Effect of photocatalytic pretreatment of potato starch for bioethanol production using Saccharomyces cerevisiae during simultaneous saccharification-fermentation (SSF)
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Abstract

In this study, the effect of photocatalytic (PC) pretreatment of potato starch with TiO₂ during the gelatinization (GE) stage of a simultaneous saccharification-fermentation (SSF) process for bioethanol production was evaluated. The maximum amounts of reducing sugars were 119.3, 114.6 and 104.8 g l⁻¹ for PC→GE, GE→PC and the reference (without PC), respectively, while bioethanol concentration gradually increased to a maximum amount of 128.21, 106.74 and 85.91 g l⁻¹ after 30 h for PC→GE, GE→PC and the reference (without PC), respectively. Although enzymatic activity (ʋmax) for each treatment was similar, in the reference (without PC pretreatment) it did not promote rapid substrate conversion into ethanol, despite showing the higher affinity enzyme-substrate (Km). Considering traditional potato starch hydrolysis, PC pretreatment shortened the reaction time of the biological reactions. Thus, the PC pretreatment of potato starch for bioethanol production could be an environmentally feasible process without the addition of acid and alkali.
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1. Introduction

Bioethanol production by the transformation of biological resources such as corn, sugarcane, and sugar beet or sorghum or via hydrolytic pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass as second-generation source (2G) followed by enzymatic conversion [1] is a promising alternative for fossil fuels [2]. In this sense, feedstock such as barley, wheat, rice, and tuber crops (i.e., potato and sweet potato) may serve as better options since they are more abundant and can be acquired at a lower cost [3-6].
The potato is a potential feedstock for ethanol production due to its high starch content (approximately 80%) and a yield that is two to three times higher than that of fermentable sugars such as field corn [7,8]. Moreover, potatoes have many agronomic features, including high multiplication rate, drought resistance, and low degeneration rates of the planting material [9,10].

Because starch is an important substrate for the fermentation process, researchers have investigated a two-stage process for potato starch saccharification with acid pretreatment and enzymatic hydrolysis for ethanol production [11-13] and for the production of hydrolysates such as maltose and glucose [14-21], whose distribution depends on the acidic/enzymatic conditions of the process. These previous processes produced a solid insoluble fraction of cellulose and fibers and a liquid fraction composed of soluble sugars (mainly glucose), which is used in submerged fermentation to produce metabolites.

Although the process has been well defined, the conversion of insoluble starch granules into polymer fragments and its subsequent breaking into reducing sugars (saccharification) suffers from certain technological inconveniences, such as successive pH and temperature changes to maximize the hydrolytic enzymatic system, which results in an increased consumption of energy and auxiliary materials used in the purification of hydrolysates [14]. However, an option for overcoming these difficulties could be the use of a single-stage method for starch hydrolysis using a simultaneous saccharification-fermentation (SSF) process. Another important limitation during the process, is the generation of undesirable branch points during liquefaction, such as α-1,6-glycosidic links (4-6%), which must also be cleaved to complete hydrolysis; however, they are not attacked by the enzymatic system, because most hydrolytic enzymes are specific for α-1,4-glycosidic links [22-24].

Whereas photocatalytic (PC) pretreatment has been used to transform organic waste [25-28] and complex structures such as lignocellulose [29], its application for modifying starch materials used for bioethanol production by OH• radicals participation, has rarely been reported. In this work, the effect of PC treatment, with TiO₂ before and after the gelatinization (GE) process during SSF, on bioethanol production from potato starch was tested (Fig. 1).

2. Materials and methods

A commercial sample of industrial potato starch (Almicor, Bogotá, Colombia) with a water content of 8.3% and 97.0% starch was used. HCl (Carlo Erba, Italy) and NaOH (Merck, Germany) solutions were used for pH adjustment during each stage.

For photocatalytic pretreatment, TiO₂ (Degussa-P25) was used. The commercial enzymes for liquefaction (Liquozyme SC, 167 kilo Novo α-amylase unit KNU ml⁻¹) and for saccharification (Spirizyme Fuel, 953 Novo glucoamylase unit AGU ml⁻¹) were purchased from Novozymes, USA [30]. Immobilized yeast cells of dry S. cerevisiae (Fermentis, Ethanol Red, France) in Ca-alginate gel beads were employed for reducing sugar fermentation. Typically, a 4% sodium alginate sterile solution (weight fraction) was mixed with an S. cerevisiae (YSC1, Sigma) suspension (30 mg dry biomass ml⁻¹ alginate solution) and extruded through a needle (21 G) into a flask containing 0.1 M CaCl₂; sterile solution at 25°C to form microspheres, which were moderately shaken for 30 min [31]. (NH₄)₂HPO₄, MgSO₄·7H₂O and KH₂PO₄ (Merck, analytical grade, Germany) were used as nutrients during the fermentation process.

2.1. Photocatalytic (PC) pretreatment experiments

PC treatment was applied before and after the gelatinization stage (GE) using TiO₂ Degussa P-25 with photocatalytic activity. For the PC→GE pretreatment, 200 ml of potato starch-water suspension (~17%) was mixed with TiO₂ for 15 min to obtain a homogeneous paste (0.1 g TiO₂ g starch⁻¹). A sample, was spread in a thin layer on a glass plate, covered by another glass plate and subsequently irradiated for 5.0 h in a solid state from above the plates by a black-light blue fluorescent lamp (λ=360 nm, Phillips, Germany). Then, the sample was isothermally incubated at 90°C with mechanical shaking at 350 rpm for 60 min (GE). For the GE→PC pretreatment, 200 ml of potato starch-water suspension with the same characteristics was subjected to GE (90°C, 350 rpm, 60 min). After the incubation, the suspension was mixed with TiO₂ to obtain a paste (0.1 g TiO₂ g⁻¹ gelatinized starch), which was irradiated under the same conditions described above.

2.2. Liquefaction

All of the resulting mash after PC pretreatment, without separation of TiO₂ [29], was stabilized at 60°C and pH 5.8 [32] and mixed with 10.0 ml l⁻¹ Liquozyme SC (5.6 KNU g⁻¹). The mixture, containing approximately 51.0 g l⁻¹ total solids, was liquefied at 83°C for 2.0 h. The enzyme activity was inactivated by adjusting the pH (~4.3) with 1.0 M HCl. Aliquots of the supernatant were separated by centrifugation (8000 rpm for 5 min) and used to determine reducing sugar content using a DNS method, relative to a glucose standard curve. The dextrose equivalent (DE) value for the treatments was calculated as the amount of reducing sugars (g) expressed as a percentage of the initial dry matter (g) according to the following equation (eq. 1):

\[ DE = \frac{[RS]}{[IDM]} \times 100 \]  

where RS and IDM are the reducing sugar and initial dry matter concentrations, respectively. Prior to the SSF process, the liquefied mash was cooled at room temperature for 1.0 h. Deionized water was added to adjust the total solids to approximately 0.4 g l⁻¹. The final pH of the liquefied mash was 4.5-4.7, and no further pH adjustment was made.

2.3. Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation (SSF)

Batch scale ethanol fermentation of the liquefied mash was performed under SSF conditions after the liquefaction step.
The liquefied suspension was dispensed into an Erlenmeyer flask with a rubber stopper [33-36]. Saccharification was then initiated by adding Spirizyme Fuel (1.5 ml l⁻¹, 2.27 AGU g⁻¹ available starch), 6.0 g l⁻¹ (NH₄)₂HPO₄, 2.0 g l⁻¹ MgSO₄·7H₂O, 3.0 g l⁻¹ KH₂PO₄ and an inoculum of yeast immobilized microspheres (8.0 g l⁻¹) [37]. The final total solids content of the mixture was approximately 0.42 - 0.45 g l⁻¹, providing an available glucose concentration of 0.36 - 0.38 g l⁻¹. SSF was performed over 48 h at 30°C, with an initial pH of 4.5-4.7 and a shaker speed of 150 rpm. The ethanol concentration was monitored at 6.0-h intervals. The kinetic parameters for the bioreactor design, such as maximum specific growth rate (ʋ_max) and the Michaelis-Menten constant (K_m), were estimated based on a mathematical model that describes the estimation of substrate conversion per unit time in a batch reactor [38-41]. A reference experiment was carried out without PC pretreatment, to compare the effect of TiO₂ on SSF of potato starch for bioethanol production. All experiments were conducted in triplicate.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effect of photocatalytic pretreatment on SSF of potato starch

Considering that starch content was the same for all treatments, a productivity ratio was determined based on the bioethanol concentration and dextrose equivalent (DE) during the process (Fig. 2). The results showed that bioethanol productivity varied significantly with photocatalytic pretreatment. Ethanol productivity during the process at 48 h was 164.2, 134.6 and 110.4 g bioethanol (g reducing sugars g starch)⁻¹ for PC→GE, GE→PC and without PC pretreatment, respectively.

The levels of the reducing sugars were detectable after the gelatinization stage and during the SSF process. They accumulated progressively but decreased after 6.0 h until 30 h. At this point, they reached a basal level (~20 g l⁻¹) that was maintained over the next 48 h. The maximum amount of reducing sugars was 119.25, 114.62 and 104.8 g l⁻¹ for PC→GE, GE→PC and the reference (without PC), respectively (Fig. 3). The bioethanol was detectable after 6.0 h of fermentation, and higher concentration levels of bioethanol were achieved when PC pretreatment was applied. These levels increased gradually up to a maximum amount of 128.21, 106.74 and 104.6 g l⁻¹ after 30 h, for PC→GE, GE→PC and the reference (without PC), respectively (Fig. 3).

The bioethanol was detectable after 6.0 h of fermentation, and higher concentration levels of bioethanol were achieved when PC pretreatment was applied. These levels increased gradually up to a maximum amount of 128.21, 106.74 and 104.6 g l⁻¹ after 30 h, for PC→GE, GE→PC and the reference (without PC), respectively (Fig. 3). The bioethanol was detectable after 6.0 h of fermentation, and higher concentration levels of bioethanol were achieved when PC pretreatment was applied. These levels increased gradually up to a maximum amount of 128.21, 106.74 and 104.6 g l⁻¹ after 30 h, for PC→GE, GE→PC and the reference (without PC), respectively (Fig. 3).

3.2. Analytical methods

The fermentable sugar content was determined by acid hydrolysis in which the samples were treated with HCl at 100°C for 2 h and the amount of reducing sugar was measured by the DNS method (3,5-dinitro salicylic acid) using glucose as the standard [42] in a Lambda 750 UV/Vis/NIR Spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, USA). All measurements were recorded at 540 nm. Fermentation samples were taken from the bioreactor and centrifuged at 7000 rpm to remove any solids from the media. All determinations were performed using standard curves [43]. A sample of the supernatant (0.8 ml) was filtered through a 0.45-mm membrane filter (Millipore, USA) and mixed with 0.2 ml of n-propanol. A gas chromatograph (model Clarus 580 Gas Chromatograph (GC, Perkin Elmer, USA), equipped with an Elite-Wax ETR column (60 m, 0.25 mm ID, Perkin Elmer, USA) connected to a flame ionization detector (FID) was used to determine ethanol concentration. The detector and injector temperatures were adjusted to 200°C. The detection limit of the method was determined to be 40 ppm.

3.3. Conclusion

The use of TiO₂ photocatalytic pretreatment improved the yield of bioethanol from potato starch by SSF. The highest productivity of bioethanol was obtained with PC→GE pretreatment, followed by GE→PC and without PC pretreatment. The optimal conditions for SSF were 30°C, 4.5-4.7 pH, 150 rpm, and an initial glucose concentration of 0.36 - 0.38 g l⁻¹. The use of immobilized yeast microspheres as an inoculum was effective in increasing the bioethanol productivity. Further studies are needed to optimize the SSF process and to evaluate the economic feasibility of this process.
Making them more susceptible to enzymatic attack during the shortening of SSF time, which was established at the effect of photocatalytic pretreatment could be related to those attained from traditional enzymatic fermentation [9]. Concentration obtained from potato starch were similar to saccharification [45].

This result indicated that bioethanol production was greater when the photocatalytic pretreatment was applied. Compared with the reference treatment and other studies on potato starch fermentation [7, 44], we found that ethanol productivities and yields could be influenced by hydroxyl radicals generated during photocatalytic pretreatment, which could transform important structures during irradiation and radicals generated during photocatalytic pretreatment, which implies that the production of ethanol could be further improved.

4. Conclusions

Based on the productivity ratio, the bioethanol production from potato starch was improved by PC pretreatment with TiO2 before the liquefaction process. The values obtained for the kinetic parameters regarding batch conversion of potato starch into ethanol showed that the enzyme activity (v_{max}) reaction rate without pretreatment does not promote quick substrate conversion into ethanol. In contrast, although the reaction rate was slow for PC pretreatments, it reflected the highest substrate conversion into product. Considering traditional potato starch hydrolysis, PC pretreatment shortened the reaction time of the biological reactions. Thus, the PC pretreatment of potato starch for bioethanol production could be an environmentally aware process without acid and alkali.
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