





Biodegradability of a bioplastic film from tamarind xyloglucan in composting bioreactors

Paola Andrea Álvarez-Betancourt^{*a*}, Víctor Manuel Luna-Pabello^{*b*}, Jaime Eddy Ussa-Garzón^{*a*} & Alfredo Maciel-Cerda^{*c*}

^a Facultad de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales, Universidad Distrital Francisco José de Caldas, Bogotá, Colombia. paalvarezb@correo.udistrital.edu.co, jeussag@udistrital.edu.co

^b Facultad de Química., Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad de México, México. lpvictor@unam.mx ^c Instituto de Investigaciones en Materiales, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México. Ciudad de México, México. macielal@unam.mx

Received: September 10th, 2020. Received in revised form: June 18th, 2021. Accepted: July 1st, 2021

Abstract

Plastic is used excessively due to its excellent properties and its low cost. This has led to the ubiquitous accumulation of waste as it takes a very long time to biodegrade. Until now, several research projects have developed different biodegradable plastics, and the tests to validate them are still being undertaken. In this research, a biodegradability test was performed on a bioplastic synthesized from tamarind xyloglucan and ethyl acrylate (b-XgT), designed to be a substitute for polystyrene. A sample of the b-XgT was composted in laboratory conditions and CO_2 release measurements were made. The results showed a biodegradation time of 18 days with a loss of mass of 40.3% and a final pH of 7.1. The b-XgT was found to be biodegradable of the compostable type through the anaerobic route, which promotes the digestion of compounds such as xylose that contribute to the degradation of the whole polymer.

Keywords: xyloglucan and ethyl acrylate bioplastic; biodegradable polymer; biodegradability evaluation; composting bioreactor; biopolymer.

Biodegradabilidad de una película bioplástica de xiloglucano de tamarindo en biorreactores de compostaje

Resumen

El uso excesivo del plástico, debido a sus excelentes propiedades y su bajo costo, ha llevado a la acumulación ubicua de residuos, ya que se biodegradan a muy largo plazo. Hasta ahora, varias investigaciones han desarrollado diferentes plásticos biodegradables y se siguen haciendo las pruebas para validarlos. En esta investigación, la prueba de biodegradabilidad se realizó sobre un bioplástico sintetizado a partir de xiloglucano de tamarindo y acrilato de etilo (b-XgT), diseñado como sustituto del poliestireno. Se sometió a compostaje una muestra del b-XgT en condiciones de laboratorio y se midió la liberación de CO₂. Los resultados mostraron un tiempo de biodegradación de 18 días con una pérdida de masa de 40,3% y un pH final de 7,1. Se comprobó que el b-XgT es degradable de tipo compostable por vía anaeróbica, lo que favorece la digestión de compuestos como la xilosa que contribuyen a la degradación de todo el polímero.

Palabras clave: bioplástico de xiloglucano y acrilato de etilo; polímero biodegradable; evaluación de la biodegradabilidad; biorreactor de compostaje; biopolímero.

1. Introduction

The excessive demand and single-use of plastic worldwide has led to a high accumulation of plastic waste in water and soil. According to [1], about 8 million tons of

plastic end up in the ocean each year. The negative impacts of plastic pollution for ecosystems and public health have been highly documented due to the excessive ingestion of both plastic and microplastics by humans and animals. There is an ever more serious concern about toxic substances in the

© The author; licensee Universidad Nacional de Colombia.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.15446/dyna.v88n218.90468

How to cite: Álvarez-Betancourt, P.A., Luna-Pabello, V.M., Ussa-Garzón, J.E. and Maciel-Cerda, A., Biodegradability of a bioplastic film from tamarind xyloglucan in composting bioreactors.. DYNA, 88(218), pp. 143-151, July - September, 2021.

plastic itself or its additives that act as carcinogenic compounds or endocrine disruptors.

Yet, the use of plastic persists as it has clear advantages over other materials such as glass, paperboard, or wood. In situations including the COVID-19 pandemic, plastic has proved to be especially helpful thanks to its aseptic conditions, resulting in a higher use and, consequently, increasing the Plastic Waste Footprint (PWF) worldwide [2]. Despite that, the fact this material does not degrade for more than 700 years is a greater disadvantage as this is a difficult situation to manage: "only 9% of plastic used in the world is recycled" [3]: 42.4% in the E.U., 8.4% in the U.S. and 10% in South America [4–6].

Therefore, research on easily biodegradable polymeric substitutes has increased since petrochemical plastics must be replaced to decrease the negative impacts of waste. The consumer demand and production of bio-based plastics is expected to increase 24% from 2.11 million tons in 2018 to 2.62 million tons in 2023 [7]. Starch-based thermoplastics constitute the largest market, followed by poly (lactic acid), PLA, and the polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) [8]. This study focuses on the bioplastic synthetized of xyloglucan of tamarind kernel powder (XgT) in a 50:50 ratio with ethyl acrylate (EA), obtained [9] during the research of substitutes for polystyrene.

The purpose of this document is to determine whether b-XgT is biodegradable and, thus, whether it is a good substitute for polyethylene. Considering biodegradation of plastics is usually measured by the conversion of organic carbon into CO_2 under controlled laboratory conditions, a standard test has been developed for this purpose, since under real soil and composting conditions it is not possible to measure CO_2 release [10]. Additionally, because composting has been reported as a viable means of disposal for biodegradable plastics [11], the guidelines from the standard method 5338 [12] were implemented to measure the biodegradability of b-XgT in compost. However, the method was modified to anaerobic conditions, and different quantities were set due to the limited quantity of the sample.

The compost was obtained from the composting plant in the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM, for its acronym in Spanish), which dedicates itself to the biological treatment of solid urban waste from the UNAM university city. This consists of vegetable waste from pruning, grasses, weeding plants, logging for sanitation, restaurant waste, food modules, and the consumption of students and teachers. Because the plant has been in operation since 1994, the standardized process secures microbial richness made up of millions of specimens [13].

While there are diverse methods to determine the biodegradability of plastics from renewable resources [14], the microorganisms must generally excrete extracellular enzymes to depolymerize the available nutrient of that nature and, therefore, transport it to their cellular mass. The result is that H₂O, CO₂, CH₄ (anaerobic conditions), inorganic trace compounds, and biomass [15] are obtained. The present study focused on measurements in the laboratory, prioritizing this over other measurements including field and simulation. The criteria taken into account were: loss of mass, CO₂ release and registers of visible bioreactor changes.

To evaluate its biodegradability, the b-XgT was subjected to several tests to verify if it can replace the petrochemical polystyrene in wide applications including packaging, energy technology (electrical insulator), communications technology (in casing parts), automobile or toys parts, refrigeration equipment, gardening pots and as copolymer.

2. Materials and methods

The study was conducted using the following procedures according to ASTM 5338 (modifications and specifications are mentioned):

2.1 Determination of initial parameters

Prior to mounting the bioreactors in order to identify whether compost and sample (b-XgT) present adequate conditions or set them, initially, the compost was homogenized with the manual removal of big particles and the sieving through orifices $5x5 \text{ mm}^2$ wide. The authors found that with the 3 and 10mm wide size, friable texture and moisture retention are assured.

The following were determined for the compost and experimental sample: the total solids (APHA 2540D) and the volatile solids (APHA 2540E; [17]) with Thermolyne Type 1500 Muffle Furnace. The compost's pH (ASTM D3987) with an Oakton pH110 multiparameter, in 3 consecutive readings was also determined. The quantity of C, H, N, and S for the experimental sample was determined through the elemental analyzer PerkinElmer 24000, using the technical procedure PTUSAII-FQ-AE-001 from the Unit of Support Services for Research and Industry (USAII, for its acronym in Spanish), from UNAM.

2.2 Description of the problem substances' behavior

The changes of test substances were traced through photographic records and descriptions for each bioreactor, mainly the one with b-XgT. The one with positive (cellulose) and negative (polyethylene) controls were for support and verification.

2.3 CO₂ sample measurement

2.3.1 Method

The composting systems (bioreactors) were conformed in flasks with the test substances, in a 1:9 ratio with compost, as follows:

- Blank control: 45g of dry weight compost.
- Positive control: 45g of dry weight compost + 5g of dry weight cellulose (cut from laboratory craft paper in 5x5 mm²).
- Negative control: 45g of dry weight compost + 5g dry weight polyethylene, cut in 5x5 mm².
- Duplicated sample (M1 y M2): 45g of dry weight compost + 5g of dry weight of XgT bioplastic in 50:50 ratio with EA.

 CO_2 calculation was made from sample measurements by means of a bubble system directed to the Ba(OH)₂, 0,024N



Figure 1. The experimental procedure for CO₂ sample measurement. Source: The authors.

(gas trap) for 30 minutes, every two days, as indicated in Fig. 1. In the remaining time, the flasks were placed in a Labnet 211DS incubator at $58^{\circ}C\pm1^{\circ}C$. The complete test was carried out and then duplicated.

Based in ASTM 5338, reactions occur at the moment CO_2 enters the flasks with $Ba(OH)_2$ and the $BaCO_3$ produced is titrated with HCl and phenolphthalein indicator. The quantity of CO_2 in mmoles was calculated using Eq. (1):

$$QtyCO_2 = (Vtit_{Ba(OH)_2} - Vtit_{SustProb}) \\ * [HCl] * \frac{M_{CO_2}}{2}$$
(1)

Where: $QtyCO_2$ = is the quantity of CO₂ expressed in mmoles. $Vtit_{Ba(OH)_2}$ = titrant volume used in "CO₂ gas trap", each occasion, in mL. $Vtit_{SustProb}$ = titrant volume, in mL. [HCl] = titrant concentration, normality (N) of $0.05\frac{#g-eq}{L}$. M_{CO_2} = molar mass of CO₂, in g.

2.3.2 Reagents

Review preparation of following reagents at ASTM 5338:

- CO₂ gas trap: Ba(OH)₂ 0.024N (boiled distilled water to remove CO₂ from air).
- HCl 0,05N as titrant (36% HCl purity in this study)
- Phenolphthalein indicator 1%p/v.

2.2 Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics, normality tests, parametric analysis of variance (ANOVA, mainly of repeated measures), and multiple comparisons by post hoc analysis were performed. Accordingly, non-parametric tests such as sum of Wilcoxon, Kruskal-Wallis, Friedman and Spearman correlation coefficient were made.

2.2 Final stage of the test

It was ascertained whether the degradation process in composting had been suitable by establishing if the result was pH>6. Also, loss of mass was determined in relation to the beginning and end of the test, as in Eq. (2).

$$\% ML = \frac{M_0 - M_f}{M_0} * 100$$
 (2)

Where: % WL = Weight loss in %. M_0 = Initial dry weight from the system in g. M_f = Dry weight from the system at the end of the test, in g.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Results from the initial parameters

3.1.1 Preparation of the compost inoculum

A friable structure was obtained by homogenizing the compost from the UNAM compost plant. This characteristic was favorable for gas exchange, microbial activity, pH neutralization, temperature regulation, leveling of water evaporation, and generation of electrostatic attraction for nutrient exchange [18].

3.1.2 Determination of the pH

The values for pH of the compost inoculum were located in the optimal range of 7.0 to 8.2 [12], as shown in Table 1.

Considering pH could be a limiting factor for the bacteria metabolism [19], the obtained pH (close to the optimal pH of 7.8) was related to good microbial development.

3.1.3 Elementary content: C, H, N, and S

This outcome was duplicated for b-XgT and triplicated for cellulose, given the regularity in the b-XgT data, as shown in Table 2:

Table 1.

Table 2

Results of compost inoculum pH determination.							
Param.	Read. 1	Read. 2	Read. 3	\overline{x}			
рH	7.74	7.76	7.75	7.75			

Source: The authors.

Elemental analysis of bioplastic of XgT.

Test	Mass	C (%)	H (%)	N (%)	S (%)
1	1 786	30.03	6.68	1 17	0.03
2	1.780	39.55	6.63	1.17	-0.03
Average	1.801	39.75	6.65	1.15	-0.01
Var.	0.000	0.06	0.00	0.01	0.00
σ	0.021	0.25	0.03	0.02	0.03

Source: Results delivered by USAII.

Table 3.Elemental analysis of positive control (cellulose).

Test	Mass (mg)	C (%)	H (%)	N (%)	S (%)
1	1.086	39.79	6.15	0.46	0.46
2	1.750	39.68	6.45	0.43	0.82
3	1.912	40.95	6.29	0.26	0.95
Average	1.583	40.14	6.30	0.38	0.74
Var.	0.192	0.49	0.02	0.01	0.06
σ	0.438	0.70	0.15	0.11	0.25

Source: Results delivered by USAII.

The experimental sample of b-XgT presented a carbon/nitrogen (C/N) ratio of 34.13 (Table 2), located within the range of 25 to 35, which is an adequate quantity of the carbon necessary for microorganisms to grow in the compost. This allows metabolic energy to be obtained, and the remaining part is incorporated into the cellular structure along with nitrogen, in a 10:1 ratio [20].

The positive control has a C/N ratio three times higher than b-XgT (see Table 3); for this reason, there is a possibility of different biodegradation behavior between both test substances.

For the compost inoculum, the Experimental Microbiology Lab at the Chemistry Faculty in the UNAM has performed several studies involving compost from the UNAM plant and has acknowledged a ratio C/N of approximately 35, which is favorable for biopolymeric biodegradation.

3.1.4 Determination of Total solids (TS%)

From the measurement of total solids, TS=67.85% was obtained for the compost inoculum, which represents a moisture content of 32.15%, hence it was necessary to increase it as the optimum humidity for the highest microbial growth is within a 50-70% range, and the microbial growth decreases under 30% of moisture content. This is due to humidity and is the means of transport for soluble compounds that work as cellular nutrients and as the route for residual products during metabolic activities [21].

For b-XgT, TS=95.48% were obtained, that is, 4.52% of moisture content, which is consistent since it is a solid. There are studies based on thermal, mechanical, and moisture absorption properties in bioplastics, which show that water absorption must be low, between 5 and 7%, to enable injection molding or extrusion with good tensile strength in the material [22]. Therefore, the percentage of moisture content obtained in the b-XgT sample is among what is the recommended to obtain good mechanical properties of the new bioplastic.

3.1.5 Determination of Volatile solids (VS%)

Using the residue of the previous procedure of total solids, the volatile solids were determined by calcination at 550°C, VS=20.82% was obtained for compost inoculum and VS=94,58% was obtained in the experimental sample. It is understood that these results are related to the volatilization of organic matter (OM), and in a much lower quantity, to inorganic matter [23], which is useful to

appreciate the susceptible matter that biodegrades in composting systems, given the 5% of non-volatile inorganic compounds (Fenton reagent used while b-XgT was synthetized and inorganic remnants). It also considers the chemical composition of b-XgT, a co-polymer of two organic compounds.

3.2 Behavior description of problem substances

The mounted bioreactors, with a 1:9 ratio of substance and compost, showed the behavior described in Table 4.

In order to comprehend the behavior of b-XgT, it must be clear how during controlled degradation in the laboratory there are similar processes to the natural conditions, since as biodegradation advances, microenvironments are created (pH, temperature, nutrients, metabolites and gas). These favor mutualistic processes where growth, reproduction, metabolic processes, and residues from a microbial group, generate a set of suitable nutrients to another [24]. Therefore, if the compost inoculum had by-products such as OM, essential elements and trace elements [25], besides the ones enunciated in Fig. 2, microbial activity began in the bioreactors at the moment of adding the b-XgT.

During the fermentative process of AD, the metabolic reactions are divided into hydrolysis, fermentation, acetogenesis and methanogenesis. In hydrolysis, microorganisms cooperate to degrade OM in successive stages by means of enzymes that break 1,4- β glycosidic bonds of hemicellulose polymers, such as XgT. They are conditioned by the amount of OM, the presence of volatile fatty acids (VFA), temperature, pH, nutrients, the amount of N and P, among others [24].

Table 4.

D 1 /	1		•	4		
Relevant	characteri	stics d	uring	the exi	perimental	process
						p1000000

Day	Description	Main feature
1	First reading of CO ₂ production as reference data.	Light decrease of moisture
2	Coloration loss in b-XgT.	Higher moisture in S_1 and S_2 .
4	Fungi layer growth due to excess of moisture.	An unpleasant smell starts to be perceived.
6	The emanated rotten-egg-like aroma could indicate presence of hydrogen sulfide (AD trace compound).	The unpleasant aroma is strong. There is bubble release.
8	The release of fetid aroma persists, from anaerobic processes. S_1 is more saturated with moisture than S_2 , which has formed "lumps".	Supersaturation humidity dominates.
11	There is a change in odors in the composting systems, which indicates phases of anaerobic fermentation.	Decrease in the bad smell.
15	The humidity decreased, although saturation remains in the S_1 and S_2 ; lumps are more spherical.	Unpleasant smell is perceived only near the bioreactors.

***S**₁: Sample 1; S₂: Sample 2; AD: anaerobic digestion. Source: Authors. Residues (OM + another nutrients) + substratum (nutr. + microorg.) + O_2 + $H_2O \rightarrow$ resistant OM + microorg. + H_2O + heat + CO_2 + NO_3 + trace elem.



Figure 2. General approach of reactions in the decomposition of waste and aerobic digestion. Source: Modified from [26, 27].

Residues (OM, another nutrients) + substratum (nutrients, microor.) + H₂O

+ ions (NO³⁺, SO₄²⁻, Fe³⁺, Mn⁴⁺, CO₂ \rightarrow Microorg. +NH₃ + CH₄ + CO₂

+ organic acids + dissolved ions $(N_2, Mn^{2+}, S^{2+}, Fe^{2+})$ + H_2S + leachate

Figure 3. General approach of AD reactions, bioreactors in composting. Source: Modified from [26, 27].

Since xyloglucan is a hemicellulose, the structure of which is a linear chain of glucoses (Glc), linked by $\beta(1\rightarrow 4)$ bonds—lateral residues of xylose and other saccharides— [28]. It is highly susceptible to hydrolysis by microorganisms. Consequently, the fermentation and acetogenesis (acetic acid ions) are useful for methanogenesis [24].

Fig. 3 expresses the products obtained, where CH_4 , in addition to other trace gases, composes biogas, a renewable fuel comparable to fossil fuels [29].

The biogas production is considered an additional benefit during the biodegradability test of b-XgT. There is a high potential in large-scale treatment of b-XgT in compost because of the 'Waste to Energy' transformation (WTE), since biogas can intervene in cogeneration processes of boiler engines, turbines, electricity generation, or as a biofuel due to its high heat capacity. As part of this process, throughout the anaerobiosis stages, the biogas obtained can be aligned with the global paradigm shift to respond with 30% of the energy requirements by 2023 [30] given the predicted depletion of fossil energies.

3.3 Sample CO₂ measurement

The titration volume of HCl during the sample CO2 measurement were processed with Eq. (1) to obtain the quantity of the degradation gas in millimoles, as shown in the next example. The outcomes are displayed in Table 5:

$$QtyCO_{2}$$
(1)
= (22.2mL - 21.1mL)
* $0.05 \frac{1mgeq}{mL} * \frac{44 \frac{mg}{mmol}}{2 \ eq}$
= 1.21mmol of CO_{2}

The information above is illustrated in the scatter plot (Fig. 4); time is considered as an explanatory variable and

1	a	ble	е :	5.	

Amounts of CO2 released in the biodegradation process.

Day		CO ₂ quantity (mmol)						
Day	Blnk.	Ctrl (+)	Ctrl (-)	Sample. 1	Sample. 2			
1	1,21	6,60	1,32	2,20	3,19			
2	4,73	6,38	5,61	10,67	10,01			
4	4,73	6,93	4,95	7,37	7,59			
6	2,20	3,57	2,39	5,83	5,17			
8	3,85	5,39	4,73	5,83	5,72			
11	2,86	9,02	2,86	2,97	2,97			
13	0,11	3,08	0,11	0,22	0,22			
15	0,11	2,53	0,11	0,55	0,55			
18	0,11	3,52	0,11	0,00	0,00			

Source: Authors.

 CO_2 release as a response variable. A similitude in the curves' behavior is evidenced until the eighth day, when the positive control degradation curve starts to grow once again due to the persistent degradative processe—even when the other bioreactors indicated a decrease in microbial activity. Nevertheless, there is a negative correlation between degradative activity and time of treatment.

The behavior of the CO_2 release curves was similar to the theoretical curves of O_2 requirements during the composting process, which indicates the relation between the maximum microbial activity of decomposition of easily degradable compounds [31] or the rupture in the XgT polysaccharide bonds, the availability of which decreased as the 18 days of process elapsed. The degradation of b-XgT is based, on one side, on the nature of xylose, an abundant residue of xyloglucan, that allows adequate anaerobic digestion [32]. Also, compostable polymers can undergo the breaking of glycosidic linkages into controlled systems, where thermophilic organisms supports the high rate of degradation during the first 14 days [14,33].





Figure 4. Amount of CO₂ released in composting bioreactors. *Source: The authors.*

Biodegradability depends on the specific chemical structure of the polymer, the resulting dynamics such as deterioration from growth of microorganisms on their surface, the monomer fragmentation and the microbial assimilation when the polymer's compounds are used as energy or a carbon source and on the environment in which they are exposed. For this reason, some polymers are biodegradable but not compostable (the latter are environments with the highest microbial diversities); however, measuring the by-products generated during metabolism, as this paper does, prevents the error of cataloguing a stable compound as degradable [34], which has occurred with lignocellulosic materials that are not biodegradable because of their strong bonds [35].

Instead, some compostable polymers (in laboratory conditions at 58°C and measuring CO₂ release) are: poly (lactic acid), PLA, which takes 30 days for a degradation of when the ratio is 70:30 with 40% wood: polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA), which lose 80% of their mass within 70 days; starch-based ones, which degrade by 73% in 109 days; and polycaprolactone ones, which lose 38% of their mass within six days [8]. In comparison, the b-XgT evaluated in similar environments had a lost 40% of its mass (see 'final stage of the test' section) within 18 days of CO₂ release. As such, its type of compostable biodegradability is viable, in decomposition terms, in order to become a substitute for polystyrene petrochemical plastic.

3.4 Statistical analyses

The biodegradability test on b-XgT was carried out in duplicate treatment on a bioreactor. In comparison with the other test substances, the positive and negative control, the b-XgT had the highest standard deviation values since, as shown in Fig. 5, the bioplastic presented the minimum and maximum CO_2 release during the 18 days of degradation, i.e. from 0 to approximately 11 mmoles per day. For this reason, the data presented a dispersed morphology evidenced by a platykurtic kurtosis.





Figure 5. Boxplot diagram of CO₂ release in bioreactors. *Source: The authors.*

Table 6.		
Parametric stati	stical tests.	
Method	P Value	Inference
ANOVA	0.0718	There are no significant differences
one factor	0,0718	between CO ₂ release treatments.
ANOVA	1206	When bioreactors are influenced by time,
two factors	4,20-0	CO ₂ release is significantly affected.
		Biodegradation is not the same among
ANOVA -	0.0217	bioreactors with different test substances
RM	0,0217	when the release of CO2 is considered to be
		determined by the time factor.

Source: Authors

T 11 (

The ANOVA-RM was selected in order to find the difference between composting bioreactors, as it considers unifactorial intra-subject values and small 'subject groups' [36], and shows the dependency between the biological degradation values from composting system of one day in relation to the next due to the intermediate process and different stimulus, such as time. Within a priori tests for ANOVA-RM, the normal distribution is the principal result [37]. To achieve that, considering α =0.05, various methods were used and the results showed a normal distribution. SW was the most relevant since it is "the closest test to the real behavior of data" [38]. The results from Table 6 were obtained through comparing the parametric inferential statistics.

Table 7. Non-parametric tests

Method	Inference
Wilcoxon	There are significant differences between the M ₁
Rank-Sum Test	bioreactor and the blank and the negative control.
Kruskal- Wallis	The test substances come from different populations, so they have significant differences.
Friedman	There is a significant difference in at least two of the behaviors of the substances.
Correlation	The correlation does not imply causation, so the idea
coefficient	that biodegradation is due to treatment cannot be
Spearman	annulled.
1, 177	

Source: The authors

After the experiments, multiple or post hoc comparisons were made, where the adjusted peer analysis of the Bonferroni method, being $\alpha = 0.0083$, showed the greatest difference between days 2 and 4 in relation to days 13, 15, and 18, in terms of the degradation of b-XgT. Using the least significant difference (LSD) method, it was shown that the greatest difference existed between the sample and the positive control in relation to the blank and negative control.

Besides, non-parametric inferential tests were performed, and the results in Table 7 were obtained:

3.5 Final stage of the test

At the final stage, the validation by pH and the loss of mass of the tests were determined.

3.5.1 Validation

The pH was determined with the remnants of sample bioreactors (see Table 8).

Table 8.

Final pH of compost inoculum with XgT bioplastic remnants.						
Paramet.	B-M1	B-M 2	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	Test result		
pН	7.06	7.18	7.12	VALID		
Source: Authors.						

Because the pH obtained was greater than 7, the test can be catalogued as valid and it is not necessary to make additional measurements such as volatile fatty acids [12]. However, it is necessary for further research to consider that when biodegradable plastics are composted, the degraded polymers can release minor additives. If these do not biodegrade, accumulation can occur, which will then require longer testing to verify whether complete biodegradation occurs and also whether there are long-term risks to the environment."[39].

In another evaluations, including the biodegradable plastic mulch, when the effects on wheat growth are considered, stronger negative effects were shown when compared to polyethylene [40]; however, that research included bioplastic with a fraction of petrochemical plastic (44.6% of PET). Various studies must be carried out in order to check if there are negative effects on plant growth due to the incomplete degradation of b-XgT.

3.5.2 Loss of mass

Results were obtained through determining the total solid at the end of the test in order to get the dry weight from the total mass. The final weight of 27g was less than the one registered at the beginning of the test, which corresponded, using Eq. (2), to a 40.34% of weight loss—an average of the duplicated sample.

4. Conclusions

Biodegradability in materials is a property given by the arrangement of polymers, their chemical structure, the type of compound, the complexity of their bonds, and the environmental conditions to which they are subjected. In this case, the XgT and EA bioplastic, of organic origin (94.6% of volatile solids), had a degradation time of 18 days, with a 40.34% loss of mass in bioreactors with a 1 to 9 ratio with inoculum of conditioned compost in favorable conditions: friable structure, 7.75 pH, 34.13 C/N and an initial humidity of 50%. The process showed not to generate ecotoxicity but to culminate as valid because a pH of 7.18 was obtained, which is not harmful to health.

This investigation revealed that the b-XgT is an adequate substitute for polystyrene in terms of biodegradability, since it is biodegradable—compostable—under laboratorycontrolled conditions, mainly through the anaerobic route, which favors the digestion of components of the copolymer such as xylose. This is recognized for allowing the biodegradation of the components to which it is bonded. Parallelly, biodegradation was determined by the time of CO_2 release and the presence of other metabolites, since the available nutrients, microenvironments, and microbial groups vary.

The degrading activity of the microorganisms in the composting system of this study, initially aerobic and then anaerobic, depended on the generation of microenvironments by the species of bacteria whose metabolites favor the environment of others. The b-XgT besides being an adequate substitute for polystyrene in terms of its biodegradability, is also susceptible to energetic valorization through AD.

5. Recommendations

As for ethyl acrylate (EA)—is recommended to be aware of EA carcinogenicity investigations, since according to the US National Library of Medicine and the National Center for Biotechnology Information [41] this compound "is considered harmful if it is exposed continuously for some time." However, the agencies in charge consider that there is weak or inconsistent evidence on the matter for human beings. It is advisable to avoid direct exposure at the time of copolymer synthesis.

As for the tamarind xyloglucan copolymer with ethyl acrylate-it is also possible to consider the use of starch, which is already widely used for different types of packaging. Additionally, they can be mixed with aliphatic polyesters to improve their processability, biodegradability (facilitates microbial attack), and the elastic modulus. Polyurethane (PU) can participate as a reinforcing agent since starches including cassava increase the breaking effort. Chemical modification methods such as the addition of carbohydrate can be considered for research studies such as those that seek to improve moisture resistance, compatibility, and processability with hydrophobic polymers [42].

This paper highlighted that the modifications made to biopolymers, such as PLA, have granted better properties and better biodegradability [43]. This proposal is confirmed by research studies that have modified XgT polymers 'regioselectively' to improve their performance in humid conditions. They found it better than petrochemical plastics, with a controlled change to avoid the use of harmful solvents [44]. The synthesis conditions of the biopolymer, such as the temperature and pressure under which it is made, can improve its physical properties [45].

As for the method-it is suggested to always have a

considerable quantity of experimental sample, if possible, greater or equal to 20g, to make the determination of initial parameters easier. This also enables replication of the 1:9 ratio of test substance with the compost inoculum in bigger composting system and, likewise, to conduct other studies such as microbial, traction, resistance, flexion, stress-strain curves, among others, which belong to exactly the same compound evaluated in this paper.

It is recommended that the Ba(OH)₂ used comes from a recent acquisition, given that it is a highly sensitive to the environment compound and its deterioration can generate measurement noise. Equally, when conditioning it as stock reagent for tests, one must be extremely careful at the moment of making the 0.024N concentration, since movements can add more CO_2 readings than appropriate or that are related to the test.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Luciano Hernández and Laura Mauricio for their continuous advice during the experimental phase and Luis Rodríguez and Carlos González for their support in the initial writing process.

Declaration of interest statement

The authors declare no conflict of interests.

References

- Parker, L., Contaminación por plástico, [online]. 2018. [accessed. 2019-01-08]. Available at: https://www.nationalgeographic.com.es/ naturaleza/grandes-reportajes/ahogados-mar-plastico_12712/1
- [2] Jaromír, J., Van, Y., Tan, R. and Peng, J., Minimising the present and future plastic waste, energy and environmental footprints related to COVID-19. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews [online]. 127, 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2020.109883
- [3] UNEP. Single-Use Plastics: a roadmap for sustainability, The International Environmental Technology Centre, 2018, 104 P.
- [4] UN. Cómo la basura afecta al desarrollo de América Latina. News UN, [online]. 2018. [accessed. 2020-05-08]. Available at: https://news.un.org/es/story/2018/10/1443562
- [5] Whitcomb, I., How much plastic actually gets recycled?. Live Science [online]. 2020. [accessed: 2020-05-08]. Available at: https://www.livescience.com/how-much-plastic-recycling.html
- [6] Eurostat. How much plastic packaging waste do we recycle? Product - Eurostat. European Commission. [online]. 2018 [accessed: 2020-05-08]. Available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/web/productseurostat-news/-/DDN-20181129-1
- [7] European Bioplastics. Bioplastics market data 2018. 2018.
- [8] Emadian, S.M., Onay, T. and Demirel, B., Biodegradation of bioplastics in natural environments. Waste Management [online]. 59, pp. 526-536, 2017. [accessed: 2019-06-14]. DOI: 10.1016/J.WASMAN.2016.10.006
- [9] Del Real, A., Wallander, D., Maciel, A., Cedillo, A. and Loza, H., Graft copolymerization of ethyl acrylate onto tamarind kernel powder, and evaluation of its biodegradability. Carbohydrate polymers [online]. 117, pp. 11-18, 2015. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.09.044
- [10] Sintim, H.Y., Bary, A., Hayes, D.G., Wadsworth, L.C., Anunciado, M.B., English, M.E., Bandopadhyay, S., Schaeffer, S.M., DeBruyn, J.M., Miles, C.A., Reganold, J.P. and Flury, M., In situ degradation of biodegradable plastic mulch films in compost and agricultural soils. Science of the Total Environment. [online]. 727, art. 138668, 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138668

- [11] Calabrò, P. and Grosso, M., Bioplastics and waste management. Waste Manag. [online]. 78, pp. 800-801, 2018. DOI: 10.1016/j.wasman.2018.06.054
- [12] ASTM. ASTM D5338 15 Standard test method for determining aerobic biodegradation of plastic materials under controlled composting conditions, Incorporating Thermophilic Temperatures [online]. 2015 [accessed. 2018-07-17]. Available at: http://www.astm.org/cgi-bin/resolver.cgi?D5338
- [13] UNAM. Planta de composta de la UNAM procesa al día más de 25 metros cúbicos de residuos orgánicos. [online]. 2015 [accessed. 2018-07-17]. Available at: http://www.dgcs.unam.mx/boletin/bdboletin/ 2015_065.html
- [14] Rudnik, E., Compostable polymer materials. [online]. Second Ed. Elsevier, 2019. [accessed. 2019-06-15]. ISBN 9780080994383. DOI: 10.1016/C2012-0-07075-5
- [15] Müller, R.J., Biodegradability of polymers: regulations and methods for testing. In: Biopolymers Online: Biology • Chemistry • Biotechnology • Applications. [online]. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Braunschweig, Germany, 2005, pp. 366-387. [accessed: 2020-01-31]. DOI: 10.1002/3527600035.bpola012
- [16] Wünsch, J.R., 7. Applications . In: Polystyrene: synthesis, production and applications. [online]. Rapra Technology Limited, 2000, pp. 36-41. [accessed: 2020-09-01], ISSN 08893144. Available at: https://books.google.com.co/books/about/Polystyrene.html?id=9Oal 8DG 7GAC&redir esc=y
- [17] Zagal, E. and Sadzawka, A.R., Protocolo de métodos de análisis para suelos y lodos. [online]. 2007. [accessed: 2018-07-17]. Available at: http://www.cofes.org.ar/descargas/relas/4_jornada/Protocolos_suelo s y lodos Sadzawka.pdf
- [18] Pettit, R., Organic matter, humus, humate, humic acid, fulvic acid and humin: their importance in soil fertility and plant health. [online]. no date. [accessed: 2019-04-09]. Available at: https://humates.com/pdf/ORGANICMATTERPettit.pdf
- [19] Ramos, E. and Zúñiga, D., Efecto de la humedad, temperatura y pH del suelo en la actividad microbiana a nivel de laboratorio. Ecología Aplicada. [online]. 7(1), pp. 123-130, 2008. [accessed: 2019-04-09]. Available at: http://www.lamolina.edu.pe/ecolapl/ Articulo_15_vol_7_Ecologia_aplicada.pdf
- [20] Tortosa, La importancia de la relación carbono-nitrógeno en un compost. Compostando Ciencia Lab. [online]. 2018. [accessed: 2019-04-09]. Available at: http://www.compostandociencia.com/2018/ 04/la-importancia-de-la-relacion-carbono-nitrogeno-en-un-compost/
- [21] Román, P., Martínez, M.M. and Pantoja, A., Farmer's Compost Handbook. Experiences in Latin America. [online]. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Santiago, Chile, 2015. [accessed: 2019-04-08]. Available at: http://www.fao.org/3/ai3388e.pdf
- [22] Jansens, K., Hong, N.V., Telen, L., Brijs, K., Lagrain, B., Van Vuure, A.W., Van Acker, K., Verpoest, I., Van Puyvelde, P., Goderis, B., Smet, M. and Delcour, J.A., Effect of molding conditions and moisture content on the mechanical properties of compression molded glassy, wheat gluten bioplastics. Industrial Crops and Products. [online]. 44, pp. 480-487, 2013. [accessed: 2019-04-11]. DOI: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2012.10.006
- [23] Benjamin, G., LaFrance, D. and O'Neill, E., SMWW: standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. Standard Methods - APHA, AWWA, WEF. [online]. 2018. [accessed: 2019-04-13]. Available at: https://www.standardmethods.org/aboutsm
- [24] Corrales, L.C., Antolinez-Romero, D.M., Bohórquez-Macías, J.A. and Corredor-Vargas, A.M., Anaerobic bacteria: processes they perform and their contribution to life sustainability on the planet. NOVA. [online]. 13(23), pp. 55-81 2015. Available at: http://www.scielo.org.co/pdf/nova/v13n24/v13n24a06.pdf
- [25] Bohórquez, A., Puentes, Y. and Menjivar, J.C., Quality evaluation of compost produced from agro-industrial byproducts of sugar cane. Corpoica Cienc. Tecnol. Agropecu. [online]. 15(1), pp. 73-81, 2014. [accessed: 2019-04-21]. Available at: http://www.scielo.org.co/ pdf/ccta/v15n1/v15n1a07.pdf
- [26] Kiss-Köfalusi, G. y Encarnación, G., Los productos y los impactos de la descomposición de residuos sólidos urbanos en los sitios de disposición final. Gaceta Ecológica. [online]. 79, pp. 39-51, 2006. [accessed: 2019-04-21]. Available at: https://www.redalyc.org/ html/539/53907903/

- [27] Torres, K. y Zuluaga, T., Biorremediación de suelos contaminados por hidrocarburos. Tesis Ing. Química, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Colombia, 2009, 92 P. [accessed: 2019-04-11]. Available at: http://www.bdigital.unal.edu.co/815/1/32242005 2009.pdf
- [28] Yahi, E., Carrillo, A. and Bello, L., Chapter 9 Carbohydrates. Postharvest physiology and biochemistry of fruits and vegetables. [online]. 2019, pp. 175-205. DOI: 10.1016/B978-0-12-813278-4.00009-9
- [29] Condorchem Envitech. Valorización energética de residuos -Ingeniería ambiental para el sector industrial. [online]. 2018. [accessed: 2019-04-20]. Available at: https://blog.condorchem.com/ valorizacion-energetica-de-residuos/
- [30] Xue, S, Song, J., Wang, X., Shang, Z., Sheng, C., Li, C., Zhu, Y., Liu, J., A systematic comparison of biogas development and related policies between China and Europe and corresponding insights. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews. [online]. 117, art. 109474, 2020. DOI: 10.1016/j.rser.2019.109474
- [31] Negro, M.J., Villa, F., AIBAR, J. et al., Producción y gestión del Compost [online]. 2000. [accessed: 2018-08-15]. Available at: http://digital.csic.es/bitstream/10261/16792/1/2000%20Compost%2 0CIEMAT.pdf
- [32] Rouches, E., Gimbert, H., Steyer, J.P. and Carrere, H., Improvement of anaerobic degradation by white-rot fungi pretreatment of lignocellulosic biomass: a review. Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews [online]. 59, pp. 179-198, 2016, [accessed: 2019-06-07]. DOI: 10.1016/J.RSER.2015.12.317
- [33] Huang, W., Ngo, H., Lin, C., Vu, C., Kaewlaoyoong, A., Boonsong, T., Tran, H., Bui, X., Vo, T. and Chen, J., Aerobic co-composting degradation of highly PCDD/F-contaminated field soil. A study of bacterial community. Science of the Total Environment. [online]. 660, pp. 595-602, 2019. [accessed: 2019-06-10]. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.12.312
- [34] Adamcová, D., Zloch, J., Brtnický, M. and Vaverková, M., Biodegradation/Disintegration of selected range of polymers: impact on the compost quality. Journal of Polymers and the Environment. [online]. 27(4), pp. 892-899, 2019, [accessed: 2019-06-07]. DOI: 10.1007/s10924-019-01393-3
- [35] Kun, D. and Pukánszky, B., Polymer/lignin blends: interactions, properties, applications. European Polymer Journal. [online]. 93, pp. 618-641, 2017. [accessed: 2019-06-10]. DOI: 10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2017.04.035
- [36] Marin, J.M., UC3M. ANOVA. Capítulo 16, Análisis de varianza con medidas repetidas. El procedimiento modelo lineal general: medidas repetidas. [online]. no date, pp. 291-322. [accessed: 2019-06-01]. Available at: http://halweb.uc3m.es/esp/Personal/personas/ jmmarin/esp/GuiaSPSS/16anovar.pdf
- [37] Serra, P., Ponce, M., López, L., González, L. y García, X., Introducción II. ANOVA de un factor de medidas repetidas. *YouTube* Universitat de Valéncia. [online]. 2014. [accessed: 2018-11-01]. Available at: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yK82q7eIwdI
- [38] Echeverri, L., Acevedo, E. y Hernández, F., Comparación de pruebas de normalidad, en: XXV Simposio Internacional de Estadística 2015 Armenia, Colombia, 2016, 4 P.
- [39] Sintim, B., Hayes, E., Schaeffer, M., Zelenyuk, S. and Flury, M., Release of micro- and nanoparticles from biodegradable plastic during in situ composting. Science of the Total Environment, [online]. 675, pp. 686-693, 2019. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.179
- [40] Qi, Y., Yang, X., Pelaez, A., Lwanga, E., Beriot, N., Gertsen, H., Garbeva, P. and Geissen, V., Macro- and micro- plastics in soil-plant system: effects of plastic mulch film residues on wheat (Triticum aestivum) growth. Science of the Total Environment, [online]. 645, pp. 1048-1056, 2018. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.07.229
- [41] NCBI, NLM and NIH. Ethyl acrylate: C5H8O2 PubChem. [online]. 2019 [accessed. 2019-04-13]. Available at: https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/compound/ethyl_acrylate
- [42] Valero-Valdivieso, F.M., Ortegón, Y. and Uscategui, Y., Biopolímeros: avances y perspectivas. DYNA, [online]. 80(181), pp. 171-180, 2013. [accessed. 2019-01-14]. Available at: http://www.scielo.org.co/pdf/dyna/v80n181/v80n181a19.pdf
- [43] Kumar, N., Kumar, M., Mudenur, C., Kalamdhad, A. and Katiyar, V., Biodegradation of modified Poly(lactic acid) based biocomposite films under thermophilic composting conditions. Polymer Testing,

[online]. 76, pp. 522-536, 2019. [accessed. 2018-11-25]. DOI: 10.1016/j.polymertesting.2019.02.021

- [44] Kochumalayil, J., Zhou, Q., Kasai, W. and Berglund, L., Regioselective modification of a xyloglucan hemicellulose for highperformance biopolymer barrier films. Carbohydrate Polymers, [online]. 93(2), pp.466-472, 2013. [accessed. 2018-07-25]. DOI: 10.1016/j.carbpol.2012.12.041
- [45] Navia, D. y Bejarano, N., Evaluación de propiedades físicas de bioplásticos termo-comprimidos elaborados con harina de yuca. Biotecnología en el Sector Agropecuario y Agroindustrial, [online]. 12(2), pp. 40-48, 2014. [accessed. 2018-11-27]. Available at: http://www.scielo.org.co/pdf/bsaa/v12n2/v12n2a05.pdf

P.A. Álvarez-Betancourt, received a BSc. Eng. in Environmental Engineering in 2019 from the Universidad Distrital Francisco José de Caldas (UDFJC), Bogotá, Colombia. Her research interests include new materials for plastic substitution. She is currently an environmental consultant at SIGMIN S.A.S.

ORCID: 0000-0002-2295-402X.

V.M. Luna-Pabello, received a BSc. Sci. in Biology in 1987, a MSc. in Biology in 1990, and a PhD in Sciences Biology in 1993, all from the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM). Mexico City, Mexico. Postdoctoral study at the Institut National des Sciences Appliquées de Toulouse, France in 1995-1996. From 2000, he has been a full professor in the Biology Department, Faculty of Chemistry at UNAM. His research interests include: Biodegradation tests for solids and liquid materials; microbial ecology in contaminated water and soil; and biological treatment wastewater.

ORCID: 0000-0001-8651-0295

J.E. Ussa-Garzón, received a BSc. Eng. in Forestry Engineering in 2000 and a postgraduate diploma in environment and rural development in 2008 from the Universidad Distrital Francisco José de Caldas (UDFJC). He received a MSc. in rural development in 2005 from the Pontificia Universidad Javeriana, Bogotá, Colombia. He a full professor in the Facultad de Medio Ambiente y Recursos Naturales at UDFJC, from 2007 to 2018. From 2018 he has been dean of that faculty. His research has been based on rural development from sustainable forestry development, productive units, and proposal of agro-forestry models with application in different municipalities of Colombia.

ORCID: 0000-0002-5138-8119

A. Maciel-Cerda obtained PhD from the Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM) in 2001. He carried out post-doctoral research at the University of Sheffield, on molecular deformation of polymers. His research interests are in Polymers for Medical Applications and Polymers from Natural Resources. He has worked at the Materials Research Institute of the UNAM as Academic Technician since 1988. ORCID: 0000-0002-3990-3720.