NEUROFUNCTIONAL AREAS RELATED TO FOOD APPETENCY IN ANOREXIA NERVOSA
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In Anorexia Nervosa the observable phenomenon is the suppression of appetite. Little is known about the biological and psychological (top-down) bases that maintain this pathological state. However, Anorexia Nervosa is a biological, psychological and social model where the main behavioral characteristic is the inhibition of eating behavior; not by bottom-up but top-down regulation. **Objective:** To explore the areas of the brain associated with food appetency through functional magnetic resonance in women with anorexia nervosa. **Methods:** The subjects include 5 female with Restrictive type of Anorexia Nervosa and five controls female with similar in age and low weigh. The subjects were within the MRI scanner and while took fMRI they saw food images that would generate appetite. The subjects were in fasting state and mentally prepare by instruction "imagine you are eating the food presented in the following images". **Results:** Compared differences in the activation between subjects four regions were found significant: the anterior cingulate, left front medial region and the left and right midbrain. **Conclusions:** The patients with Anorexia Nervosa present different activated cerebral areas to those of the controls during the visual exposition to food in hungry state and with evoke cognitions associated with eat food; those regions may be implicated in reward and self-control.
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**1. INTRODUCTION**

Food appetency is a physiologically motivational state oriented to the intake of food and a reaction to the depletion of nutrients. During evolution this has allowed organisms to acquire the nutrients of their surroundings for their adequate function and development (Morrison & Berthoud, 2007). The physiology involved in food appetency, just as in other functions, has its regulatory center in the brain (Kishi & Elmquist, 2005). This system can be divided in two parts: homeostatic and non-homeostatic pathways (Berthoud, 2006). The homeostatic pathway is in charge of maintaining the balance between the intake and the metabolism; whereas, the non-homeostatic pathways is linked to the eating behavior, mediated by mental representations as pleasing effects of food; itself divided in two components: the anticipatory and the consummatory (Barbano & Cador, 2005). The anticipatory component functions mostly with dopamine, is responsible of the food searching states or those with an intense desire to eat, and it involve the mesencephalic dopaminergic centers (Davis et al., 2009); while the consuming component does so with endocannabinoids and oplotes, and it is responsible of pleasure when degustation (Kirkham, 2009).

In some mental disorders food appetency becomes an intense motivation, as well as in some motivationally addictive states. Such is the case of some psychopathologies considered in the spectrum of eating disorders such as Binge Eating and Bulimia Nervosa, where the desire to ingest food is increased and hard to control; this symptom is called binge and it is pathognomonic in regard to the appetency alteration in those entities. (Allison & Timmerman, 2007).

Appetency is an important phenomenon in Anorexia Nervosa; in this case the observable behavior is the alimentary conduct suppression because of the inhibited intake. However, it is not possible to determine the magnitude of the auto-control influence, that is to say, patients feel hunger that is intentionally controlled (Top-Down Mechanism) (Ceaser, 1979; Fernstrom & Choi, 2008) or the inhibition of intake is produced by the appetite decrease (Wagner et al., 2008) because of the hunger reduction or the urgency to eat (bottom-up mechanism). There are several difficulties to examine appetency in patients with anorexia, including the fact that they are inexact and reluctant to expressing their corporal feelings and food likes (Hetherington & Rolls, 1991), which is difficult because appetency is expressed in an independent way and it is experienced as a corporal feeling (Northoff, 2012). That is why, it is important to point out that discrepancies are possible among the experience (Phenomenological, subjective level, first person), what patient expresses (Intersubjective level, second person) and what the researcher reports as an observable phenomenon (Third person) (Vallor, 2008).

Little is known about the biological bases that maintain the pathological state denominated Anorexia Nervosa. However, multiple mechanisms have been involved that include neurotransmitters and hormones related to eating behavior, besides the cortical areas that maintain a self-starvation behavior (Kaye, Fudge, & Paulus, 2009). The self-starvation behavior seen in anorexia nervosa has also been related to the mesolimbic dopamine reward system (Fladung et al., 2010; Scheurink, Boersma, Nergårdh, & Södersten, 2010; Keating, Tilbrook, Rossell, Enticott, & Fitzgerald, 2012).

Anorexia nervosa is a psychiatric disorder that is diagnosed once the following criteria DS-IV-TR criteria: a lower than 85% weight, which is equivalent to a body mass index of less than 17.5 kg/m2; an intense fear of gaining weight; body image distortion and amenorrhea. There are two types of anorexia nervosa: the restrictive and the compulsive purgative type; in this last one the behaviors that are used to maintain a low body weight are basically purges, while in the restrictive type restriction and fasting prevail (American Psychiatric Association, 2000). Only patients of the restrictive type without history of binge-purge periods were included in this study because of their ability to suppress the alimentary behavior, which means to control appetency, by means of fasting and restriction without fits of lack of control in appetency or binges, which happens in purgative compulsive anorexia nervosa.

In anorexia nervosa the observable phenomenon is the suppression of appetite, which gives the disorder its name. This apparent absence of appetite in anorexia nervosa is manifested in the characteristic eating behavior of the disease, which includes: fasting and restriction, with the consequential malnutrition, amenorrhea and other health complications. Paradoxically, it has been reported that these patients may have an intense appetite for food, and it is controversial the implication that auto-control has in the intake (Gendall, Sullivan, Joyce, & Bulik, 1997). This discrepancy between the subjective and objective aspect of food appetency in patients with anorexia nervosa inspired the present study. The objective of this study was to explore the areas of the brain associated with food appetency.
through functional magnetic resonance in women with restrictive anorexia nervosa, in a state of fasting and they tried to think of something pleasant with that they are to eating what they see.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Subjects:

This study included 5 female patients of the Eating Disorders Clinic of the National Psychiatric Institute, in México City; right handed, who fulfilled the required criteria in order to complete the diagnosis of Restrictive Anorexia Nervosa, evaluated through a diagnostic interview of the Clinic in base of DSM-IV-TR criteria(American Psychiatric Association, 2000), between ages 19 to 24, that accepted to participate in the study. The criteria for exclusion were psychotic conditions or a known neurological condition.

The comparative group was made up of 5 women, right handed, without an eating disorder, or any other psychiatric disorder, evaluated with the same Eating Disorders Clinic protocol, within the same age group, with a body mass of less than 21 and that accepted to participate in the study.

2.2. Selection of images used to entice food appetency:

The initial process was to validate the group of food images that would generate appetite in the subjects. A selection criterion was the cultural value of the food, taking into account that only foods that had been ingested previously would generate appetency. For this purpose the images were taken in trying to include a wide range of Mexican popular gastronomic culture, concerning to the central region (A. G. De’Angeli & De’Angeli, 1993), with high calorie content to generate appetency; some examples are tacos, tamales, quesadillas, sopes, tortas, gorditas, tlacoyos, etc. The images were appetizing for the population of the study; 80 were chosen from the total number of images. A scale of 5 ordinal categories was made to measure how appetizing the food was, it went from not at all appetizing to too appetizing. A group of 50 people graded the 80 images with this scale. 30 images were chosen with the highest marks in appetency and with least variability in grades that would best adapt to this method, where the highest media is taken into account and the least standard deviation.

2.3. Paradigm of the evocation of food appetency and mental imagery of eat

Two groups of photographs were formed: 30 neutral images (landscapes) and the 30 images of food that had been previously selected. With these 60 images, 7 blocks were formed; one block of neutral images was alternated with a block of food image and so on. Each block consisted of 10 images and only the first and last block had 5 neutral images, presenting each image for two seconds. During the presentation of the images we gave the instruction: “imagine you are eating the food presented in the following images” (Pylyshyn, 2003; Willems, Toni, Hagoort, & Casasanto, 2010). Before the study all subjects were in hungry state by means of fasting state more than 16 hours in the morning.

This design of blocks was presented while the images were taken with functional MRI. Once the subjects were within the MRI scanner the food images were showed and we gave the instruction. Images were presented to participants through Avotec's Silent Vision (AvotecInc, Florida, USA), a specialized MRI compatible hardware.

Images were acquired with a Philips Achieva 3 Tesla MRI scanner (Philips Medical System, Best Eindhoven, Holland), using a 6-channel sense head-coil. High-resolution T1 weighted images were acquired with a 3D Turbo Fast Field Echo pulse sequence in axial plane. Images sensitive to BOLD effect (Blood Oxygen Level Dependent) (Ogawa, Lee, Nayak, & Glynn, 1990) were acquired with an echo planar gradient echo pulse sequence (TR= 2000 ms, TE= 35 ms) in axial plane covering the whole brain in all participants. Final in-plane resolution resulted in 3.6 x 3.6 mm² and slice thickness of 4 mm without gap between the 30 slices acquired. Functional images were analyzed with FEAT as part of FSL (Smith et al., 2004; Woolrich et al., 2009). Preprocessing of images included slice timing and motion correction, including motion parameters as a confounding variable. A gaussian kernel with FWHM of 6 mm was used to smooth the images. First level analysis (intrasubject analysis) is based on general linear modeling implemented with FILM (Woolrich et al., 2009), which includes time-series prewhitening. Results were normalized to standard space using Montreal Neurological Institute’s MNI152 Atlas. Second level analysis (group analysis), a fully generalized mixed effects group analysis using Bayesian estimation techniques was applied using FLAME (Beckmann, Jenkinson, &Smith, 2003). Statistic threshold was set to p<0.05, corrected for multiple comparisons. Contrasts were
designated to show the regions where patients showed more activation than controls.

3. RESULTS:

3.1. Evocation paradigm of food appetency presented to the group of patients and the control group:

When the different areas of activation during the task in the patients with Anorexia Nervosa to those of the Comparative Group were compared, with a significance level fixed on $p<0.05$, corrected for multiple comparisons, three regions were found with significant differences in the activation through voxels: the Anterior Cingulate ($Z=4.56, p<0.001$), the left front medial region ($Z=4.31, p<0.001$), the left ($Z=4.5, p=0.002$) and right midbrain ($Z=5.25, p=0.002$) table 1 and figure 1.

Table 1: Regions with greater activation in patients than in controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cerebral region</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>MNI space coordinates (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Cingulate</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left frontal medial area, Brodmann area 10</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Midbrain</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Midbrain</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. The regions with significantly greater activation in patients with respect to controls are shown in warm colors.

4. DISCUSSION:

Both controls and patients showed different areas of activation, which would imply the intervention of different neurological processes between patients of Anorexia Nervosa and Controls when presented with the same stimulus that generates food appetency and deliberately evoke cognitions associated with eating by instruction.

Including instruction related to mental imagery (Pylyshyn, 2003) allows us to explore subjective situations that are not only the eating experience or the mere exposure to images that stimulate appetency (De Silva, Salem, Matthews, & Dhillo, 2012; Santel, Baving, Krauel, Münte, & Rotte, 2006), but also the hypothetic situation of doing an activity that is inhibited in these patients such as the alimentary behavior. (Gatward, 2001). This exploration of the patient’s subjective field allows revealing the activated areas that are used to confront the imagery situation of eating that is controlled in an abnormal way up the point that becomes a disease. The four areas with significant difference in patients with anorexia can be integrated in two regions; the first one includes the anterior cingulated and left front medial region, which is related to complex cognitive functions (Ramnani & Owen, 2004), and their interaction with the corporal feelings control (Tops & Boksem, 2011); the second one is integrated by the left and right mid brain, which are probably associated to the positive reinforcement experimented by the patients, (Keating et al., 2012). The high control areas are involved since one of the determinant characteristic of these patients are the control of behavior, specially the alimentary one, and other obsessive traits. (Kaye, 1997).

These results differ from classic studies of exposure to stimulus related to appetite in a group of patient with alimentary behavior disorders (Brooks et al., 2011). It is important to take into account that these differences are not just about instruction, but principally about fasting state, thus patients had a medical record of just only restrictive anorexia and were probably hunger before the study.

In studies that included anorexics and bulimics during the evocation of aversive stimulus with food, the left medial orbit frontal and anterior cingulated cortices were activated (Uher et al., 2004). Somehow, this hypothetic situation does not represent either the consummate eating act or the mere exposure to a phenomenon as food, which represents aversion (Ellison et al., 1998) compulsion (Saxena, Brody, Schwartz, & Baxter, 1998) and like.
That is why this situation represent part of the mental conflict (Keating, 2010), and for the same reason, the experience of the patient is subjective when facing the successfully controlled act of eating. (Surgenor, Horn, Plumridge, & Hudson, 2002) where the natural like for the food has been modified (Hall, Arnold, & Myers, 2000; Nunn, Frampton, Gordon, & Lask, 2008).

The role of the hedonic state in anorexia has been cited in a positive (Keating et al., 2012) and negative way (Holsen et al., 2012; Jiang, Soussignan, Rigaud, & Schaal, 2010), and it still being unclear. This phenomenon is similar to the situation in the construct corporal image study (De Preester & De Preester and Veroniek Knockaert, 2005) of anorexia nervosa (Cash, 2004), where the aversion to the patient’s own body (Friederich et al., 2010) and a goal that includes not eating (Fladung et al., 2010) because of an ideal that lacks of objectivity, that means the desire of being skinny, were confirmed. This aspect must be considered in case of appetency, where the like for food could not be lost, but suppress by a goal such as the ideal of thinness.

Possibly, midbrain areas were activated because of the expectant condition of appetency (Davis et al., 2009) because the dopaminergic areas of the ventral tegmental area is involved. This is a possibility that has been proposed on the integration diagram maintenance of anorexia (Fladung et al., 2010). Principally, because it refers to the starvation state of the patient (Gatward, 2001) and its association with the compulsive exercise (Scheurink et al., 2010). It must be as well associated to the reward circuit in a positive way with appetency, that is to say, the eating or not eating act can be conditioned by something else than hunger for a goal, which determines behavior and influences appetency through a top-down mechanism (Kobayashi et al., 2004).

Anorexia nervosa represents an indeterminate perspective (T. F. Hasan & Hasan, 2011) from not only the biological field, but also from the social aspect because it is needed the integration of the social, physiological, cognitive, and phenomenological concepts (Jordi & Ian, 2001).

The findings do not allow us to elaborate speculations about the mechanism through which the patients affected with Anorexia Nervosa mention not having appetite and are able to maintain their restriction. However, having findings that include cerebral areas involved with higher behavioral goals, and other related with reward, involved with food appetency and hungry, is possible understand the role of self-control and the pleasure in the maintenance the state of starvation in the Anorexia Nervosa. Is possibly that the absence of appetite is not the full reason to motivates the restriction of food in anorexia nervosa (DeBoer, 2011).

The most important limitations of the study are the small size of the sample and the lack of comparative groups that include a higher disorder spectrum of the alimentary behavior, specially explaining the appetency phenomenon in a positive way such as the bulimic nervosa and binge disorder.
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