





Designing a process quality control framework using Monte Carlo simulation

Jean P. Morán-Zabala & Juan M. Cogollo-Flórez

Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano – ITM, Medellín, Colombia. jeanmoran281289@correo.itm.edu.co, juancogollo@itm.edu.co

Received: March 2nd, 2023. Received in revised form: August 29th, 2023. Accepted: September 4th, 2023.

Abstract

Quality control seeks to collect and analyze large amounts of data to take appropriate corrective actions and ensure that products or services meet quality requirements. This study proposed a methodological framework to analyze the quality control process employing Monte Carlo simulation. The methodology consists of four steps: (i) Establishment of probability distributions, (ii) Construction of the mathematical model, (iii) Running the simulation, and (iv) Analysis of the results. The application of the methodological framework in a carbonated beverage production made it possible to ensure with 99% confidence that one of the most important quality characteristics of the product, the degrees Brix, varies in a range of ± 0.02 . The results show the methodology allows to broadly map the process variables behavior and to make decisions on optimal levels for quality monitoring and control.

Keywords: decision making framework; Monte Carlo simulation; process variables analysis; quality control improvement.

Diseño de un sistema de control de calidad de procesos usando simulación Monte Carlo

Resumen

El Control de Calidad busca recoger y analizar grandes cantidades de datos para iniciar las acciones correctivas adecuadas, garantizando que los productos o servicios cumplen los requisitos de calidad. Este trabajo propone un marco metodológico para analizar el proceso de control de calidad mediante Simulación Monte Carlo. La metodología consta de cuatro pasos: (i) Determinación de las distribuciones de probabilidad, (ii) Construcción del modelo matemático, (iii) Ejecución de la simulación, and (iv) Análisis de resultados. La aplicación del marco metodológico en una producción de bebidas carbonatadas permitió asegurar con un 99% de confianza que una de las características de calidad más importantes del producto, los grados Brix, varía en un rango de \pm 0.02. Los resultados muestran que la metodología permite mapear en forma amplia el comportamiento de las variables del proceso y tomar decisiones sobre niveles óptimos para el monitoreo y control de la calidad.

Palabras clave: sistema de toma de decisiones; simulación Monte Carlo; análisis de variables de procesos; mejoramiento del control de la calidad.

1 Introduction

In competitive industries, manufacturers explore strategies to improve product quality, decrease quality variability, increase system reliability, and lower costs [1]. Variability in product quality and performance is a major engineering problem arising from irregularities caused by errors [2].

Quality control (QC) plays a significant role in production processes and supply chain management [3,4]. It inherently

enhances performance by verifying the standards of a product or service during its manufacturing process to reduce the probability of introducing defective products into the market.

QC makes it possible to evaluate system efficiency to identify what can be improved and what needs to be corrected. Typically, deviations and errors in product manufacturing arise from other processes that need to be detected and fixed.

Companies seek to prioritize quality improvement actions using methodologies such as quality function deployment

How to cite: Morán-Zabala, J.P., and Cogollo-Flórez, J.M., Designing a process quality control framework using Monte Carlo simulation. DYNA, 90(229), pp. 19-24, October - December, 2023.

(QFD). A QC system of predictive models based on cause-andeffect analyses validated by Monte Carlo simulation (MCS) can predict failures to improve product quality [5]. Therefore, it is necessary to calculate rejection probabilities to control these errors through analytical solutions [6].

Simulation is the process of building a logicalmathematical model of a system or decision process by conducting experiments to understand the system behavior or support decision-making. In addition, another important element in simulation processes is the identification of appropriate data probability distributions. Therefore, the main objective of simulation is to perform experiments with the model and analyze the results to improve decisions.

Decision problems are considered quality control schemes for which statistical procedures are developed, monitored, and compared. The authors of [7] mention that using the MCS method, it is possible to estimate the distribution properties when the process is under control and obtain a complete mapping for decision-making.

In project execution, decision-making is fundamental to the accomplishment of the organization's objectives. Therefore, an approach to monitor and control projects under uncertainty is proposed in [8], where the use of MCS led to obtain a universe of possible project performances and to establish optimal control charts for decision-makers. In [9], the author states that as decision models surround MCS, it becomes more complex and widely used because the need to organize and share model components to achieve quality control increases.

There are multiple studies and techniques focused on controlling process quality; nevertheless, there is a gap in the development and implementation of flexible frameworks that use simulation to solve complex process and product quality control problems.

Improved simulation performance codes and proper prediction are crucial to increase decision accuracy and analysis quality, but they come at a high computational and monetary cost [10]. Consequently, this article develops a methodological framework for process quality control that integrates MCS to determine how variable product quality can be.

The methodological framework was implemented in a carbonated beverage process. The main contribution of this article is the development of a flexible framework that integrates MCS to solve complex engineering problems in the context of process quality control, thus favoring the prediction of behaviors and the detection and correction of errors within processes. The above, in turn, improves process quality and enhances decision-making processes.

2 Monte Carlo simulation

The main objective of simulation is to replicate the characteristics and behavior of a process to imitate operations through mathematical models. This makes it possible to solve mathematical problems that are complicated and even impossible to solve analytically [11].

MCS is carried out to identify the characteristic behavior related to the problem and to understand and predict its uncertainties, thus increasing decision-making reliability [12]. MCS provides control variables with expected numerical tolerance, given that the methods can also be employed to assess uncertainty in terms of measurement error [13]. To ensure quality and lower uncertainty, the authors of [14] mention that strict control of the parameters is mandatory.

The distortion in the working power curve is the result of the asymmetry in the distribution of analyte measurements. A version of the Westgard–Barry–Hunt–Groth multi-rule Quality Control System (QCS) was developed and subsequently analyzed by MCS in [15]; however, it did not meet the acceptance criteria of the Gaussian distribution of measurements.

In [16], the authors analyze the estimate of the time of the process change by studying the performance of the change point estimator using MCS, given that the delay in the generation of a control chart signal is related to biases. Methods for uncertainty assessment are complex and require measurement expertise and experience; therefore, a MCS methodology to implement and validate new easy-to-use strategies is presented in [17].

Traditional deterministic methods are not based on a full understanding of these inherent uncertainties, which may negatively affect the overall design performance [18]. In the case of coordinate measuring machines (CMMs), uncertainty assessment by MCS integrates various specific uncertainty influences into the process. Therefore, the authors of [19] proposed a method to determine uncertainties for CMM feature measurements, ensuring that it is impossible to control and obtain reliable quality without determining and monitoring the exact specification of the measurement uncertainty. Moreover, according to [20], measurement variability estimates can be analyzed from simulation results.

Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) presents problems that require stringent QC schemes to remove low-quality images. Therefore, an MCS-based method for the evaluation of tensor properties was proposed in [21], showing that the estimated bias can be different in magnitude and directional distribution.

In [22], the authors studied the applicability of the Bayesian control method by MCS. They sought to demonstrate the improvement of the multivariate Bayesian control chart, since it is believed that the decrease in false alarm rates is relevant to its economic optimization. Additionally, according to [23], simulation studies and applications in real industry cases enhance parameter assessment and quality control charts when the sets contain outliers.

In [24], the impact of imperfect ranking was evaluated by MCS, identifying which control charts perform best in most of the simulated scenarios, thus predicting the estimated results. Based on the results obtained, the authors of [25] concluded that, thanks to MCS, the intensity profile of the image can be used to determine the thickness of the sample accurately, also analyzing the variables that have a greater impact on them.

In decision-making, information on the behavior of constantly changing systems is essential. Accordingly, a microsimulation model was proposed in [26], seeking to help decision-makers to consider possible scenarios and responses of the distribution system to different labor events.

For their part, the authors of [27] stated that non-normal data MCS allowed them to estimate the critical value to determine whether the process met the customers' specifications, based on the extended process capability index. According to [28], MCS enabled them to identify the variables with the greatest impact on QC, evaluate the possible results, and reduce the probability of manufacturing products of lower quality than expected.

Likewise, based on MCS, the authors of [29] quantitatively demonstrated how dispersion can lead to drastic increases in sample size to maintain the desired study power. However, they are not usually considered. In [30], the authors explained that, thanks to MCS, they could demonstrate the necessary dose to rethink the current recommendations on drug stability, achieving a consensus on the subject.

In [31], an applicable model for cable simulation using the MCS method was proposed. The applicability of the model was proven by separating the energy spectra into components so that these proportions achieved an optimal overall understanding of the specifications. Similarly, the authors of [32] showed that the applied prototype system produces accurate and reliable metrics in a real environment in the application of QCS because, thanks to the implementation of MCS, the data interpretation burden of professionals could be reduced.

The adaptive resonance theory (ART) is a topic of great relevance in medicine. Consequently, the implementation of a QCS without modeling was proposed in [33] to analyze the performance of the ART neural network. A simplified Fuzzy ART neural algorithm was employed and subsequently studied by MCS, finding an optimal performance for the QCS throughout the simulation runs.

Comparing stochastic models with traditional models using MCS makes it possible to analyze the quality of processes and the impact on organizational systems [34]. As mentioned in [35], quantitative analysis models should be checked by addressing the factors related to the risk of failure and the sensitivity of the main variables.

The adoption of simulation models by quantitative analyses and real-time measurements improves the quality management support-decision process at the industrial level from tactical and operational perspectives [33]. Furthermore, the combination of MCS with methods such as the robust co-state sequence and the load-holding control mode show that the management strategies are efficient and meet the objectives [36].

Process errors can have an enormous impact in QC due to both biases and inaccuracies. As explained in [37], MCS models make it possible to predict the proportion of risk in response to measurement results at specific bias and inaccuracy values.

The success or failure of a pavement depends more on the accuracy of predictions than on the accuracy relative to traffic. The authors of [38] stated that MCS allowed them to explain the relationship between reliability and safety in the pavement design procedure for any level of variability in material properties and traffic.

3 Methodology

The Monte Carlo Simulation for Process Quality Control Framework (MCS-PQCF) methodology is based on the differential principle (1):

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \frac{(b-a)}{m} \sum_{n=1}^{m} f(x_{n})$$
(1)

where *a* and *b* are the limits, and *m* is a finite parameter that increases as a function of the desired accuracy, allowing results to be predicted further in time with greater accuracy. Regardless of the tool used, the MCS-PQCF process involves the four essential stages shown in Fig. 1.



Figure 1. Methodology stages. Source: The authors.

First, the variables of interest in the model that are thought to influence a phenomenon and are fundamental to explaining or predicting the results are defined. These variables are then correlated to understand the linear relationships between them, to assess the strength and direction of the relationships, to detect multicollinearity, and to establish the basis for further analysis. The assumptions of linearity, independence, homoscedasticity, normality and non-collinearity are validated to ensure the validity of the multiple linear regression model.

Next, probability distributions are determined to represent the uncertainty in the model input values, and based on these parameters, the multiple linear regression model is fed into the mathematical model:

$$Y' = K + C_1 F(x_1) + C_2 F(x_2) + \dots + C_n F(x_n)$$
(2)

where K is a constant, C_1 to C_n are the coefficients of the linear regression model, and x_1 to x_n are the random variables of previously determined probability distributions.

Then, simulations are run to assess how the variables variability affects the results of the proposed control model by repeatedly generating random values based on the probability distributions. Finally, analysis of the results allows validation of compliance with the MCS-PQCF for more informed decision-making, identification of risks and opportunities, assessment of sensitivity, validation of models, and effective communication of uncertainty to stakeholders.

The following section details the results of the application of the MCS-PQCF methodology. The software used was Microsoft Excel[®] and the Simulator 5.0 add-in.

4 Results

The quality of final system outputs depends on the intermediate characteristics and design parameters at each stage [39]. There is one quality characteristic that must be maintained to produce carbonated beverages with Brix syrup quality: the degrees Brix.

All variables have a target and can be measured; therefore, they are controllable. The input variable is the degrees Brix of

Table 1.

Origin variable	Destination variable	Correlation coefficient
R_{I}	Х	0
R_2	Х	0.1218
R_2	R_{I}	0.1288
R_3	X	-0.2181
R_3	R_{I}	-0.2650
R_3	R_2	-0.0596
R_4	Х	0.1170
R_4	R_{I}	-0.1309
R_4	R_2	-0.0735
R_4	R_3	0.0943
Y	X	0.4976
Y	R_{I}	-0.4300
Y	R_2	-0.3383
Y	R_3	0.0693
Y	R_4	0.3151

Source: The authors.

Table 2.	
Identification	of probability distributions.
Variable	Distribution types and parameters
R	Beta (B): [0.0111; 0.0555; 52.2199; 55.2601]
X ₁	Triangular – Double (TD): [0.9756; 1.4; 1.2; 0.8667]
X ₂	Triangular (T): [4.2612; 4.6105; 4.5]
X3	Binomial (Bin): [0.9948; 541]
X 4	Pascal (P): [0.981; 43]
C T1	1

Source: The authors.

the syrup (%); the process variables are the Carbo-Cooler temperature (°C), filler temperature (°C), filler speed (BPM), and refrigerant suction pressure (PSI); and the output variable of the model for the finished product is the degrees Brix of the beverage (%). The variables are represented by R, x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , and x_4 , respectively. An analysis of variables was conducted for the input variable, the process variables, and the quality characteristics, aiming to identify the correlation coefficients (Table 1).

The detailed description of the distributions of the variables of interest is the main basis for controlling service and product quality [40]. The probability distributions, parameters, and behaviors of the process variables found for the application of the MCS-PQCF methodology are detailed in Table 2.

The distributions and parameters assigned to each process variable were replaced in (3), thus obtaining the mathematical model expressed in (4):

$$Y = -7.8798 + 0.3313R - 0.0423x_1 - 0.0464x_2 + 0.0003x_3 + 0.0027x_4$$
(3)

$$Y' = -7.8798 + 0.3313\{\mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}[0.9448; 543]\} - 0.0423\{\mathbf{x}_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{TD}[0.9001; 1.3411; 1.0999]\} - 0.0464\{\mathbf{x}_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{T}[4.2612; 4.6105; 4.5]\} (4) + 0.0003\{\mathbf{x}_3 \rightarrow \mathbf{Bin}[4.2612; 4.6105; 4.5]\} + 0.0027\{\mathbf{x}_4 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}[4.2612; 4.6105; 4.5]\}$$

Based on the probability distributions and the mathematical model, 1,000 simulation runs were performed. Fig. 2 displays parameters such as frequency, run frequency accumulation, risk value, and confidence percentage to determine the effectiveness of the output characteristic.



Figure 2. Histogram of the simulation results for the degrees Brix of the beverage.

Source: The authors.



Figure 3. Pearson's sensitivity analysis for the degrees Brix of the beverage. Source: The authors.

A sensitivity analysis was performed to obtain a satisfactory output prediction for the carbonated beverage process, to establish the uncertainties, limitations, and scope of the model and as means of studying the impact of the variations in one of the independent variables on a dependent variable.

Fig. 3 shows that the variables that most influence the degrees Brix of the beverage are the degrees Brix of the syrup and the suction pressure of the refrigerant. As the degrees Brix of the syrup increase, so do the degrees Brix of the beverage.

It is worth highlighting the importance of all the variables within the simulation model. However, some of them achieve a higher impact on the proposed quality characteristic, thus fulfilling the specifications of all the variables related to the model.

Table 3.		
Process quality	control framework.	

Variable	Monitoring range	Optimum value
R	$[55.21 \le 55.22 \le 55.26]$	55.22
X ₁	$[0.97 \le 1 \le 1.03]$	1.0
X_2	$[2.99 \le 3 \le 3.01]$	3
X_3	$[534 \le 540 \le 547]$	540
X_4	$[43 \le 45 \le 47]$	45
Y	$[10.43 \le 10.45 \le 10.47]$	10.44

Source: The authors.

Finally, the QCS values correspond to the monitoring ranges and the expected value of the process variables (Table 3). It is noted that the optimum values of each variable are within the established monitoring range.

5 Conclusions

Quality control is essential to any process because it makes it possible to map and monitor production actions, thus eliminating errors, failures, or defects. Thanks to the mapping of the results obtained, decision-making is improved by the analysis and sensitivity study of the quality characteristics of the process. Given the study of the variables and probable outcomes, it was possible to determine how the values of an independent characteristic can affect a dependent one.

The MCS methodology achieves probabilistic solutions for equations where deterministic methods are not satisfactory due to a lack of precision in the input data. The MCS-PQCF methodology offers the possibility of performing risk analyses and creating new models of possible outcomes by permuting a range of values, being the probability distribution for any factor with inherent uncertainty. Sensitivity analyses allow industries to forecast the success or failure of their projects using reliable and accurate statistically-obtained data, based on the results of the MCS-PQCF methodology.

The main contribution of this study is the implementation of a lexicographic model using simulation tools. It is, therefore, an engineering procedure that can bring real benefits by introducing new knowledge, skills, and abilities into the field. The topic is relevant to Quality Engineering from an optimization perspective, which is key in the current context of the Fourth Industrial Revolution and AI.

This study is part of an ongoing research whose future work will focus on the application of the tool in other business sectors and the integration of multi-objective optimization tools, different simulation methods, and artificial intelligence. Moreover, additional variables will be incorporated into the model to determine the impact of various quality characteristics on the process.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the ITM Translation Agency (*traducciones@itm.edu.co*) for proofreading and editing this paper for proper English.

References

[1] Ma, X.Z., and Lv, W.Y., Joint optimization of production and maintenance using Monte Carlo method and metaheuristic algorithms.

Mathematical Problems in Engineering, pp. 1–22, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/3670495

- [2] Otsuka, A., and Nagata, F. International Journal of Production Economics Quality design method using process capability index based on Monte-Carlo method and real-coded genetic algorithm. International Journal of Production Economics, 204, pp. 358–364 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.08.016
- [3] Caspeele, R., From quality control to structural reliability: where Bayesian statistics meets risk analysis. Heron, 59(2–3), pp. 79–100, 2014.
- [4] Morán-Zabala, J.P. and Cogollo-Flórez, J.M., Contribution of quality management to sustainable supply chain performance. Dimensión Empresarial, 20(2), pp. 94-116, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15665/dem.v20i2.2871
- [5] He, Z., Hu, H., Zhang, M., and Yin, X., Product quality prediction based on process data: taking the gearbox of Company D as an example. Quality Engineering, 34(3), pp. 409–422, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1080/08982112.2022.2063743
- [6] Liza, R., Fenton, G.A., Lake, C.B., and Griffiths, D.V., An analytical Approach to assess quality control sample sizes of cement- based solidification/stabilization. Canadian Geotechnical Journal, 54(3), pp. 419–427, 2017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1139/cgj-2016-0218
- [7] Starks, T.H., and Flatman, G.T., RCRA ground-water monitoring decision procedures viewed as quality control schemes. Environmental Monitoring and Assessment, 16(1), pp. 19-37 1991. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00399592
- [8] Acebes, F., Pajares, J., Galán, J.M., and López-Paredes, A., A project monitoring and control system using EVM and Monte Carlo simulation. In Project Management and Engineering Research, pp. 31-40, 2014. Springer, Cham. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-26459-2_3
- [9] Hubbard, D.W. Dual-Axial Motion control of a magnetic levitation system using Hall-Effect sensors. In 2019 Winter Simulation Conference (WSC), pp. 3064–3073, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1109/TMECH.2015.2479404
- [10] Alqahtani, M., Buijs, A., and Day, S.E., Progress in Nuclear energy simulation approach and code functionality assessment using deterministic and Monte Carlo codes system for U-235 core-follow depletion calculation. Progress in Nuclear Energy, 129, art. 103501, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.pnucene.2020.103501
- [11] Chen, D.G. and Chen, J.D., Monte-Carlo simulation-based statistical modeling. Singapore: Springer, 2017.
- [12] Sarnobat, S.S., and Raval, H.K., Experimental investigation and analysis of the influence of tool edge geometry and work piece hardness on surface residual stresses, surface roughness and work-hardening in hard turning of AISI D2 steel. Measurement, 131, pp. 235–260, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2018.08.048
- [13] Wen, X.L., Zhao, Y.B., Wang, D.X., and Pan, J., Adaptive Monte Carlo and GUM methods for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty of cylindricity error. Precision Engineering, 37(4), pp. 856-864, 2013. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.precisioneng.2013.05.002
- [14] Saviano, A.M., and Lourenço, F.R., Measurement uncertainty estimation based on multiple regression analysis (MRA) and Monte Carlo (MC) simulations – Application to agar diffusion method. Measurement, 115, pp. 269–278, 2017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2017.10.057
- [15] Wood, R., A simulation study of the Westgard multi-rule quality-control system for clinical laboratories. Clinical Chemistry, 36(3), pp. 462-465, 1990. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/clinchem/36.3.462
- [16] Samuel, T.R., Pignatiello Jr, J.J., and Calvin, J.A., Identifying the time of a step change with X control charts. Quality Engineering, 10(3), pp. 521-527, 1998. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1080/08982119808919166
- [17] Sładek, J., and Gąska, A., Evaluation of coordinate measurement uncertainty with use of virtual machine model based on Monte Carlo method. Measurement, 45(6), pp. 1564-1575, 2012. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.measurement.2012.02.020
- [18] Lu, H., Kim, E., and Gutierrez, M., Monte Carlo simulation (MCS) based uncertainty analysis of rock mass quality Q in underground construction. Tunnelling and Underground Space Technology, 94, art. 103089, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.tust.2019.103089
- [19] Kruth, J.P., Van Gestel, N., Bleys, P., and Welkenhuyzen, F., Uncertainty determination for CMMs by Monte Carlo simulation

integrating feature form deviations. CIRP Annals, 58(1), pp. 463-466, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cirp.2009.03.028

- [20] Aggogeri, F., Barbato, G., Barini, E.M., Genta, G., and Levi, R., Measurement uncertainty assessment of coordinate measuring machines by simulation and planned experimentation. CIRP Journal of Manufacturing Science and Technology, 4(1), pp. 51-56, 2011.DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cirpj.2011.01.007
- [21] Farzinfar, M., Li, Y., Verde, A. R., Oguz, I., Gerig, G., and Styner, M.A., DTI quality control assessment via error estimation from Monte Carlo simulations. In Medical Imaging 2013: Image Processing, 8669, pp. 641-648, 2013. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2006925
- [22] Liu, J., Pang, C.P., an Jiang, R., Economic optimisation in automotive body manufacturing quality control based on multivariate Bayesian control chart. International Journal of Industrial and Systems Engineering, 18(1), pp. 125-138, 2014. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1504/IJISE.2014.064344
- [23] Park, C., Ouyang, L., and Wang, M., Robust g -type quality control charts for monitoring nonconformities. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 162, art. 107765, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2021.107765
- [24] Silva, G.P., Taconeli, C.A., Zeviani, W.M., and Guimaraes, I.S., An improved quality control chart to monitor the mean based on ranked sets. 2017. Available at: arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.04979
- [25] Tian, J., Wu, J., and Chiu, Y., Ultramicroscopy Monte Carlo simulation and theoretical calculation of SEM image intensity and its application in thickness measurement. Ultramicroscopy, 187, pp. 13–19, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultramic.2018.01.004
- [26] Gómez-Marín, C.G., Arango-Serna, M.D., and Serna-Urán, C.A., Agentbased microsimulation conceptual model for urban freight distribution. Transportation Research Procedia, 33, pp. 155-162, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trpro.2018.10.088
- [27] Iranmanesh, H., Parchami, A., and Sadeghpour-Gildeh, B., Statistical testing quality and its Monte Carlo simulation based on fuzzy specification limits. Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 19(3), pp. 1-17, 2022.DOI: https://doi.org/10.22111/IJFS.2022.6940
- [28] Li, P. C., Shih, H.C., and Ma, H.W., Applying probabilistic material flow analysis for quality control and management of waste recycling in steelmaking. Waste Management, 144, pp. 67-75, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.wasman.2022.03.011
- [29] Cukurova, F., Gustavson, B.P., Griborio-Guzman, A.G., and Levin, L.A., A quantitative approach to the spread of variance in translational research using Monte Carlo simulation. Scientific Reports, 12(1), pp. 1-12, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-09921-3
- [30] Bilbao-Meseguer, I., Barrasa, H., Rodríguez-Gascón, A., Asín-Prieto, E., Maynar, J., Sánchez-Izquierdo, J.Á., Solinís, M. and Isla, A., Optimization of levetiracetam dosing regimen in critically ill patients with augmented renal clearance: a Monte Carlo simulation study. Journal of Intensive Care, 10(1), pp. 1-8, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40560-022-00611-w
- [31] Roth, D., Larsson, E., Ljungberg, M., and Sjögreen Gleisner, K., Monte Carlo modelling of a compact CZT-based gamma camera with application to 177Lu imaging. EJNMMI Physics, 9(1), pp. 1-21, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40658-022-00463-1
- [32] Ji, W., and AbouRizk, S.M., Simulation-based analytics for quality control decision support: Pipe Welding case study. Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering, 32(3), pp. 1–9, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)cp.1943-5487.0000755
- [33] Pacella, M., Semeraro, Q., and Anglani, A., Manufacturing quality control by means of a Fuzzy ART network trained on natural process data. Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence, 17(1), pp. 83– 96, 2004. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2003.11.005
- [34] Leou, R.C., Teng, J.H., Lu, H.J., Lan, B.R., Chen, H.T., Hsieh, T.Y., and Su, C.L., Stochastic analysis of electric transportation charging impacts on power quality of distribution systems. IET Generation, Transmission and Distribution, 12(11), pp. 2725–2734, 2018. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-gtd.2018.0112
- [35] Jiang, F., and Dong, S., Collision failure risk analysis of falling object on subsea pipelines based on machine learning scheme. Engineering Failure Analysis, 114, art. 104601, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engfailanal.2020.104601
- [36] Shangguan, J., Guo, H., and Yue, M., Robust energy management of plug-in hybrid electric bus considering the uncertainties of driving cycles

and vehicle mass. Energy, 203, pp. 117836, 2020. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117836

- [37] Inman, M., Parker, K., Strueby, L., Lyon, A. W., and Lyon, M.E., A simulation study to assess the effect of analytic error on neonatal glucose measurements using the Canadian Pediatric Society Position Statement action thresholds. Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology, 14(3), pp. 519–525, 2019. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1177/1932296819884923
- [38] Ioannides, A.M., and Tingle, J.S., Monte Carlo simulation for flexible pavement reliability. Airfield and Highway Pavements 2021: Pavement Design, Construction, and Condition Evaluation, pp. 13–25, 2021. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1061/9780784483503.002
- [39] Hejazi, T.H., Seyyed-Esfahani, M., and Mahootchi, M., Optimization of degree of conformance in Multiresponse–Multistage systems with a simulation-based metaheuristic. Quality and Reliability Engineering International, 31(4), pp. 645–658, 2015. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/qre.1622
- [40] Jiang, T., and Skrivervik, A.K., Monte-Carlo method for EMF exposure distribution assessment in 5G base station. In 16th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation, EuCAP, pp. 1–5, 2022. DOI: https://doi.org/10.23919/EuCAP53622.2022.9769472

J.P. Morán-Zabala is a student of Quality Engineering at the Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano – ITM, Colombia. He has received several awards for meritorious research and has participated in high-profile national and international operations research events. Currently, he is an internship student at the Centre interuniversitaire de recherche sur les reseaux d'entreprise, la logistique et le transport (CIRRELT), Canada. His research interests include supply chains, waste management, routing and arc-routing problems, statistical quality control, optimization, simulation, and operations management. ORCID: 0000-0029-248X

J.M. Cogollo-Flórez received the PhD in Engineering – Industry and Organizations and the MSc. Eng. in Management Engineering, both from the Universidad Nacional de Colombia. Currently, he is a full professor in the Quality and Production Department, Instituto Tecnológico Metropolitano – ITM, Medellín, Colombia. His research interests include advanced statistical quality control, supply chain quality management, performance measurement, fuzzy modeling, and process quality modeling. ORCID: 0000-0002-6101-3134