Research Article / Chemical, Food, and Environmental Engineering

Batch Conversion of Methane to Methanol Using Copper Loaded Mordenite: Influence of the Main Variables of the Process

Conversión de metano a metanol por lotes usando mordenita intercambiada con cobre: influencia de las variables principales del proceso

Hebert Rodrigo Mojica Molina¹, Marlene González Montiel², and Amado Enrique Navarro Frómeta³

ABSTRACT

Due to the demands of oxygenated derivatives of hydrocarbons for the industry, the methane (CH₄) to methanol (MeOH) conversion through solid-state catalysis is a current topic, with definite questions and specific challenges. This work shows a statistical model that predicts the quantity of methanol produced through a batch conversion process employing copper-exchanged mordenite in accordance with a full factorial experimental design. Synthesis was performed through solid-state ion exchange from Cu(acac)₂ and NH₄-Mordenite, obtaining weight percentages (%Cu) of 1%, 3%, and 5%, which was followed by activation through calcination at a range of temperatures (Tcal) between 300-500 °C, as well as a reaction with methane under 2-10 bar pressure (P) in static conditions employing a batch reactor. The quantities of MeOH produced, and their yields were determined through a gas chromatography and mass spectrometry analysis of the reaction samples. Finally, the role and contribution of each of the variables considered in the conversion process were analyzed. By using a nonlinear model, a quadratic dependence with %Cu and P in the studied range of the variables was found, as well as a linear dependence with Tcal. Finally, for this experiment, the highest yields (μ mol/g) were obtained with the following conditions: %Cu = 3 %, P = 6 bar, and Tcal = 400 °C.

Keywords: methane, methanol, mild conditions, copper mordenite, solid-state ion exchange, activation temperature, methane pressure, copper weight percent

RESUMEN

Debido a la demanda de derivados oxigenados de hidrocarburos para la industria, la conversión de metano (CH₄) a metanol (CH₃OH) por medio de catálisis en estado sólido es una cuestión de actualidad, precisa y con retos específicos. Este trabajo muestra un modelo estadístico que predice la cantidad de metanol producido por un proceso de conversión por lotes empleando mordenitas intercambiadas con cobre de acuerdo con un diseño experimental factorial. La síntesis fue realizada por intercambio iónico en estado sólido a partir de Cu(acac)₂ y NH₄-Mordenita, obteniendo porcentajes de intercambio en peso de Cobre (%Cu) de 1%, 3% y 5%, seguido de una activación por calcinación en el rango de temperaturas (Tcal) de 300-500 °C, así como una reacción con metano en el rango de presiones de 2-10 bar (P) bajo condiciones estáticas con un reactor por lotes. Las cantidades de MeOH producidas y sus rendimientos fueron determinados usando análisis de cromatografía de gases y espectrometría de masas de las muestras de la reacción. Finalmente se analizaron el papel y la contribución de cada una de las variables consideradas en el proceso de conversión. Usando un modelo no lineal, se encontró una dependencia cuadrática del %Cu y P en el rango estudiado de cada variable, así como una dependencia lineal con Tcal. Finalmente, para este experimento, los mayores rendimientos (µmol/gr) se obtuvieron con las siguientes condiciones: %Cu=3%, P = 6 bar y Tcal = 400 °C.

Palabras clave: metano, metanol, condiciones suaves, mordenita de cobre, intercambio iónico en estado sólido, temperatura de activación, presión de metano, porcentaje de peso de cobre

Received: May 22nd, 2020 Accepted: March 20th,2021

Introduction

MeOH is a very important raw material for the chemical industry, with an increasing demand for a wide variety of

How to cite: Mojica-Molina, H. R., González-Montiel, M., and Navarro-Frómeta, A. E. (2021). Batch Conversion of Methane to Methanol Using Copper Loaded Mordenite: Influence of the Main Variables of the Process. *Ingeniería e Investigación*, *41*(3), e87537. 10.15446/ing.investig.v41n3.87537



Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) Share - Adapt

¹Bachelor in Physics, BUAP, México. Ph.D. Candidate in Advanced Technology, Centro de Investigación en Ciencia Aplicada y Tecnología Avanzada. Affiliation: Ph.D. student, IPN, México. E-mail: hmojicam1900@alumno.ipn.mx

²Bachelor in Physics, UPITA-IPN. Master in Advanced Technology, CICATA-IPN. Ph.D. in Advanced Technology, Centro de Investigación en Ciencia Aplicada y Tecnología Avanzada, México. Affiliation: CONACyT-Fellowship, CICATA, U. Legaria, IPN, México. E-mail: mgonzalezmo@conacyt.mx

³Bachelor in Chemistry, Universidad de la Habana, Cuba. Ph.D. in Chemical Sciences in Petroleum and Chemistry Azizbekov Institute, Azerbaijan. Affiliation: Research Professor, UTIM, México. E-mail: navarro4899@gmail.com

applications (Dalena et al., 2018; Hammond, Conrad, and Hermans, 2012). CH₄ is one of the most important fossil fuels in the planet, which is not fully exploited because of the economical unsuitability of the synthetic pathway from syngas to produce the required quantities of MeOH (Burnett et al., 2019; Jovanovic et al., 2020). Therefore, the direct conversion of CH₄ to MeOH has been a long-standing challenge in the field of catalysis (Tomkins, Ranocchiari, and van Bokhoven, 2017). Normally, MeOH is synthesized in different ways, such as CO₂ hydrogenation and synthesis gas production (Abashar and Al-Rabiah, 2018; da Silva, 2016). Many efforts have been made to control the completion of the reaction up to the exact level of MeOH formation, in order to avoid overoxidation and its byproducts, e.g., carbon oxides or formic acid (Narsimhan et al., 2015; Schwarz, 2011). It is assumed that a continuous process for direct methane to methanol conversion will ultimately be limited to achieving high methanol selectivity at low methane conversions (Latimer, Kakekhani, Kulkarni, and Nørskov, 2018). Thus, the search for catalysts and reaction conditions for this process is a topical issue. A wide variety of materials have been developed as catalysts for this reaction, including copper-exchanged zeolites and mordenite (Burnett et al., 2019; Lomachenko et al., 2019; Tomkins et al., 2016; Wulfers, Teketel, Ipek, and Lobo, 2015). These kinds of materials are synthesized from different copper precursors. Zeolites with different frameworks and physical-chemical properties are used, as well as a variety of ionic exchange methods (aqueous, solid, and gaseous) (Zakaria and Kamarudin, 2016). These kinds of materials are inspired by the activity of Particulate Methane Monooxygenase (pMMO), which is a metalloenzyme found in methanotrophs, capable of oxidizing CH₄ with very high efficiency at room conditions (Banerjee, Proshlyakov, Lipscomb, and Proshlyakov, 2015; Sharma, Poelman, Marin, and Galvita, 2020). The functioning of these enzymes is given by a combination of specific copper active sites and biological-chemical processes (Balasubramanian and Rosenzweig, 2007; Yoshizawa and Shiota, 2006). Copper active sites have been broadly studied. Based on pMMO, it has been proposed that stable copper monomers, dimers, and trimmers in different configurations are able to activate methane C-H bonding due to the favourable electronic environment generated (Grundner et al., 2015; Newton, Knorpp, Sushkevich, Palagin, and van Bokhoven, 2020; Palagin, Knorpp Pinar, Ranocchiari, and van Bokhoven, 2017; Sushkevich, Palagin, and van Bokhoven, 2018). Furthermore, through various reaction mechanisms, these sites assist methane oxidation up to its specific point. Even though it has been possible to almost reproduce specific, reactive to CH₄, copper active sites in the framework structure of exchanged zeolites, their yield and selectivity are still low (Jovanovic et al., 2020; Newton et al., 2020). In this context, different reaction conditions and steps within the processes have been studied to increase the quantity of MeOH produced.

Copper mordenite has been reported as one of the most efficient inorganic materials, with applications in CH_4 to MeOH conversion under mild conditions (Álvarez, Marín,

and Ordóñez, 2020; Burnett et al., 2019; Tomkins et al., 2016; Wulfers et al., 2015). Furthermore, it has been linked to the solid-state ion exchange synthesis method with a higher amount of MeOH production (Sainz-Vidal, Balmaseda, Lartundo-Rojas, and Reguera, 2014). Normally, experiments are focused on continuous flow reaction systems (Grundner et al., 2015; Sushkevich et al., 2018; Tomkins et al., 2017, 2019), but a static system (batch reactor) still offers a broad field to be studied, relating to the material behavior at different reaction conditions. Besides describing an experiment and predicting the results, the construction of a mathematical model allows understanding of the role played by variables, which can be modified in every reaction. It is possible to understand if and how much they are related, as well as the way in which they affect experiment performance. Herein, with the objective of elucidating the role of variables on MeOH vields, the influence of the percentage of copper charge, the temperature of activation, and methane reaction pressure in a batch process are studied using a full factorial experiment design. The choice of these variables was made according to previously reported studies, in which, starting with different copper ion exchange amounts, specific activation temperatures produce different reactive sites with various populations in the mordenite framework where the conversion process takes place. Furthermore, methane pressure is a manageable variable in batch experiments that indirectly allows the study of the interaction of a material with a gas phase, thus influencing MeOH vields (Álvarez et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2017; Tomkins et al., 2016). sectionExperimental section

Ammonium mordenite with a 20:1 (Silicon: Aluminium, SiO₂: Al_2O_3) mole ratio from Alfa Aesar, denoted as NH₄-Mordenite, and copper (II) acetylacetonate (> 99,9%) from Sigma Aldrich as a metal ion source, denoted as Cu-(acac)₂, were employed in the synthesis of materials.

Synthesis of materials: The solid-state ion-exchange method was chosen, using a planetary ball mill, to obtain homogeneous samples and control the energy applied to the samples. This is very difficult to reach if an Agate mortar and arm force are used. 0,9 grams of NH₄-Mordenite with 0,0387 grams, 0,1269 grams, and 0,2334 grams of Cu-(acac)₂ were grinded for 60 minutes to obtain three samples with a copper weight percentage of 1, 3, and 5, labeled as CuMor 1%, CuMor 3%, and CuMor 5%, respectively. These weight percentages were corroborated in the activated samples by inductively coupled plasma-optical emission spectrometry (ICP-OES).

Activated materials: The milled samples were dried at 70 °C for 24 hours, kept in a silica desiccator, and calcined in a muffle with airflow. Two samples (CuMor 1% and CuMor 5%) were calcined separately at 200 °C and 500 °C, thus obtaining four activated samples, labeled as CuMorO 1% 200, CuMorO 1% 500, CuMorO 5% 200 and CuMorO 5% 500. Also, CuMor 3%, calcined at 400°C (CuMorO 3% 400), was obtained.

Experimental design: A complete 2^k factorial experimental design with the variables and levels shown in Table 1 was used.

Table 1. Definition of variables according to the experimental design

Variable	-1	0	1	
Calcination Temperature, °C	300	400	500	
Cu wt % in the catalyst	1	3	5	
Methane pressures, bar	2	6	10	

Source: Authors

Methanol obtention: Reactions were conducted in a 500 mL batch reactor (Parr Instruments, USA). 0,25 grams of each sample sieved through a < 200 μ m mesh reacted with methane at the design pressure. The reactor was heated at a rate of 2 °C/min up to 200 °C and maintained at this temperature for 2 hours. After this time, it was cooled to room temperature.

Sample analysis: The resulting material was dispersed in 1 mL of water and stirred vigorously for 30 minutes to extract the formed methanol. The liquid phase was centrifuged, filtrated, and preserved in vials at 4 °C until the chromatographic analysis was carried out. Before the analysis, 1 µL of isopropyl alcohol (IOH) was added to each sample as an internal standard. The GC-MS analysis was carried out in a Clarus SQ 680 GC, coupled to a Clarus SQ 8T MS, using a PE-WAX (Perkin Elmer, Boston, MA, USA) capillary column $(50 \text{ m x } 0.25 \text{ mm i.d. x } 0.25 \text{ } \mu\text{m phase thickness})$. The temperature program was set as follows: 40 °C for 8 min, 20 °C/min up to 80 °C, 40 °C/min up to 90 °C, and 50 °C/min up to 180 °C. The injection temperature was 150 °C, and helium was used as carrier gas $(1,5 \text{ mL min}^{-1})$. The mass spectrometer was operated in electron impact mode (70 EV), with selective ion monitoring (m/z 29, 31,43, 45, 46, 58, 59, and 60; dwell time 0,05 s), keeping the chromatograph interphase and the source temperature at 280 °C. Quantitation of MeOH (mz 29+31) and IOH (m/z 45) was performed using a five-point calibration curve (R > 0,99) and areas of specific ions mass-chromatograms.

Statistical Analysis: Analysis of the experimental design, general analysis of variance (ANOVA), and nonlinear regressions were performed with Statistica V 13.3 (TIBCO Software Inc., 2017).

Results and discussion

Starting with a 2^k factorial experimental design, as mentioned, two central points and a random point were included. In Table 2 is summarized the experimental conditions employed and methanol yields obtained according to the experimental design described in previous section.

It should be noted that no other compounds besides MeOH were detected in the chromatographic analysis. The obtained amount of MeOH is in the same order of magnitude with those reported in the literature (Tomkins *et al.*, 2016, 2019; Wulfers *et al.*, 2015), but much less compared with cycling continuous flow processes (Álvarez *et al.*, 2020; Burnett *et al.*, 2019; Jovanovic *et al.*, 2020; Ma *et al.*, 2020). Likewise, a dependency on Cu wt % of catalyst was observed (Figure

1) with a similar tendency to the work reported by Le et al. (2017) and Oord, Schmidt, and Weckhuysen (2018). Particularly, the samples with 1% and 3% Cu wt reported an increasing tendency of MeOH obtention, but samples with 5% Cu wt showed a decreased performance. This can be explained by stoichiometric calculations, in which a maximum ion exchange between 4 and 5 copper percent is evident, depending on the chemistry of mordenite and copper precursor used. More copper ions than are possible to insert into the mordenite framework tend to form different species that, at the temperatures employed in this work, did not contribute to forming MeOH (Tomkins et al., 2017). Similarly, the results in Table 2, expressed in µmol/µmol Cu, show a behavior similar to that reported in the literature, that is, lower quantities of copper loaded into the mordenite framework display a better performance in MeOH obtention (Le et al., 2017).

Table 2. Experimental design and amount of methanol obtained in moles per gram of catalyst (μ mol/g) and moles per copper loaded moles (μ mol Met/mol Cu)

Samples	Cu wt %	Activation Temperature	Pressure	µmol/g	µmol Met/mol Cu
cu1t300p10	-1	-1	1	11,215	0,0713
cu1t300p2	-1	-1	-1	4,732	0,0301
cu1t500p10	-1	1	1	20,732	0,1317
cu1t500p2	-1	1	-1	17,289	0,1099
cu5t300p10	1	-1	1	15,636	0,0199
cu5t300p2	1	-1	-1	3,098	0,0039
cu5t500p10	1	1	1	21,206	0,0270
cu5t500p2	1	1	-1	8,460	0,0108
cu3t400p6a	0	0	0	24,745	0,0524
cu3t400p6b	0	0	0	29,840	0,0632
cu1t500p6	-1	1	0	6,596	0,0419

Source: Authors



Figure 1. Mean values and standard errors of MeOH obtained against copper weight percent. Source: Authors

The complex dependency of μ mol/g yield is shown in Figure 2, in which partial minimal square adjusted surface graphics can be appreciated. It is observable that quadratic relations are needed. Certainly, the analysis of complete factorial experimental design 2^k, with a pair of central points, does not show a significative influence by any variable, nor a suitable adjustment, if the curvature (Curv) parameter is not considered in the analysis design. After eliminating the non-significative effects, a model was obtained with an intercept in which only the variables Tcal, P, and Curv were significant.



Figure 2. Yield dependence with respect %Cu, Tcal, and P. Source: Authors

It must be noted that, at this stage, comparing the obtained and predicted data, the model does not offer sufficient certainty. At this point, a main effects ANOVA shows the global influence of variables in MeOH obtention in the studied range. The different behavior of each variable can be appreciated in Figure 3.



Figure 3. Yield dependence with different values of variables used in the experiment, according to the experimental design (vertical bars denote 0,95 confidence intervals). **Source:** Authors

3 %Cu wt, Tcal = 500°C, and P = 10 bar are the best conditions in each of the global analysis per variables. 3 %Cu wt has a maximum yield because it contains a greater population of active sites than 1% Cu wt, which is made evident by the amount of copper ion charged in the materials. Also, it is greater than 5 %Cu wt due to a stoichiometric limitation (Dyballa *et al.*, 2019; Pappas *et al.*, 2017) that favors the formation of copper species which do not participate in methane oxidation. Considering the molecular shape of NH₄mordenite and the substitution of ammonium ions by copper (II) ions, the stoichiometric rate of maximum ion exchange is between 4 and 5%, depending on the mordenite's molecular formula.

Tcal = 500 °C favors the oxidation of the material, the elimination of residual organic compounds, and the production of specific active sites (Groothaert, Smeets, Sels, Jacobs, and Schoonheydt, 2005; Sheppard, Hamill, Goguet, Rooney, and Thompson, 2014). Temperatures of 300, 400, and 500 °C follow a growing trend, suggesting that higher temperatures favor the formation of a higher populations of reactive copper sites in mordenite (Sainz-Vidal *et al.*, 2014; Vanelderen *et al.*, 2014), associated with the amount of MeOH obtained. Activation at higher temperatures allowed us to confirm that organic material from acetylacetonate disappears, and that activation at lower temperatures does not remove it at all.

The MeOH yield has a peculiar dependence on P, showing a minimum at P = 6 atm. Pressures of 10 bar are related to a higher concentration of CH_4 and greater interaction between CH_4 and the material. In our experiments, a better yield was obtained at 2 bars, rather than at a 6 bar CH_4 pressure. Higher CH_4 conversion at lower pressures is a desired feature, and the better response at high pressures is an expected and usual aspect.

As mentioned above, the experimental model with curvature does not offer a good enough explanation to the influence of the variables on the MeOH yield. Therefore, a polynomial regression was employed with the coded values of the variables, in which the quadratic influence of %Cu and P was observed with a good description of results. The quadratic term for Tcal was zeroed with the statistical package. Figure 4 shows how far away the experimental data are from those predicted by the proposed model.



Figure 4. Predicted vs. obtained results by the polynomial regression model. **Source:** Authors

Finally, to verify the goodness of the quadratic model, and to obtain the model coefficients based on the real values of the variables, a nonlinear estimation was performed using Equation 1, considering that the yield has a quadratic dependence on %Cu and P, and a linear dependence on Tcal, as it was obtained with the quadratic regression. It was observed, as expected, that residuals are like those obtained with the previously discussed model. The coefficients A, B, C, L, Q, and R in Table 3 were obtained using the Levenberg-Marquardt estimation method of the statistical package.

$$Yield = A + B \% Cu + C (\% Cu)^{2} + L Tcal + Q P + R P^{2}$$
(1)

All terms were considered because the entire p-values of the coefficients were under 0,05. In Table 3, the estimate values of coefficients according to the nonlinear model, which are represented in Equation 1, can also be observed.

Table 3. Estimated values of coefficients accordingly to the nonlinear model

	Estimate	Standard error	t-valued f = 5	p-value
A	-12,7498	4,753433	-2,68224	0,043703
В	35,8928	4,635228	7,74348	0,000574
С	-5,9719	0,788338	-7,57536	0,000636
L	0,0331	0,008428	3,92458	0,011131
Q	-7,7347	2,106922	-3,67107	0,014427
R	0,5358	0,174697	3,06712	0,027875

Source: Authors

This nonlinear model allows a good description of the experimental points in terms of the real values of the studied variables.

Conclusions

A nonlinear statistical model of a batch reaction of methane to methanol direct conversion employing copper mordenite as the catalyst is proposed, which describes with good accuracy the experimental results of the yield of methanol production. The model adequately confirms what is seen in Figures1 and 3, that is, very high or very low values of a copper-loaded percent can lead to a decrease in the yield, which is a fact that has been observed in practice. The maximum value at 3% Cu wt can be explained according to the formation process of copper mordenite, with the maximum stoichiometric copper percent ranging between 4 and 5%, depending on the exact mordenite molecular framework unity and precursor of copper employed. Higher amounts of Copper-loaded into mordenite (> 5% Cu wt) may have a negative effect on methanol production with the conditions applied in the experiments. This suggests the important role of the catalyst stoichiometry in the yield of methanol production. On the other hand, pressure behaved as expected, and higher pressure means bigger concentration and contact between methane and material and, consequently, a major probability of interaction of methane with the mordenite's active sites. At lower pressures, the interaction is reduced and, as a result, decreases the amount of methanol produced. However, the material still produces good enough quantities, suggesting that pressure does not have such a great impact in methanol production within the range studied. Within global analysis, the mordenite's calcination temperature had a linear behavior at higher temperatures, and a higher yield of methanol was obtained. However, in relation to the copper-loaded amount, this variable seems to have a low influence on the experimental results, as is suggested by its linear contribution to the model. To the best of our knowledge, a nonlinear model that describes the yields of the batch direct conversion of methane to methanol has not been proposed, which gives practical value to the results herein obtained from an engineering point of view. More experimental data are needed to improve the accuracy of the model and to expand the studied variable ranges.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Energy Conversion and Storage National Laboratory (LNCAE-IPN) and the University of Izúcar de Matamoros (UTIM) for the equipment support and materials provided, as well as the National Council for Science and Technology of the Mexican government (CONACyT) for the doctorate student scholarship provided.

References

- Abashar, M. E. E., and Al-Rabiah, A. A. (2018). Investigation of the efficiency of sorption-enhanced methanol synthesis process in circulating fast fluidized bed reactors. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 179, 387-398. 10.1016/j.fuproc.2018.07.028
- Álvarez, M., Marín, P., and Ordóñez, S. (2020). Direct oxidation of methane to methanol over Cu-zeolites at mild conditions. *Molecular Catalysis, 487*, 110886. 10.1016/j.mcat.2020.110886
- Balasubramanian, R., and Rosenzweig, A. C. (2007). Structural and Mechanistic Insights into Methane Oxidation by Particulate Methane Monooxygenase. *Accounts of Chemical Research*, 40(7), 573-580. 10.1021/ar700004s
- Banerjee, R., Proshlyakov, Y., Lipscomb, J. D., and Proshlyakov, D. A. (2015). Structure of the key species in the enzymatic oxidation of methane to methanol. *Nature*, *518*, 431-434. 10.1038/nature14160
- Burnett, L., Rysakova, M., Wang, K., González-Carballo, J., Tooze, R. P., and García-García, F. R. (2019). Isothermal cyclic conversion of methane to methanol using copper-exchanged ZSM-5 zeolite materials under mild conditions. *Applied Catalysis A: General, 587*, 117272. 10.1016/j.apcata.2019.117272
- da Silva, M. (2016). Synthesis of methanol from methane: Challenges and advances on the multi-step (syngas) and onestep routes (DMTM). *Fuel Processing Technology, 145,* 42-61. 10.1016/j.fuproc.2016.01.023
- Dalena, F., Senatore, A., Basile, M., Knani, S., Basile, A., and Iulianelli, A. (2018). Advances in Methanol Production and Utilization, with Particular Emphasis toward Hydrogen Generation via Membrane Reactor Technology. *Membranes*, *8*(4), 98. 10.3390/membranes8040098
- Dyballa, M., Pappas, D. K., Kvande, K., Borfecchia, E., Arstad, B., Beato, P., Olsbye, U., and Svelle, S. (2019). On How Copper Mordenite Properties Govern the Framework Stability and Activity in the Methane-to-Methanol Conversion. *ACS Catalysis*, 9(1), 365-375. 10.1021/acscatal.8b04437
- Groothaert, M. H., Smeets, P. J., Sels, B. F., Jacobs, P. A., and Schoonheydt, R. A. (2005). Selective Oxidation of Methane by the Bis(µ-oxo)dicopper Core Stabilized on ZSM-5 and Mordenite Zeolites. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, *127*(5), 1394-1395. 10.1021/ja047158u
- Grundner, S., Markovits, M. A. C., Li, G., Tromp, M., Pidko, E. A., Hensen, E. J. M., Jentys, A., Sanchez-Sanchez, M., and Lercher, J. A. (2015). Single-site trinuclear copper oxygen clusters in mordenite for selective conversion of

methane to methanol. *Nature Communications, 6,* 7546. 10.1038/ncomms8546

- Hammond, C., Conrad, S., and Hermans, I. (2012). Oxidative methane upgrading. *ChemSusChem*, 5(9), 1668-1686. 10.1002/cssc.201200299
- Jovanovic, Z. R., Lange, J.-P., Ravi, M., Knorpp, A. J., Sushkevich, V. L., Newton, M. A., Palagin, D., and van Bokhoven, J. A. (2020). Oxidation of methane to methanol over Cuexchanged zeolites: Scientia gratia scientiae or paradigm shift in natural gas valorization? *Journal of Catalysis, 385*, 238-245. 10.1016/j.jcat.2020.02.001
- Latimer, A. A., Kakekhani, A., Kulkarni, A. R., and Nørskov, J. K. (2018). Direct Methane to Methanol: The Selectivity– Conversion Limit and Design Strategies. *ACS Catalysis*, 8(8), 6894-6907. 10.1021/acscatal.8b00220
- Le, H. V., Parishan, S., Sagaltchik, A., Göbel, C., Schlesiger, C., Malzer, W., Trunschke, A., Schomäcker, R., and Thomas, A. (2017). Solid-State Ion-Exchanged Cu/Mordenite Catalysts for the Direct Conversion of Methane to Methanol. ACS Catalysis, 7(2), 1403-1412. 10.1021/acscatal.6b02372
- Lomachenko, K. A., Martini, A., Pappas, D. K., Negri, C., Dyballa, M., Berlier, G., Bordiga, S., Lamberti, C., Olsbye, U., Svelle, S., Beato, P., and Borfecchia, E. (2019). The impact of reaction conditions and material composition on the stepwise methane to methanol conversion over Cu-MOR: An operando XAS study. *Catalysis Today, 336*, 99-108. 10.1016/j.cattod.2019.01.040
- Ma, C., Tan, X., Zhang, H., Shen, Q., Sun, N., and Wei, W. (2020). Direct conversion of methane to methanol over Cu exchanged mordenite: Effect of counter ions. *Chinese Chemical Letters*, *31*(1), 235-238. 10.1016/j.cclet.2019.03.039
- Narsimhan, K., Michaelis, V. K., Mathies, G., Gunther, W. R., Griffin, R. G., and Román-Leshkov, Y. (2015). Methane to Acetic Acid over Cu-Exchanged Zeolites: Mechanistic Insights from a Site-Specific Carbonylation Reaction. *Journal* of the American Chemical Society, 137(5), 1825-1832. 10.1021/ja5106927
- Newton, M. A., Knorpp, A. J., Sushkevich, V. L., Palagin, D., and van Bokhoven, J. A. (2020). Active sites and mechanisms in the direct conversion of methane to methanol using Cu in zeolitic hosts: a critical examination. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 49(5), 1449-1486. 10.1039/C7CS00709
- Dolivos-Suarez, A. I., Szécsényi, À., Hensen, E. J. M., Ruiz-Martinez, J., Pidko, E. A., and Gascon, J. (2016). Strategies for the Direct Catalytic Valorization of Methane Using Heterogeneous Catalysis: Challenges and Opportunities. *ACS Catalysis*, 6(5), 2965-2981. 10.1021/acscatal.6b00428
- Oord, R., Schmidt, J. E., and Weckhuysen, B. M. (2018). Methaneto-methanol conversion over zeolite Cu-SSZ-13, and its comparison with the selective catalytic reduction of NOx with NH3. *Catalysis Science and Technology*, 8(4), 1028-1038. 10.1039/C7CY02461D
- Palagin, D., Knorpp, A. J., Pinar, A. B., Ranocchiari, M., and van Bokhoven, J. A. (2017). Assessing the relative stability of copper oxide clusters as active sites of a CuMOR zeolite for methane to methanol conversion: size matters? *Nanoscale*, 9(3), 1144-1153. 10.1039/C6NR07723D

- Pappas, D. K., Borfecchia, E., Dyballa, M., Pankin, I. A., Lomachenko, K. A., Martini, A., Signorile, M., Teketel, S., Arstad, B., Berlier, G., Lamberti, C., Bordiga, S., Olsbye, U., Lillerud, K. P., Svelle, S., and Beato, P. (2017). Methane to Methanol: Structure–Activity Relationships for Cu-CHA. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 139842, 14961– 14975. 10.1021/jacs.7b06472
- Sainz-Vidal, A., Balmaseda, J., Lartundo-Rojas, L., and Reguera, E. (2014). Preparation of Cu-mordenite by ionic exchange reaction under milling: A favorable route to form the mono-(μ-oxo) dicopper active species. *Microporous and Mesoporous Materials, 185*, 113-120. 10.1016/j.micromeso.2013.11.009
- Schwarz, H. (2011). Chemistry with methane: Concepts rather than recipes. *Angewandte Chemie - International Edition*, 50(43), 10096-10115. 10.1002/anie.201006424
- Sharma, R., Poelman, H., Marin, G. B., and Galvita, V. V. (2020). Approaches for Selective Oxidation of Methane to Methanol. *Catalysts*, *10*(2), 194. 10.3390/catal10020194
- Sheppard, T., Hamill, C. D., Goguet, A., Rooney, D. W., and Thompson, J. M. (2014). A low temperature, isothermal gasphase system for conversion of methane to methanol over Cu–ZSM-5. *Chemical Communications*, 50(75), 11053-11055. 10.1039/C4CC02832E
- Sushkevich, V. L., Palagin, D., and van Bokhoven, J. A. (2018). The Effect of the Active-Site Structure on the Activity of Copper Mordenite in the Aerobic and Anaerobic Conversion of Methane into Methanol. *Angewandte Chemie - International Edition*, *57*(29), 8906-8910. 10.1002/anie.201802922
- Tomkins, P., Mansouri, A., Bozbag, S. E., Krumeich, F., Park, M. B., Alayon, E. M. C., Ranocchiari, M., and Vanbokhoven, J. A. (2016). Isothermal Cyclic Conversion of Methane into Methanol over Copper-Exchanged Zeolite at Low Temperature. *Angewandte Chemie - International Edition*, 55(18), 5467–5471. 10.1002/anie.201511065
- Tomkins, P., Ranocchiari, M., and van Bokhoven, J. A. (2017). Direct Conversion of Methane to Methanol under Mild Conditions over Cu-Zeolites and beyond. *Accounts of Chemical Research*, *50*(2), 418-425. 10.1021/acs.accounts.6b00534
- Tomkins, P, Mansouri, A., L. Sushkevich, V., van der Wal, L. I., Bozbag, S. E., Krumeich, F., Ranocchiari, M., and van Bokhoven, J. A. (2019). Increasing the activity of copper exchanged mordenite in the direct isothermal conversion of methane to methanol by Pt and Pd doping. *Chemical Science*, 10(1), 167-171. 10.1039/C8SC02795A
- Vanelderen, P., Vancauwenbergh, J., Tsai, M.-L., Hadt, R. G., Solomon, E. I., Schoonheydt, R. A., and Sels, B. F. (2014). Spectroscopy and Redox Chemistry of Copper in Mordenite. *ChemPhysChem*, 15(1), 91-99. 10.1002/cphc.201300730
- Wulfers, M. J., Teketel, S., Ipek, B., and Lobo, R. F. (2015). Conversion of methane to methanol on copper-containing small-pore zeolites and zeotypes. *Chemical Communications*, *51*(21), 4447-4450. 10.1039/C4CC09645B

- Yoshizawa, K. and Shiota, Y. (2006). Conversion of Methane to Methanol at the Mononuclear and Dinuclear Copper Sites of Particulate Methane Monooxygenase (pMMO): A DFT and QM/MM Study. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, *128*(30), 9873-9881. 10.1021/ja061604r
- Zakaria, Z. and Kamarudin, S. K. (2016). Direct conversion technologies of methane to methanol: An overview. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 65, 250-261. 10.1016/j.rser.2016.05.082