

<sup>b</sup> Anesthesiologist, PhD in Medical Sciences, Professor of Anesthesiology, Universidad Nacional de Colombia; Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, Bogotá D.C., Colombia

\* Corresponding author at: Ciudad Universitaria, Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Facultad de Medicina, Calle 45 No. 30-03, Bogotá D.C., Colombia. Tel.: +57 1 3165000.

E-mail address: [darinconv@unal.edu.co](mailto:darinconv@unal.edu.co) (D.A. Rincón-Valenzuela).

2256-2087/© 2015 Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

## The thin line between non-inferiority clinical trials and type II errors<sup>☆</sup>



### La delgada línea de ensayos clínicos de no inferioridad y el error tipo II

We welcome a randomized clinical trial (RCT) evaluating the sedation<sup>1</sup> strategies for low-risk patients requiring spinal anesthesia.<sup>2</sup> The authors conclude that there is no difference between groups except for higher withdrawal reflex and/or pain from puncture in the group that only received midazolam. In a purely academic spirit, we would like to underscore a few ideas.

1. Ideally, an RCT requires one person to administer the medication and a second one to assess the outcomes. If this is not possible, the effect of the intervention may be overestimated (around 40%).<sup>3</sup> However, we empathize with those authors that sacrifice their own resources for the sake of science.<sup>4</sup>
2. The primary outcome variable – sample size calculation – should be explicit. This is a usual issue with RCT.<sup>5</sup>
3. When designing the essay: were the authors looking for the advantages of combination therapy versus the use of midazolam or on the contrary, were they looking for equivalence among interventions? – equivalence trials require hundreds and some times thousands of participants to avoid type II errors (assuming no difference when in fact there was a difference).<sup>6</sup>
4. We don't want to look heartless, but would it be unreasonable to consider a placebo group (no sedation) or background music<sup>7</sup> for patients who just need a spinal injection?... sedation enhances the tolerance to the procedure but may deteriorate patient's cooperation for positioning.

#### Funding

The authors did not receive sponsorship to undertake this article.

#### Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### REFERENCES

1. Ibarra P, Galindo M, Molano A, Niño C, Rubiano A, Echeverry P, et al. Recomendaciones para la sedación y la analgesia por médicos no anestesiólogos y odontólogos de pacientes mayores de 12 años. *Rev Colomb Anestesiol.* 2012;40:67-74.
2. Bermúdez-Guerrero FJ, Gómez-Camargo D, Palomino-Romero R, Llamas-Bustos W, Ramos-Clason E. Comparación de 3 pautas de sedación para pacientes sometidos a anestesia subaracnoidea. Ensayo clínico aleatorizado, simple ciego. *Rev Colomb Anestesiol.* 2015;43:122-8.
3. Schulz KF, Chalmers I, Hayes RJ, Altman DG. Empirical evidence of bias. Dimensions of methodological quality associated with estimates of treatment effects in controlled trials. *JAMA.* 1995;273:408-12.
4. Calvache JA, Chaparro LE, Chaves A, Beatriz Delgado M, Fonseca N, Montes FR, et al. Strategies and obstacles to research development in anesthesiology programs: consensus document in Colombia. *Rev Colomb Anestesiol.* 2012;40:256-61.
5. McKeown A, Gewandter JS, McDermott MP, Pawlowski JR, Poli JJ, Rothstein D, et al. Reporting of sample size calculations in analgesic clinical trials: ACTTION systematic review. *J Pain.* 2015;16:199-206.
6. Piaggio G, Elbourne DR, Pocock SJ, Evans SJ, Altman DG, CONSORT Group. Reporting of noninferiority and equivalence randomized trials: extension of the CONSORT 2010 statement. *JAMA.* 2012;308:2594-604.
7. Lepage C, Drolet P, Girard M, Grenier Y, DeGagné R. Music decreases sedative requirements during spinal anesthesia. *Anesth Analg.* 2001;93:912-6.

Luis Enrique Chaparro<sup>a,\*</sup>, Laura Girón-Arango<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> MD, Department of Anesthesia, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

<sup>b</sup> MD, Anesthesiology Resident, Universidad CES, Medellín, Colombia

\* Corresponding author at: 12th Floor, 123 Edward Street, Toronto, Ontario M5G 1E2, Canada.

E-mail address: [lechaparro@gmail.com](mailto:lechaparro@gmail.com) (L.E. Chaparro).

2256-2087/© 2015 Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. All rights reserved.

<sup>☆</sup> Please cite this article as: Chaparro LE, Girón-Arango L. La delgada línea de ensayos clínicos de no inferioridad y el error tipo II. *Rev Colomb Anestesiol.* 2016;44:73.