EDITORIAL





OPEN

Received: 23 March, 2023 • Accepted: 27 March, 2023 • Online first: 13 April, 2023

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5554/22562087.e1067

Controversies in one-lung ventilation: One way or the other?

Controversias sobre la ventilación unipulmonar: ¿En un sentido o en otro?

Andrés Zorrilla-Vacaª 匝

^a Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School. Boston, MA, USA. **Correspondence:** Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative and Pain Medicine, Harvard Medical School, 75 Francis St, Boston, MA, USA. **E-mail:** andres.zorrilla@correounivalle.edu.co

How to cite this article: Zorrilla-Vaca A. Controversies in one-lung ventilation: One way or the other?. Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology. 2023;51:e1067.

One-lung ventilation (OLV) is frequently used to facilitate surgical access in thoracic procedures. As many other nonphysiological interventions in anesthesia, OLV is not a benign ventilation technique and therefore carries an increased risk of lung injury due to various mechanisms including a higher risk of alveolar collapse, barotrauma secondary to extrinsic forces (i.e., mediastinal shift and limited chest wall excursion) and ischemia-reperfusion phenomena during the transition of OLV to two-lung ventilation (TLV).(1) Based on experimental models, the incidence of subclinical lung injury has been reported in up to 70-80% of cases, which may become clinically significant in about 10-15% of the cases if other risk factors are present (e.g., obstructive pulmonary disease, sleep apnea, smoking history, large lung resections, liberal fluid therapy). New anesthetic interventions have been postulated to minimize lung injury during thoracic surgery (e.g., individualized positive end-pressure ventilation, pharmacological preconditioning, permissive hypercapnia), yet their effectiveness is still controversial and need further evidence to support its clinical practice.(2) This editorial article aims to discuss current gaps in the literature about OLV-induced lung injury and ongoing randomized trials that might elucidate new paradigms in thoracic anesthesia.

Literature gaps and controversies in one-lung ventilation

Pulmonary protection can be achieved through surgical practices or anesthetic interventions. It is thought that special surgical techniques, such as thoracoscopy assisted lung procedures or parenchymal-sparing resections, are responsible for a half reduction in the rates of pulmonary complications over the past decade

Lea la versión en español de este artículo en <u>www.revcolanest.com.co</u> Copyright © 2023 Sociedad Colombiana de Anestesiología y Reanimación (S.C.A.R.E.).

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

(Figure 1). Specific anesthetic interventions can further improve pulmonary outcomes if used appropriately (Table 1) – however, the current evidence on OLV has yielded several controversies as noted in the following list of interventions:

Figure 1. Contribution of surgical and anesthetic interventions to reduce pulmonary complications after one-lung ventilation.



Source: Author.

Tidal volume. This is perhaps the least controversial strategy among other anesthetic interventions. A recent meta-analysis of 18 studies concluded that a low tidal volume strategy (≤ 6 mL/kg) in thoracic surgery is associated with lower rates of pulmonary complications.(3) Importantly, oxygenation does not seem to be affected negatively compared to higher tidal volumes.(3) It is also

	-	-	
Clinical practice	Recommendation	Advantages	Disadvantages
Low tidal volume	≤6mL/kg	Lower risk of overinflation (<i>↓strain</i>)	Risk of alveolar collapse and hypoxemia if not used with recruitment maneuvers
Positive end- expiratory pressure	5-10 (individualized based upon driving pressure)	Improved oxygenation and compliance	Hemodynamic compromise and venous congestion
Alveolar recruitment	Transition to OLV	Lower risk of alveolar collapse	Transient hypoxemia due to increased shunting
Inspiratory oxygen fraction	60-80%	Less oxidative stress and radical oxygen species	Risk of hypoxemia
Permissive hypercapnia	EtCO2 45-50 mmHg	Decreased inflammation and improved respiratory compliance	Caution in pulmonary hypertension (right heart failure or obstructive pulmonary disease)
Type of anesthesia	Inhaled anesthetics	Diminished ischemia- reperfusion injury	Disturbance of hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction
Dexmedetomidine	0.5 mcg/kg/hr	Enhancement of hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction	Postoperative sedation levels
Goal-directed fluid therapy	SVV > 13%	Less alveolar edema	Lack of clinical application for open chest procedures

Table 1. Summary of evidence-based clinical practices for one-lung ventilation.

Source: Author.

worth noting, however, that the use of low tidal volumes in the absence of sufficient positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) may lead to worse pulmonary outcomes due to an increased risk of atelectrauma.(4)

PEEP. There is universal consensus in favor of using PEEP greater than zero, but little consensus exists regarding the optimal use of PEEP in thoracic surgery. A recent multicentric trial from Korea demonstrated that PEEP guided by driving pressure did not reduce any pulmonary complication compared to standard PEEP of 5 cmH2O in thoracic surgery.(5) Additionally, there is no evidence comparing the various techniques of PEEP optimization (e.g., guided by driving pressure, inflection of the compliance curve, impedance tomography).

Ventilation mode. It has been thought that pressure-regulated modes (i.e., pressure-regulated volume guaranteed or pressure-controlled) are preferred over volume-controlled modes as the former can attenuate high airway pressures -often seen during OLV-, thereby reducing the risk of barotrauma. However, a recent multicentric trial including 1224 patients from China did not demonstrate any pulmonary benefit between ventilation modes in thoracic surgery.(6)

Permissive hypercapnia. A singlecenter trial from Korea showed superior oxygenation and better respiratory mechanics among patients exposed to permissive levels of hypercapnia (45-50 mmHg) by adjusting respiratory rates. (7) Even higher levels of hypercapnia (60-70 mmHg) can be safe and effectively reduce inflammatory response from OLV. (8) Although preliminary results seem promising, one should carefully consider the exclusion of heart failure patients or severe obstructive pulmonary disease from this intervention. It is still unknown whether this intervention improves lung recovery and postoperative pulmonary outcomes.

Type of anesthesia. Although inhaled anesthetics had been theoretically avoided during OLV due to its negative effect on hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction, recent evidence suggests that sevoflurane reduces inflammatory mediators and is clinically non-inferior compared to total intravenous anesthesia.(9,10)

Dexmedetomidine. There is growing evidence on dexmedetomidine and its clinical benefits to attenuate lung inflammation and improve respiratory mechanics during OLV.(11) However, the evidence is still inconclusive as to the potential utility of dexmedetomidine for preventing clinical pulmonary complications.

Evolving evidence

Several trials are being conducted to determine the impact of some of the interventions mentioned above. For instance, some of the controversies around the optimal use of PEEP in OLV are being addressed by large randomized trials, such as the PROTHOR trial (PEEP of 10 cmH2O vs 5 cmH2O)(12) and iPROVE-OLV trial (Individualized PEEP guided by driving pressure vs 5 cmH2O).(13) Another trial is also underway to evaluate the effect of hypercapnia (vs normocapnia) on pulmonary complications.(14) Further trials are necessary to clarify the optimal tidal volume (4-6 mL/kg versus 6-8 mL/ kg) and the utility of dexmedetomidine during OLV. Perhaps in the future, more trials will be designed to assess the impact of personalized ventilatory approaches (guided by mechanical power, driving pressure, or respiratory compliance) as well as perioperative bundles including pre-habilitation, incentive spirometry, and continuous positive airway pressure.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The author is an Associated Editor at the Colombian Journal of Anesthesiology.

REFERENCES

- Lohser J. Evidence-based management of one-lung ventilation. Anesthesiol Clin. 2008;26(2):241-72. doi: <u>https://doi.or-g/10.1016/j.anclin.2008.01.011</u>
- Gao S, Zhang Z, Brunelli A, et al. The Society for Translational Medicine: clinical practice guidelines for mechanical ventilation management for patients undergoing lobectomy. J Thorac Dis. 2017;9(9):3246-54. doi: <u>https://doi. org/10.21037/jtd.2017.08.166</u>
- 3. Peel JK, Funk DJ, Slinger P, Srinathan S, Kidane B. Tidal volume during 1-lung ventilation: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2022;163(4):1573-85e1. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtcvs.2020.12.054
- Blank RS, Colquhoun DA, Durieux ME, et al. Management of One-lung Ventilation: Impact of Tidal Volume on Complications after Thoracic Surgery. Anesthesiology. 2016;124(6):1286-95. doi: <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1097/ALN.000000000001100
- Park M, Ahn HJ, Kim JA, et al. Driving Pressure during Thoracic Surgery: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Anesthesiology 2019;130(3):385-93. doi: <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1097/ALN.0000000000002600
- 6. Li XF, Jin L, Yang JM, Luo QS, Liu HM, Yu H. Effect of ventilation mode on postoperative pulmonary complications following lung resection surgery: a randomised controlled trial. Anaesthesia. 2022; 77(11): 1219-27. doi: <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1111/anae.15848
- Lee JH, Kim Y, Mun J, Lee J, Ko S. Effects of hypercarbia on arterial oxygenation during one-lung ventilation: prospective randomized crossover study. Korean J Anesthesiol. 2020;73(6):534-41. doi: <u>https://doi. org/10.4097/kja.19445</u>

- 8. Gao W, Liu DD, Li D, Cui GX. Effect of Therapeutic Hypercapnia on Inflammatory Responses to One-lung Ventilation in Lobectomy Patients. Anesthesiology 2015;122(6):1235-52. doi: <u>https://</u> doi.org/10.1097/ALN.00000000000027
- 9. De Conno E, Steurer MP, Wittlinger M, et al. Anesthetic-induced improvement of the inflammatory response to one-lung ventilation. Anesthesiology 2009;110(6):1316-26. doi: <u>ht-</u> tps://doi.org/10.1097/ALN.0b013e3181a10731
- 10. Li XF, Hu JR, Wu Y, Chen Y, Zhang MQ, Yu H. Comparative Effect of Propofol and Volatile Anesthetics on Postoperative Pulmonary Complications After Lung Resection Surgery: A Randomized Clinical Trial. Anesth Analg. 2021;133(4):949-57. doi: <u>https://doi. org/10.1213/ANE.00000000005334</u>
- 11. Lee SH, Kim N, Lee CY, Ban MG, Oh YJ. Effects of dexmedetomidine on oxygenation and lung mechanics in patients with moderate chronic obstructive pulmonary disease undergoing lung cancer surgery: A randomised double-blinded trial. Eur J Anaesthesiol 2016;33(4):275-82. doi: <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1097/EJA.0000000000000405
- 12. Kiss T, Wittenstein J, Becker C, et al. Protective ventilation with high versus low positive end-expiratory pressure during one-lung ventilation for thoracic surgery (PROTHOR): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials. 2019;20(1):213. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3208-8</u>
- Carraminana A, Ferrando C, Unzueta MC, et al. Rationale and Study Design for an Individualized Perioperative Open Lung Ventilatory Strategy in Patients on One-Lung Ventilation (iPROVE-OLV). J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth. 2019;33(9): 2492-502. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1053/j.jvca.2019.01.056</u>
- 14. ClinicalTrials.gov: The Effect of Permissive Hypercapnia on Oxygenation and Post-operative Pulmonary Complication During Onelung Ventilation: Prospective, Randomized Controlled Study [Internet]. U.S. National Library of Medicine [cited 2023 March 22]. Available on: <u>https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/</u> <u>NCT04175379</u>