PRESENTATION

Groups and sectors of society have pinned their hopes on the accumulation of knowledge which allows solving problems of a world in conflict. Experience shows us that generation of such necessary and useful knowledge should emerge from several views and contributions of all; that is, collective construction of knowledge should be encouraged.

For this reason, tools such as dissemination and brainstorm of knowledge allow different production spaces to become oxygenated; that is, existing knowledge can be controversial, refined, opposed, reconstructed or supported.

With publication of issue 26, corresponding to the July-December period 2014, "Opinión Jurídica" journal continues making contributions to this endless dialogue in the juridical field in order to start a discussion on the current theory. This issue shows eleven articles resulting from research works executed by the authors.

All articles received for this issue were duly evaluated by academic peers appointed by the Editorial Committee of the Journal. After reviewing the articles and based on the recommendations made by the editor, it was decided to publish the ones with the best evaluation and in compliance with demanded academic requirements, in order to continue improving quality of our publication and have a much more national and international readers; up to date, the Journal is included in ISI-SciELO Citation Index, Web of Science, SciElo Colombia, COLCIENCIAS Category A2, Sociological Abstracts, EBSCO host - Fuente Académica Premier, EBSCO - SocINDEX, EBSCO - Academic Search Complete, Worldwide Political Science abstracts, PAIS International (Public Affairs Information Service), RedAlyc, Qualis Capes, category A2 (Brazil), DOAJ, DIALNET, GALE CENGAGE LEARNING, Latindex, Vlex, Lex Base, CLASE, Red de Bibliotecas Universitarias Españolas (REBIUN), EZB Germany, Actualidad Iberoamericana, and e-revistas.

In this sense, we are presenting the contributions of researchers Carlos Alberto Lunelli, Armando Meraz Castillo, Margarita Cárdena Poveda, Ingrid Suárez Osma, Paula Andrea Valencia Londoño, Adrián Joaquín Miranda Camarena, Pedro Navarro Rodríguez, Luis Eusebio Alberto Avendaño González, Ramsés Samel Montoya Camarena, Alberto Arellano Ríos, Héctor Elías Hernández Velasco, Orlando Pardo Martínez, David López Jiménez, Arsul José Vásquez Pérez, Rodrigo Momberg U., and Fernando Jiménez Valderrama, with topics about MASC and environmental law, Inter-American Court of Human Rights, gender-based violence, conforming interpretation principle, internal coherence, and theoretical syncretism, metropolitan phenomenon, law abuse, quality logotypes in the digital environment, contractual interpretation, and sea transportation regulations in ancient Rome.

Opinión Jurídica