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ABSTRACT: Ethical Mutual Funds (EMF) stand out for their investments in companies that develop strategies based on Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) through good practices of Corporate Governance (CG). The aim of this paper is to analyze the types of companies that make up the portfolio of Spanish EMF, taking into account their CG model, their organizational structure and their economic and financial aspects. The results obtained show that the Spanish EMF prefer companies that promote the participation of stakeholders in their organizational structure and accessibility to their information. Additional evidence shows that the development of good CG practices in the context of CSR favors access to financing provided by financial markets and, within them, the EMF.
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Introduction

Over the last few years the economic literature has used the term “Socially Responsible Investment” (SRI). Among the financial products linked to the SRI1, we have the Ethical Mutual Funds (EMF). An EMF offers to its investors a portfolio of stocks and investments which, in addition to generating

* This paper originated from the Training Program for University Professoriate FPU 13/02481, financed by the Ministry of Education, Culture and Sports of the Government of Spain.

1 Socially Responsible Investment includes a wide range of different financial products, comprising socially responsible and ethical pension plans, social sovereign bonds and some share certificates, among others. One of the most common products is ethical funds. These funds commit to placing their assets in companies which stand for social justice, fairness and sustainability in the use of resources (Karlsson, 2006).
financial results equal to those of the market, assures its participants their savings go to socially responsible companies (Aslaksen & Synnestvedt, 2003; Camino, 1993; García-de-Maradiaga & Valor, 2006, 2007; Sparkes & Cowton, 2004; Valor et al., 2009). The main characteristic of an EMF is the use of non-financial criteria in the selection of socially responsible companies for its portfolio (Renneboog et al., 2008; Signori, 2009), where a key aspect for a company to be considered as socially responsible is the development of good CG practices (Kolk & Pinkse, 2010). Thus, CSR and, within it, CG represent essential criteria for EMF in their selection of investees (Capelle-Blancard & Monjon, 2012; Muñoz-Torres, Fernández-Izquierdo & Balaguer-Franch, 2004; Perera & Cortés 2006).

The aim of this paper is to study the type of company that Spanish EMF perceive as socially responsible. Therefore, in this study, we identify the qualities of the companies that Spanish EMF prefer when making up their portfolio. These qualities are verified by the information published by these companies on their CG model, their organizational structure and their economic and financial efficiency. In the design of the portfolio managers of EMF often use databases or ethical indexes (DJSI, FTSE4 Good Index and Domini Social Index, among others). These ethical indexes filter the companies following the arbitrary criteria of the creating agency (Székely & Knirsch, 2005). Given the limitations of socially responsible indexes, public information could be an alternative in the selection of companies for an EMF’s portfolio. To achieve this, we have used cluster analysis and two regression models.

Currently, there are fifteen registered and marketed EMF in Spain. In 2012, the average rate of return was of 0.16%, with total assets valued at 132,968,000 euros; which, according to EUROSIF (2012), means Spain is one of Europe’s fastest growing markets in the future, thus justifying the location of this study. Our results show there is a significant relationship between CG practices involving stakeholders and the preferences of EMF. As its main contribution, this paper shows the role of financial results as a key aspect for an organizational structure and CG model.

This paper is made up by five sections. The first section is introductory. The second reviews the research carried out in this field and of the various hypotheses studied in this paper. The third section introduces data, variables, descriptive statistics and results to corroborate the proposed hypotheses. In the fourth section we discuss the results. The last section provides conclusions based on the results obtained.

Background and Hypotheses

EMF’s investee companies are an example of the relationship between CSR and CG (Camino, 1993; Lizcano, 2006). Nowadays, one cannot conceive of a company qualified as socially responsible that does not have a good CG. The concept of CG has changed since Fama and Jensen (1983) to our days. In the last few years, CG has been defined as the complex set of restraints that shape the ex-post bargaining over the quasi-rents generated by a firm (Zingales, 1998); quasi-rents that are taken into consideration by different stakeholders (Armstrong, Guay & Weber, 2010; Suárez-Tirado, 2007; Fan, 2013). This would be the concept of CG from the point of view of the stakeholder theory (Jamali, 2008). Therefore, CG has now become a key tool in establishing CSR strategies with the participation of stakeholders.

This participation varies in intensity and impact on the management model. So, based on the CG model, the stakeholders’ participation can be understood as an element of legal accountability, where stakeholders develop a passive behavior (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983; Meyer & Rowan, 1977). However, the role of stakeholders in projects and groups in an organization could also show an active behavior that enables the collaboration and integration of their real needs (Aras & Crowther, 2008; Di Domenico, Haugh & Tracey, 2010). In that sense, the participation of stakeholders could be as much an institutional mandate as a strategic tool of the organization. Consequently, the accessibility to information will depend on the intensity of the participation that is determined by the CSR strategy.

Generally speaking, companies adopt different CSR strategies depending on the CG system applied. The latter is conditioned by cultural aspects, market development and legal regulations of the country in which the company operates, as well as by the influence and concentration of foreign capital on its shareholders (Cuervo, 2002; Weimer & Pape, 1999). Despite this, some authors hold that there is a single model of CG at international level, where the specific aspects of the Anglosphere dominate (Belloc & Pagano 2013; Bozec & Dia, 2012; Gilson, 2001; Hansmann & Kraakman 2001; Khanna, Kogan & Palepu, 2006). Against this trend, other authors maintain that the single CG model does not exist in practice, given the idiosyncrasies among different countries and societies (La Porta et al., 1997, 1998; Lubatkin et al., 2007; Reaz & Hossain, 2007).

Along these lines, we find research that only distinguishes between the Anglo-Saxon model and the continental one (Chan & Cheung, 2012; De Andrés & Vallelado, 2008; García-Castro et al., 2008; La Porta et al., 1997, 1998; Roe, 1993; Sarkar, 2011). However, this categorization is not considered exhaustive, and others extend the proposal of CG models. In particular, some authors conclude in their studies that the continental model actually conceals two categories, the German model and the French one (Blazy et al., 2012; Briano, 2012; Ewmi, 2005; Gouveoitch, 2003; Licht, Goldschmidt & Schwartz, 2005; Tröger, 2005).
In other studies, the existence of the Japanese CG model as an alternative in the Asian region is detected; some authors associate this model with characteristics of the Anglo-Saxon and French models (Briano, 2012; Yoshikawa, 2007). In this respect, Young et al. (2008), Chung and Luo (2008) and Peters, Miller and Kusyk (2011) detected the existence of a CG model that is typical of emerging countries (such as Colombia, Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Mexico), defined with German and French influences. Finally, another trend was identified as a hybrid of the Anglo-Saxon and German model, which is used in the Scandinavian countries (Briano, 2012; De Andrés & Santamaría, 2010).

The differences between these six models are analyzed in figure 1. As we can observe, the Anglo-Saxon model is characterized by a Common Law legal system against the Civil Law system of the other models. In relation to the stakeholders’ participation, it is possible to observe different behaviors. Anglo-Saxon model has a low level of participation, while the highest level is found in the German model. This degree of participation is directly related to the equity concentration and the minority investor protection. In the Anglo-Saxon model we detect low concentration and high protection to the minority investor; while in the French model we can identify the opposite behavior. Finally, and like a tangential aspect, orientation is different, since the Anglo-Saxon model is characterized by a market orientation, while the models closer to the Continental model take into account aspects related to the internal organization of the entity (management orientation). Moreover, we can observe that the Scandinavian model, the Emergent model and the Japanese model are a mixture of the previous characteristics. All these characteristics impact the level of performance achieved by the organization (La Porta et al., 1997, 1998).

In addition, several authors have studied which conditional factors—external and internal— influence a company’s choice for a CG model. Among the external factors, the following have been identified as influential: the legal tradition (De Andrés & Santamaría, 2010), the supervisory authority of the stock market in which the company participates (Pucko, 2005) and the stock market liquidity in which the company is listed (Briano, 2012). Among the
internal factors, we find: the management model of the organization and its market orientation (Briano, 2012; Pucko, 2005; Reaz & Hossain, 2007).

To this day, there are no studies on the type of CG model applied by investee companies or the factors associated with this choice. This study is an opportunity to take into account, in addition to the internal and external factors proposed by other authors, economic and financial results and corporate structure as determinants in choosing a CG model in the context of EMF. Consequently, our first two working hypotheses are:

**H1:** The EMF’s investee companies use different CG models.

**H2:** The financial results and corporate structure are factors that affect the choice of CG model in investee companies.

Previously and in a general framework, different papers have studied the relationship between corporate structure and the CG model implemented by the organization. La Porta et al. (1998) and, more recently, López and Lidiuina (2006), conclude by stating the existence of a unidirectional causality in which the CG model determines the corporate structure of the organization. However, other authors, such as Coffee (2000) and Johnson et al. (2000), indicate the existence of an inverse relationship; that is, CG models are the result of the various forms of organization adopted by firms. It is not the purpose of this paper to contrast these claims, but, given the duality of opinions, we assume that the factors that affect the choice for a CG model should also affect the shaping of a company’s corporate structure. In particular, the economic and financial results could be a determining factor, which leads to the third hypothesis:

**H3:** Economic and financial results and the CG model chosen are the factors that determine the degree of development of the corporate structure of investee companies.

**Methodology**

In this paper, we have used data from transverse cuts of biannual public reports from 2012 deposited by the EMF at the National Stock Market Commission (Comisión Nacional de Mercado de Valores – CNMV, in Spanish). Currently, there are 15 EMF on the Spanish market. There is no public data

---

**Figure 1. Main characteristics of CG models. Source: Own elaboration.**
found for other Collective Investment Institutions (cii), probably due to restrictions imposed by commercial regulations.

Andreu-Sánchez, Ortiz-Lázaro and Sarto-Marzal (2010) suggest analyzing the composition of the portfolio versus the aggregate figures when studying the investment policy of a mutual fund. The portfolio of Spanish EMF is made up of different assets. Mostly, these assets are invested in fixed income (57%), although the percentage invested in variable income (43%) is not insignificant. This investment is particularly interesting, since it is where the participation of shareholders makes sense. At the moment, Spanish EMF have 174 investee companies, most of them located in four countries: the USA (37.76%), the UK (16.57%), Spain (9.91%) and Germany (9.79%). These 174 companies represent our sample.

For this paper, we have chosen to use audited information found on each company’s website (Balance, Income Statement, Notes, Management Report, Audit Reports and Annual Report). We have studied two broad categories of variables: cG variables and corporate-financial information variables.

Regarding cG, five variables have been categorized by principal component analysis taking as starting point twenty items. The evaluation of the items is based on the OECD 2004 Report in this area. These cG variables are: a) Operation of governing bodies; b) Board of Management; c) Support committees; d) General Meeting or similar; and e) Internal control systems.

The corporate-financial information variables are:

- Proposed in other studies: market value (Farag, 2009; Wu & Wei, 1998), size (Black, Jang & Kim, 2006; Berglöf & Pajuste, 2005; Briano, 2012; Holder-Webb, Cohen & Wood, 2008; Samaha et al., 2012), liability (Briano, 2012; Kirkos, Spathis & Manolopoulos, 2007), performance (Belkaoui & Pavlič, 1992; Ghafouri, 2014; Shin, 2001) and financial-legal system (Berglöf & Pajuste, 2005).

- Proposed by the authors: Organizational Structure of Company (osc) and Level of Accessibility to the Information (LAi). The osc item is a continuous variable (from 0 to 1) that measures the degree of development of the decision and control system of the company, understood as the level of participation of different stakeholders and obtained from the Annual Report of each one. Thus, the osc variable measures the global structure of the firm, without discriminating between the possible aspects that distinguish the type of cG. The LAi represents the degree of access to audited information on the companies’ websites (Likert scale 1-7).

The stated hypotheses require different techniques for contrast. In order to analyze the existence of different cG models we used cluster analysis. The test of the second hypothesis was carried out using the logistic regression model. For the third one we used the OLS regression model with the LS and 2SLS estimators. The endogenous variable is Corporate Structure, the exogenous variables are the cG model and the instrumental variables are the other financial information variables. Data was analyzed using SPSS 19.0 and Stata 14.0.

Results

Descriptive Analysis

This section reveals a first approximation of the data. The descriptive statistics of the items of the cG variables show important differences. For example, items related to split voting, or separate voting on separate matters, reach levels of compliance greater than 90%. Nevertheless, other items, such as gender diversity, do not reach 25%. In addition, we can observe that, except for the anti-takeover measures (63.8%), items related to the Board present a degree of compliance inferior to (statistical rank = 64.9%) the ones presented by the items of General Meeting (statistical rank = 30.5%) or Support Committees (statistical rank = 12.6%).

With regard to the financial information variables (table 1), we observe that firms in the portfolio of the EMF are large
-sized (mean = 25.2), with a great number of multinationals and a high level of solvency (mean in (Equity) = 23.8), and that they also tend to be efficient companies (mean in (NP) = 20.40). Among these variables, we have observed low dispersion (sd < 3), except in performance (sd = 5.65). The dispersion detected in this last variable could be caused by the strong geographical diversity in the sample.

Finally, the financial-legal system variable indicates that 36% of the companies in the sample are located in countries of the Anglo-Saxon legal tradition. Furthermore, the firms studied reach a compliance of 75% of the practices suggested in the OECD Code 2004, while the quality of access to information via the website reaches a level of 67% in the sample.

**Do the EMF’s Investee Companies Use Different CG Models?**

Cluster analysis results are shown in graph 1. In this chart we can see the position of the companies that make up the portfolio of Spanish EMF. The Governing Bodies’ factor is related to equity concentration, while the Board Composition factor could be identified with the stakeholder orientation.

**Table 1.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>Min</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>Ln (Asset)</td>
<td>25.20</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>32.70</td>
<td>15.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability</td>
<td>Ln (Liability)</td>
<td>24.60</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>32.70</td>
<td>10.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liability</td>
<td>Ln (Equity)</td>
<td>23.80</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>30.00</td>
<td>15.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Market Value</td>
<td>Ln (Market value)</td>
<td>24.20</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>30.50</td>
<td>12.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>Ln (Net Profit)</td>
<td>20.40</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>27.17</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Legal System</td>
<td>Anglo-Saxon Law</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organizational Structure (OSC)</td>
<td>Organizational Structure</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level of Accessibility to Information (LAI)</td>
<td>Level of Accessibility to Information</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. This table contains a description of variables and measurement criteria of each variable. It also shows the mean, standard deviation (sd), maximum (Max) and minimum (Min) values.

Source: Own elaboration.

**Graph 1.** Cluster analysis results. *Note.* This graph shows the three groups analyzed and, in addition, includes the centroids, in order to allow a more rapid identification of the groups. Source: Own elaboration.
We can observe three groups with different characteristics:

1. Companies with the Anglo-Saxon \textit{cg} model: Governing Bodies are found to be very developed, dealing with companies that respect the rights of the minority shareholder (low equity concentration), allowing split voting or separate voting on separate matters.

2. Companies with the German \textit{cg} model: Social Bodies function correctly, but the Board of Management composition is different because there is high stakeholders' participation, especially among employees.

3. Companies with the French \textit{cg} model: This third group comprises companies with few Governing Bodies (high equity concentration and poor stakeholder participation). These are companies that have statutory barriers (anti-takeover measures, limitation on the right to vote, lack of information on proposed agreements).

The origin of these companies is diverse. Countries from the Anglo-Saxon sphere make up the first group. USA and UK companies tend to position themselves together, and in the highest ranking. Certain companies from the Nordic countries (Norway and Sweden) are also included in this cluster, as well as companies from the Commonwealth, such as Australia and Canada. The second group is composed of German, Spanish, Danish and certain American companies. North American companies in this cluster are, in all cases, companies with strong roots in Europe (J&J, Coca-Cola, and Cisco). Finally, French, Italian, Portuguese and Japanese companies form the third group.

By using non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis and median tests (table 2), at a 5% significance level, we can see how the Governing Bodies, Board of Management, Support Committees and Audit variables take on different values in each one of the groups analyzed. That is, there are three \textit{cg} models with differentiated characteristics, although this conclusion could be different in matters specific to the General Meeting.

As for Spanish Ethic Mutual Fund investees, most companies use the German model (49.43%), while the French model tends to remain in a lower position (13.22%). To confirm this conclusion, we conducted a t-test for difference in means. The results show a \textit{p-value} = 0.000 for pairs of models. Therefore, EMF would tend to consider the \textit{cg} model when selecting their investments. Particularly, Spanish EMF would favor the investment where an active role of the stakeholders in the projects of the organization is promoted.

Are the Financial Results and Corporate Structure Factors that Affect the Choice of \textit{cg} Model in the Investee Companies?

In all models (table 3), the osc indicator is a critical variable at a 5% significance level. That is, the items related to the operation of the General Meeting, Board of Management and Support Committees explain the probability of belonging to a specific \textit{cg} model. In addition, we can observe that the variable takes different signs in the studied models. The positive sign ($\beta = 0.17$) is typical of the Anglo-Saxon model, while the negative sign manifests in the rest of the models ($\beta = -0.025$ in the German model or $\beta = -0.195$ for the French model). Therefore, the unit increases of the osc indicator lower the probability of belonging to the French and German models.

The Anglo-Saxon model introduces two additional explanatory variables: market orientation ($\beta = -0.296$) and legal tradition of the country ($\beta = -1.528$). Both variables favor

| Table 2. Non-parametric contrasts between groups. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel A. Kruskal-Wallis Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Governing Bodies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi-squared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deg. of freedom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptotic significance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel B. Median Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi-squared</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deg. of freedom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asymptotic significance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: In panel A, we can observe the Kruskall-Wallis test for Governing Bodies, Board of Management, Support Committees, General Meeting and Audit and Internal Control. In panel B we observe the Median test for the same variables. In the first column, the statistic chi-squared, degrees of freedom and asymptotic significance are shown. Source: Own elaboration.
belonging to the Anglo-Saxon model (see column \(\exp(\beta)\), table 3), but to a lesser extent than the \(\text{osc}\) of the company. The German model lists three variables of its own, which favor its choice: legal tradition \(\beta = 1.626\), liability \(\beta = 0.402\) and, to a smaller degree, performance \(\beta = -0.286\). We can observe that legal tradition is the most significant variable in both models, but with opposite signs. In the French model, the only significant variable is the \(\text{osc}\) \(\beta = -0.195\).

As shown in table 4, all models achieved an appropriate fit in terms of \(R^2\) of Cox and Snell \((R^2_{\text{CS}})\) and Nagelkerke’s \(R^2\) \((R^2_N)\).

### Table 4.
**Model fit.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>(R^2_{\text{CS}})</th>
<th>(R^2_N)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anglo-Saxon model</td>
<td>0.423</td>
<td>0.577</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>German model</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.316</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French model</td>
<td>0.447</td>
<td>0.825</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. This table contains information about the goodness of fit of each proposed model. We have used two indicators: \(R^2\) of Cox and Snell \((R^2_{\text{CS}})\) and Nagelkerke’s \(R^2\) \((R^2_N)\).

Source: Own elaboration.

Thus, the Anglo-Saxon model would be considered a market-oriented model, the German would be a funding-oriented model and the French one would remain a specifically management-oriented model. As consequence, the stakeholders’ role is different. In the Anglo-Saxon model this participation comes from market although it is weak, while in the German model the participation has its origin in the financial institutions. In both cases we can observe an active role in the participation of stakeholders, which will be more intense in the case of German model due to its stakeholders are supporting the financial sustainability of the entity. Finally, the French model would be characterized by a passive role in the participation of stakeholders since it does not promote an external orientation.

### What are the Factors that Determine the Degree of Development of the Corporate Structure of the Investee Companies?

Table 5 shows the defining variables of \(\text{CG}\) practices within a company. In addition to the proposed variables, variables belonging to the Anglo-Saxon model and the German model have been added.

### Table 5.
**Results bilateralized cosines regression model.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>(\beta)</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{osc})</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>-1.347</td>
<td>0.158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liability</td>
<td>2.093</td>
<td>0.030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Performance</td>
<td>-2.343</td>
<td>0.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(\text{LAI})</td>
<td>0.527</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Belonging Anglo-Saxon Model</td>
<td>31.032</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Belonging German Model</td>
<td>22.402</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. This table shows the results of the \(\cos\) regression model. This model relates the Organizational Structure of Corporation \((\text{osc})\) with factors which determine it (size, liability, performance, level of accessibility to information \((\text{LAI})\) and belonging to a particular model of \(\text{CG}\)). The parameters are represented by \(\beta\), being \text{sig.} the level of significance.

Source: Own elaboration.

As significant variables we have liability \(\beta = 2.093\), \(\text{LAI}\) \(\beta = 0.527\) and, especially, belonging to a particular model of government \(\beta = 31.032\), Anglo-Saxon model, and \(\beta = 22.402\),...
German model. The positive signs of the coefficients indicate that companies that are more indebted develop more GG practices, offer greater accessibility to their information and follow a behavior proper to German model where the stakeholders’ participation is strengthened.

Size \((\beta = -1.347)\) and performance \((\beta = -2.343)\) variables contradict the expected results. Size is not a significant variable \((\text{sig} = 0.158)\), while the coefficient associated with performance is negative. Consequently, the GG practices of more efficient firms tend to be less developed.

Regarding the goodness of fit of the model, the quadratic residues \((F \text{-Snecedor} = 2962.929)\) are statistically significant at 1% level. Therefore, the model captures the most important explanatory variables of the degree of development of GG practices reached by the companies analyzed.

Finally, we tested the previous model using the 2SLS estimator. The results of this model confirmed previous results (annex 1).

Discussion

As indicated, in recent years, six GG models have been identified: Anglo-Saxon, German, French, Japanese, Emerging and Scandinavian (Krambia & Psaros, 2006; Jong, 1991; Moerland, 1995; Scott, 1985). Each model promotes a different tendency in the stakeholders’ participation. In this study, evidence shows that companies with EMF investments match the characteristics of the three basic models: Anglo-Saxon, German and French. The Anglo-Saxon model is characterized by granting more protection to the minority shareholders against the power enjoyed by majority models are based on the dichotomy between the shareholders/owners of the capital, and the company managers. The difference is that the French model aims to protect the concentration of capital, while the German encourages an active stakeholder participation in the management bodies (Cazorla, 2012; Lopez & Liduina, 2006; Reynolds & Flores, 1989; Sava, 2002).

Regarding the Japanese model, Ooghe and De Langhe (2002), García-Castro et al. (2008) and Brianza (2012) consider it is not a model of its own, since the companies that apply it essentially follow the guidelines of the French model. We share the view of these authors, since cluster analysis results show that the Japanese firms in the sample follow the French model. Referring to the Emerging and Scandinavian models, Weimer and Pape (1999) argue these are variations of the Anglo-Saxon, German and French models.

The use of binary logistic regressions allowed us to reveal the factors that explain the probability of belonging to the proposed models. Thus, market value, organizational structure and the Common Law legal system explain the belonging to the Anglo-Saxon model where the stakeholders’ participation is favored. On the contrary, the German model is based on variables related to financial and economic results, as well as the organizational structure and the system of Roman civil law, whereas the French model would be more focused on the organizational structure of the company.

So the second working hypothesis is not rejected; that is, organizational structure and financial results are factors that determine the belonging to a particular model in addition to other components, such as legal tradition, proposed in previous studies (De Andrés & Santamaria, 2010; Salas, 2002). Moreover, we identify that the Anglo-Saxon and German models favor the participation of different stakeholders. The German model is centered in the financial institutions, while the Anglo-Saxon model takes a broader point of view.

In the contrast of the second hypothesis, the organizational structure appears as a determining factor in the choice of the GG model. However, previous studies have shown the possible existence of an inverse relationship (Coffee, 2000; Johnson et al., 2000). The results of our study show a two-way relationship and, ultimately, the financial and economic results and the accessibility to the information are the factors that explain both the organizational structure and the GG model chosen. Thus, our results disagree with authors who claim that the organizational structure predetermines the choice of model and those who suggest that the GG model predetermines the organizational structure chosen.

Also, unlike previous studies (Berglof & Pajuste, 2005; Brianza, 2012; Samaha et al., 2012), size is an insignificant variable in determining corporate structure. Furthermore, in relation to the performance variable, we observe a negative effect on the organizational structure, which confirms the approach by Cabeza and Gómez (2007). We agree with these authors that an overly-developed corporate structure may be causing undesirable changes in the performance of the company. This circumstance could be justified by the existence of high costs associated with Support Committees formation (Giráldez & Hurtado, 2014).

Finally, if we combine our working hypothesis we obtain the next conclusion. Spanish EMF tend to fund companies that promote a German model of Corporate Governance. This model stimulates the participation of financial stakeholders. Then, as consequence, Spanish EMF invests in companies that promote an active participation of the stakeholders where the EMF will assume its role as a financial stakeholder.
Conclusions

The main objective of this paper has been to analyze the intrinsic characteristics of the companies receiving financial investment from Spanish EMF based on the information published by these companies. Results show how Spanish EMF invest in companies with different CG models, being the organizations under the German model the favorite, followed by those under the Anglo-Saxon model. The French model is clearly a minor choice among Spanish EMF managers. The German and Anglo-Saxon models tend to protect the interests of a wide range of stakeholders; minority shareholders, employees, creditors and other social stakeholders.

Each model is characterized by different aspects. The German model integrates employees, creditors and social stakeholders within its management bodies. The main reason for this integration can be found in the cultural values contained in its legal tradition, which permeate the organizational structure of investee companies. The Anglo-Saxon model is also influenced by the legal tradition, but with a higher interest in the protection of minority shareholders' interests. Consequently, Spanish EMF tend to make up their portfolios with companies that promote the integration of stakeholders in the organization and safeguard the minority shareholder.

Moreover, the analysis suggests that corporate results, CG model and accessibility to the information are the aspects that underlie and explain the organizational structure of companies. This result generalizes the conclusions suggested by Kelton and Yang (2008) on the relationship between organizational structure and accessibility to accounting information via the Internet.

Spanish EMF perceive a company as socially responsible, with the best CG practices, if it provides greater accessibility to its information. Moreover, Spanish EMF managers do not prefer to have participation in more efficient companies. In fact, one can observe how the more efficient the company is, the smaller its need to invest in improving the standard of good CG. Therefore, we believe that the degree of development of organizational structure and the investee’s CG model will be conditioned by their financial needs. Thus, CSR and good CG practices are essential tools for attracting funding and guaranteeing the sustainability of the company.

However, this last statement must be ascertained. Among the investee companies we found different ways of understanding CSR and good CG: those where good CG and CSR are part of corporate culture, compared to the ones replicating this behavior out of strategic necessity. An EMF may not be able to distinguish between the two types of companies. A future line of work should focus on the development of criteria that help EMF to distinguish between both behaviors. It would also be necessary, in future research studies, to analyze the level of efficiency of the stock market in relation to the value of CSR policies implemented by companies that claim to be socially responsible.
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Annex 1. Results of Bilateralized Cosines Regression Model. Estimator 2SLS.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dependent Variables</th>
<th>Independent Variables</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OSC</td>
<td>Size</td>
<td>-1.357</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liability</td>
<td>1.929</td>
<td>0.068</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAI</td>
<td>0.380</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Belonging Anglo-Saxon Model</td>
<td>47.785</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Belonging German Model</td>
<td>37.047</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. This table shows the result of the OLS regression model under 2SLS estimator. This model relates the Organizational Structure of Corporation (osc) with factors which determine it (size, liability, level of accessibility of information (lai) and belonging to a particular model of cg). Size, liability and LAI have been considered independent variables, while belonging to a particular model of cg has been instrumentalized taken into account the previous logit regression. The parameters are represented by $\beta$, being sig. the level of significant.

Endogeneity test: $\chi^2(2)$: 2.388; p-value: 0.303, Sargan test: $\chi^2(2)$: 0.432; p-value: 0.8058.