Urban air pollution in school-related microenvironments in Bogota, Colombia
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ABSTRACT

Particle-related pollution (PM₁₀, PM₂.₅ and soot) was measured in both indoor and outdoor microenvironments at four public elementary schools in Bogota, Colombia. Three of these schools were located alongside major urban roads in which different types of public transit systems are used (bus rapid transit system and conventional transit buses). The fourth school was located on a non-congested road (background school). Pollutant levels at schools situated on major roads were higher than those found at the low-congestion road school. Outdoor black carbon daily mean concentrations at the schools located near major roads were up to six times higher than those recorded at the background school. Mean particulate matter concentrations at schools near major roads were above international standards, suggesting that school-age children in Bogota are exposed to pollution levels that are considered to be harmful by environmental and public health authorities. Elevated indoor and outdoor pollutant concentrations documented in this study suggested that traffic has a direct impact on air quality regarding the schools’ characterised microenvironments.
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RESUMEN

Se caracterizaron los niveles de material particulado respirable (PM₁₀), material particulado fino (PM₂.₅) y carbono elemental (BC) en microambientes intramurales y exteriores de cuatro colegios distritales en Bogotá. Tres de estos colegios estaban ubicados en inmediaciones de vías principales consideradas de alto tráfico vehicular, por las que circulan distintos tipos de transporte público (colectivo convencional y transporte público masivo). El colegio restante (utilizado como sitio control) se encontraba ubicado sobre una vía secundaria, no congestionada. En general, los niveles de contaminación encontrados en los microambientes de los colegios ubicados en vías con alto tráfico vehicular fueron significativamente mayores que aquellos reportados en el colegio control. Por ejemplo, las concentraciones de BC documentadas en microambientes exteriores de los colegios ubicados sobre vías principales fueron hasta seis veces mayores que aquellas encontradas en el colegio control. Las concentraciones promedio reportadas sugieren que los menores en edad escolar en Bogotá se encuentran expuestos a niveles de contaminación considerados como nocivos para la salud por las autoridades internacionales. Adicionalmente, la evidencia recolectada propone que en los colegios evaluados, el tráfico vehicular tiene un impacto importante en la calidad del aire al interior de los mismos.
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Introduction

Reducing air pollution is considered an environmental challenge in major cities around the world (Chow et al., 2004; Bell et al., 2006; Siemens, 2008). Bogota, Colombia’s capital city, has been ranked as one of the most air-polluted cities in Latin-America (WHO, 2005; EIU, 2011). Like many other cities in developing countries, its ambient inhalable particulate matter (PM₁₀) concentrations are well above local and national air quality standards (Gaitan et al., 2007; SDA, 2012). Local environmental and public health authorities are aware of this problem and are keen to find an effective solution, especially since it has already been documented that respiratory illness is the main cause for morbidity and mortality in the city amongst children below five years of age (SDS, 2009).

It has been well-documented that children are especially sensitive to the harmful effects of air pollution (Calderón-Garciduenas et al., 2003; Kim, 2004; Schwartz, 2004; Pope et al., 2006). Evidence suggests that children whose homes or schools are located nearby congested roads tend to exhibit respiratory complications leading to a reduction in their pulmonary function (Caderón-Garciduenas et al., 2006; Kim et al., 2004; Jansen et al., 2001; Fritz and Herbarth, 2001; Peters et al., 1999). International studies related to air quality in schools have documented PM concentrations...
levels exceeding World Health Organisation (WHO) guidelines (Fromme et al., 2007; Van Roosbroeck et al., 2007; Blondeau et al., 2005).

This study’s main objective was to characterise urban atmospheric pollution in different microenvironments at elementary schools in Bogota. It is hoped that the evidence presented herein will be used for supporting environmental and public health authorities’ formulation of plans of action.

Methods

School selection and sampling sites

The study was conducted in the urban area of Bogota (Colombia’s capital and largest city), located 2,600 m above sea level on the Andes mountain range. The city’s annual average temperature is 15°C, having alternate periods of rain and drought. Bogota has one of the highest population densities in the Americas, with close to 8,000,000 people living in less than 30,000 hectares of urbanised area (DANE, 2011). The population is classified into six socioeconomic strata defined according to neighbourhood’s SES (strata 1 being the poorest and strata 6 the wealthiest), most people (close to 80%) living in neighbourhoods classified in strata 2 and 3, 10% residing in neighbourhoods classified as strata 4 and 5 and the remainder living in neighbourhoods categorised as strata 1 or 6 (DAPD, 2007). Most journeys in the city (60%) involve using public transport. Bogota’s economy represents about 25% of the country’s gross domestic product (Luna and Behrentz, 2011).

Four schools were selected from the city’s public elementary school network, consisting of about 1,000 schools. A complete description of the school selection procedure is given elsewhere (Franco et al., 2009). Many of the public network schools are located alongside secondary roads. However, a significant number of such schools are built along main urban roads on which diesel-fuelled transit buses are abundant.

One of the four selected schools was located on a low-traffic road, which was considered as a background site (School 1). The other three schools were located beside major roads, characterised by heavy vehicle traffic, including diesel buses and trucks. Two of these schools were on roads where conventional traffic buses (CTB) still represent the predominant public transportation system (School 2 and School 3). The remaining school was located at the side of a roadway where a bus rapid transit (BRT) system is already in place (School 4).

All four schools were situated in areas having no industry or other stationary air pollution sources. The background school was located in a low air pollution area and the schools near major roads were located in areas having high particulate matter concentrations, according to urban background measurements recorded by the city’s air-quality monitoring network. School buildings and classroom furniture were similar amongst the studied schools. Typical building construction materials included concrete, brick and cement. As usual in school buildings in Bogota, none of them were equipped with artificial ventilation or air conditioning systems. Classroom windows remained closed during class-time to avoid traffic-related noise. Hard surface floorings were used in all indoor school micro-environments.

Two different types of school-related microenvironments were characterized: 1) Outdoor microenvironments (the closest point to the roadway within the school property as well as the playgrounds) and 2) Indoor microenvironments (classrooms).

Traffic count

Traffic count and categorisation were made for all roads where the participating schools were located. A digital video camera placed in front of each school documented vehicle traffic four hours per day (two hours in the morning and two in the afternoon), three days per week. The number of vehicles was determined for each testing day as well as the composition of the fleet based on the following vehicle categories: passenger cars, trucks, motorcycles, CTB and BRT. All videos were analysed several times by different people and an intra-class correlation coefficient was obtained for assessing consistency between independent counts.

Air pollution measurement

Air pollutant concentration was measured during a field campaign between 2006 and 2008 (a three-month field campaign every year). Integrated 8-hour PM10, 8-hour real-time PM10 and fine particulate matter (PM2.5), and 8-hour real-time black carbon (BC) measurements were taken. Real-time PM10 and PM2.5 measurements were made using two co-located portable refractometers (DustTrak 8520, TSI Inc., St. Paul, MN, USA). Both instruments were configured to collect minute-by-minute data. BC measurements were made using a portable Aethalometer (Magee Scientific Co., Berkeley, CA, USA). Minute-by-minute readings were recorded in the device’s internal memory.

DustTrak measurements were validated by running collocated gravimetric-based measurements using MS&T samplers - Harvard Impactors (Air Diagnostics and Engineering Inc., Harrison, ME, USA) operating at 10 litres per minute (lpm) flow rate. A high-accuracy Matheson rotameter (model FM-1050) was used for verifying instrument flow-rate before and after the experiments. PM10 concentrations were determined gravimetrically, weighing 37-mm teflon filters (2 micron pore-size) with a microbalance (Sartorius, MSP-000V001). Samples were kept in controlled conditions regarding temperature (23±1°C) and relative humidity (45%) 24 to 48 hours before weighing.

Integrated and real-time samples were taken daily (between 7:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m.), matching school hours, during a period of at least four weeks at each school (excluding weekends). The measurements were not taken simultaneously at all schools. The instruments measuring position were about 1.5 m above floor level. Table 1 shows the number of experiments at each school.

Together with the field measurements, data from city’s air quality monitoring network was collected. PM10 concentrations at the closest station to each school was documented and analyzed in order to establish a background scenario for every site. The procedure and the results of these measurements are published elsewhere (Franco et al., 2009).

Statistical analysis

PM and BC concentration datasets were created and validated using data quality assurance procedures. Results from school 2 and 3 (both located alongside CTB roads) were grouped together and are presented as a single case. Cumulative frequency distributions were determined and plotted. Real time concentrations were summarised to 8-hour means and medians for each studied microenvironment. Univariate distribution analysis was carried out to identify potential outliers. Descriptive statistics were computed for all pollutant concentrations. Linear regression for PM2.5-to-PM10 concentration ratios concerning outdoor
and indoor microenvironments was performed and correlation coefficients (R²) were estimated.

Table 1. Number of experiments a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of microenvironment</th>
<th>Measurement technique</th>
<th>Pollutants</th>
<th>School 1</th>
<th>School 2 b</th>
<th>School 3</th>
<th>School 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Indoors</td>
<td>Real-time</td>
<td>PM₁₀, PM₃₅, BC</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Integrated</td>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outdoors</td>
<td>Real-time</td>
<td>PM₁₀, PM₃₅, BC</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Integrated</td>
<td>PM₁₀</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary tests c</td>
<td>Real-time and integrated</td>
<td>PM₁₀, PM₃₅, BC</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: a An experiment refers to an 8-hour measurement period, b fewer experiments were conducted at School 2 due logistical reasons during the monitoring campaign, c experiments conducted during the pilot study.

Figure 1. PM2.5 concentration at two of the schools being studied.

Figure 2 shows the cumulative frequency distribution for all outdoor pollutant concentrations documented during the monitoring campaign (based on real-time measurements). Outdoor PM and BC concentrations at major-road schools were significantly higher than those found at the background school. Pollutant concentration at schools located on roads dominated by CTB was higher than that at the school located on the road used by the BRT system. These results were proportional to the flow of diesel-powered traffic on each type of road, and may have been an indicator of the environmental co-benefits of implementing BRT as a public transport system.

A high percentage of instantaneous PM concentration (see Figure 2) was above WHO reference values (i.e. concentrations considered harmful for the population). Only about 20% of the outdoor PM₁₀ concentrations registered at schools located alongside major roads were below 50 µg/m³ (WHO 24-hour reference for PM₁₀) and less than 10% of the instantaneous PM₂₅ concentrations were below 25 µg/m³ (WHO 24-hour reference for PM₂₅). Even at the background school, around 40% and 20% of the outdoor PM₁₀ and PM₂₅ concentrations, respectively, were below WHO standards. These findings highlighted the importance of the situation, given that children typically spend about one third of their day at school. The authors understand that WHO guidelines are recommended concentration limits concerning a specific period of time (i.e. 24 hours); however, these values were used as reference for comparing the pollution levels found at schools in this research.

Figure 3 shows the 95% confidence interval for outdoor PM₁₀, PM₂₅, and BC concentrations at the schools being studied. Consistent with the results shown in Figure 2, outdoor pollutant concentrations at the background site were significantly lower than at congested-road schools (outdoor PM₁₀ and PM₂₅ mean concentrations at busy-road schools were up to 1.6- and 1.8-fold higher, respectively, than at the background school).

Outdoor BC mean concentrations at schools located beside major roads used by CTB were up to 6-fold higher than those found at the background site. Such concentrations were also 1.6-fold higher than those recorded at the school located beside the road used by the BRT system. Given that BC has been recognised as an indicator of diesel combustion emissions (Fruin et al., 2004; Kirchstetter et al., 2008), the aforementioned differences could be attributed to differences in the number of diesel-
powered vehicles (especially buses) on the studied roads (see Table 2).

For the city’s urban planning agency, this evidence supports the fact that elementary schools should not be built along major roads. Given that a BRT system implies newer and larger buses replacing an old, chaotic bus fleet, these results may be used for defining environmental co-benefits associated with a BRT system in Bogota. This was consistent with previous research conducted in the city (CCB, 2006) in which significant differences in PM10 concentration along two major roads were related to the type of public transport system (CTB and BRT). More research is needed, however, to formally quantify the environmental impact related to changes in Bogota’s public transport system, and that of other major cities in the developing world.

Table 2. Characteristics of the studied schools.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School</th>
<th>Distance from the school’s entrance to the nearest motorway (m)</th>
<th>Number of attending children</th>
<th>Type of public transport system</th>
<th>Total traffic density (vehicles hr⁻¹)²</th>
<th>Buses traffic density (vehicles hr⁻¹)²</th>
<th>Trucks traffic density (vehicles hr⁻¹)²</th>
<th>Indoor ventilation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>School 1</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>CTB</td>
<td>330</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School 2</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>CTB</td>
<td>7,400</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School 3</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>CTB</td>
<td>7,300</td>
<td>615</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>Natural</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School 4</td>
<td>&lt; 10</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>BRT and CTB</td>
<td>5,200</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>Natural</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes: aTotal traffic is comprised of passenger cars, taxis, motorcycles, buses and trucks; bBRT corridors are partially used by conventional transit buses; c160 of these vehicles are buses from the BRT system.

The outdoor PM and BC concentration ranges documented in this study were higher than those reported by previous peer-reviewed literature (Janssen et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2004; Van Roosbroeck et al., 2007). Janssen et al., studied traffic-related air pollution at 24 schools located near motorways in the Netherlands. The authors found a significant increase in PM2.5 and BC concentration with increasing truck traffic density and decreasing school distance to the nearest motorway. They reported outdoor PM2.5 concentration as being 5 µg/m³ to 61 µg/m³ (using gravimetric techniques) and BC concentration from 1 µg/m³ to 25 µg/m³. Kim et al., measured traffic-related pollutants at 10 schools in San Francisco, California, as part of a cross-sectional study; they reported average 30 µg/m³ (PM10), 12 µg/m³ (PM2.5) and 0.8 µg/m³ (BC) concentrations for the studied schools. Van Roosbroeck et al., measured children’s personal exposure to traffic-related air pollutants in schools located within 100 m of a major urban road in the Netherlands. Parallel to these exposure measurements, they monitored outdoor pollutant levels at each school. They reported mean 19 µg/m³ PM2.5 concentration (using gravimetric techniques) and 16 µg/m³ BC mean concentration. They also found outdoor BC concentration to be 75% higher at the major-road schools compared to the matched background school.

Indoor microenvironments: Figure 4 shows the cumulative frequency distribution for indoor pollutant concentration. Regarding BC, busy-road schools had higher concentrations and CTB-road schools were the most critical case. PM results, however, revealed a slightly different pattern. For such pollutant, especially PM10, the BRT-road school microenvironment had the highest concentrations. These differences (BC cf PM) suggested the presence of indoor PM sources which were not related to diesel traffic.

Indoor ventilation was limited for the schools being studied, in particular at busy-road schools where most classroom doors and windows remained closed during class hours to avoid traffic-related noise. Such conditions may have contributed towards increased indoor pollution, not only due to proximity to congested roads but also to room occupancy and activities, such as sweeping up during class breaks (observed during the monitoring
campaign). Similar circumstances have been discussed by other authors (Blondeau et al., 2005, Branis et al., 2005, Heudorf et al., 2009) as indoor air-quality determinant.

**Figure 4. Cumulative frequency distribution (indoor microenvironments).**

Figure 5 shows the 95% confidence intervals for indoor PM$_{10}$, PM$_{2.5}$ and BC mean concentrations at the studied schools. Indoor PM$_{10}$ mean concentrations at major-road schools were up to 1.6-fold higher than those found at the background school. Also, indoor BC concentrations were up to 3-fold higher at busy-road schools than those recorded in indoor microenvironments at the background site. Nonetheless, no difference was found for indoor PM$_{2.5}$ concentration among schools. Comparing outdoor and indoor BC data at the background school, concentration levels showed no decay, as was expected under the assumption that the impact of the nearby road on air pollution would have been very low.

The high indoor concentrations found at schools also indicated a great need for reduction. It should be suggested that local authorities study using feasible strategies for reducing air pollution and mitigate its negative effects. For example, introducing air cleaners in classrooms, together with mechanical ventilation systems, would represent an alternative. There is also a need for identifying other factors affecting indoor air quality in schools in Bogota. Construction materials, classroom size and occupancy are variables which should be included and quantified in further local research.

**Figure 5. Pollutant concentration at the schools being studied (indoor microenvironments).**

**PM$_{2.5}$ to PM$_{10}$ ratio**

Table 3 summarises the results for the PM$_{2.5}$-to-PM$_{10}$ ratio. Such ratio can differ significantly due to a variety of factors including distance from a road, meteorological conditions and indoor ventilation. The values determined in this study ranged from 0.73 to 0.81 for outdoor microenvironments and from 0.58 to 0.78 for indoor microenvironments. PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ concentrations were highly correlated ($R^2 = 0.86$ and 0.91) in the studied micro-environments. These results indicated that fine particles represent a significant fraction of the PM levels documented in this study. Such relatively high PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios demonstrated the impact of traffic-related emissions on overall pollutant concentrations in the characterised microenvironments inside the schools. These results were consistent with ranges reported as being
typical PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios for urban areas of the developing world (from 0.50 to 0.80) (Shprentz, 1996; WHO, 2005; Godoy et al., 2009).  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Outdoor</th>
<th></th>
<th>Indoors</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PM$<em>{2.5}$/PM$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
<td>PM$<em>{2.5}$/PM$</em>{10}$</td>
<td>$R^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background school</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTB-road schools</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRT-road school</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Uncertainty regarding the results and their representativeness**

It has been documented that DustTrak monitor readings diverge from standard PM determination methods (Ramachandran et al., 2000; Yanosky et al., 2002). Previous studies have pointed out that readings from photometers, such as DustTrak monitors, may overestimate airborne particulate matter concentration compared to gravimetric techniques (Gorner et al., 1995; Ramachandran et al., 2000). Specific experiments were conducted during the monitoring field-campaign to validate both mass concentration methods. Integrated PM$_{10}$ samples were taken daily using a Harvard Impactor with a real time instrument (DustTrak monitor). Statistics for these measurements have been previously presented by Franco et al., (2009).

Gravimetric PM$_{10}$ daily concentrations ranged from 1.0 µg/m$^3$ to 164 µg/m$^3$ for these particular experiments, while real-time PM$_{10}$ daily concentrations were 15 µg/m$^3$ to 182 µg/m$^3$. The daily ratio of DustTrak-measured mean PM$_{10}$ concentration to Harvard Impactor-measured mean PM$_{10}$ concentration varied considerably. It ranged from 0.3 to 4.8. Fifteen runs (i.e. 50% of all runs) showed ratios closely distributed around the unit (1 +/- 0.2); nineteen runs (i.e. more than 60% of all runs) had ratio values less than 1.

Gravimetric determinations were only conducted to assess PM$_{10}$ concentration; in the present study conditions DustTrak monitors provided representative information regarding the range of PM concentration at the schools in the study. Information provided by these portable instruments should prove useful for further analysis in which specific events may be identified and characterised.

**Conclusions**

Particle-related air pollution was characterised in outdoor and indoor school-related microenvironments in Bogota. Based on the pollution levels found, children attending the public schools being studied were likely to be constantly exposed to high pollutant concentrations, normally exceeding reference values considered harmful for sensitive populations.

Owing to the studied schools being located only a short distance from main roads, the magnitude of traffic running directly outside each school and background PM concentration levels, it has been suggested that most air pollution in the microenvironments characterised at the busy-road schools came from mobile sources. Despite the fact that this study assessed just four schools in Bogota, this evidence represents an ongoing condition in the city which should be a main concern for the local authorities, in particular when deciding the location of future schools. The authors expect the results presented here to support the formulation of strategies aimed at minimising the population’s exposure to air pollutants and improving children’s living conditions. This evidence will be shared with local authorities as a significant tool regarding the design of urban planning policies in Bogota and comparable cities in Latin-America.
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