A new noncircular gear pair to reduce shaft accelerations: A comparison with sinusoidal and elliptical gears
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Abstract
This article presents a new noncircular gear pair to obtain small shaft accelerations. The centrode contours may be controlled depending on the required maximum acceleration and smoothness of the cenotodes. A comparison among elliptical, sinusoidal, and the new gears is provided. Results show that, for two-lobule gears, the maximum and minimum polar radii and gear ratios are the same for the new and sinusoidal profiles but differ for the elliptical ones. Conversely, there are significant differences in the maximum angular acceleration, tangential acceleration, and pressure angle. It is concluded that the novel gears provide not only small shaft accelerations, but also small tangential accelerations and pressure angles, and it is expected that the elliptical gears may exhibit lower tangential accelerations and pressure angles for large values of the angular speed alternating component. Consequently, shaft and tooth loads and stresses may be lower for the new gears. This may result in more compact systems.
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Nuevo par de engranajes no circulares para reducir las aceleraciones del eje: Comparación con engranajes sinusoidales y elípticos

Resumen
Se presenta un nuevo par de engranajes no circulares para obtener pequeñas aceleraciones del eje. Las formas de los engarajes pueden ser controladas dependiendo de la máxima aceleración y suavidad de los contornos requeridos. Se hace una comparación con engranajes elípticos y sinusoidales. Los resultados muestran que, para engranajes de dos lóbulos, los valores máximos y mínimos de los radios polares y de la relación de transmisión son iguales para los perfiles desarrollados y los sinusoidales, pero difieren para los elípticos. En contraste, los valores máximos de la aceleración angular, aceleración tangencial y ángulo de presión difieren. Se concluye que los nuevos perfiles proporcionan pequeñas aceleraciones angulares y tangenciales y menores ángulos de presión, excepto que los elípticos pueden exhibir menores aceleraciones tangenciales y ángulos de presión para grandes variaciones de la velocidad. Consecuentemente, las cargas y esfuerzos podrían ser menores. Esto podría resultar en sistemas más compactos.

Palabras clave: perfiles de engranajes no circulares; aceleraciones del eje; engranajes sinusoidales, engranajes elípticos.

1. Introduction

Mechanisms, such as linkages and gears, are used in a huge number of applications: several types of machines [1], devices [2], vehicles, computers, and prosthesis [3], among many others. Noncircular gears (NCGs) are a particular class of gears, the centrodes of which are non-circular; therefore, the speed ratio and the ratio between the angular displacements are not constant. For this reason, they are used for special purposes. For example, they may improve the...
efficiency, function, or versatility of a mechanical system; they may be used to obtain vibrations, a certain function for the velocity of the driven shaft, or for controlling the force function in a human powered vehicle. Applications of NCGs include bicycles, agricultural mechanisms, textile machines, mechanical presses, and high torque hydraulic engines for bulkhead drives [4]. The most common types of NCGs are elliptical gears, true or high-order, and logarithmic-spiral gears. Two true elliptical gears in mesh are identical geared ellipses rotating about their foci, and they produce one speed cycle per revolution.

This article builds on a previous work [5], which reviews noncircular gears and explains in detail the main variables of NCGs. The reader can, therefore, refer to this or other works to read reviews (e.g., [6]) and descriptions (e.g., [7]) of noncircular gear variables. Despite this, all the relevant information is provided in this paper.

Compared to research on circular gears, the amount of research on NCGs is very small, and it may be the case that articles from the early twentieth century or previous to this are unavailable [8].

Most of the papers on NCGs can be categorized into three research areas: (a) new pitch centrodes that satisfy particular requirements or functions [9-20]. For example, Litvin et al. [18] developed NCGs to obtain various functions: when the derivative of the function changes its sign and pitch profiles that have unclosed curves. Ottaviano et al. [19] developed and experimentally validated a pair of NCGs to attain a prescribed motion for an application related to external blood circulation in cardiac surgery. The NCGs performance is compared with a cam-follower system, and the paper outlines the advantages and disadvantages. (b) Development of novel profiles of NCG teeth [8,21,22]. (c) Development of mathematical models to describe or manufacture NCG teeth and their cutters [23-30]. For example, Xia et al. [30] developed a method for hobbing noncircular helical gears, which is based on a method with axial shift of the hob. The approach derived from this method includes two schemes: fixed and moving meshing point on hob. The advantages of the methodology are that it can increase machine tool operating range and that gears with large eccentricity and large NCGs may be hobbed by the latter.

Other areas in which research has been conducted include: undercutting analysis [23,24,29,31], pointed teeth [29], computer aided design or engineering [24,32], mechanisms comprising linkages combined with NCGs [33,34]. For example, Mundo et al. [33] propose a five-bar linkage combined with NCGs to move a point of the mechanism along a prescribed trajectory and Modler et al. [34] present a general methodology to design a desired transmission function.

In this article, a new noncircular gear pair that produces small shaft accelerations is proposed. Their characteristics are compared with their elliptical and sinusoidal gears counterparts, which are two common types of NCGs. In the comparison, gears with two lobules are considered. The following sections describe the equations for these three types of gear centrodes. Then, in Section 6, a comparison is presented. Finally, Section 7 concludes this article.

2. Geometric and kinematic equations of NCGs

This section presents the relevant equations for the design and analysis of noncircular gears. A more detailed theory on NCGs is presented in other papers [5-7].

The gear ratio in a NCG pair is not constant and may be expressed as

\[ g = \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_2} = \frac{d\theta_1}{d\theta_2} = \frac{r_2}{r_1} \]  

where \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) are the angular speed of gear 1 and gear 2 respectively (hereafter “1” will refer to the driving gear and “2” to the driven gear), and \( \theta \) and \( r \) are the gear polar angle and polar radius (Fig. 1). The gear ratio is normally expressed as a function of \( \theta_1 \).

The sum of the polar radii of two external gears is constant and is given by

\[ r_2 + r_1 = C \]

where \( C \) is the fixed center distance (\( O_1 \) and \( O_2 \) are fixed). The angles \( \mu_1 \) and \( \mu_2 \) (Fig. 2) correspond to the direction of the centrode tangent and are given by
\[\tan \mu_1 = \frac{r_1}{d\theta_1}; \quad \tan \mu_2 = \frac{r_2}{d\theta_2} = -\frac{r_1}{d\theta_1} = -\tan \mu_1 \quad (3)\]

The pressure angle may be expressed as
\[\alpha_p = \mu + \alpha_c - \pi / 2 \quad (4)\]

where \(\alpha_c\) is the profile angle of the rack cutter.

In a NCG pair, gear 1 usually rotates at a constant speed \(\omega_1\), whereas the speed of gear 2, \(\omega_2\), varies (Fig. 3); the latter one is characterized by
\[\omega_{2m} = \frac{\omega_{2\text{max}} + \omega_{2\text{min}}}{2}; \quad \omega_{2a} = \frac{\omega_{2\text{max}} - \omega_{2\text{min}}}{2} \quad (5)\]

where \(\omega_{2m}\) and \(\omega_{2a}\) are the mean and alternating component of \(\omega_2\); \(\omega_{2\text{max}}\) and \(\omega_{2\text{min}}\) are the maximum and minimum components, respectively.

The following expression is useful to derive the equations of NCG pair's centrodes. It can be demonstrated that [5]:
\[n_{lob}\theta_i = 2\pi f t \quad (6)\]

where \(n_{lob}\) is the number of lobules (protuberances) of gear 1, \(f\) is the frequency of \(\omega_2\) and \(t\) is time.

The shapes of the NCGs can be determined by [5]
\[r_1(\theta_i) = \frac{\omega_2(\theta_i)C}{\omega_1 + \omega_2(\theta_i)} \quad (7)\]
\[r_2(\theta_i) = C - r_1(\theta_i) \quad (8)\]
\[\theta_2(\theta_i) = \int_0^{\theta_i} \omega_2(\theta_i) d\theta_i \quad (9)\]

The kinematics of NCGs may be determined by the following expressions
\[v(\theta_i) = \omega_2(\theta_i)r_2(\theta_i) = \omega_1r_1(\theta_i) \quad (10)\]

where \(v\) is the velocity at the contact point P (Fig. 2).

The angular acceleration is defined by
\[\alpha_2 = \frac{d\omega_2}{dt} \quad (11)\]

The tangential component of acceleration at P can be calculated by [5]
\[a_t(\theta_i) = \frac{C\omega_1^2}{(\omega_1 + \omega_2(\theta_i))^2} \omega_2(\theta_i) \quad (12)\]

Lastly, it has that [5]
\[\tan \mu_1(\theta_i) = -\tan \mu_2(\theta_i) = \frac{\omega_2(\theta_i)}{\alpha_2(\theta_i)} [\omega_1 + \omega_2(\theta_i)] \quad (13)\]

The following sections present the specific equations for the second order elliptical gears, sinusoidal gears, and the novel gear pair developed.

3. Elliptical gears

Two second-order elliptical gears rotating about their geometric centers are identical. The polar radius of gear 1, \(r_1\), as a function of its polar angle, \(\theta_1\), is given by [35]
\[r_1(\theta_i) = \frac{2a_e b_e}{(a_e + b_e) - (a_e - b_e)\cos(2\theta_i)} \quad (14)\]

where \(a_e\) and \(b_e\), which satisfy \(a_e + b_e = C\) and \(b_e < a_e\), are the semi-axes of each centrode. The equations for this pair can be obtained from eq. (14) and some of those in Section 2. Fig. 1 presents an example of a pair of elliptical gears.

Fig. 4, 5 show the shapes of the curves \(\omega-t\) and \(\alpha-t\) for the elliptical gears.

![Figure 3. Examples of curves \(\omega-t\) for gears 1 and 2. Source: The authors.](image)

![Figure 4. Curve \(\omega-t\) for elliptical, sinusoidal, and new gears. Source: The authors.](image)


4. Sinusoidal gears

For the sinusoidal gears, the angular speed of gear 2 is given by

$$\omega_2(t) = \omega_{2m} + \omega_2 \sin 2 \pi ft$$

(15)

Also, by using eq. (6), it can be given by

$$\omega_2(\theta_i) = \omega_{2m} + \omega_2 \sin n_{lob} \theta_i$$

(16)

The angular acceleration of gear 2 is calculated by differentiating eq. (15) with respect to time. By using eq. (6), and taking into account that for sinusoidal gears, as well as for the novel gear pair, the following applies [5]

$$f = \frac{n_{lob} \omega_1}{2 \pi} = \frac{n_{lob} \omega_{2m}}{2 \pi}$$

(17)

And the following are yielded

$$\alpha_2(t) = 2 \pi^2 \omega_2 \cos 2 \pi ft$$

(18)

$$\alpha_2(\theta_i) = n_{lob} \omega_{2m} \omega_2 \cos n_{lob} \theta_i$$

(19)

Therefore, the maximum and minimum angular accelerations, $\alpha_{2\text{max}}$ and $\alpha_{2\text{min}}$, are respectively

$$\alpha_{2\text{max}} = -\alpha_{2\text{min}} = 2 \pi^2 \omega_2 = n_{lob} \omega_{2m} \omega_2$$

(20)

Finally, substitution of eq. (16) into eq. (9) produces

$$\theta_2(\theta_i) = \frac{1}{\omega_1} \left[ \omega_{2m} \theta_i + \frac{\omega_2}{n_{lob}} \left(1 - \cos n_{lob} \theta_i \right) \right]$$

(21)

A sinusoidal NCG pair’s centroids can be obtained from eqs. (7), (8), (21). Fig. 6 provides an example by showing a sinusoidal NCG pair’s centroids, with two lobules each, when $\omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m} = 0.3$. Also, Fig. 4, 5 show the shapes of the curves $\omega-t$ and $\alpha-t$ for the sinusoidal gears.

5. A new NCG pair

For a given set of parameters $f$, $\omega_{2a}$, and $\omega_{2m}$, the minimum value of the maximum acceleration of the driven shaft is obtained when the velocity increases linearly from its minimum value and then decreases linearly from its maximum value. However, this speed variation will produce pointed centroids and, subsequently, gears that are not able to produce teeth generation. In view of this, this work presents the mathematical equations for the NCG centroids of a new pair that has been devised to minimize shaft accelerations, while the centroids obtained are sufficiently smooth. To the authors’ knowledge no other researchers have dealt with NCGs to minimize shaft accelerations.

For the angular speed of gear 2, the following equation has been devised:

$$\omega_2(t) = \omega_{2m} + \frac{4 \omega_{2a} f t}{1 - b} \left[ 1 - b^{\frac{1-b}{2(1+b)}} \right]$$

(22)

which satisfies

$$\omega_2 \left( \frac{1}{4 f} \right) = \omega_{2m} + \omega_{2a} \frac{d \omega_2}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{4 f} \right) = 0$$

(23)

Substitution of eq. (6) into eq. (22) yields

$$\omega_2(\theta_i) = \omega_{2m} + \frac{2 \omega_{2a} n_{lob} \theta_i}{(1-b) \pi} \left[ 1 - b \left( \frac{2 \omega_{2a} n_{lob} \theta_i}{(1-b) \pi} \right)^{1-b} \right]$$

(24)

The parameter $b$ is called a “smooth parameter” and may vary from a value close to 0 (when the centroids become pointed) to 1. As $b$ is increased from 0, the centroids become smoother.
smoother; however, in order to achieve small shaft accelerations, \( b \) has to be much closer to 0 than to 1.

Eq. (22), (24) are valid for a quarter of an \( \omega t \) cycle. These are extended as follows:

\[
\omega_2(\theta_1) = \omega_0 + \frac{2\omega_2}{1-b} h_1(\theta_1)\left(1 - b \cdot e^{-\frac{b-1}{2}[n_{lohi}(\theta_1) - 1]} \right) \tag{25}
\]

Where

\[
h_1(\theta_1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin(\sin n_{lohi} \theta_1) \tag{26}
\]

And

\[
h_2(\theta_1) = \frac{1}{\pi} \arcsin[\arccos(\cos n_{lohi} \theta_1)] \tag{27}
\]

It can be demonstrated that

\[
\alpha_2(\theta_1) = \alpha_0 + \frac{2K_4 \omega_2}{\pi} \frac{n_{lohi}}{1-b} \left[1 - \left[b + 2(1-b) h_2(\theta_1)\right] e^{-\frac{b-1}{2}[n_{lohi}(\theta_1) - 1]} \right] \tag{28}
\]

Where

\[
K_1 = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi} + 0.5\right) \text{ is even} \\
-1, & \text{if } \left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi} + 0.5\right) \text{ is odd}
\end{cases} \tag{29}
\]

where “int” is a function that rounds the argument down to the closest integer.

The maximum and minimum values of \( \alpha(t) \) are given by

\[
\alpha_{2\text{max}} = -\alpha_{2\text{min}} = \frac{\omega_0 n_{lohi}}{\pi} \frac{2K_4 \omega_2}{1-b} \left(1 - b \cdot e^{-\frac{b-1}{2}} \right) \tag{30}
\]

It can be demonstrated that the polar angle of gear 2 is given by

\[
\theta_2(\theta_1) = 2 \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1} \left[K_2 \theta_1 + \left(K_3 - K_2 K_4 \right) \frac{\pi}{n_{lohi}} \right] + 2K_5 h_1 \left(\frac{\pi}{2n_{lohi}}\right) + K_6 h_1 \left[K_3 \left(1 - \frac{K_5 \pi}{n_{lohi}}\right)\right] \tag{31}
\]

where \( h_3 \) is a function of an angular variable, say \( \theta_1 \) given by

\[
h_3(\theta) = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_1} \frac{\theta - \omega_0}{\pi \left(b - 1\right)} - \frac{n_{lohi}}{n_{lohi}} \left[\frac{\omega_0}{\omega_1} b^2 (b - 1) \pi \theta n_{lohi} \right] + \theta^2 (b - 1) + b \frac{\pi}{2} \left[\theta - \frac{b-1}{2} \right]
\]

And

\[
K_2 = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } \text{int}\left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi}\right) \text{ is even} \\
1, & \text{if } \text{int}\left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi}\right) \text{ is odd}
\end{cases} \tag{33}
\]

\[
K_3 = \text{int}\left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{2\pi}\right) \tag{34}
\]

\[
K_4 = \text{int}\left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi}\right) \tag{35}
\]

\[
K_5 = \begin{cases} 
0, & \text{if } \text{int}\left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi + 1/2}\right) \text{ is even} \\
1, & \text{if } \text{int}\left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi + 1/2}\right) \text{ is odd}
\end{cases} \tag{36}
\]

\[
K_6 = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \text{int}\left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi + 1/2}\right) \text{ is even} \\
-1, & \text{if } \text{int}\left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi + 1/2}\right) \text{ is odd}
\end{cases} \tag{37}
\]

\[
K_7 = \begin{cases} 
1, & \text{if } \text{int}\left(\frac{2n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi}\right) \text{ is even} \\
-1, & \text{if } \text{int}\left(\frac{2n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi}\right) \text{ is odd}
\end{cases} \tag{38}
\]

\[
K_8 = \text{int}\left(\frac{n_{lohi} \theta_1}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{39}
\]

The profiles of the NCAs may be obtained from eq. (7), (8), (31). As an example, Fig. 7 shows the centrodes of a NCG pair with \( b = 0.1 \). Also, Fig. 4, 5 show the shapes of the curves \( \omega t \) and \( \alpha t \) for the new gears with \( b \approx 0 \). It should be noted that these gears produce the smallest angular accelerations.
6. Comparison of geometric and kinematic characteristics of the three profiles

The equations presented in Sections 2 to 5 are applied in order to analyze and compare the three types of gears that this paper addresses. The independent variables for this study are \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m}, b, \alpha_2, n_{lob1}, \) and \( n_{lob2}. \) However, the NCGs analyzed in this article have two lobules \( (n_{lob} = n_{lob2} = 2). \) The dependent variables to be studied are the nondimensional polar radii terms \( r_1/C \) and \( r_2/C, \) the tangential acceleration term \( a_t/C, \) maximum and minimum \( \alpha_{pr}, \) and maximum angular acceleration \( a_2. \)

As the profile angle of the rack cutter only affects the pressure angle as an addend (eq. 4), any value, say the standard value of 20\(^\circ\), may be used for the comparison. It should be noted that this paper addresses. The independent variables for in order to analyze and compare the three types of gears in this study are \( n_{lob} \) and \( g \) with that the derived profiles may have an advantage to be observed that regardless the value of \( \omega_2 \) and \( \omega_2m \) for a certain ratio \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m}; \) the rest of the variables and terms are proportional to \( \omega_{2m}. \)

Fig. 8, 9 show the variation of the maximum and minimum values of \( \alpha_{pr} \) for the elliptical, sinusoidal, and new profiles (indicated by “VAP” in the figures), for \( \alpha_2 = 20^\circ \) and \( n_{lob1} = n_{lob2} = 2. \) For the last ones, three values of the NCGs analyzed in this article have two lobules \( (n_{lob} = n_{lob2} = 2). \) The independent variables to be studied are the nondimensional polar radii terms \( r_1/C \) and \( r_2/C, \) the tangential acceleration term \( a_t/C, \) maximum and minimum \( \alpha_{pr}, \) and maximum angular acceleration \( a_2. \) As the profile angle of the rack cutter only affects the pressure angle as an addend (eq. 4), any value, say the standard value of 20\(^\circ\), may be used for the comparison. It should be noted that this paper addresses. The independent variables for in order to analyze and compare the three types of gears in this study are \( n_{lob} \) and \( g \) with that the derived profiles may have an advantage to be observed that regardless the value of \( \omega_2 \) and \( \omega_2m \) for a certain ratio \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m}; \) the rest of the variables and terms are proportional to \( \omega_{2m}. \)

Fig. 8, 9 show the variation of the maximum and minimum values of \( \alpha_{pr} \) for the elliptical, sinusoidal, and new profiles (indicated by “VAP” in the figures), for \( \alpha_2 = 20^\circ \) and \( n_{lob1} = n_{lob2} = 2. \) For the last ones, three values of \( \omega_2 \) are taken: -0.1, 0.1, and 0.4. Both figures indicate that the differences in the maximum and minimum pressure angle are not so large for the small ratios \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m}. \) However, they tend to become larger for higher ratios. For small ratios, the new profiles (with \( b \leq 0.4 \)) produce the smallest maximum values of pressure angle, as well as the largest minimum ones, and the sinusoidal ones produce the largest maximum values and the smallest minimum ones. This result indicates that the derived profiles may have an advantage to reduce tooth forces and stresses. In contrast, for example, when \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m} > 0.28 \) or 0.325 the elliptical gears and the sinusoidal gears, respectively, produce smaller values than the new gears with \( b \approx 0. \) For \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m} = 0.5, \) \( \alpha_{pr, max} = 49.0^\circ, 53.9^\circ, 60.3^\circ, \) and \( 54.9^\circ \) for the elliptical, sinusoidal, \( b \approx 0, \) and \( b = 0.1, \) respectively.

Therefore, the elliptical profiles provide the smallest values and the new gears the larger ones. The largest difference in the interval study is 11.3\(^\circ\) (23\% of 49.0\(^\circ\)), which corresponds to the difference in the maximum or minimum pressure angle between the elliptical and the new function with \( b \approx 0. \)

On the one hand, the developed profiles have been devised to use a value of \( b \) greater than 0; therefore, for the new profiles, \( \alpha_{pr, max} \) becomes smaller and \( \alpha_{pr, min} \) becomes larger. On the other hand, it may be more appropriate, as far as the pressure angle is concerned, to use elliptical gears if the ratio \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m} \) is large. Lastly, from Fig. 8, it can be concluded that the derived gears with \( b \geq 0.1 \) outperform the other profiles for \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m} \leq 0.35 \) in terms of the pressure angle.

Fig. 10, 11, 12 present the results regarding the maximum and minimum values of the polar radii and gear ratio. It can be observed that regardless the value of \( b, \) the derived gears, as well as the sinusoidal one, have the same maximum and minimum values of \( r_1, r_2, \) and \( g. \) Only the elliptical gears have different values; these gears tend to have larger polar radii for gear 1 and smaller polar radii for gear 2 than their counterparts with other profiles. However, the variation of the polar radii, i.e., the difference between the maximum and minimum values of each gear (driving and driven) is virtually the same. Additionally, the maximum values increase and the minimum ones decrease as the ratio \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m} \) increases, as expected. In light of this, it may be concluded that the variation of polar radii does not seem to be an important criterion for gear performance.

Regarding gear kinematics, Fig. 13 presents the variation of the maximum angular acceleration of gear 2 for \( \omega_{2m} = 100 \) rpm. As expected, the angular acceleration increases as the ratio \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m} \) increases. As eq. (20) and (30) show, the relationship between \( \omega_{2ma} \) and \( \omega_{2a}/\omega_{2m} \) is linear; this is not the case for the elliptical gears. Also, as expected, the proposed centroids produce the minimum angular
accelerations for small values of \( b \) (when \( b \leq 0.5 \)); the elliptical gears are the ones that produce the highest accelerations. However, the important finding is that the differences in the angular acceleration are large. For example, there are differences between the values for the sinusoidal and new gears with \( b = 0.1 \) is 29.3%, with respect to \( \alpha_2^{\text{max}} \) of the sinusoidal pair (regardless of the value of \( \omega_2^a / \omega_2^m \)). The difference between the values for the elliptical and new gears with \( b = 0.1 \) has a maximum value of 35.5% with respect to \( \alpha_2^{\text{max}} \) of the elliptical profiles. Therefore, the novel gears outperform the other profiles and, thus, will produce significantly smaller shaft accelerations.

Fig. 14 shows the variation of the ratio maximum tangential acceleration at the contact point - center distance, for \( \omega_2^m = 100 \) rpm. It can be observed that the teeth of the new profiles withstand lower tangential accelerations than those of the sinusoidal gears. A similar situation occurs when comparing the elliptical and new gears for \( \omega_2^a / \omega_2^m < \sim 0.35 \).

For larger ratios, the elliptical gears provide smaller tangential accelerations. In view of this, as far as the tangential accelerations at the contact points are concerned, the new gears will outperform the other profiles for \( \omega_2^a / \omega_2^m > \sim 0.35 \), and the elliptical gears will outperform the other gears for \( \omega_2^a / \omega_2^m < \sim 0.35 \).

The discussion in this section indicates that, overall, the derived gear profiles tend to outperform the sinusoidal and elliptical gears, as far as the shaft accelerations, the tangential accelerations, and the pressure angle are concerned. The only exception is that the elliptical gears produce smaller values of the maximum tangential accelerations if \( \omega_2^a / \omega_2^m > \sim 0.35 \) and there are smaller maximum values of the pressure angle for \( \omega_2^a / \omega_2^m > \sim 0.28 \). The new gears would only have large positive or negative pressure angles for very small values of \( b \) together with very high ratios \( \omega_2^a / \omega_2^m \), which may increase tooth forces and stresses. However, a suitable value of \( b \) might be selected, so that the gears withstand low stresses. The advantage of all this will be reflected in smaller forces,
Figure 14. Variation of the maximum tangential acceleration - center distance ratio with $\omega_{m2}/\omega_{m1}$ for the three gear pairs studied. $\eta_{ osc} = \eta_{m2} = 2$; $\omega_{m2} = 100$ rpm.

Source: The authors.

torques, and stresses in the drive system and in the gear teeth. Thus, the gears may be more compact and provide a smoother operation. However, it is necessary to perform additional research so as to ascertain the effect of the smoothness parameter on tooth forces and stresses.

7. Conclusions

In this article, a new noncircular gear pair has been proposed. This has been devised so that the angular velocity of the driven gear increases and decreases virtually linearly from its minimum and maximum values, respectively, with the aim of producing small accelerations for the driven shaft. The profiles of the new gears are aimed at reducing driven gear accelerations and are based on an exponential function that depends on a smoothness parameter which controls the smoothness of the centroids in order to avoid pointed lobules.

A comparison of the geometric and kinematic characteristics of three NCG pairs, namely elliptical, sinusoidal, and new gears is presented. The results indicate that for two-lobe centred new gears tend to outperform the other two profiles. This is particularly true for the angular acceleration of the driven shaft; therefore, it is expected that the loads and stresses of the driven shaft are smaller than those produced by the other profiles. Additionally, for certain ranges of the ratio between the alternating and mean angular velocities, the new pair also tends to produce smaller maximum values of the pressure angle and of the tangential accelerations at the contact point. Therefore, the developed gears may exhibit lower tooth forces and stresses.
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