI 95 % (-8.6_-3.4)], and the anxiety score [AR²: 0.171; OR: -0.45 CI 95 % (-0.3_-0.03)], all with low effects and p -values < 0.05 . In men, age showed a low effect on the decrease of the total SF score [AR²: 0.426; OR: -0.68 CI 95 % (-1.57_- 0.37); $p = 0.004$]. The impaired SF, DSD in women, and ED in men had no predictor variables with significant regression coefficients.

Table 2. Regression analysis between the correlated variables with the SF score, the impaired SF, and with decreased SD in women and ED in men

Variables	SF score		Impaired SF		DSD	
	AR ²	Exp β (95 % CI)	AR ²	Exp β (95 % CI)	AR ²	Exp β (95 % CI)
Socio-demo graphic						
Age (men)	0.426 ¹	-0.68 (-1.57, -0.37)*	0.454 ²	1.44 (0.99, 2.1)	-----	0.313 ²
General health						
SHH (women)	0.274 ¹	-0.55 (-14.9, -3.4)*	0.196 ²	N/A	-----	-----
Living with other CI (men)	0.095 ¹	-0.4 (-1.7, -2.7)*	-----	-----	-----	-----
At least 1 uncontrolled CI (men)	-----	-----	0.533 ²	N/A	-----	-----
SRH						
Autoerotic activity (men)	-----	-----	0.248 ²	0.086 (0.01, 1.08)*	-----	0.200 ²
Perception of sexual discomfort (women)	0.450 ¹	-0.69 (-8.58, -3.44)**	0.457 ²	N/A	0.142	5.79 (0.94, 35.8)*
Perception of fertility difficulties (men)	0.136 ¹	0.44 (-1.04, 19.5)*	0.313 ²	N/A	-----	-----
Use of contraceptive methods (women)	-----	-----	0.009 ²	1.75 (0.15, 20.2)*	-----	-----
Psycho-affective						
Anxiety (women)	0.171 ¹	-0.46 (-0.9, -0.03)*	-----	-----	0.113 ²	1.08 (0.98, 1.18)*
Depression (women)	0.078 ¹	-0.34 (-0.24, 0.02)*	0.039 ²	0.97 (0.91, 1.03)*	0.041 ²	1.04 (0.96, 1.12)*

Source: Authors elaboration
AR2 represents the adjusted R2 from linear regression analysis¹ and from binary logistic regression analysis²

* represents p values from the significance of the regression analysis: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, †p>0.05.

CI: chronic illness; DSD: decreased sexual desire; ED: erectile dysfunctions; N/A: Not applicable because OR could not be estimated due to frequencies equal to 0; SF: sexual function; SHH: secondary hypogonadotropic hypogonadism; SRH: sexual and reproductive health.

Discussion

PA can influence the SRH through the features related to the disease itself, to the treatment, and to the associated psychological issues (Balercia et al., 2007; Novoa et al., 2013; Krysiak et al., 2016). Thus, the relevance of providing insight into the possible biopsychosocial factors related to SF in this population. The present study assessed SF in patients with PA and determined its relationship with some sociodemographic, health, SRH, and psycho-affective variables.

The mean scores in women exceeded the cut-off values for the total SF score and each sexual domain, with the exception of sexual desire which was the most vulnerable sexual domain in women with more than

half of women with impairments. The better scores and the lowest frequencies of impairing were obtained in the pain, vaginal lubrication, and satisfaction sexual domains. These may express the relative structural and functional preservation of the sexual organs, the adequacy of the sexual arousal process (Lundberg & Hultér, 1991), and/or the positive effect of a satisfactory intimacy and couple relationship, aspects that were not explored. Some authors have identified impairing only in sexual desire (Krysiak et al., 2016), whereas others have found almost all sexual domains impaired (Granata et al., 2012; Celik et al., 2013), but sexual desire has consistently been recognized as the most affected domain in women with PA (Granata et al., 2012; Celik et al., 2013; Carosa, Sansone & Jannini, 2020).

In the men studied, the mean total SF score also exceeded the cut-off value and so did the sexual domain scores except for the intercourse satisfaction and the EF domains. The frequencies of impaired male sexual domains tended to be low except for the EF with scores consistent with ED in half of the men. Several studies also demonstrated the preponderance of ED in men with different PA (Buvat, 2003; Lotti et al., 2015; Wen-Jian-Long et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019). Notably, the frequency of DSD in the men studied was not higher considering it is prevalent in patients with PA and could be associated with ED (Granata et al., 2012; Maggi et al., 2013; Wen-Jian-Long et al., 2018), as a result of the disease, the aging process, and the SHH diagnosis (Corona et al., 2016; Crawford & Kennedy, 2016).

These FSFI and IIEF findings were supported by the participants' perception of having sexual discomforts, considered as sexual expressions that, although they do not constitute sexual dysfunction (SD) according to the clinical criteria (APA, 2013), have deleterious effects on sexual well-being from the subjective

assessment (Alcántara-Zavala & Amuchátegui-Herrera, 2009). The DSD in women and ED in men are the most frequent sexual disorders in this population (Krysiak et al., 2016; Vieira-Cintra et al., 2018), the classic symptoms of severe hypogonadism (Balercia et al., 2007; Worsley et al., 2016), and have been associated to the psycho-affective dynamic of living with the disease and with the expression of traditional gender roles (Ledón et al., 2006; 2017). Other sexual discomforts reported also showed a sex divide with women referring discomforts in some biopsychosocial dimensions of sexuality (self-image, couple dynamics, overall health), while men are more restrained to the SF domains and to some of its biological aspects (seminal volume). To analyze these particularities some differences found in the studied women and men, about the age, the SHH diagnosis, and the psycho-affective state must be considered.

With regard to age, men not only showed a higher mean age than women, but correlation analysis indicated an inverse association between age and total SF score, and an association with the impaired SF and ED, whereas the regression analysis showed that age had a decreasing effect on the total SF score. Studies with patients with acromegaly have identified the aging process as associated with worse SF performances and SD (Celik et al., 2013; Vieira-Cintra et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2019). With regard to the SHH diagnosis, although most men were identified with SHH, there were women in whom the SHH showed an association with the impaired SF and had a predictive effect on the decreasing SF score. This makes it more striking that the mean scores of the other female sexual domains (besides sexual desire) were not lower. SHH resulting from disorders of the hypothalamus and pituitary gland causes a lack of sex steroid production and low serum testosterone levels (Barut et al., 2018; Richard-Eaglin, 2018). It is also associated with decreased HRQoL related

to psychological effects (Flitsch, Spitzner & Lüdecke, 2000), and in women, SHH causes vaginal atrophy, reduced vaginal lubrication, discomfort during intercourse, and impair orgasm and satisfaction (Glasier et al., 2006). With regard to the psycho-affective state, women showed the highest scores of anxiety and depression, which inversely correlated with their SF score, showed association with DSD and with impaired SF in the case of depression, whereas the regression analysis showed anxiety as a predictor variable for the decrease of the female SF score. Studies have shown a high prevalence of psychological distress even in long-term cure pituitary disorders (Sonino et al., 2007; Cosci, Fava & Sonino, 2015), and inverse correlation between BDI and FSFI scores in this population (Celik et al., 2013; Krysiak et al., 2016; Vieira-Cintra et al., 2018). It is plausible to consider that the psycho-affective state in the studied women could explain why sexual desire was the most vulnerable female sexual domain, since it is closely related to psychosocial variables (Lightner, 2002; Celik et al., 2013), besides hormone deficiencies and medical intervention (Barut et al., 2018; Richard-Eaglin, 2018).

It is also possible that the particularities in the reported sexual discomforts and/or impaired sexual domains in women and men could also be explained by the traditionally gendered socialization that attributes different meanings to female and male sexuality. The values and principles of a patriarchal society are preponderant in Mexico, where sexual relationships are organized based on stereotypes assigned to each sex (Abril et al., 2018). The higher identification of the DSD in women and the ED in men could also express the relevance of the female availability for the sexual encounter as a subordination expression and the overvaluation of the sexual role of the penis as a male power extension, respectively

(Sosa-Sánchez, 2013). These aspects were not explored in the study.

Other health and SRH variables also showed significant association with the SF indicators. In men, living with other CI was associated with the SF score, and having one uncontrolled CI was associated with impaired SF. Especially, ED is considered an early symptom of cardiovascular disease and other EMD due to common risk factors and pathophysiology mediated by endovascular dysfunction and chronic low-grade inflammation (Maggi et al., 2013; Lotti et al., 2015; Richard-Eaglin, 2018; Chen et al., 2019; Vargas-Ortega et al., 2019). In women, the perception of sexual discomforts predicted the decrease of the total SF score, which could express their awareness of their sexual vulnerabilities, whereas the use of contraception was associated with impaired SF. The research results about the effects of different contraceptive methods on female SF are controversial and seem to be more related to biopsychosocial and cultural variables (Casey, MacLaughlin & Faubion, 2016; Guida et al., 2019; Makhathini, Makinga & Green-Thompson, 2019). The autoerotic activity, a frequent sexual behavior in the male general population (Vilet & Galán, 2021), was associated with ED in the studied men and was associated with a lower risk of severe ED and DSD (Corona et al., 2010). The perception of fertility difficulties was associated with the SF score in men. Infertility has been considered a cause and a consequence of SD (Bechoua, Hamamah & Scalici, 2016), in part related to its psychological burden and its association with masculinity loss (Lotti & Maggi, 2018).

Different authors have documented the higher SF impact from FPA compared with NFPA, because of their hormonal effects and deleterious influence on psychosocial variables (Lundberg & Hulter, 1991; Lightner, 2002; Buvat, 2003; Balercia et al., 2007;

Krysiak et al., 2016; Wen-Jian-Long et al., 2018). In the present study, there were not found significant differences in the sexual variables according to the diagnosis, except for the female pain domain, which scores represented the best sexual domain in FPA and NFPA. Finally, the frequency of impaired SF found in the third part of the sample is similar to the reported by some authors (Lotti et al., 2015; Vieira-Cintra et al., 2018), whereas others reported higher frequencies (Buvat, 2003; Granata et al., 2012; Celik et al., 2013; Chen et al., 2019). These relatively lower frequencies were congruent with the high sexual satisfaction found and may be related to the PA control in most patients and to other psychosexual variables such as the quality of the couple's relationship and sexual intimacy.

The present study has some limitations. The small number of the sample and the inter-groups, the sampling process, and the participation of a single clinical setting limited the generalization of the results. The cross-sectional design did not allow the establishment of a cause-effect relationship between the variables, and not comparing the results with a control group limited the understanding of whether they represented atypical characteristics of the group studied. The subjective nature of the information and the possibility that the sexuality topic may be considered taboo by the participants could generate recall errors and false negative responses. The different SF questionnaires for women and men limited the comparison according to sex. No assay was performed to determine the sexual hormonal status of the patients, and variables related to the couple's relationship were not evaluated.

In conclusion, the SF of the assessed patients with FPA and NFPA showed a moderate performance based on the total SF mean score above the cut-off values on the identification

of impaired SF in the third part of the sample and on the high sexual satisfaction in women and men. Some sex-related vulnerabilities were found in specific sexual domains, mainly DSD in women and ED in men. The female and male SF indicators considered showed an association and/or dependent relationship with some health, SRH, and psychological variables. These findings point out that patients with PA should receive physical and psychological support to improve their SF, from a multidisciplinary and gender approach. Further research is needed to expand the understanding of this topic.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank PhD Hansel Caballero Aragón for his support in the statistical analysis, and MD Víctor Enríquez for his support during the patients' recruitment.

References

- Abril Valdez, E., Román Pérez, R., Cubillas Rodríguez, M. J. & Domínguez Ibáñez, S. E. (2018). Creencias sobre el uso del condón en una población universitaria [Beliefs about condom use in a university population]. *CIENCIA ergosum*, 25, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.30878/ces.v25n3a5>
- Águila-Navarro, S. G., Mimenza-Alvarado, A. J., Palacios-García, A. A., Samudio-Cruz, A., Gutiérrez-Gutiérrez, L. A. & Ávila-Funes, J. A. (2018). Validez y confiabilidad del MoCA (Montreal Cognitive Assessment) para el tamizaje del deterioro cognoscitivo en México. *Rev Colomb Psiquiat.*, 47, 237-43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcp.2017.05.003>
- Alcántara-Zavala, E. & Amuchástegui-Herrera A. (2009). Terapia sexual y normalización: significados del malestar sexual en mujeres y hombres diagnosticados con disfunción sexual. *Physis Revista de Saúde Coletiva*, 19, 591-615. <https://www.scielo.org/articulo/physis/2009.v19n3/591-615/es/>.
- American Psychiatric Association (APA) (2013). Diagnostic criteria asnd codes. Sexual Dysfunctions. In: APA. *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders* (pp.423-51). 5th ed. Washington, DC: American Psychiatri Publishing.
- Balercia, G., Boscaro, M., Lombardo, F., Carosa, E., Lenzi, A. & Jannini, E. A. (2007). Sexual symptoms in endocrine diseases: psychosomatic perspectives. *Psychoter Psychosom*, 76, 134-40. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000099840>
- Barut, U., Çoksüer, H., Sak, S., Bozkurt, M., Agacayak, E., Hamurcu, U., et al (2018). Evaluation of Sexual Function in women with hypogonadotropic hypogonadism using the Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI) and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). *Med Sci Monit*, 24, 5610-8. DOI: 10.12659/MSM.910304
- Bechoua, S., Hamamah, S. & Scalici, E. (2016). Male infertility: an obstacle to sexuality? *Andrology*, 4, 395- 403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/andr.12160>
- Beck, A. & Steer, R. A. (1990). *Beck Anxiety Inventory Manual*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Beck, A. & Steer, R. A. (1987). *Beck Depression Inventory Manual*. San Antonio, TX: The Psychological Corporation.
- Blanco Carrera, C., Fernández-Fernández, C. & Escribano Taioli, P. (2016). Adenomas funcionantes de la hipófisis. *Medicine*. 2016, 12, 838-49. <http://residenciamflapaz.com/Articulos%20Residencia%2017/126%20Adenomas%20funcionantes%20de%20la%20hip%C3%B3fisis.pdf>
- Blümel, J.E., Binfa, L., Cataldo, L., Carrasco, A., Izaguirre, H. & Sarrá, S. (2004).

- Índice de función sexual femenina: un test para evaluar la sexualidad de la mujer. *Rev Chil Obstet Ginecol.*, 69, 118-25. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0717-75262004000200006>
- Buvat, J. (2003). Hyperprolactinemia and sexual function in men: a short review. *International Journal of Impotence Research*, 15, 373-7. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijir.3901043>
- Cappelleri, J. C., Rosen, R. C., Smith, M. D., Quirk, F., Maytm, M. C., Mishra, A., et al. (1999). Some developments on the International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF). *Drug Information Journal*, 33, 179-90. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009286159903300122>
- Carosa, E., Sansone, A. & Jannini, E.A. (2020). Female sexual dysfunction for the endocrinologist. *European Journal of Endocrinology*, 182, 101-16. <https://doi.org/10.1530/EJE-19-0903>
- Casey, P. M., MacLaughlin, K. L. & Faubion, S. S. (2016). Impact of contraception on female sexual function. *J Women's Health (Larchmt)*, 23, 1-7. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2015.5703>
- Celik, O., Hatipoglu, E., Akhan, S. E., Uludag, S. & Kadioglu, P. (2013). Acromegaly is associated with higher frequency of female sexual dysfunction: experience of a single center. *Endocrine Journal*, 60, 753-61. <https://doi.org/10.1507/endocrj.EJ12-0424>
- Chen, Z., Yu, Y., He, M., Shen, M., Gong, W., Wang, M., et al. (2019). Higher growth hormone levels are associated with erectile dysfunction in male patients with acromegaly. *Endocrine Practice*, 25, 562-71. <https://doi.org/10.4158/EP-2018-0518>
- Corona, G., Isidori, A. M., Aversa, A., Burnett, A. L. & Maggi, M. (2016). Endocrinologic control of men's sexual desire and arousal/erection. *J Sex Med*, 13, 317-37. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2016.01.007>
- Corona, G., Ricca, V., Boddi, V., Bandini, E., Lotti, F., Fisher, A. D., et al. (2010). Autoeroticism, mental health, and organic disturbances in patients with erectile dysfunction. *J Sex Med*, 7, 182-91. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-6109.2009.01497.x>
- Cosci, F., Fava, G. A. & Sonino, N. (2015). Mood and anxiety disorders as early manifestations of medical illness: a systematic review. *Psychother Psychosom*, 84, 22-9. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000367913>
- Crawford, M. & Kennedy, L. (2016). Testosterone replacement therapy: role of pituitary and thyroid in diagnosis and treatment. *Translational Andrology Urology*, 5, 850-8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tau.2016.09.01>
- Daleboudt, G. M. N., Broadbent, E., McQueen, F. & Kaptein, A. A. (2013). The impact of illness perceptions on sexual functioning in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research*, 74(3), 260-264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychores.2012.11.004>
- Daly, A. F. & Beckers, A. (2020). The Epidemiology of Pituitary Adenomas. *Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am*, 49, 347-55. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecl.2020.04.002>
- Flitsch, J., Spitzner, S. & Lüdecke, D. K. (2000). Emotional disorders in patients with different types of pituitary adenomas and factors affecting the diagnostic process. *Exp Clin Endocrinol Diabetes*, 108, 480-5. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2000-8144>
- González, B., Vargas, G., Espinosa, E., Mendoza-Zubieta, V., Ferreira-Hermosillo, A., Zárate, A., et al. (2016). Health-related quality of life in patients with nonfunctioning pituitary

- adenomas undergoing postoperative radiation therapy: a case-control study. *ENDOCRINE PRACTICE*, 22, 540-5. <https://doi.org/10.4158/EP151100.OR>
- Granata, A. R., Pugni, V., Rochira, V., Zirilli, L. & Carani, C. (2012). Hormonal regulation of male sexual desire: the role of testosterone, estrogen, prolactin, oxytocin, vasopressin, and others. In: Maggi, M. (Ed.). *Hormonal therapy for male sexual dysfunction* (pp. 72-82). 1st Edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119963820.ch6>
- Guida, M., Troisi, J., Saccone, G., Sarno, L., Caiazza, M., Vivone, C., et al. (2019). Contraceptive use and sexual function: a comparison of Italian female medical students and women attending family planning services. *The European Journal of Contraception & Reproductive Health Care*, 24, 430-7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13625187.2019.1663500>
- Hernández, R., Thieme, T. & Araos, F. (2017). Adaptación y Análisis Psicométrico de la Versión Española del Índice Internacional de Función Eréctil (IIEF) en Población Chilena. *Terapia Psicológica*, 35, 223-30 <https://scielo.conicyt.cl/pdf/terpsicol/v35n3/0716-6184-terpsicol-35-03-0223.pdf>
- Jurado, S., Villegas, E., Méndez, L., Rodríguez, F., Loperena, V. & Varela R. (1998). La estandarización del inventario de Depresión de Beck para los residentes de la ciudad de México. *Salud mental*, 1, 26-31. http://132.247.16.48/index.php/salud_mental/article/view/706/705
- Krysiak, R., Drosdzol-Cop, A., Skrzypulec-Plinta, V. & Okopien, B. (2016). Sexual function and depressive symptoms in young women with elevated macroprolactin content: a pilot study. *Endocrine*, 53, 291- 8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-016-0898-5>
- Kuruvilla, Sh., Sadana, R., Villar Montesinos, E., Beard, J., Franz Vasdeki, J., Araujo de Carvalho, I., et al. (2018). A life-course approach to health: synergy with sustainable development goals. *Bull World Health Organ*, 96, 42-50. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2471/BLT.17.198358>
- Ledón Llanes, L., Agramonte Machado, A., Chirinos Cáceres, J. L., Mendoza Trujillo, M., Fabré Redondo, B., Hernández Yero, J. A., et al. (2006). Experiencia sexual de mujeres y varones que viven con enfermedades endocrinas. *Revista internacional de Andrología: salud sexual y reproductiva*, 4, 60-9. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1698-031X\(06\)73572-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1698-031X(06)73572-5)
- Ledón Llanes, L., Fabré Redondo, B. L., García Álvarez, C. T., Mendoza Trujillo, M., Agramonte Machado, A. & Hernández Rodríguez, J. (2017). Sexualidad de personas con acromegalia y síndrome de Cushing; experiencias y aspectos psicosociales relacionados. *Revista Cubana de Endocrinología*, 28, 1-20. <https://www.medigraphic.com/pdfs/revcubend/rce-2017/rce171d.pdf>
- Lightner, D. J. (2002). Female sexual dysfunction. *Mayo Clin Proc*, 77, 698-702. <https://doi.org/10.4065/77.7.698>
- Llamas-Velasco, S., Llorente-Ayuso, L., Contador, I. & Bermejo-Pareja, F. (2015). Versiones en Español del Minimental State Examination (MMSE). Cuestiones para su uso en la práctica clínica. *Rev Neurol*, 61, 363-71. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Sara_Velasco2/publication/283842742_FPanish_versions_of_the_Minimental_State_Examination_MMSE_Questions_for_their_use_in_clinical_practice/links/565311fd08ae1ef92975ad01.pdf
- López, C. M., Heredia, M. E., González, R. & Rosales, E. (2013). Disfunción eréctil en portadores de diabetes mellitus tipo

- 2 en edad productiva. *Rev. méd. Chile*, 141, 1555-9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.4067/S0034-98872013001200009>
- Lotti, F. & Maggi, M. (2018). Sexual dysfunction and male infertility. *Nature Reviews Urology*, 15, 287-307. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrurol.2018.20>
- Lotti, F., Rochira, V., Pivonello, R., Santi, D., Galdiero, M., Maseroli, E., et al. (2015). Erectile dysfunction is common among men with acromegaly and is associated with morbidities related to GH excess rather than to a direct GH effect on erectile function. *J Sex Med.*, 12, 1184-93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jsm.12859>
- Loureiro, C., García, C., Adana, L., Yacelga, T., Rodríguez-Lorenzana, A. & Maruta, C. (2018). Uso del test de evaluación cognitiva de Montreal (MoCA) en América Latina: revisión sistemática. *Rev Neurol*, 66, 397-408. <https://doi.org/10.33588/rn.6612.2017508>
- Lundberg, P. O. & Hulter, B. (1991). Sexual dysfunction in patients with hypothalamo-pituitary disorders. *Exp. Clin. Endocrinol.*, 98, 81-8. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0029-1211104>
- Maggi, M., Buvat, J., Corona, G., Guay, A. & Torres, L. O. (2013). Hormonal causes of male sexual dysfunctions and their management (Hyperprolactinemia, Thyroid Disorders, GH Disorders, and DHEA). *J Sex Med*, 10, 661-7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1743-6109.2012.02735.x>
- Makhathini, B. S., Makinga, P. N. & Green-Thompson, R. R. (2019). Knowledge, attitudes, and perceptions of antenatal women to postpartum bilateral tubal ligation at Greys Hospital, KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Afri Health Sci.*, 19, 2615-22. <https://doi.org/10.4314/ahs.v19i3.37>
- Novoa, M. M., Vargas, R., Triana, L. & Held, Y. (2013). Bienestar psicológico de personas con síndrome de Cushing. *Diversitas*, 9, 159-77. <http://revistas.usta.edu.co/index.php/Diversitas/article/view/480/539>
- Omo-Aghoja, L. (2013). Sexual and reproductive health: concepts and current status among Nigerians. *Afr J Med Health Sci*, 12, 10-3. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2384-5589.134906>
- Organización Mundial de la Salud (OMS) (2018). La salud sexual y su relación con la salud reproductiva: un enfoque operativo [accessed June 3rd, 2021]. <https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/274656/9789243512884-spa.pdf>
- Orphanet Report Series#(2021). *Prevalence of rare diseases: Bibliographic data - January 2021*. [accessed September 10th, 2021]. http://www.orpha.net/orphacom/cahiers/docs/GB/Prevalence_of_rare_diseases_by_diseases.pdf
- Osamura, R. Y., Grossman, A., Korbpnits, M., Kovcs, K., Lopes, M. B. S., Matsuno, A., et al. (2017). Pituitary adenoma. In: Lloyd, R. V., Osamura, R. Y., Klöppel, G. & Rosai, J. (Eds.). *WHO classification of tumors of endocrine organs* (pp. 14-8). 4th edn. Lyon: IARC.
- Ostrosky-Solís, F., López-Arango, G. & Ardila, A. (2000). Sensitivity and specificity of the Mini-Mental State Examination in a Spanish-speaking population. *Applied Neuropsychology*, 7, 25-31. http://feggylab.mex.tl/imagesnew/7/0/4/8/6/Ostrosky_et_al_Sensitivity_and_Specificity_of_the_Mini-Mental_State_Examination_in_a_FPanish-Speaking_Population%5B1%5D.pdf
- Richard-Eaglin, A. (2018). Male and female hypogonadism. *Nurs Clin N Am*, 53, 395-405. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnur.2018.04.006>
- Robles, R., Varela, R., Jurado, S. & Páez, F. (2001). Versión mexicana del Inventario de Ansiedad de Beck: propiedades

- psicométricas. *Revista Mexicana de Psicología*, 18, 211-18.
- Rosen, R., Brown, C., Heiman, J., Leiblum, S., Meston, C., Shabsigh, R., et al. (2000). The Female Sexual Function Index (FSFI): a multidimensional self-report instrument for the assessment of female sexual function. *J Sex Marital Ther.*, 26, 191-208. <https://doi.org/10.1080/009262300278597>
- Rosen, R., Riley, A., Wagner, G., Osterloh, I. H., Kirkpatrick, J. & Mishra, A. (1997). The International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF): a multidimensional scale for assessment of erectile dysfunction. *Urology.*, 49, 822-30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0090-4295\(97\)00238-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0090-4295(97)00238-0)
- Simon, J. A. & Lukas, V. A. (2017). Dificultades de la función sexual en la edad madura. Necesidades insatisfechas, diagnósticos prácticos, y tratamientos. *Obstet Gynecol*, 130, 889-905. <https://doi.org/10.1097/AOG.0000000000002268>
- Sonino, N., Ruini, C., Navarrini, C., Ottolini, F., Sirri, L., Paoletta, A., et al. (2007). Psychosocial impairment in patients treated for pituitary disease: a controlled study. *Clinical Endocrinology*, 67, 719-26. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2265.2007.02951.x>
- Sosa-Sánchez, I. A. (2013). Aproximaciones teóricas sobre el género, la reproducción y la sexualidad. *Iberóforum. Revista de Ciencias Sociales de la Universidad Iberoamericana*, VIII, 182-206. <http://www.redalyc.org/articulo.oa?id=211028906008>.
- Tortosa, F. (2019). El paradigma benigno-maligno en tumores neuroendocrinos hipofisarios y la metáfora del semáforo: reflexiones de un patólogo. *Endocrinología, Diabetes y Nutrición*, 66, 134-5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.endinu.2018.10.003>
- Vargas-Ortega, G., Pérez-Villarreal, G., Ramírez de Santiago, A., Balcázar-Hernández, L., Mendoza-Zubieta, V., Landa-Gutiérrez, O., et al. (2019). The testosterone effect on metabolic and urologic outcomes in patients with nonfunctioning pituitary macroadenomas and hypogonadotropic hypogonadism. *International Journal of Endocrinology*, Article ID 2356580, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2356580>
- Vieira-Cintra, M., Cavalcante-Castro, M. M., Santana e Silva-Barreto, E., Viana Magalhães, L. & Cerqueira Teixeira, L. (2018). Impacto da acromegalia na sexualidade de homens e mulheres. *Rev Psi Divers Saúde, Salvador*, 7, 38-46. <https://doi.org/10.17267/2317-3394rpds.v7i1.1774>
- Vilet Carvajal, M. G., Galán Jiménez, J. S. F. (2021). Apropiación del cuerpo: autoerotismo y machismo sexual. *Revista de estudios de género, La Ventana*, 53, 342-73. http://www.scielo.org.mx/scielo.php?pid=S1405-94362021000100342&script=sci_arttext
- Wen#Jian#Long, Z., Shun#Chang, M., Min, Z., Chang, L., Xiu#Dong, G., Zhao#Shi, B., et al. (2018). Risk factors and the prognosis of sexual dysfunction in male patients with pituitary adenomas: a multivariate analysis. *Asian Journal of Andrology*, 20, 43-9. https://doi.org/10.4103/aja.aja_18_17
- Wiegel, M., Meston, C. & Rosen, R. (2005). The female sexual function index (FSFI): cross-validation and development of clinical cutoff scores. *J Sex Marital Ther.*, 31, 1-20. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00926230590475206>
- Worsley, R., Santoro, N., Miller, K. K., Parish, S. J. & Davis, S. R. (2016). Hormones and female sexual dysfunction: beyond estrogens and androgens—Findings from the Fourth International Consultation on Sexual Medicine. *J Sex Med*, 13, 283-90.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsxm.2015.12.014>

ANNEXES

Table A1. Sociodemographic variables compared according to diagnosis, sex, and age group

Sociodemographic variables	Total ¹	Diagnosis ²		Sex ³		Age group ⁴	
		FPA	NFPA	Women	Men	20-39	40-59
Age (x, Sd)	40.2 (10.1)	39.8 (10.4)	41.1 (9.6)	37.5 (9.7)	46.4 (8.5)	31.3 (5.1)	48.6 (5.3)
	p ⁵	0.644		0.0007**		0.0000**	
Residency (n, %)							
Mexico City	32 (48.5)	20 (42.6)	12 (63.2)	23 (50)	9 (45)	14 (43.8)	18 (52.9)
Mexico State	19 (28.8)	14 (29.8)	5 (10.6)	13 (28.3)	6 (30)	11 (34.4)	8 (23.5)
Other states	15 (22.7)	13 (27.7)	2 (10.5)	10 (21.7)	5 (25)	7 (21.9)	8 (23.5)
	p ⁶	0.224		0.927		0.612	
Formal education (n, %)							
Superior	32 (48.5)	23 (48.9)	9 (47.7)	23 (50)	9 (45)	16 (50)	16 (47.1)
Media	17 (25.8)	13 (27.7)	4 (21.1)	12 (26.1)	5 (25)	8 (25)	9 (26.5)
Basic	17 (25.8)	11 (23.4)	6 (31.6)	11 (23.91)	6 (30)	8 (25)	9 (26.5)
	p ⁶	0.419		0.87		0.972	
Paired occupation (n, %)	51 (77.3)	34 (72.3)		17 (89.5)		32 (69.6)	
	p ⁶	0.248		0.023*		0.31	
Religion (n, %)							
Catholic	51 (77.3)	36 (76.6)	15 (79.0)	36 (78.3)	15 (75)	26 (81.3)	25 (73.5)
Other	6 (9.0)	4 (8.5)	2 (10.5)	5 (10.9)	1 (5)	3 (9.9)	3 (8.8)
None	9 (13.6)	7 (14.9)	2 (10.5)	5 (10.9)	4 (20)	3 (9.9)	6 (17.7)
	p ⁶	0.319		0.533		0.72	
Sociodemographic variables	Total ¹	Diagnosis ²		Sex ³		Age group ⁴	
		FPA	NFPA	Women	Men	20-39	40-59
Having couple relationship (n, %)	45 (68.2)	30 (63.8)	15 (79.0)	31 (67.4)	14 (70)	22 (68.8)	23 (67.7)
	p ⁶	0.233		0.834		0.923	
Steady couple relationship (n, %) ⁷	42 (93.3)	27 (90)	15 (100)	28 (90.3)	14 (100)	20 (90.9)	22 (95.7)
	p ⁶	0.205		0.228		0.524	
Living in couple (n, %) ⁷	37 (82.2)	22 (73.3)	15 (100)	24 (77.4)	13 (92.9)	15 (68.2)	22 (95.7)
	p ⁶	0.783		0.21		0.016*	
Length of couple relationship (x, Sd)	13.3 (10.1)	7.8 (5.5)	10.2 (11.5)	10.7 (8.9)	19.1 (10.4)	7.1 (5.6)	19.2 (9.9)
	p ⁵	0.003**		0.008**		0.00001**	

Source: Authors elaboration

- 1 Percentages calculated for n=66.
- 2 Percentages calculated for n=47 (patients with FPA) and n=19 (patients with NFPA).
- 3 Percentages calculated for n=46 (women) and n=20 (men).
- 4 Percentages calculated for n=32 (young adults) and n=34 (middle-aged).
- 5 Student's t-test. **p < 0.01.
- 6 Chi-squared test. * p<0.05. **p<0.01.
- 7 Percentages calculated for n=45 (patients with couple relationship) and for its specific distribution according to diagnosis (30 FPA, 15 NFPA), sex (31 women, 14 men), and age group (22 young adults, 23 middle-aged).

FPA: functional pituitary adenoma;
NFPA: nonfunctional pituitary adenoma

Table A2. Health variables compared according to diagnosis, sex, and age group.

Health variables	Total ¹	Diagnosis ²		Sex ³		Age group ⁴	
		FPA	NFPA	Women	Men	20-39	40-59
Mean time since PA diagnosis (x, Sd)	7.9 (6.6)	5.1 (3.4)	9.1 (6.6)	7.5 (5.4)	8.9 (8.9)	6.5 (4.6)	9.2 (7.9)
	p ⁵	0.02*		0.45		0.09	
Control of PA (n, %)	43 (65.2)	25 (53.2)	17 (89.5)	32 (69.6)	11 (55)	20 (62.5)	23 (67.6)
	p ⁵	0.005**		0.25		0.66	
Living with other EMD (n, %)	64 (97.0)	46 (97.9)	18 (94.7)	44 (95.7)	20 (100)	31 (96.9)	33 (97.1)
	p ⁵	0.501		0.344		0.931	
EMD per patient (x, Sd)	3.5 (1.8)	3.2 (1.8)	3.6 (1.8)	3.4 (2.0)	3.7 (1.1)	3.8 (1.9)	3.1 (1.7)
	p ⁵	0.36		0.56		0.15	
At least 1 uncontrolled EMD (n, %) ⁷	64 (100)	46 (100)	18 (100)	44 (100)	20 (100)	31 (100)	33 (100)
	p ⁵	0.276		0.019*		0.601	
SHH (n, %) ¹	12 (18.2)	7 (14.9)	5 (26.3)	5 (10.9)	7 (70.0)	5 (15.6)	7 (20.6)
	p ⁵	0.276		0.019*		0.601	
Uncontrolled SHH (n, %) ⁸	7 (58.3)	3 (42.9)	4 (80.0)	3 (60.0)	4 (57.1)	4 (80.0)	3 (42.9)
	p ⁵	0.198		0.921		0.198	
Living with other CI (n, %) ¹	36 (54.6)	24 (51.1)	12 (63.2)	23 (50.0)	13 (65)	15 (46.9)	21 (61.8)
	p ⁵	0.372		0.261		0.225	
At least 1 uncontrolled CI ⁹	21 (58.3)	15 (62.5)	6 (50.0)	15 (65.2)	6 (50)	9 (64.3)	12 (57.1)
	p ⁵	0.383		0.383		0.673	
Health variables	Total ¹	Diagnosis ²		Sex ³		Age group ⁴	
		FPA	NFPA	Women	Men	20-39	40-59
Mental health assistance ¹	16 (24.2)	8 (12.9)	8 (42.1)	14 (30.4)	2 (10)	10 (31.3)	6 (17.7)
	p ⁵	0.031*		0.075		0.197	
Psychoactive substance use ¹	14 (21.2)	12 (25.5)	2 (10.5)	7 (15.2)	7 (35)	5 (15.6)	9 (26.5)
	p ⁵	0.177		0.799		0.281	

Source: Authors elaboration

- 1 Percentages calculated for n=66.
- 2 Percentages calculated for n=47 (patients with FPA) and n=19 (patients with NFPA).
- 3 Percentages calculated for n=46 (women) and n=20 (men).
- 4 Percentages calculated for n=32 (young adults) and n=34 (middle-aged).
- 5 Student's t-test. **p < 0.01. 6 Chi-squared test. * p<0.05. **p<0.01.
- 7 Percentages calculated for n=64 (patients with EMDs), according to diagnosis, sex and age group.
- 8 Values calculated for n=12 (patients with SHH), according to diagnosis, sex, and age group.
- 9 Values calculated for n=36 (patients with CI), according to diagnosis, sex, and age group. CI: chronic illness; EMD: endocrine and metabolic diseases; FPA: functional pituitary adenoma; NFPA: nonfunctional pituitary adenoma; PA: pituitary adenoma; SHH: secondary hypogonadotropic hypogonadism.

Table A3. Sexual and reproductive health variables compared according to diagnosis, sex, and age group.

Sexual and reproductive health variables	Total ¹	Diagnosis ²		Sex ³		Age group ⁴	
		FPA	NFPA	Women	Men	20-39	40-59
Physiological menopause ⁵	5 (10.9)	3 (9.7)	2 (13.3)	-----	-----	0	5 (27.8)
p ⁵		0.709				0.003**	
Use of contraceptive methods ⁷	27 (44.3)	20 (45.5)	7 (41.2)	17 (43.6)	10 (50)	15 (46.9)	12 (41.4)
p ⁵		0.508		0.196		0.607	
Type of contraceptive methods ⁷							
Condom	10 (37.0)	7 (35)	3 (42.7)	7 (41.2)	3 (30)	8 (53.3)	2 (16.7)
BTL	8 (29.6)	7 (35)	1 (14.3)	4 (23.5)	4 (40)	0	8 (66.7)
IUC	3 (11.1)	3 (15)	0	1 (5.9)	2 (20)	2 (13.3)	1 (8.3)
Withdrawal	3 (11.1)	2 (10)	1 (14.3)	3 (17.7)	0	3 (20)	0
POOC	2 (7.4)	0	2 (28.6)	2 (11.8)	0	2 (13.3)	0
CHC	1 (3.7)	1 (5)	0	0	1 (10)	0	1 (8.3)
p ⁵		0.15		0.26		0.003*	
Perception of fertility difficulties ⁷	24 (36.7)	13 (27.7)	11 (57.9)	19 (41.3)	5 (25)	10 (31.3)	14 (41.2)
p ⁵		0.021*		0.206		0.402	
Medical diagnosis of infertility ⁷	7 (11.7)	4 (9.0)	3 (17.7)	4 (9.8)	3 (15)	1 (3.1)	6 (20.7)
p ⁵		0.029*		0.667		0.238	
Having children ³	35 (53.0)	24 (51.1)	11 (57.9)	21 (45.7)	14 (70)	10 (31.3)	25 (73.5)
p ⁵		0.615		0.069		0.0006**	
Parenthood desire ¹	21 (31.8)	15 (31.9)	6 (31.6)	17 (37.0)	4 (20.0)	17 (53.1)	4 (11.8)
p ⁵		0.834		0.174		0.0000*	

Sexual and reproductive health variables	Total ¹	Diagnosis ²		Sex ³		Age group ⁴	
		FPA	NFPA	Women	Men	20-39	40-59
Heterosexual orientation ¹	61 (92.4)	43 (91.5)	18 (94.7)	44 (95.7)	17 (85)	30 (91.8)	31 (91.2)
p ⁵		0.799		0.111		0.091	
Initiation of sexual intercourse ¹	62 (93.9)	43 (91.5)	19 (100)	43 (93.5)	19 (95)	28 (87.5)	34 (100)
p ⁵		0.185		0.796		0.031*	
Current coital activity ¹	45 (68.2)	31 (66.0)	14 (73.7)	30 (65.2)	15 (75)	22 (68.8)	23 (67.7)
p ⁵		0.834		0.433		0.923	
Current autoerotic activity ¹	24 (36.4)	16 (34.1)	8 (42.1)	15 (32.6)	9 (45)	14 (43.8)	10 (29.4)
p ⁵		0.686		0.336		0.226	
Current STI ¹	3 (4.6)	3 (6.4)	0	0	3 (15)	0	3 (8.8)
p ⁵		0.249		0.009**		0.096	
Perception of sexual discomfort ¹	27 (40.9)	16 (34.0)	11 (57.9)	17 (37.0)	10 (50)	11 (34.4)	16 (47.1)
p ⁵		0.074		0.322		0.399	

Source: Authors elaboration

1 Percentages calculated for n=66.

2 Percentages calculated for n=47 (patients with FPA) and n=19 (patients with NFPA).

3 Percentages calculated for n=46 (women) and n=20 (men).

4 Percentages calculated for n=32 (young adults) and n=34 (middle-aged).

5 Chi-squared test. * p<0.05. ** p<0.01.

6 Percentages calculated for n=46 (total of women), and their distribution according to diagnosis (31 FPA women and 15 NFPA women) and age group (28 young women and 18 middle-aged women).

7 Percentages calculated excluding women with physiological menopause for the total sample (n=61), and in the subgroups of diagnosis (44 FPA patients and 17 NFPA patients), sex (41 women and 20 men), and age group (32 young adults and 29 middle-aged adults). FPA: BTL: bilateral tubal ligation; CHC: combined hormonal contraception; functional pituitary adenoma; IUC: intrauterine contraception; NFPA: nonfunctional pituitary adenoma; POOC: progestin-only oral contraception; STI: sexually transmitted infections.

Table A4.

Assessed variables	Total (n, %) ¹	Diagnosis (n, %) ²		Sex (n, %) ³		Age group (n, %) ⁴	
		FPA	NFPA	Women	Men	20-39	40-59
Anxiety							
Normal	17 (25.8)	13 (27.7)	4 (21.1)	7 (15.2)	10 (50)	8 (25.0)	9 (26.5)
Mild	32 (48.5)	24 (51.1)	8 (42.1)	26 (56.5)	6 (30)	18 (56.3)	14 (41.2)
Moderate	10 (15.2)	7 (14.9)	3 (15.8)	6 (13.0)	4 (20)	1 (3.1)	9 (26.5)
Severe	7 (10.6)	3 (6.4)	4 (21.1)	7 (15.2)	0	5 (15.6)	2 (5.9)
p ⁵		0.419		0.007**		0.042*	
Depression							
Normal	38 (57.6)	29 (61.7)	9 (47.4)	23 (50.0)	15 (70)	17 (53.1)	21 (61.8)
Mild	13 (19.7)	9 (19.2)	4 (21.1)	12 (26.1)	1 (5)	7 (21.9)	6 (17.7)
Moderate	11 (16.7)	7 (14.9)	4 (21.1)	8 (17.4)	3 (15)	5 (15.6)	6 (17.7)
Severe	4 (6.1)	2 (4.3)	2 (10.5)	3 (6.5)	1 (5)	3 (9.4)	1 (2.9)
p ⁵		0.547		0.19		0.675	

Psycho-affective state assessment compared according to diagnosis, sex, and age group.

Source: Authors elaboration

1 Percentages calculated

for n=66 (total sample).

2 Percentages calculated for n=47 (patients with FPA) and n=19 (patients with NFPA).

3 Percentages calculated for n=46 (women) and n=20 (men).

4 Percentages calculated for n=32 (young adult) and n=34 (middle-aged).

5 Chi-squared test: *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

FPA: functional pituitary adenoma;

NFPA: nonfunctional pituitary adenoma.

Table A5. Sexual function assessment in women compared according to diagnosis and age group

Assessed variables	Total ¹	Diagnosis ²		Age group ³	
		FPA	NFPA	20-39	40-59
Sexual function (x, Sd)	29.0 (4.4)	29.8 (4.2)	27.6 (4.7)	28.6 (4.9)	29.6 (3.6)
p ⁴		0.218		0.605	
Sexual domains (x, Sd)					
Sexual desire	3.7 (0.9)	3.9 (0.9)	3.4 (0.9)	3.6 (1.0)	3.9 (0.7)
p ⁴		0.159		0.372	
Sexual arousal	4.7 (1.0)	4.8 (1.2)	4.6 (0.1)	4.8 (1.2)	4.7 (0.8)
p ⁴		0.519		0.757	
Vaginal lubrication	5.1 (1.0)	5.3 (0.8)	4.8 (1.2)	5.0 (1.1)	5.4 (0.7)
p ⁴		0.219		0.295	
Orgasm	4.9 (1.0)	5.0 (1.2)	4.8 (0.7)	4.9 (0.8)	5.0 (1.3)
p ⁴		0.719		0.797	
Sexual satisfaction	5.1 (1.1)	5.3 (1.0)	4.8 (1.2)	5.1 (1.2)	5.3 (0.9)
p ⁴		0.283		0.622	
Pain	5.4 (0.8)	5.7 (0.6)	5.0 (1.1)	5.3 (0.9)	5.7 (0.6)
p ⁴		0.037*		0.174	
Impaired sexual function (n, %)	7 (25.9)	3 (17.7)	4 (40.0)	5 (29.4)	2 (20.0)
p ⁵		0.201		0.590	
Impaired sexual domains (n, %)					
Sexual desire	16 (59.3)	9 (52.9)	7 (70.0)	11 (64.7)	5 (50.0)
p ⁵		0.384		0.453	
Sexual arousal	6 (22.2)	4 (23.5)	2 (20.0)	4 (23.5)	2 (20.0)
p ⁵		0.831		0.831	
Vaginal lubrication	3 (11.1)	1 (5.9)	2 (20.0)	3 (17.7)	0
p ⁵		0.260		0.159	
Orgasm	3 (11.1)	2 (11.8)	1 (10.0)	1 (5.9)	2 (20.0)
p ⁵		0.888		0.260	
Sexual satisfaction	3 (11.1)	1 (5.9)	2 (20.0)	2 (11.8)	1 (10.0)
p ⁵		0.260		0.888	
Pain	1 (3.70)	0	1 (10.0)	1 (5.9)	0
p ⁵		0.184		0.434	

Source: Authors elaboration

1 Values calculated for n=27 (the total of women who completed IFSF).

2 Values calculated for n=17

(FPA) and n=10 (with NFPA).

3 Values calculated for n=17 (young adults) and n=10 (middle-aged).

4 Chi-squared test: *p<0.05, **p<0.01.

5 T-Sudent test: *p<0.05.

FPA: functional pituitary adenoma;

NFPA: nonfunctional pituitary adenoma

Table A6. Sexual function assessment in men compared according to diagnosis and age group.

Assessed variables	Total ¹	Diagnosis ²		Age group ³	
		FPA	NFPA	20-39	40-59
Sexual function (x, Sd)	54.5 (12.2)	54.0 (12.7)	56.7 (12.3)	65.0 (5.6)	51.9 (12.1)
	p ⁴	1.0		0.374	
Sexual domains (x, Sd)	7.2 (1.5)	7.5 (1.5)	6.0 (1.0)	8.7 (0.6)	6.8 (1.5)
	p ⁴	0.131		0.058	
Sexual desire	22.8 (6.8)	21.9 (7.2)	26.3 (3.8)	28.0 (2.6)	21.5 (6.9)
	p ⁴	0.331		0.143	
Erectile function	7.5 (2.6)	7.6 (2.3)	7.0 (4.4)	9.0 (1.0)	7.1 (2.8)
	p ⁴	0.746		0.277	
Orgasmic function	8 (1.2)	8.0 (1.1)	8 (1.7)	8.7 (0.6)	7.8 (1.3)
	p ⁴	1.000		0.297	
Intercourse satisfaction	7.1 (1.8)	7.0 (2.0)	7.7 (1.5)	8.3 (2.1)	6.8 (1.8)
	p ⁴	0.220		0.220	
Overall satisfaction	6 (37.5)	5 (38.5)	1 (33.3)	0	6 (46.2)
	p ⁵	0.869		0.137	
Impaired sexual domains (n, %)	3 (18.8)	2 (15.4)	1 (33.3)	0	3 (23.1)
	p ⁵	0.473		0.356	
Sexual desire	8 (50.0)	7 (53.9)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	7 (53.9)
	p ⁵	0.522		0.522	
Erectile function	3 (18.8)	2 (15.4)	1 (33.3)	0	3 (23.1)
	p ⁵	0.473		0.356	
Orgasmic function	2 (12.5)	1 (7.7)	1 (33.3)	0	2 (15.4)
	p ⁵	0.226		0.468	
Intercourse satisfaction	3 (18.8)	3 (23.1)	0	0	3 (23.1)
	p ⁵	0.356		0.356	
Overall satisfaction	8 (50.0)	6 (46.2)	2 (66.7)	2 (66.7)	6 (46.2)
	p ⁵	0.777		0.777	
ED severity in men (n, %)	3 (18.8)	2 (15.4)	1 (33.3)	1 (33.3)	2 (15.4)
No ED	3 (18.8)	3 (23.1)	0	0	3 (23.1)
Mild ED	1 (6.3)	1 (7.7)	0	0	1 (7.7)
Mild-moderate ED	1 (6.3)	1 (7.7)	0	0	1 (7.7)
Moderate ED	1 (6.3)	1 (7.7)	0	0	1 (7.7)
Severe ED					
	p ⁵	0.777		0.777	

Source: Authors elaboration

1 Values calculated for n=16 (the total of men who completed IIEF).

2 Values calculated for n=13 (FPA) and n=3 (NFPA).

3 Values calculated for n=13 (young adults) and n=3 (middle-aged adults).

4 Chi-squared test: *p<0.05, **p#0.01.

5 T-Sudent test: *p#0.05.

ED: erectile dysfunction; FPA: functional pituitary adenoma; NFPA: nonfunctional pituitary adenoma.

Notes

* Research article. This work was supported by the Programa Latinoamericano de Investigaciones en Salud Sexual y Reproductiva (PLISSER, 2016) and the Cátedra Salvador Zubirán, INCMNSZ-Universidad Autónoma de México, UNAM (2018-2021). The Sponsors had no participation in the study design, the data collection, analysis and interpretation, the article writing, or the decision of submitting it for publication.

Disclosure statement There are no relevant financial or non-financial competing interests to report.