



Analysis of the representations of pain in healthcare professionals from a IV level hospital in Bogotá, Colombia

Análisis de las representaciones del dolor en profesionales de la salud de un hospital de IV nivel en Bogotá-Colombia

Silvia Rivera-Largacha^{a,b}, Armando Steven Prieto^{a,b},
 Diego Enrique Londoño^{a,b,c}

^a Universidad del Rosario, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, Bogotá, Colombia

^b Hospital Universitario Mayor Méderi, Bogotá, Colombia

^c Psychology Program, Universidad Manuela Beltrán, Bogotá, Colombia.

Keywords: Qualitative Research, Semantic Web, Anesthesia, Delivery of Health Care, Pain

Palabras clave: Investigación Qualitativa, Web Semántica, Anestesia, Prestación de la Atención de la Salud, Dolor

Abstract

Introduction: Pain is a manifestation difficult to describe objectively. Understanding and managing pain by the clinical staff is not just based on scientific concepts, but on representations affected by subjectivity.

Objectives: To describe the social representations of pain in the discourse and behavior of the healthcare staff in a medical hospital in Bogotá.

Materials and methods: A qualitative, descriptive, cross-sectional trial was conducted using 2 data collection techniques: (i) semi-structured interviews (n=45), including the natural semantics networks technique; (ii) a non-participant observation with a field diary.

Results: In the natural semantic network, many descriptors mentioned by the participants (n=88) were identified. The terms referring to the emotional experience were mentioned more often, followed by terms referring to medical care. The field

observation identifies a broad diversity in the way pain is understood, marked by a trend to underestimate the subjective aspects of pain.

Conclusions: The data, which may be representative of similar institutions in the Colombian and Latin American context, illustrate that there has been a growing interest in approaching pain as a priority in the comprehensive management of the patient. However, strategies are yet to be promoted that result in a more assertive communication, and an identification and legitimization of emotions and subjective suffering of the patient in pain.

Resumen

Introducción: El dolor es una manifestación difícil de objetivar. Su comprensión y manejo por parte del personal clínico no solo se basa en conceptos científicos, sino en representaciones afectadas por la subjetividad.

How to cite this article: Rivera-Largacha S, Prieto AS, Londoño DE. Analysis of the representations of pain in healthcare professionals from a IV level hospital in Bogotá, Colombia. Rev Colomb Anestesiol. 2018;46:202-207.

Read the Spanish version of this article at: <http://links.lww.com/RCA/A47>.

Copyright © 2018 Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación (S.C.A.R.E.). Published by Wolters Kluwer. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Correspondence: Calle 63d bis No. 24-48, Universidad del Rosario, Sede Quinta de Mutis, Bogotá Colombia. E-mail: silvia.rivera@urosario.edu.co

Rev Colomb Anestesiol (2018) 46:3

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/CJ9.0000000000000056>

Objetivos: Describir las representaciones sociales del dolor en el discurso y en el comportamiento del personal de un hospital de Bogotá.

Materiales y métodos: Se realizó un estudio descriptivo transversal cualitativo utilizando dos técnicas de recolección: i. entrevistas semi-estructuradas ($n=45$), incluyendo la técnica de redes semánticas naturales; ii. una observación no participante con diario de campo.

Resultados: En la red semántica natural se encontraron muchos descriptores mencionados por los participantes ($n=88$). Los términos relativos a la experiencia emocional son los más mencionados, seguidos por los relativos a la atención médica. En la observación de campo se identifica una gran diversidad en la manera de entender el dolor, marcada por una tendencia a desestimar los aspectos subjetivos del dolor.

Conclusión: Los datos, que pueden ser representativos de instituciones similares contexto de Colombia y Latinoamérica, muestran que ha aumentado el interés por abordar el dolor como prioridad en el tratamiento integral del paciente. Sin embargo falta promover aun estrategias que permitan al personal establecer una comunicación más asertiva, y una identificación y legitimación de las emociones y del sufrimiento subjetivo del paciente con dolor.

Introduction

This article submits an analysis of the social representations of pain of a IV level hospital medical staff in Bogotá, Colombia, dwelling on the way these representations are used to interpret and take action in the management of pain.

In the middle of the 20th century, John Bonica and Cicely Saunders, among others, initiated a new approach to study and adopt a differential pain management strategy, providing care and intervening based on the precise organic source of pain and according to the patient's particular psychological and social characteristics.¹ This led to the consideration of forms of pain that had been neglected or underestimated so far, including postoperative and chronic pain in terminal patients.² These new approaches understand pain as an "agonizing experience associated with real or potential tissue damage, with emotional, sensory, cognitive and social components"—consistent with the definition suggested by IASP in 2016.³

The expression and understanding of pain poses a 2-fold difficulty, since the pain phenomenon involves communication problems in the light of 2 subjective appreciations—the patient experiencing the pain and the person receiving the complaint.^{4,5} Pain is also an emotion^{6,7} and thus its existence is difficult to prove and its intensity is hard to measure.^{6,8}

Furthermore, pain is a social phenomenon since it is perceived from cultural benchmarks,⁹⁻¹³ from which the patient attempts to communicate his/her experience and the health professional tries to identify and understand the scope of such experience.¹⁴ The difficulty in managing

pain is that usually subjectivity and the personal and individual experience are underestimated,¹⁵ while biological or strictly medical factors are overestimated.¹⁶ All of these factors lead to a dissimilar understanding and evaluation of pain between the patient and the healthcare staff,¹⁷ resulting in poor management. Consequently, a qualitative study of the problem is indispensable to understand communication issues between patients and care providers.¹⁸

The challenges of the new study paradigm and pain management initiated by Saunders and Bonica and restated in the IASP proposals, do not simply require changing the knowledge of the physician and the healthcare team,¹⁹ but a transformation of the communication and understanding of pain within the framework of the believes, preconceptions, stereotypes, and imaginary of the healthcare staff versus the "painful behavior."²⁰⁻²²

Materials and methods

This research is based on Moscovici's²³ theory of social representations that describes the way people learn from the events and information in his/her environment.²⁴ Social representations are made up of words and actions emerging from narratives comprising secular and official discourse.^{25,26}

The objective of this paper is to identify in the discourse and behavior of the healthcare professionals in a hospital, the way in which they welcome and interpret pain manifestations to guide their attitude toward their patients.

The results show that social representations of pain are not exclusively restricted to the concepts and protocols formalized in the scientific debate or in the hospital institution,^{27,28} but they coexist with laymen theories and subjective benchmarks.

This is a qualitative descriptive cross-section study for which 2 data collection techniques were used: ($n=45$) semi-structured interviews that included the use of natural semantic networks (NSN) whereby patients were asked to list 5 words (evocations) to define pain and organize them in terms of their perceived relevance. The NSNs allow for tracking the representations that people make of a particular term by social groups.²⁹ Additionally, a non-participant observation was made over 6 months, which was registered in a field diary that included attitudes, behaviors, and expressions associated with pain and the care of patients in pain.

The interviewees were key informants of the institution. The interviews were recorded, transcribed and then analyzed using the Atlas-ti 6 software to visualize the most relevant elements.

This research was approved by the ethics committee of Universidad del Rosario, classified as a low-risk research on March 9, 2015, under memorandum 281 and reference number CEI- ABN026-000070.

Table 1. Emotional and cognitive experience.

Semantic node	Accumulated citations	Mean hierarchy
1. Emotional and cognitive experience	99	3.35
Mourning and loss	6	3.33
Psychological elements	40	2.85
Anxiety	13	1.8
Distress	12	1.8
Stress	2	5
Subjectivity	1	4
Relational Elements	5	4
Communication with patient	3	5
Experience-associated factors	48	3.2
Unpleasant	13	2
Hopelessness	16	3.7
Attrition	2	3.5

Source: (NSN) extracted from the semi-structured interviews conducted by the project research team.

Data analysis

The data collected and the NSN were organized into semantic nodes to enable triangulation of the information.

The results of the SNS were a large number of descriptors mentioned by the participants (n=88). These

were classified in 2 ways: according to the profession and level of training of the individual mentioning the descriptor and based on semantics association; in other words, the descriptors were organized into sets of terms with equal meaning that represent the different perspectives to understand pain, defining 4 semantic nodes.

Node 1. Emotional and cognitive experience: includes terms regarding the effect on emotions and/or cognitive abilities (anxiety, sadness, distress, etc). Subcategories: mourning and loss, psychological factors, relational elements, and factors associated with experience) (Table 1).

Node 2. Biological and sensory phenomenon: comprises terms associated with biological and sensory-perceptive elements of pain (alertness, perception, damage indicator, etc). Subcategories: sensory-perception, alertness mechanism, and pain effects (Table 2).

Node 3. Sociopolitical reality: comprises the terms referring to the social, political and economic reality of pain, both in the medical and patient context (fundamental right, cultural influence, vulnerability, isolation, etc). Subcategories: Political and economic aspects and cultural impact (Table 3).

Node 4. Medical care: comprises terms relating to relational elements and terms referring to the experience of the medical practice (measurement difficulty, pain as a friend, multifactorial, etc), terms referring to intervention practices including the processes of pain evaluation, diagnosis, and treatment. Subcategories: diagnosis, treatment, and relational elements (Table 4).

Results

The following results (Table 5) indicate a prevalence of terms associated with the emotional experience (99 citations of these terms, with a hierarchical position of 3.35), followed by terms associated with medical care, the semantic node 4 (45 citations of terms, hierarchical

Table 2. Biological phenomenon.

Semantic node	Accumulated citations	Mean hierarchy
2. Biological Phenomenon	18	2.93
Sensory perception	8	2
Feeling	5	3
Effects of pain	3	3.33
Trauma	1	4
Alert mechanism	7	3.46
Damage indicator	4	4.15

Source: (NSN) extracted from the semi-structured interviews conducted by the project research team.

Table 3. Sociopolitical reality.

Semantic node	Accumulated citations	Mean hierarchy
3. Sociopolitical reality	19	3.6
Political and economic aspects	7	3.8
Vulnerability	3	4.7
Social aspects	7	3.1
Poor quality of life	3	2
Cultural influence	5	4
Pain expression	4	4.5

Source: (NSN) extracted from the semi-structured interviews conducted by the project research team.

Table 4. Medical care.

Semantic node	Accumulated citations	Mean hierarchy
4. Medical care	45	3.4
Diagnosis	21	3.44
Objectivity	1	5
Treatment	10	3.9
Disease	3	4.3
Pain differentiation chronic/acute	2	2.5
Relational elements	14	2.8
Frustration	2	2
Powerlessness	3	4

Source: (NSN) extracted from the semi-structured interviews conducted by the project research team.

position 3.4), followed by the semantic node regarding sociopolitical aspects of pain with 19 citations of terms, hierarchical position 3.6), and finally the semantic node 2 associated with the biological aspects of pain (18 citations, hierarchical position 2.9).

Continuing with the data collected in the field diary, a broad range of approaches to the understanding and treatment of pain was identified, depending on the hospital service, the level of education, the specialty, the experience and the dominant clinical objectives in each hospital and clinical specialty. Despite this considerable diversity, there is apparently a trend to underestimate the subjective aspects of pain. In this sense, the clinical staff tends to see pain as a rather uncomfortable manifestation of the patient's subjectivity. Notwithstanding the efforts made in this hospital, using the virtual course "Change Pain" as a primary training tool and with an intervention in the design of protocols, the health professionals continue to systematize the diagnosis of pain with a reductionist approach, usually guided by the clinicians need to identify progress indicators in patients. Although there is interest in providing adequate pain care, the work teams still lack a comprehensive coordination.

Discussion

There was a marked trend to define pain based on elements referring to experiencing anxiety and distress (mostly node 1 categories), where communication with the patient turns difficult. An unpleasant experience with the potential to develop frustration that leads to emotional exhaustion of the clinical staff. A second factor highlighted in the NSN is the importance given to medical

Table 5. Natural semantics network—pain.

Semantic nodes	Accumulated citations	Mean hierarchy
1. Emotional and cognitive experience	99	3.35
Experience and affection	6	3.33
Psychological factors	40	2.84
Relational factors	5	4
Experience-associated factors	48	3.25
2. Biological phenomenon	18	2.9
Sensory perception	8	2
Alertness mechanism	7	3.46
Effects of pain	3	3.33
3. Sociopolitical reality	19	3.6
Political and economic considerations	7	3.8
Social aspects	7	3.1
Cultural influence	5	4
4. Medical care	45	3.4
Diagnosis	21	3.44
Treatment	10	3.9
Relational elements	14	2.85

Source: (NSN) extracted from the semi-structured interviews conducted by the project research team.

care of pain, focusing on a clear concern for the diagnosis and for objectivity. It is a concern for achieving an acceptable level of certainty in the identification of pain, using means different from the patient's report which generates mistrust (node 4), notwithstanding the fact that contemporary theory considers self-reporting as an essential component of the evaluation. On the other hand, although the biological (node 2), social and cultural components (node 3) are part of the pain perspective, these were less emphasized in the definition.

The NSN indicates a prevalence of the categories related to the emotional and cognitive aspects of pain. However, when comparing against the more comprehensive contents of the interviews, it is difficult to identify to whom these emotions belong. When healthcare professionals speak about anxiety, distress, *inter alia*, the emotional experiences of the professional are mixed up with the

patient's emotions. Nevertheless, notwithstanding this situation, the fieldwork showed that the clinical staff often tends to ignore the patient's affective behavior and rather focus on the technical aspects of their job. Being less concerned about the patient's distress is a frequent reaction in situations where emotions are overexpressed—particularly anxiety as a result of suffering—perceived as an awkward and even hazardous experience for the individual's psychological integrity.³⁰

In the hospital, the scenarios where particular attention is given to the subjectivity of the discomfort are particular instances of pain clinic care, physical therapy, and the care provided but some residents and medical interns. Notwithstanding the fact that there is an official statement regarding efficient and appropriate pain care, there are limited resources available to the clinical staff to provide psychological support and to communicate with the patient. Likewise, there is limited opportunity for an interdisciplinary approach to pain by the clinical staff or for efficient communication. Finally, despite institutional efforts, the resources available to the clinical staff are still extremely limited to protect themselves psychologically from difficult interactions.

There is a difficult to interpret relational component. It seems to be quite relevant in node 4 (14 citations with a mean hierarchy of 2.8). However, in node 1 (Table 1) the terms referring to relationships are considerably less relevant (5 citations with a mean hierarchy of 4). From the SNS perspective and based on the analysis of the interviews, the clinicians have a poor understanding of the impact of emotions on the development and functionality of relationships. In terms of dealing with the patient, among the clinicians it is difficult to establish a therapeutic relationship based on the eagerness to achieve immediate results. Clinicians prefer to have control over therapy and therefore they adopt a hierarchical position versus the patient, and the patient's emotions are only considered to legitimize the hierarchical relationship between the patient and the clinician. Thus, relationships are more relevant in medical care based on a hierarchical relation where the clinician holds the truth about the patient's illness and pain.

The lack of interest or ignorance of the participants about the technical aspects of the source and management of pain as a biological and sensory phenomenon account for the poor appropriation of knowledge and approaches of what we have called the "new paradigm," in addition to the prevalence of laymen or obsolete theories about the clinical management of pain. There is a marked trend toward misleading information of the nursing staff, that usually defines pain with colloquial terms and attrition-associated ideas. This may be explained via the barriers to education of the clinical staff that hinder the expansion and the adoption of this new paradigm. Some of our key informants acknowledged that the high personnel turnover represented a loss of many of the lessons learned through the Change-pain program and

through experience. Finally, the field diary evidenced the existence of institutional administrative, and economic issues where the shortage of staff, the focus on less subjective aspects of the disease, and the lack of time for listening to patients, sideline the interest of healthcare teams to adopt a comprehensive approach of the patient afflicted with pain.

The relevance of the "Pain Clinic" at the institutional level, established 10 years ago is worth noting. The perception of the hospital staff with regards to the work of this team is highly positive. However, quite often, the medical professionals use this team as a last resort, when all other options have been exhausted, but without necessarily transforming their own tools or enhanced their communication abilities and their understanding for providing better pain management. The challenge to the institution and for the professionals involved is to definitively anchor this new paradigm of understanding and managing pain in the institutional culture of the hospital. This will lead to enhanced comprehensive treatment of patients and to a dignified role of the hospital staff.

Ethical responsibilities

Protection of persons and animals: The authors declare that no experiments in humans or animals have been conducted for this research.

Confidentiality of data: The authors declare that all the protocols of their workplace have been followed in terms of disclosure of information of the subjects mentioned in the article (healthcare professionals working at the referred hospital).

Right to privacy and informed consent: The authors have obtained the informed consent of the subjects referred to in the article (healthcare professionals working at the referred hospital). This document is under custody of the corresponding author.

This research trial complies with the current Colombian regulations (resolution n° 008430 of 1993 of the Ministry of Health, and Law 1090 of 2006, whereby the job of the psychologist is regulated, with particular emphasis on Chapter VII under that Law). The protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Universidad del Rosario and by the Research Committee of the hospital where the study was conducted.

Acknowledgments

The authors appreciate the contributions by Drs Jhon Jairo Hernández, Nancy Moreno, Juan Rafael López, Jairo Moyano, and Claudia Cortés.

Funding

This research was funded by the FIUR (Fondo de Investigación Universidad del Rosario (2016)).

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose with regard to this research paper.

References

- Meldrum ML. A capsule history of pain management. *JAMA* 2003;290:2470-2475.
- Moscoso J. Historia cultural del dolor. Prisa ediciones, Madrid:2011.
- Williams A, Craig KD. Updating the definition of pain. *Pain* 2016;157:2420-2423.
- Le Breton D. Antropología del dolor. Seix Barral, Barcelona:1999.
- Leriche R. De la santé à la maladie, la douleur dans les maladies, où va la médecine? In: *Encyclopédie française*, VI; 1936.
- Peter JP. Sleep, dreams, anesthesia, sleepwalking: the problem of consciousness in the representations of the man asleep. Review of modern and contemporary history. *Revue d'histoire moderne et contemporaine*. 1996;4:578-92.
- Macknik S, Martínez-Conde S. No brain, no pain: pain is an emotion. *Sci Am Mind* 2016;25:50-54.
- Osborne M, Rodham K. Insights into pain: a review of qualitative research. *Br J Pain* 2010;4:2-7.
- Morris D. The culture of pain. University of California Press, Berkeley, CA:1991.
- Bogalska-Martin E. Suffering as a shared experience. 2007;21:87-106.
- Best S. The social construction of pain: an evaluation. *Disabil Soc* 2007;22:161-171.
- Lin F, He D, Jin Y, et al. Mapping the central structure core in social representation of pain. *J Appl Soc Psychol* 2013;43:1931-1945.
- Martin-Mattera P, Ly-Thanh-Huê , Garnier F, et al. The chronic low back pain: symptom or not symptom? *Evol Psychiatr* 2010;75:607-620.
- Wilson H. The myth of objectivity: is medicine moving towards a social constructivist medical paradigm? *Fam Pract* 2000;17:203-209.
- Bendelow G, Williams SJ. Transcending the dualism: towards a sociology of pain. *Sociol Health Illn* 1995;17:139-165.
- Price K, Cheek J. Exploring the nursing role in pain management from a post-structuralist perspective. *J Adv Nurs* 1996;24:899-904.
- Briggs M, Dean KL. A qualitative analysis of the nursing documentation of post-operative pain management. *J Clin Nurs* 1998;7:155-163.
- Coyle NP, Tickoo R. Qualitative research: what this research paradigm has to offer to the understanding of pain. *Pain Med* 2007;8:205-206.
- Clarke KA, Iphofen R. Believing the patient with chronic pain: a review of the literature. *Br J Nurs* 2005;14:490-493.
- Tait RC, Chibnall JT. Physician judgments of chronic pain patients. *Soc Sci Med* 1997;45:1199-1205.
- Kappesser J, Williams A. Pain and negative emotions in the face: judgements by health care professionals. *Pain* 2002;99:197-206.
- Montali L, Monica C, Riva P, et al. Conflicting representations of pain: a qualitative analysis of health care professionals' discourse. *Pain Med* 2011;12:1585-1593.
- Moscovici S, Herzlich C. Foreword. *Health and Illness: A Social Psychological Analysis* Academic Press, London/New York:1973; ix-xiv.
- Jodelet D, Moscovici S. La representación social, fenómenos, concepto, teoría. *Psicología social*, Volumen 2 Editorial Paidós, Barcelona:1986.
- Boltanski L. The discovery of the disease. *Annales Économies, Sociétés, Civilisations* 1971;26:247-249.
- Viveros M. The problem of social representation for health and disease studies. *Boletín Socioeconómico* 1993;26:120-140.
- Pryma J. Even my sister says I'm acting like a crazy to get a check": Race, gender, and moral boundary-work in women's claims of disabling chronic pain. *Soc Sci Med* 2017;181:66-73.
- Kløjgaard ME, Manniche C, Pedersen LB, et al. Patient preferences for treatment of low back pain: a discrete choice experiment. *Value Health* 2014;17:390-396.
- Pérez Cruz O. Redes Natural semantic networks: Methodological notes for the analysis of social representations. *Revista Contribuciones a las Ciencias Sociales* 2015;27.
- Wiseman T. A concept analysis of empathy. *J Adv Nurs* 1996; 23:1162-1167.