Regional anesthesia in pediatrics – Non-systematic literature review

Angela María Ríos-Medina a,∗, Juliana Caicedo-Salazar b, Maria Isabel Vásquez-Sadder c, Oscar David Aguirre-Ospina d, Maria Patricia González e

a Specialist in Anesthesia and Resuscitation, Universidad de Caldas, Caldas University Professor, Anesthesiologist Clínica Confamiliar and Cancer League, Pereira, Colombia
b Specialist in Anesthesia and Resuscitation, Universidad de Caldas, Anesthesiologist Clínica Confamiliar, Pereira, Colombia
c Specialist in Anesthesia and Resuscitation, Universidad CES, Clinical Anesthesiologist CES, Coordinator of the Regional Anesthesia Committee S.C.A.R.E., LASRA Delegate, Medellín, Colombia
d Specialist in Anesthesia and Resuscitation, Universidad de Caldas, Caldas University Professor, Anesthesiologist Hospital SES, Manizales, Colombia
e Specialist in Anesthesia and Resuscitation, CES University, Pain and Palliative Care Specialist, Anesthesiologist and Coordinator of Clinical Surgery, CES, Medellín, Colombia

Introduction: The use of pediatric regional anesthesia has grown to become the standard of care, because of its effective pain control, improved safety profile of the local anesthetic agents, in addition to the introduction of ultrasound.

Objective: To perform a non-systematic review of pediatric regional anesthesia.

Methods and materials: A search was conducted on the available scientific evidence in databases (Pubmed/Medline, ScienceDirect, OVID, SciELO), for a non-systematic review.

Conclusions: The use of pediatric regional anesthesia has increased due to its notable effect on pain management and furthermore as a result of the incremented use of ultrasound technology.
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Anestesia regional en pediatría – Revisión no sistemática de la literatura

Introducción: El uso de anestesia regional en niños ha aumentado hasta convertirse en estándar de manejo, debido al efectivo control del dolor, mejor perfil de seguridad de anestésicos locales y a la implementación del ultrasonido.
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Methodology

A non-systematic literature search was performed using PUBMED/MEDLINE, ScienceDirect and OVID, based on the terms “regional anesthesia”, “pediatric”, “ultrasound”, and “new local anesthetics”. The search and the selection of articles were done in an independent manner, and were restricted to meta-analysis, systematic reviews, Cochrane reviews, clinical essays, and non-systematic reviews. The date of publication was not limited and no Spanish articles were included.

Historical evolution

The history of PRA began with the discovery of the anesthetic properties of cocaine. Bier introduced spinal anesthesia and two of his patients were children. Gaston Labat began to teach RA and wrote the book: Regional anaesthesia: Its techniques and clinical applications.

The number of PRA reports has increased as pediatric anesthesia has evolved. Despite the considerable interest in PRA since 1980, its use was not generalized because general anesthesia was the standard, in addition to the existing concern about causing neurological injury to the sedated or anesthetized patient.

In 1998 over 50 pediatric anesthesiologists published an article showing that the outcome of a nerve block in an anesthetized child is safer than in a patient that is awake and excited. Other authors wrote an editorial called Regional Anesthesia: children are different, stressing the need to avoid considering pediatric patients as small adults. Later on, other papers were published describing new techniques, local anesthetics, and adjuvants. Today, RA represents an unquestionable advantage for pain control and plays a relevant role in clinical practice.

Neuraxial blocks

Epidural and caudal

Epidural analgesia, including the caudal approach, has been the cornerstone for postoperative pain management in children. It is currently indicated for open chest surgery, major abdominal and spine surgery. The current trend in lower limb surgery is the use of peripheral nerve blocks, including perineural catheters.

The risk of serious complications is 1:10,000 in epidural anesthesia and 0.2:10,000 in caudal anesthesia. The anatomic characteristics of children should be considered in order to avoid accidentally puncturing important anatomical structures.

Neuraxial blocks in children based on anatomical landmarks are safe and currently there is no evidence of the need for the routine use of ultrasound. The loss of resistance in the smaller patients should be done with air because it facilitates the identification of any unintended puncture of the dura mater. The advancement of caudal catheters in neonates is not recommended because of the high rates of contamination. In older patients, the recommended approach is from the low lumbar area, ideally inserting the catheter as close as possible to the surgical site. Visualizing the tip of the catheter using ultrasound,
radiology aids, and electrical stimulation are all modern techniques used to confirm the position of the catheter.

**Spinal anesthesia**

This approach was quite popular early in the twentieth century and had a comeback three decades ago, due to the successful results shown in preterm babies undergoing herniorrhaphy, since these babies were at high risk of postoperative apnea. Spinal anesthesia is safe for infants, school children and adolescents undergoing lower limb surgery and any procedure below the umbilicus.

The contraindications are: puncture site infection, rise in intracranial pressure, degenerative axon disease and severe hypovolemia.

The key limitation is the length of time – between 70 and 90 min because of the increased CSF volume, heart rate, and blood flow, both through the bone marrow as through epidural space. In order to do a spinal puncture, sedation or prior administration of a local anesthetic is required to control movement.

The puncture is made at L4–L5 or L5–S1, in lateral decubitus or with the patient sitting down. The injection shall be administered in over 20 s and the Trendelemburg position should be avoided due to the risk of total spinal anesthesia. The local anesthetic agents of choice are levobupivacaine and ropivacaine, both at a 0.5 mg/kg dose.

**Peripheral nerve block**

All of the peripheral nerve blocks performed in adults may also be administered to children.

**General considerations**

It is absolutely crucial to define whether the block will be done under sedation or general anesthesia. Fasting time should be considered, keeping in mind that trauma children should be considered as having a full stomach. If a neurological injury is suspected, it should be documented with a physical examination prior to administering the block. The extent of neurological injury may be assessed early during the postoperative period, using low LA concentrations.

The likelihood of compartment syndrome is not a contraindication for regional anesthesia since the block does not mask its diagnosis because of the severity of the pain and also because there are diagnostic aids to confirm the condition, such as infrared spectroscopy.

The presence of infection does not represent an absolute contraindication either, and the block may be administered at a site away from the surgical area.

**Technical considerations**

A comfortable position is critical, with the ultrasound screen facing the operator. The anatomic structures in children are superficial and the recommendation is to use a high frequency linear probe (>13 MHz). Echogenic blunt-tip 22–24 G needles, with a separate injection line are the most suitable.

**Upper limb blocks**

The following are the most common ultrasound-guided brachial plexus approaches.

**Interscalene**

There are few publications on the interscalene block approach in children. This is a useful approach for shoulder procedures and subcapital fractures of the humerus. Fig. 1 illustrates the anatomy of the C5–C7 nerve roots within the interscalene groove. The block may be done both inside and outside the plane, but the superficial location of these structures requires careful needle manipulation. The volume and concentration of the LA depend on the patient and the procedure.

**Supraclavicular**

This has been a controversial block because of the proximity of the subclavian vein and the pleura. The use of ultrasound has increased this approach and the recommendation is to proceed from the lateral to the medial plane. The supraclavicular approach is indicated for procedures below the mid humeral level. As compared against the infraclavicular approach, the supraclavicular has a lower latency and higher...
Fig. 2 – Supraclavicular block. Illustrates the relationship of the subclavian artery trunks and divisions, the lung and the first rib; middle scalene (MS) and anterior scalene (AS).

Fig. 3 – Infraclavicular block. Illustrates the neurovascular bundles (L: lateral; P: posterior and M: medial) and their relationship to the axillary artery (AA) and the axillary vein (AV).

Fig. 4 – Axillary block. Illustrates the axillary artery (AA) relative to the cutaneous nerve muscles (CNM), medial nerve (MN), cubital nerve (CN) and the radial nerve (RN); coracobrachialis muscle (CBM); axillary vein (AV).

---

efficacy

Infraclavicular

This is an alternative to the previously described approach and it is recommended when the ultrasound visualization of the infraclavicular is better than the supraclavicular approach. Both outside and inside the plane techniques yield adequate results. Fig. 3 shows the neurovascular bundles and their relationship to the axillary artery.

Axillary

Although this is a popular approach in adults, periclavicular approaches are preferred in children because these avoid the abduction of an injured upper extremity and also because in many cases the visualization of very superficial structures is difficult. The axillary approach is indicated for forearm and hand surgical procedures and the recommendation is to use inside the plane techniques. Fig. 4 illustrates the position of the axillary artery relative to the nerves.

Lower limb blocks

Most lower limb procedures may benefit from regional techniques, although they may frequently require at least two nerve blocks. The following are the most frequent approaches using ultrasound guidance.
**Femoral nerve**

This approach is useful in femur fractures, in arthroscopy and for the reconstruction of knee ligaments, inter alia. It is done by placing the probe on the femoral fold and localizing the femoral artery (FA). The recommendation is to insert the needle inside the plane and move from lateral to posteromedial. Parents should be advised that the child should not stand up until the complete resolution of the block. Fig. 5 depicts the femoral nerve and its anatomical relationships.

**Lateral femoral cutaneous nerve**

This approach is helpful for grafting or taking biopsies of the innervated zone, for preventing tourniquet pain and to complement knee surgery. The femoral nerve and vessels should be located and the iliac fascia must be traced towards the anterior superior iliac spine, until a round hyperechogenic structure us identified. Either out-of-plane or in-plane approaches may be used. Fig. 6 shows the LFCN and its anatomical relationships.

**Obturator nerve**

Recommended to complement femoral block analgesia in knee surgery. The number of literature reports on pediatric ON block is limited. To perform the ON block, the FA is identified in the inguinal fold, and the probe advances medially toward the pubic symphysis until the three adductor muscles are identified. The two branches of the ON are superficial and deep to the short adductor, and the approach may be either in-plane or out-of-plane. Fig. 7 illustrates the Obturator Nerve relative to the adductor muscles.

**Saphenous nerve**

It can be used to complement the sciatic nerve block for foot and ankle surgeries. Selective SN block avoids weakening the femoral quadriceps. For the subsartorial approach, the child is placed with a slight external rotation of the hip and knee flexion. The FA is localized medially to the muscle. Advance caudally until the separation of the artery and the nerve. The needle enters in an anteroposterior direction, between the vastus medialis and the sartorius. Fig. 8 shows the SN in relationship to the FA and the sartorium muscle in the distal third of the muscle.

**Popliteal sciatic nerve block**

This approach is useful for surgical procedures of the tibia, the fibula, the posterior aspect of the knee, ankle and foot. The spread of the anesthetic agent around the nerve is an important parameter for the rapid block onset. Both in-plane and out-of-plane approaches may be used. Fig. 9 illustrates the PSN with its two components and the position versus the popliteal vessels.
Abdominal wall blocks

Although the pediatric neuraxial blocks have been used as analgesic techniques with excellent results, these blocks have undesirable side effects. Peripheral blocks may avoid these side effects and provide similar analgesia. The use of ultrasound to guide these blocks has led to higher efficacy than the techniques based on anatomical landmarks.

These include:

**Transverse abdominis plane block**

This block was described by Rafi as a blind technique, and although it was used for many years, US has further expanded its use.

The abdominal wall is innervated by the anterior branches of T6 to L1, running between the internal oblique and transverse muscles of the abdomen. Figs. 10.1 and 10.2 illustrate the technique for placing the transducer and visualizing the muscle groups.

The indications for this particular block are abdominal wall surgeries, urology, and any patient conditions that are a contraindication for neuraxial blocks. The TAPB has a longer effect and improved quality of analgesia than infiltration of the surgical wound in children between 2 and 8 years old. Being an analgesic block, the use of long-lasting local anesthetic agents at low concentrations is recommended.
Fig. 10.1 – Placement of an US probe for a transverse abdominis plane block. High frequency transducer at the level of the subcostal mid axillary line, above the iliac crest. Fuente: Authors.

Fig. 10.2 – Anatomical structures of a transverse abdominis plane block (TAPB), transverse muscle (TM), internal oblique muscle (IO), and external oblique muscle (EOM). The local anesthetic agent deposits between the TM and the IOM. Fuente: Authors.

Ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric block (II–IH)

Used for procedures in the inguinal region and for urological surgeries, this approach was shown to be equivalent to the caudal block\textsuperscript{12}, and some reports claim longer analgesia and less frequent use of rescue analgesics\textsuperscript{37}.

Fig. 11.1 – Placement technique of the US probe for ilio inguinal and ilio hypogastric (II–IH) nerve blocks over the anterosuperior iliac spine pointing toward the umbilicus. Fuente: Authors.

Fig. 11.2 – Anatomical structures of a transverse abdominis plane block (TAPB), transverse muscle (TM), internal oblique muscle (IOM), and external oblique muscle (EOM). The local anesthetic agent deposits between the TM and the IOM. Fuente: Authors.

This block was performed for many years using anatomical landmarks, but some trials report the correct placement of the LA in only 14% of the cases\textsuperscript{38}, in addition to other complications such as intestinal puncture\textsuperscript{39}.

Figs. 11.1 and 11.2 illustrate the technique for placing the probe, enabling the visualization of the iliac crest, the ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerves, the muscle groups and the peritoneum. The objective of the block is to reach the fascia separating the internal from the transverse oblique\textsuperscript{40}.

Rectus sheath block

The use of the rectus sheath block in children was originally described by Ferguson et al\textsuperscript{41} and Courreges et al\textsuperscript{42} for umbilical hernia repair, pyloric-myotomies and abdominal mid-line incisions. The nerve roots run between the posterior sheath formed by the fascia of the internal and transverse oblique muscles. US has expanded the use of this block because it is easy and effective. Figs. 12.1 and 12.2 depict the technique for placing the transducer and the block target structures.

The current role of neurostimulation

NE was introduced in the 1960s as an alternative to the paresthesia technique, objectively localizing the nerve and allowing for the injection of the agent as close as possible avoiding any injuries\textsuperscript{43}. Following the introduction of ultrasound, the technique has been compared against other existing tools in an attempt to emphasize its advantages in terms of safety and the prevention of complications; however, since the occurrence of
adverse events is rare in Regional Anesthesia, no significant differences have been identified\textsuperscript{44,45}.

One of the current advantages of NE is the combined use with ultrasonography to prevent intraneural injection. Neurostimulation with less than 0.2 mA is indicative of intraneural localization. This explains why using both techniques is useful and may prevent complications\textsuperscript{46}.

NE may be used to check the position of the needle and the catheter into the epidural space in 80–100% of the cases, particularly if the procedure is performed with the patient anesthetized or sedated\textsuperscript{47}.

**New local anesthetics**

Levobupivacaine and ropivacaine have an improved safety profile as compared against racemic bupivacaine and should be used as a routine for central and peripheral blocks\textsuperscript{1,15,48}. Both agents are pure enantiomers S(−) with an improved profile and adequate sensory block, in addition to lower risk of cardiac fiber block. Local Anesthetics bind to plasma proteins, particularly to the acid alpha-1 glycoprotein that has a low concentration at birth and increases during the first year of life. Cytochrome CYP1A2 that metabolizes lidocaine and ropivacaine is immature until age 4–7\textsuperscript{49}. Hence, neonates and infants are prone to LA toxicity because of the increased free fraction, reduced clearance and increased susceptibility to cardiac toxicity.

The recommended doses vary depending on the block; however, the average dose is 2 mg/kg for ropivacaine and 2.5 mg/kg for levobupivacaine\textsuperscript{1,50}. Dosing for continuous infusion in epidural and perineural blocks ranges from 0.2 to 0.6 mg/kg/h in both cases\textsuperscript{50}. 
Conclusion

The renewed interest on Pediatric Regional Anesthesia is due to its adequate pain control and the use of ultrasound that enables the visualization of the anatomical structures, the needle and the spread of the local anesthetic agent, all of which translates into an improved safety profile and less complications. Administering blocks to anesthetized or sedated children is safer than in patients who are awake. Ultrasound guidance is not absolutely risk-free and therefore, it is recommended to include training in ultrasound guided regional anesthesia as part of the standard curricula, to develop skills for everyday clinical practice.
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