# Original Articles

Revista Colombiana de Ciencias Pecuarias

### Presence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk of bovine dairy farms in Antioquia, Colombia<sup>a</sup>

Presencia de Enterobacteriaceae productoras de betalactamasas de espectro extendido (BLEE) en tanques de leche de fincas lecheras de Antioquia, Colombia

Presença de Enterobacteriaceae produtoras de beta-lactamase de espectro estendido (BLEE) em amostras de leite de tanque de rebanhos de bovinos leiteiros em Antioquia, Colômbia

Laura Vásquez-Jaramillo<sup>1</sup>, MV, MSc; Nicolás F Ramírez<sup>1</sup>, MV, MSc, DSc; Ömer Akineden<sup>2</sup>, MV, Dr med vet; Jorge A Fernández-Silva<sup>1\*</sup>, MV, MSP, Dr med vet.

<sup>1</sup>Línea de Investigación en Epidemiología y Salud Pública Veterinaria, Grupo de Investigación CENTAURO, Escuela de Medicina Veterinaria, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia.

<sup>2</sup>Dairy Sciences, Institute of Veterinary Food Science, Justus-Liebig-University, Ludwigstr, Giessen, Germany.

(Received: June 10, 2016; accepted: October 07, 2016)

doi: 10.17533/udea.rccp.v30n2a01

#### Abstract

**Background:** antibiotic resistance is spreading worldwide. It is important to evaluate whether foods of animal origin constitute a reservoir of resistance genes. **Objective:** the aim of the present study was to assess the occurrence and characterize extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae from bulk-tank milk samples of dairy farms located in Entrerrios, Antioquia (Colombia). **Methods:** a total of 120 randomly selected raw milk samples (one bulk-tank milk sample per dairy farm) were collected between September and October, 2013. A commercial chromogenic agar was used for screening presumptive ESBL-E. Identification of genus and species of isolates was performed with a commercial biochemical identification kit. The ESBL production was confirmed using the double disc synergy test. An antimicrobial susceptibility test was performed by the agar disc diffusion method and the automatized broth microdilution method. The ESBL-positive isolates were analyzed for the presence of *bla* genes by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and sequencing. For the exploration of risk factors, information on dairy farm management practices was recorded using a questionnaire and the associations of predictors and results were tested with a logistic regression

a To cite this article: Vásquez L, Ramírez NF, Akineden Ö, Fernández JA. Presence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk of bovine dairy farms in Antioquia, Colombia. Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu 2017; 30:85-100.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Jorge Arturo Fernández Silva. Escuela de Medicina Veterinaria, Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad de Antioquia UdeA, Calle 70 No. 52-21, Medellín, Colombia. Tel: (+574) 2199131, Fax (+574) 2199104. E-mail: jorge.fernandez@udea.edu.co

analysis. **Results:** the ESBL-E were isolated from 3.3% (4/120; CI 95%: 3-3.5%) of the samples. Farm size was the only factor associated with the presence of ESBL-E (OR = 11.5; CI 95%: 1.14-115.54; p = 0.038). All isolates were resistant to several antibiotics and harbored *bla*CTX-M-96 (alternate name CTX-M-12a) enzymes. **Conclusion:** although apparent frequency of ESBL-E was low, the presence of these resistant bacteria in milk may constitute a public health risk and should be further investigated.

Keywords: antibiotic resistance, CTX-M-12a, livestock, public health, raw milk.

#### Resumen

Antecedentes: la resistencia a antibióticos se está diseminando en el mundo. Es importante evaluar si los alimentos de origen animal constituyen un reservorio de genes de resistencia. Objetivo: evaluar la presentación y caracterizar las Enterobacteriaceae productoras de betalactamasas de espectro extendido (BLEE) a partir de muestras de leche de tanque de hatos lecheros localizados en Entrerríos, Antioquia (Colombia). Métodos: ciento veinte muestras de leche cruda (una muestra por tanque) de hatos seleccionados al azar fueron colectadas entre septiembre y octubre de 2013. Para el tamizaje de presuntiva BLEE se utilizó un agar cromogénico comercial. La identificación de los aislamientos se realizó utilizando un kit de identificación bioquímica comercial. La confirmación de la producción de BLEE fue confirmada con la prueba de sinergia de doble disco. La susceptibilidad antimicrobiana fue evaluada por el método de difusión de disco en agar y por el método de microdilución en caldo automatizado. Aislamientos positivos para BLEE fueron analizados para presencia de genes bla por PCR y secuenciación. Para la exploración de los factores de riesgo se registró la información sobre las prácticas de manejo del hato mediante cuestionario y se probó la asociación entre predictores y resultados mediante análisis de regresión logística. Resultados: las BLEE fueron aisladas de 3,3% (4/120; IC 95%: 3-3,5%) de las muestras de leche de tanque y el tamaño del hato fue el único factor que se encontró asociado con un incremento en su presencia (OR = 11.5; IC 95%; 1,14-115,54; p = 0.038). Todos los aislamientos fueron resistentes a varios antibióticos y albergaban enzimas blaCTX-M-96 (nombre alternativo CTX-M-12a) Conclusión: aunque se observó una baja frecuencia aparente de BLEE, la presencia de estas bacterias resistentes en los alimentos de origen animal puede constituir un riesgo para la salud pública v debe seguir siendo investigada.

Palabras clave: CTX-M-12a, ganadería, leche cruda, resistencia a los antibióticos, salud pública.

#### Resumo

Antecedentes: a resistência aos antibióticos está se espalhando rapidamente no mundo inteiro. É importante avaliar se os alimentos de origem animal constituem um reservatório de genes de resistência. Objetivo: avaliar a ocorrência e caracterizar Enterobacteriaceae produtoras de betalactamases de espectro estendido (BLEE) de amostras de leite de tanques de rebanhos leiteiros. Métodos: um total de 120 amostras leite crua (uma amostra por leite do tanque) de explorações leiteiras selecionadas aleatoriamente no município de Entrerríos, Antioquia (Colombia), foram coletados emtre setembro e outubro de 2013. Para o rastreio das presumíveis BLEE foi utilizado um meio de ágar cromogénico comercial. A identificação das espécies isoladas foi realizada utilizando um kit comercial da identificação bioquímica. A produção de BLEE foi confirmada pelo teste de sinergia de duplo disco. Um teste de susceptibilidade antimicrobiana foi realizado pelo método de difusão em disco de ágar e método de microdiluição em caldo automatizado. Isolados BLEE positivos foram analisados para a presença dos genes bla por PCR e sequenciação. Para a exploração dos fatores de risco, as informações sobre as práticas de gestão do rebanho foram gravadas utilizando um questionário. As associações de preditores e os resultados foram testados por uma análise de regressão logística. Resultados: o BLEE foram isoladas de 3,3% (4/120; IC 95%: 3-3,5%) das amostras de leite do tanque. O tamanho do rebanho foi o único fator encontrado associado com um aumento na sua presenca (OR = 11.5; IC 95%; 1.14-115.54; p = 0.038). Todos os isolados foram resistentes a vários antibióticos e albergava enzimas *bla*CTX-M-96 (nome alternativo CTX-H-12a). Conclusão: embora tenha sido observada uma baixa frequência aparente de BLEE, a presença dessas bactérias resistentes nos alimentos de origem animal pode constituir um risco para a saúde pública e deve permanecer sob pesquisa.

Palavras chave: CTX-M-12A, gado, leite crua, resistência aos antibióticos, saúde pública.

Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu 2017; 30:85-100

#### Introduction

Antibiotic resistance is expanding rapidly throughout the world and has become a problem in both human and veterinary medicine (FDA, 2012; Reist *et al.*, 2013). The emergence of this resistance in bacteria found in animals and their products has motivated considerable interest because of the potential for resistance transfer to the human population (McDermott *et al.*, 2002).

One of the greatest concerns is the rapid increase of resistant bacteria that produce extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs), which reduce the efficacy of a wide range of beta-lactam antibiotics, such as thirdgeneration cephalosporins and monobactams (Philippon *et al.*, 1989; Bush, 2010). This resistance is based on genes of chromosomic or plasmidic origin, which encode enzymes that inactivate these compounds by hydrolysis of their beta-lactam ring (Paterson and Bonomo, 2005; Reist *et al.*, 2013). These genes can be easily transferred between bacteria through mobile genetic elements, which often carry additional genes for resistance to other groups of antibiotics, compromising the efficacy of treatments for infections caused by resistant bacteria (Smet *et al.*, 2010).

Until the nineties, the most prevalent ESBL worldwide were Temoniera (TEM) and sulfhydrylvariable (SHV) type associated mainly to hospital outbreaks caused by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. However, since 2000, the enzyme cefotaxime-munich (CTX-M) became one of the most frequent and ESBL-producing *Eschericha coli* emerged as an important pathogen in the community (Blanco *et al.*, 2016).

The CTX-M isolates have been found in various species of Enterobacteriaceae from different geographic areas: mainly during nosocomial outbreaks that occurred in Japan, Europe, South America, Africa, China, Korea and the United States (Villegas *et al.*, 2004). In 2003, a study conducted in three Colombian hospitals located in Bogotá, Medellín, and Cali detected isolates from *K. oxytoca*, *K. pneumoniae*, and *E. coli* that harbored CTX-M enzymes. That study confirmed that CTX-M enzymes also occur in Colombia indicated that this antibiotic resistance patterns from several hospital isolates

have spread, especially in Gram-negative bacteria (Sánchez *et al.*, 2008; Villegas *et al.*, 2011; Leal *et al.*, 2013; Amado *et al.*, 2014; González and Cortés, 2014; Blanco *et al.*, 2016).

The entry of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in the food chain and environment could be considered a possible interface for the exchange of resistance genes between humans and animals (WHO, 2001; Walsh and Fanning, 2008; EFSA, 2011). Raw milk, for example, can be contaminated intramammary with Enterobacteriaceae during mastitis processes, directly through animal feces or indirectly during milking through milkers or milking equipment (Dahmen et al., 2013), and also by cow contact with feces in the farm environment such as pens (Ferens and Hovde, 2011). However, all pathogenic microorganisms are destroyed after pasteurization, including multi-resistant bacteria, making milk and other dairy products generally safe for human consumption (Leedom, 2009; Lejeune and Rajala-Schultz, 2009). Raw milk is still used by a large number of rural families and a growing segment of the population who believe raw milk is generally safe and has beneficial health effects that are destroyed by pasteurization (Zeinhoma et al., 2014). According to data from the Ministerio de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural de Colombia, 59% of the milk produced in the country is intended for commercialization through intermediaries, processed, and used for self-consumption, among others, and the remaining 41% is processed by the dairy industry (Decreto 1880, 2011, Ministerio de la Protección Social). Thus, the transmission of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae to humans can occur through direct contact and/or ingestion of foods contaminated with ESBL-producing strains, among other routes (Wooldridge, 2008; Verraes et al., 2013).

Resistance to wide-spectrum antibiotics such as cephalosporins is increasing among food-producing animals, and food of animal origin can be considered a possible reservoir of ESBLs (Mesa *et al.*, 2006; Xian-Zhi *et al.*, 2007; Carattoli, 2008; Leverstein-van Hall *et al.*, 2011). The excessive or inappropriate use of antibiotics in farm animals can generate adaptation of bacteria to antimicrobials (FAO, 2011); thus, it has become a matter of great importance for health authorities (WHO, 2001; EFSA, 2011).

The presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in dairy animals in Colombia is unknown. Although there are policies restricting the sale of antimicrobials, com pliance is minimal, which facilitates the appearance of resistance (Machado-Alba and González-Santos, 2009; Vacca *et al.*, 2011). Thus, it is necessary to investigate the frequency of multiresistant bacteria in foods of animal origin and to establish the possible role of dairy farms in their dissemination (Smet *et al.*, 2010; Timofte *et al.*, 2014).

This study aims to characterize the presence and frequency of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae from bulk-tank milk samples of dairy farms in Entrerríos (Antioquia, Colombia), and explore potential risk factors associated with these bacteria in bulk-tank milk.

#### Materials and methods

#### Location

This study was conducted in Entrerríos, municipality in Northern Antioquia (Colombia), which is the main dairy region of this province. Average temperature in Entrerríos is 16 °C. The altitude is 2,300 m.o.s.l. and is located approximately 60 Km North of Medellín city, the capital of Antioquia province. Dairy farming is the most important economic activity in the municipality, which is located close to the metropolitan area of the Valle de Aburrá (Gobernación de Antioquia, 2013). The average daily milk production is 238,854 L (Gobernación de Antioquia, 2007). Most milk is sold to a couple of dairy processors and the rest is destined for domestic consumption and feeding of farm animals (Gobernación de Antioquia, 2013).

#### Selection of farms

From a total of 952 dairy farms in Entrerríos (based on year 2013 foot-and-mouth disease vaccination records from the Secretaría de Asistencia Tecnica y Desarrollo de Entrerrios), 120 farms were randomly selected. This number was based on an expected frequency of 0.10 at the farm level (Odenthal *et al.*, 2013), a 90% confidence level and a maximum acceptable error rate of 0.10. The number of dairy farms to be sampled from each district was determined by proportional allocation. Only farms having their own bulk-tank were selected. That is, farms that store milk in collective or community tanks were not considered. An additional list of possible farms was randomly selected to replace farms where milk had already been collected by the truck on the sampling day.

#### Sample collection

Bulk-tank milk samples were collected in September and October, 2013. Each sample (30 mL) was obtained directly from the tank in a sterile container, immediately cooled and transported to the laboratory (Toro, 2012), where they were kept refrigerated and processed within 24 h of sampling.

#### Isolation and identification of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae

For selective isolation (screening) of ESBLproducing bacteria, 100 µL of milk was spread onto chromogenic agar chromID<sup>™</sup> ESBL (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France), which was incubated at 37 °C for 20 to 24 h. Samples that displayed colony growth with pink-burgundy, green, blue or brown colorations were considered presumptive ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae. In each sample, one colony of each coloration was taken and spread onto McConkey agar (bioMérieux, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) for subsequent identification. Each isolate was weekly replicated in McConkey agar for preservation. The form of the colonies was compared between samples with growth of various colonies of the same coloration, and two colonies of different morphology were taken. The commercial BD BBL<sup>TM</sup> Crystal<sup>TM</sup> Enteric/ Nonfermenter ID Kit (E/NF, Sparks, MD, USA) was used according to the manufacturer instructions to identify genus and species of Enterobacteriaceae. Only glucose fermenting colonies were selected, whereas the non-fermenting colonies were discarded.

#### Confirmation of ESBL production

The ESBL production was confirmed based on the double disk synergy test. For this, Mueller-Hinton agar (bioMérieux, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) was inoculated with a bacterial suspension of 0.5 turbidity pattern in the McFarland standard, and discs of cefotaxime (CTX, 30 µg), ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 μg), ceftriaxone (CRO, 30 μg), cefepime (FEP, 30 µg), and aztreonam (ATM, 30 µg) were placed 20 mm center to center from a central disk of amoxicillin/ clavulanic acid (AMC). The agar inoculated with a bacterial suspension (Mc Farland turbidity pattern of 0.5) and discs were incubated at 37 °C for 18-24 h. An increase in the zone towards the AMC was considered positive for ESBL production according to criteria by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI 2014, Document M24). Reference strains of E. coli American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) 25922 and K. pneumonieae ATCC 700603 were used as negative and positive controls, respectively, in the double disk synergy test.

#### Antimicrobial susceptibility test

The antimicrobial susceptibility test was performed by the disc diffusion method in agar, according to recommendations by CLSI (2015). For this purpose, bacterial colonies were suspended in brain heart broth (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, France) and adjusted to a McFarland turbidity pattern of 0.5 to be inoculated in a plate of Mueller-Hinton agar. Discs of the following antibiotics were evaluated: ampicillin (10 µg), norfloxacin (10 µg), imipenem (IPM, 10 µg), amoxicillin  $(10 \ \mu g)$ , cefoxitin (FOX, 30  $\mu g$ ), cefuroxime (30  $\mu g$ ), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 30 µg), chloramphenicol (30 µg), doxycycline (30 µg), gentamicin (GEN, 10 µg), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole  $(1.25/23.75 \ \mu g)$ , erythromycin (15 µg), and cephalexin (30 µg; Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England). After incubation for 18-24 h, inhibition halos (margin of the zones where no visible growth was observed) were measured in millimeters and interpreted as sensitive, intermediate or resistant according to CLSI (2015) standards.

## Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

Antimicrobial MIC for isolates of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae was determined by the broth microdilution method using the Vitek 2 07.01 system (bioMérieux, Marcy-l'Étoile, Francia). A total of 14 antibiotics were evaluated and interpreted according to CLSI (2008) standards: ampicillin/sulbactam (SAM), FOX, CAZ, CRO, FEP, doripenem (DOR), ertapenem (ETP), IPM, meropenem (MEM), amikacin (AMK), GEN, CIP, tigecycline (TGC), and colistin (COL).

## Characterization of ESBL by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Positive ESBL isolates were additionally analyzed by PCR for the presence of *bla* genes of ESBL subtypes TEM, SHV, and CTX-M (groups 1, 2, 8, 9, or 25) using primers and conditions previously described (Pitout et al., 1998; Batchelor et al., 2005; Woodford et al., 2006). Bacterial DNA was isolated with the innuPREP bacteria DNA kit (Analitykjena, Berlin, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Two strains, K. pneumoniae ATCC 700603 (which harbors a blaSHV gene) and an isolate of K. pneumoniae (which harbors both blaCTX-M and *bla*TEM genes) from the strain collection of the Department of Milk Sciences of the University of Giessen were used as positive ESBL standard strains. An ESBL non-producing strain E. coli ATCC 25922 was used as negative control. PCR products were determined by electrophoresis in a 2% agarose gel (Biozym, Hessisch Oldendorf-, Germany). The Gene Ruler 100 bp DNA molecular marker (MBI Fermentas, St. Leon-Roth, Germany) was used.

#### Sequencing of bla genes

Genes coding for ESBL  $bla_{TEM}$ ,  $bla_{SHV}$ , and  $bla_{CTX-M}$  of ESBL-positive isolates were amplified with primers and PCR conditions as described previously (Pitout *et al.*, 1998; Batchelor *et al.*, 2005). The resulting amplicons were purified using the QIAquick PCR Purification kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Sequencing was performed in Seqlab (Goettingen, Germany). The results were evaluated using the BLAST algorithm available at http://blast. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi.

## Collection of farm information and management practices

Information on the characteristics and management practices that could be associated with the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae was obtained using a questionnaire (supplemental material) at the time of bulk-tank sampling. Information on variables related to general conditions of the farm, production, sanitation, and hygiene, and the use of antibiotics was collected (Tables 1 and 2). Information about CFU values was obtained from the purchase invoice generated by the milk processor. Farms were classified as small ( $\leq$  50 cows in milking) and large (>50 cows in milking).

#### Case definition

Bulk-tank milk was considered the unit of analysis. A tank was considered positive for the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae when at least one (1) isolate of Enterobacteriaceae was confirmed as an ESBL producer.

#### Statistical analysis

Data were stored in Excel 2010 (Microsoft, Redmond, USA) spreadsheets and later exported to Stata 12.0 (StataCorp, Texas, USA) for statistical analysis. Data were initially analyzed by descriptive statistics through measures of central tendency and frequency distribution. Bivariate logistic regression analysis was performed to evaluate association between variable, presence of ESBL in the tank, and some predictors of interest. Associations with p<0.25 were considered significant and were selected for the multivariate model. In the final model, only those variables with p<0.05 remained.

#### Results

#### General characteristics of the farms

The main characteristics are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. In total, 60% (72/120) of the sampled farms operated as closed farms; 77.5% (93/120) had at least 50 cows in milking. In addition, 91% (108/120) had animals of non-bovine species, with the presence of domestic birds and pigs in 47% (56/119) and 24.4% (29/119) of the farms, respectively. Moreover, 51.3% (61/119) of the farms had no manure tank, and 66.4% (79/119) reported performing the milking routine following technical recommendations that were focused on good livestock practices. Feeding calves waste milk was practiced in 40% (48/120) of the farms. A 55% (66/120) had one milker and 45% (54/120) of the farms had more than one milker.

A total of 70% (84/120) farms reported antibiotic usage formulated by a veterinarian only 50% of the times or less. Average milk production was  $659,000 \pm$ 449 L/day. Additionally, in 75% (90/120) of the farms, counts of colony forming units (CFUs) and somatic cells were below 28.000 CFU/mL and 406,000 cells/ mL, respectively (Table 2).

## Characteristics of dairy farms with confirmed ESBL-producing isolates

In total, 75% (3/4) of the farms with isolates positive for ESBL production operated as closed farms, 4 farms had >50 (average 93) cows in milking, 100% of the farms also had horses and pets (dogs and cats), 50% (2/4) of the farms reported the presence of birds, while pigs were reported in only one farm. Additionally, 75% (3/4) of the farms had a manure tank, and the number of milkers was different among positive farms (1, 3, or 5 milkers). Calf feeding with waste milk was reported in 100% of the positive farms, and milking was performed under technical recommendations in 75% of those farms. The use of antimicrobials to treat animals following veterinary recommendations was reported as usually in one farm, sometimes in two farms, and rarely in more than two farms. The most frequently used antimicrobials in the past year in these four farms included penicillins (75%, 3/4), macrolides (50%, 2/4), and tetracyclines and quinolones (25%, 1/4). Those four farms produced 1,865 L/day. The CFU and somatic cell counts were 39,000 CFU/mL and 401,000 cell/mL on average.

#### Presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae

Bacteria suspicious of producing ESBL were isolated in 6.6% (8/120) from milk samples of equal number of bulk-tanks. One isolate was obtained in each of the 8 tanks. Of these 8 isolates, 2 nonfermenting glucose isolates were discarded. Of the 6 remaining isolates, ESBL production was confirmed in 4; thus, the apparent frequency of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk was 3.3%(4/120; CI95%: 3-3.5%). All of the isolates showed synergy with at least two of the cephalosporins used and clavulanic acid. The isolates of ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae were identified as *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Serratia fonticola*, *E. coli*, and *Enterobacter cancerogenus* using the Crystal<sup>TM</sup>

Variable	Category	Frequency	%	Description
Farm operation	Open	48	40	Purchase (open) or not (closed) of animals from
	Closed	72	60	other farms
Farm size	≤ 50 cows in milking	93	77.5	Number of cows in milking
	>50 cows in milking	27	22.5	
Other production	Birds	56	47	Presence of birds, pigs or other domestic animals
	Pigs	29	24.4	in the farm
	Other	23	19.3	
	No	11	9.24	
Milk production	<370	28	23.33	Average daily milk production (L)
	>369 - <850	61	50.83	
	>849	31	25.83	
Manure tank	Yes	58	48.7	Availability of tanks to collect fecal matter of cattle
	No	61	51.3	
Standardized milking practices	Yes	79	66.4	Milking routine under hygiene standards established by good milking practices (GMP) for which producers have been trained
	No	40	33.6	which producers have been rained
Destination of waste milk	Feeding of calves	48	40	Milk from cows with antibiotic treatment
	Refuse	62	51.67	
	Feeding of other animal species	10	8.33	
Number of milkers	1	66	55	Workers in contact with milking cows
	>1	54	45	
Use of antimicrobials	Cephalosporins	22	19.5	Use of antibiotics in the last 12 months
	Tetracyclines	32	28.3	
	Sulphonamides	7	6.2	
	Other	59	46	

 Table 1. Selected predictors for exploring risk factors associated with the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk (n = 120) at Entrerríos, Antioquia (Colombia).

**Table 2**. Quantitative variables used to explore risk factors associated with the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk (n = 120) at Entrerrios, Antioquia (Colombia).

Variable	Mean	Standard	Maximum	Minimum	Perce	entile
		deviation	value	value	25%	75%
Milk production/ dairy farm/day (L)	659	449.3	3.480	90	370	850
CFU	29.6	65.7	560	2	6	28
Somatic cell count*	316.8	164.2	800	100	201	406

CFU: colony forming units.

\* per mL × 1000; arithmetic mean.

#### Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu 2017; 30:85-100

Enteric/Nonfermenter ID kit (E/NF; Becton Dickinson (BD) Franklin Lakes, New Jersey, USA).

#### Antimicrobial susceptibility

All four ESBL-producing isolates were resistant to ampicillin, amoxicillin, cephalexin, cefuroxime, erythromycin, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. Conversely, all four isolates were sensitive to FOX, IPM, CIP, and norfloxacin (Table 3). The isolate of E. coli was resistant to eight antibiotics (ampicillin, amoxicillin, cephalexin, cefuroxime, erythromycin, chloramphenicol, doxycycline, and trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole) and had the greatest resistance compared to the rest. The isolates of S. fonticola had the least resistance to the antibiotics (6/13) compared to the rest of the isolates and was the only isolate, in addition to E. cancerogenus, that displayed sensitivity to gentamicin. The S. fonticola and E. cloacae were the only isolates sensitive to chloramphenicol and doxycycline.

#### Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)

The MIC of 13 antibiotics obtained through the broth microdilution method in the 07.01 Vitek 2 (bioMérieux) for the four isolates is shown in Table 4. The only isolate that displayed sensitivity to SAM was *E. coli*, which had 8 mg/mL MIC. For the other three isolates, resistance or intermediate resistance level was observed. All isolates showed sensitivity to DOR, ETP, IPM, MEM, AMK, CIP, and TGC (Table 4). For CRO, all isolates yielded a MIC value that was interpreted as resistance. By contrast, for FEP and CAZ, only *E. coli* was resistant, with  $\leq 1$  mg/mL MIC (by automatic modification of the values obtained by the automated expert system Vitek<sup>®</sup> 2 (bioMérieux, Durham, NC, USA) and  $\geq 64$  mg/mL MIC, respectively.

The *S. fonticola* and *E. cloacae* isolates showed resistance to FOX, with 16 and  $\leq$  4 mg/mL MIC,

Antibiotics	µg/disc	Cut-off mm	Entero cancero		Entero cloa	bacter Icae	Escheri	chia coli	Serr fonti	
		-	S	R	S	R	S	R	S	R
Ampicillin	10	≥ 17	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
Amoxicillin	10	≥ 17	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
Cephalexin	30	≥ 18	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
Cefuroxime	30	≥ 18	-	0	-	0	-	8		0
Cefoxitin	30	≥ 18	20	-	23	-	21	-	23	-
Imipenem	10	≥ 23	30	-	27	-	27	-	29	-
Erythromycin	15	≥ 15	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0
Chloramphenicol	30	≥ 18	-	0	32	-	-	0	30	-
Gentamicin	10	≥ 15	16	-	-	0	14	-	22	-
Doxycycline	30	≥ 14	-	0	20	-	-	12	20	-
Ciprofloxacin	5	≥ 21	44	-	36	-	28	-	40	-
Norfloxacin	10	≥ 17	30	-	44	-	26	-	28	-
Trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole	1.25/23.75	≥ 16	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0

Table 3. Antimicrobial susceptibility patterns obtained by the disk diffusion method for four isolates of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk (n = 120) at Entrerríos, Antioquia (Colombia).

S: sensitive; R: resistant.

Isolates	Crystal	bla													Antil	Antibiotics	6											
	Nonfermenter	genes	SAM	-	FOX	×	CAZ	N	CRO		EP		DOR		ETP		M	_	MEM		AMK		GEN	_	CIP		TGC	
			CIM Int CIM	I	CIM	벌	CIM		CIM	l	Int CIM Int		CIM	트	CIM	트	S	트	CIM	Ĕ	CIM	Int CIM		털	Int CIM	벌	CIM	털
Enterobacter cancerogenus	0.93	CTX-M96 16 1	16		A 4	S	4	S	16	Ľ	2	v v	≤ 0.12	S	≤ 0.5	S	0.5	S	≤ 0.25	S	N 12	S	vi T	S	≤ 0.25 S		≤ 0.5	S
Enterobacter cloacae	0.94	CTX-M96	*	<u>*</u>	4 4	* Ľ	vi Vi	S	16	۲	vi Vi	s v	≤ 0.12	S	≤ 0.5	S	0.5	S	≤ 0.25	S	2 2	S	≥ 16	Ľ	≤ 0.25	S	≤ 0.5	S
Escherichia coli	0.98	CTX-M96	80	S	A 4	S	≥ 64	Ľ	œ	Ľ	vi Vi	м С	≤ 0.12	S	≤ 05	S	≤ 0.25	S	≤ 0.25	S	2 2	S	vi	S	≤ 0.25	S	≤ 0.5	S
Serratia fonticola	0.76	CTX-M96 ≥ 32 R	≥ 32	Ľ	16	Ľ	4	S	≥ 64	۲	4	S	S ≤ 0.12 S ≤ 0.5 S ≤ 0.25	S	≤ 0.5	S	≤ 0.25	S	S ≤0.25 S	S	2 2	S 1^		S	S ≤ 0.25 S	S	~	S
Ampicillin/sulbactam (SAM), cefoxitin (FOX), ceftazidime (CAZ), ceftriaxone (CRO), cefepime (FEP), doripenem (DOR), ertapenem (ETP), imipenem (IPM), meropenem (MEM), amikacin (AMK), gentamicin (GEN), ciprofloxacin (CIP), tigecycline (TGC), colistin (COL). (GEN), ciprofloxacin (CIP), tigecycline (TGC), colistin (COL). MIC: minimum inhibitory concentration, Int: interpretation, S: sensitive, I: intermediate, R: resistant. *values modified by the advanced expert system.	ctam (SAM), cε acin (CIP), tige inhibitory concε by the advanc	efoxitin (FO) scycline (TG entration, Int ed expert s	<ul> <li>(), cefts</li> <li>C), coli;</li> <li>C), coli;</li> <li>interpi</li> <li>ystem.</li> </ul>	stin (C retatic	le (CA COL). Dn, S: :	Z), ce sensit	effriaxo tive, I:	ne (C interm	RO), cí ìediate,	efepin R: re	ne (FE sistar	EP), dc rt.	oripene	D( E	JR), ert	apen	em (ETI	, imi	penem (	PM),	merop	enem	(MEM	l), am	ikacin (	AMK),	gentar	nicin

Vásquez L et al. Beta-lactamase by Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk

respectively. The latter MIC was reported as resistant by automatic modification of values performed by the Vitek<sup>®</sup> system. The only isolate that showed resistance to GEN was *E. cloacae* with  $\geq$  16 mg/mL MIC. For the rest of the antibiotic groups, the MIC varied according to the isolated bacteria.

#### Characterization of ESBL by PCR and sequencing of bla genes

The four isolates hosted CTX-M genes, based on PCR analysis. All of the isolates were positive for CTX-M -1 group, and when these were sequenced, all sequences showed strong homology with CTX-M 96 variant, which is alternatively called CTX-M-12a.

#### Risk factors

A univariate logistic regression analysis yielded an association between the presence of ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae and the size of the farm, and between the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae and milk production (Table 5). At the end, only farm size variable remained significant in the multivariable model constructed by backward elimination, p<0.05. The odds of having ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk were 11.5-fold higher in farms with more than 50 cows in milking compared to farms equal or less than 50 cows in milking (p < 0.038).

#### Discussion

The main limitations of this study were the absence of previous studies on ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk from comparable dairy regions of Colombia, which would have estimated frequency according to national productive conditions, and a larger budget, which would allow larger sample size and different time periods. Taking into account the low number of positive isolates, these results should be analyzed in detail and carefully.

In this study, 120 dairy farms with equal number of milk cooling tanks located in Northern Antioquia (Colombia) were sampled to determine the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank

Variable	OR	SE	р	CI95%
Operation of the farm	0.49	0.57	0.54	0.04 - 4.84
Milk production	7.39	8.10	0.068	0.86 - 63.27
Manure tank	3.27	3.82	0.311	0.33 - 32.40
Feeding of calves with waste milk	2.26	1.81	0.306	0.47 - 10.88
Presence of pigs	1.04	1.23	0.968	0.10 - 10.47
Presence of birds	1.14	1.16	0.892	0.15 - 8.42
Milking routine under technical recommendations	0.49	0.50	0.488	0.06 - 3.63
Number of milkers	1.23	1.25	0.838	0.16 - 9.03
Use of tetracyclines	0.83	0.98	0.881	0.08 - 8.37
Farm size	11.49	13.53	0.038	1.14 - 115.54
CFU	2.22	2.26	0.434	0.30 - 16.40
Somatic cells count	1.52	1.54	0.680	0.20 - 11.18

 Table 5. Unconditional logistic regression analysis of risk factors associated with the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk (n = 120) at Entrerríos, Antioquia (Colombia).

CFU: colony forming units; OR: odds ratio; SE: standard error; p value: significance level.

milk and to explore the risk factors associated to its presence.

Recently, studies of the characteristics and prevalence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in animals have increased in different countries worldwide (Smet *et al.*, 2010; Ohnishi *et al.*, 2013; Timofte *et al.*, 2014; Skočková *et al*, 2015; Sudarwanto *et al.*, 2015; Odenthal *et al.*, 2016). However, relatively few studies have been conducted in Colombia on antibiotic resistance in foodproducing animals and their products (Vanegas López *et al.*, 2012; Jiménez Velásquez *et al.*, 2013; Donado-Godoy *et al.*, 2015) compared to other countries and to our knowledge, this is the first study conducted to determine the occurrence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk in Colombia.

The frequency of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae reported in this study (3.3%) agrees with other studies conducted in bulk-tank milk samples in Switzerland, Brazil, India, the Czech Republic, Indonesia, and Germany, which reported prevalences ranging between 0 and 9% (Geser *et al.*, 2012; Nóbrega *et al.*, 2013; Rasheed *et al.*, 2014; Skočková *et al.*, 2015; Sudarwanto *et al.*, 2015; Odenthal *et al.*, 2016). Although the reported occurrences are low, they represent a significant finding that can contribute to clarifying the role that animals and their products have in the dissemination of multi-resistant bacteria in foods of animal origin.

The low frequency of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk-tank milk could be a result of the high hygiene standards required by milk processing companies in Antioquia to purchase raw milk. Milk payment in Colombia is dependent on quality parameters such as CFU. Milk processing companies pay milk to producers as follows: CFU counts between 0 and 175,000 CFU/mL are considered as milk of very good quality and receive a bonus payment, CFU counts between 175,001 and 200,000 CFU/mL receive neither a bonus payment nor a discount on the price of milk, and counts >200,001 CFU/mL receive discount on the price of milk (Ministerio de Agricultura, 2012). Improving the general hygiene in all stages of production and thereby reducing the microbial load of food products will also reduce the antimicrobial resistance load (Wegener, 2012). It is also possible that the low occurrence of ESBLs in these farms is due to little use of cephamycins and carbapenems since the selection pressure that drives ESBL evolution has usually been attributed to the intensive use of oxyimino-cephalosporins, mainly third-generation cephalosporins (Gniadkowski, 2001).

Our isolates contrast with the predominant isolates in dairy farms from other areas where E. coli and K. pneumoniae have been most frequently reported (Locatelli et al., 2010; Dahmen et al., 2013; Ohnishi et al., 2013; Sudarwanto et al., 2015; Odenthal et al., 2016). However, the relevance of other bacteria of the Enterobacteriaceae family could be underestimated because studies have mainly focused on E. coli and K. pneumoniae (Smet et al., 2010; Schmid et al., 2013; Sudarwanto et al., 2015; Odenthal et al., 2016). Anyway, the discovery of these bacteria (Enterobacter sp. and Serratia sp.) in milk is striking because usually Serratia fonticola is found in a wide array of environments, including drinking water, soil, and sewage (Aljoravid et al., 2016) while Enterobacter strains tend to colonize hospitalized patients, particularly those treated with antibiotics, and have been associated with infections of burns, wounds, respiratory and urinary tract (Puerta-García and Mateos-Rodríguez, 2010).

Although resistance to different antibiotics was detected, both by the disc diffusion method in agar (Kirby-Bauer) and by the microdilution broth method, sensitivity remained to carbapenem antibiotics. Carbapenems are the most potent betalactam antibiotics because of their wide spectrum (Bush, 2013), and these bacteria are still sensitive to this family of antibiotics, which makes a battery of effective antibiotics available for treating infections caused in humans and animals. However, carbapenems require responsible use to prevent the emergence of resistance.

The susceptibility patterns shown by both tests were similar. Discrepancies between results can be based on the pattern comparison strategy of the Vitek<sup>®</sup> automated expert system, which compares the observed phenotype with descriptions based on knowledge constructed from data obtained in scientific publications complemented by internal data of each health system (Gerst, 2000); if the system detects a discrepancy between that observed for an antibiotic and the distribution of MICs for the rest

Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu 2017; 30:85-100

of the antibiotics, it has the ability to modify the result, thus providing rapid, automatic and systematic validation of each of the sensitivity results while at the same time minimizing human error (Sanders *et al.*, 2001; Schwaber *et al.*, 2006; bioMérieux, 2015).

Given that production of most ESBLs is encoded by plasmids, co-resistance to other groups of antibiotics is common (Rybak *et al.*, 2004; Xian-Zhi *et al.*, 2007; Geser *et al.*, 2012). The resistance shown to gentamicin and erythromycin by 100% of isolates possibly indicates the presence of a gene encoding resistance to antibiotics of aminoglycosides and macrolides families simultaneously. Notably, the range of antibiotics for which resistance was acquired is large and sufficiently worrisome because it shows the severity of the emergence of antibiotic resistance in different pathogens. For example, an infection caused by a strain of *E. coli* such as that found in our study could represent a larger problem because therapeutic options are clearly limited.

Recently, rapid growth in the number of positive isolates with CTX-M enzymes has been observed (Woodford, 2010). The CTX-M enzymes constitute a rapidly growing family of ESBLs enzymes with significant clinical impact (Zhao and Hu, 2013). Enterobacteriaceae isolates that are positive for CTX-M have been found in food-producing animals and their products (Carattoli, 2008; Smet et al., 2010; Schmid et al., 2013; Randall et al., 2014). The enzymes found in our isolates agree with those frequently reported worldwide. The CTX-M-96 (CTX-M-12a alternative name) is one of 109 variants of CTX-M enzymes that have been identified and assigned in the Lahey database (Zhao and Hu, 2013). The CTX-M-96 was reported in K. pneumoniae as host organism and contained in the GenBank<sup>®</sup> with the code AJ704396 (NCBI 2005). The CTX-M-96 was previously reported in K. pneumoniae in Chile and Argentina (NCBI, 2015; NCBI 2005). One study published this enzyme as a simulation model for evaluating activity towards oxyimino cephalosporins (Ghiglione et al., 2015). However, with its alternate name, the bla gene CTX-M-12a was detected first in Colombia in four isolates: two E. cloacae and in two K. pneumoniae isolates; one of the E. cloacae isolates and one of the K. pneumoniae isolates were associated with nosocomial infections while the other one was isolated from the community (Mantilla et al., 2009). A later study revealed that 18 out of 33 ESBL positive strains isolated from three Colombian hospitals harbored group 1 blaCTX-M and analysis of DNA sequences revealed the presence of *bla*CTX-M-12a in three of them (Ruiz et al., 2011). These results alert on the policies concerning antibiotic use, distribution, selling and management and other factors that may be associated with the spread of multiresistant organisms causing outbreaks in hospitals and the community, including the agricultural sector. The presence of the same gene at the hospital level and at the animal production level gives rise to conduct future studies to elucidate the relationship between both environments and behavior of antibiotic resistance in Colombia. It is important to conduct more molecular epidemiological studies of bacterial resistance that permit identifying genetic determinants and variants of the ESBL enzyme families that may be associated with changes in the spectrum and that decrease antimicrobial activity in a particular environment.

Relative to risk factors associated with the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae, the risk factors found in our study differ from those reported in previous studies in England and north of Wales, Switzerland and Israel (Snow et al., 2012; Reist et al., 2013; Adler et al., 2015) considering that independent variables are different between studies. In these studies, the following factors: use of third and fourth generation cephalosporins in the last 12 months, operating as an open farm, infrequent cleaning of equipment for feeding of calves and storage of fecal matter in manure tanks, animals originating from farms with primary production type *dairy*, animals originating from farms with more than one animal movement per day per 100, lack of a cooling system, increased crowdedness and lack of manure cleaning, antimicrobial prophylaxis and increased frequency of veterinarian visits, were associated with the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in cattle (Snow et al., 2012; Reist et al., 2013; Adler et al., 2015).

The risk factor *dairy farm size* associated with the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae in our study could be explained by the fact that a larger number of milking cows could represent an increase in the circulation and occurrence of diseases in a

farm, which would finally lead to the frequent use of antibiotics (Kreausukon, 2011) and/or increased contact between milkers and cows that are sick or being treated. Similarly, the larger number of cows in milking could lead to non-compliance of the stringent routine milking standards between cows (Ruiz-Romero, 2015)

The fact that most of the farms had reported performing milking routine following technical recommendations favors the sanitary situation in the municipality because if there is no monitoring of good milking practices, there would be more cases of mastitis and therefore a greater usage of antibiotics with the possibility of developing or acquiring resistance (Oliver and Murinda, 2012). In the same way, situations in which the choice and provision of antibiotics is in the hands of a person other than the veterinarian may cause variations in bacterial sensitivity along with recurrence of the presentation of microorganisms associated with different pathologies as a consequence of not normally performing isolation or evaluation by antibiogram of the causal agent of infection (Betancourt et al., 2003).

In this study, feeding calves with waste milk (milk of cows with antibiotic treatment) —although not found as a risk factor— continues to be a frequent activity in dairy farms of the municipality, despite current knowledge of the association between this practice and increased resistance to antimicrobials in calves (Langford *et al.*, 2003; Aust *et al.*, 2013; Brunton *et al.*, 2014).

The use of bulk-tank milk samples could serve to monitor trends in resistance to antibiotics in dairy farms (Berge *et al.*, 2007). Although the presence of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae was not high in this study, the use of antibiotics should be controlled, sanitary measures should be reinforced, and the phenomenon of antibiotic resistance in foodproducing animals should continue to be investigated (FDA, 2012). Timely identification of ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae strains is essential in the monitoring of the development of antimicrobial resistance and in the implementation of infection control measures for the protection of public health (WHO, 2001). This study was funded by the Fondo de Apoyo al Primer Proyecto de Profesores of the Universidad de Antioquia (Comité para el Desarrollo de la Investigación —CODI). Authors thank the Laboratorio de Microbiología Veterinaria of the Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias (UdeA), María Luisa Vélez Agudelo (joven investigadora CODI, 2013), the Estrategia de Sostenibilidad 2013-2014, CENTAURO, the Secretaría de Asistencia Técnica Agropecuaria y Desarrollo Comunitario de Entrerríos, and participating dairy herds.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the work presented in this report.

#### References

Adler A, Sturlesi N, Fallach N, Zilberman-Barzilai D, Hussein O, Blum SE, Klement E, Schwaber MJ, Carmeli Y. Prevalence, risk factors and transmission dynamics of ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae: a national survey of cattle farms in Israel, 2013. J Clin Microbiol 2015; 53(11):3515-3521.

Aljorayid A, Viau R, Castellino L, Jump R. *Serratia fonticola*, pathogen or bystander? A case series and review of the literature. ID Cases 2016; 5:6-8.

Amado NY, Fajardo HD, Ramírez Rueda RY, González GI. Prevalencia de betalactamasas de espectro extendido en bacilos gramnegativos de una institución de salud de Tunja (Colombia) en el año 2013. Salud Soc Uptc 2014; 1(2):54-60.

Archivo Secretaría de Asistencia Técnica Agropecuaria y Desarrollo Comunitario Municipio de Entrerríos, Antioquia, Colombia. Ciclo de vacunación contra fiebre aftosa, Federación Colombiana de Ganaderos (FEDEGAN) 2013-2.

Aust V, Knappstein K, Kunz HJ, Kaspar H, Wallmann J, Kaske M. Feeding untreated and pasteurized waste milk and bulk milk to calves: effects on calf performance, health status and antibiotic resistance of faecal bacteria. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl) 2013; 97(6):1091-1103.

Batchelor M, Hopkins K, Threlfall EJ, Clifton-Hadley FA, Stallwood AD, Davies RH, Liebana E. blaCTX-M genes in clinical *Salmonella* isolates recovered from humans in England and Wales from 1992 to 2003. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005; 49:1319-1322.

Blanco VM, Maya Juan J, Correa Adriana, Perenguez Marcela, Muñoz Juan S, Motoa Gabriel, Pallares Christian, Rosso Fernando, Matta Lorena, Celis Yamile, Garzón Martha, Villegas María V. Prevalencia y factores de riesgo para infecciones del tracto urinario de inicio en la comunidad causadas por *Escherichia coli* productor de betalactamasas de espectro extendido en Colombia. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin 2016; 34:559-565.

Berge AC, Champagne SC, Finger RM, Sischo WM. The use of bulk tank milk samples to monitor trends in antimicrobial resistance on dairy farms. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2007; 4(4):397-407.

Betancourt O, Scarpa C, Villagrán K. Estudio de resistencia de cepas de *Staphylococcus aureus* aisladas de mastitis subclínica bovina frente a cinco antibióticos en tres sectores de la IX región de Chile. Revista Científica FCV-LUZ 2003, 13(5):413-417.

Biomerieux, 2015. Una combinación ganadora para detectar resistencias. [Access date: January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2017). URL:http://www. biomerieux.com.ar/servlet/srt/bio/argentina/dynPage?doc=ARG\_CLN\_PRD\_G\_PRD\_CLN\_37.

Brunton LA, Reeves HE, Snow LC, Jones JR. A longitudinal field trial the impact of feeding waste milk containing antibiotic residues on the prevalence of ESBL-producing *Escherichia coli* in calves. Prev Vet Med 2014; 117(2):403-412.

Bush K. Proliferation and significance of clinically relevant  $\beta$ -lactamases. Ann N Y Acad Sci 2013; 1277:84-90.

Bush K. Bench-to-bedside review: the role of  $\beta$ -lactamases in antibiotic-resistant Gram-negative infections. Crit Care 2010; 14(3):224.

Carattoli A. Animal reservoirs for extended spectrum betalactamase producers. Clin Micro biol Infect 2008; 14(1):117-123.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; nineteenth informational supplement M100-S18. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2008.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; nineteenth informational supplement M100-S24. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2014.

Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; nineteenth informational supplement M100-S25. Wayne, PA: Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; 2015.

Dahmen S, Métayer V, Gay E, Madec JY, Haenni M. Characterization of ESBL-carrying plasmids and clones of Enterobacteriaceae causing cattle mastitis in France. Vet Microbiol 2013; 162:793-799.

Donado Godoy P, Castellanos R, León M, Arevalo A, Clavijo V, Bernal J, León D, Tafur MA, Byrne BA, Smith WA, Perez Gutierrez E. The establishment of the Colombian integrated program for antimicrobial resistance surveillance (COIPARS): a pilot project on poultry farms, slaughterhouses and retail market. Zoonoses and Public Health 2015; 62(1):56-58.

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Scientific opinion on the public health risks of bacterial strains producing extendedspectrum beta-lactamases and/or AmpCbeta-lactamases in food and food-producing animals. EFSA J 2011; 9:2322.

Ferens WA, Hovde CJ. *Escherichia coli* O157:H7: animal reservoir and sources of human infection. Foodborne Pathog Dis 2011; 8(4): 465-487.

Food and Drug Administration (FDA). Center for Veterinary Medicine. The judicious use of medically important antimicrobial drug in food-producing animals. Guidance for industry. April 13<sup>th</sup>, 2012.

González L, Cortés JA. Revisión sistemática de la farmacorresistencia en enterobacterias de aislamientos hospitalarios en Colombia. Biomédica 2014; 34(2):180-197.

Gerst J. Detección de la resistencia a los antibióticos con los sistemas vitek y vitek 2 de biomerieux. In: Resistencia antimicrobiana en las Américas: magnitud del problema y su contención. Organización Panamericana de la Salud. 2000.

Geser N, Stephan R, Hächler H. Occurrence and characteristics of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) producing Enterobacteriaceae in food producing animals, minced meat and raw milk. BMC Vet Res 2012; 8:12.

Ghiglione B, Rodríguez MM, Herman R, Curto L, Dropa M, Bouillenne F, Kerff F, Galleni M, Charlier P, Gutkind G, Sauvage E, Power P. Structural and kinetic insights into the "ceftazidimase" behavior of the extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase CTX-M-96. Biochem 2015; 54(32):5072-5082.

Gniadkowski M. Evolution and epidemiology of extendedspectrum beta-lactamases (ESBLs) and ESBL-producing microorganisms. Clin Microbiol Infect 2001; 7(11):597-608.

Gobernación de Antioquia, 2013. Economía. Municipio de Entrerríos. [Access date: December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016]. URL: http:// entrerrios-antioquia.gov.co/nuestromunicipio.shtml?apc= mfVereda-1-&m=f#economia

Gobernación de Antioquia, 2007. Perfil subregional norte antioqueño. [Access date: December 21<sup>st</sup>, 2016]. URL: http:// www.antioquia.gov.co/antioquiav1/organismos/planeacion/ descargas/perfiles/Perfil%20Subregional\_Norte.pdf

Jiménez Velásquez S, Torres Higuera LD, Rodríguez Bautista JL, García Castro FE, Patiño Burbano RE. Identificación de aislamientos meticilino resistentes de *Staphylococcus sp* provenientes de hatos lecheros del Departamento de Cundinamarca. Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu 2013; 26(Supl).

Kreausukon K. Usage of antimicrobials on 60 dairy farms in Northern Germany and characterization of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and extended spectrum betalactamases producing *Escherichia coli* (ESBLs-producing *E. coli*) isolated from bulk tank milk samples. PhD Diss Freie, Universität Berlin, Berlin, Germany. 2011.

Langford F, Burstyn U, Fisher L, Shelford J, Weary D. Feeding waste milk to calves and antibiotic resistance. 21<sup>st</sup> Annual Western Canadian Dairy Seminar, Red Deer, AB March 11-14, 2003.

Leal AL, Cortés JA, Arias G, Ovalle MV, Saavedra SY, Buitrago G, Escobar JA. Emergencia de fenotipos resistentes a cefalosporinas de tercera generación en Enterobacteriaceae causantes de infección del tracto urinario de inicio comunitario en hospitales de Colombia. Enferm Infecc Microbiol Clin 2013; 31(5):298-303.

Leedom J. Comparing the food safety record of pasteurized and raw milk products. [Access date: December 21<sup>st</sup>, 2016]. URL: http://www.foodpoisonjournal.com/food-poisoning-information/ comparing-the-food-safety-record-of-pasteurized-and-raw-milkproducts/#.V7Yrfyh971W

Lejeune JT, Rajala Schultz PJ. Food safety: unpasteurized milk: a continued public health threat. Clin Infect Dis 2009; 48(1):93-100.

Leverstein van Hall MA, Dierikx CM, Cohen Stuart J, Voets GM, van den Munckhof MP, van Essen-Zandbergen A. Dutch patients, retail chicken meat and poultry share the same ESBL genes, plasmids and strains. Clin Microbiol Infect 2011; 17(6):873-880.

Locatelli C, Scaccabarozzi L, Pisoni G. CTX-M1 ESBL-Producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* subsp *pneumoniae* Isolated from Cases of Bovine Mastitis. Clin Microbiol 2010; 48(10):3822-3823.

Machado Alba JE, González Santos DM. Dispensing antibiotics to outpatients in a Colombian population. Rev salud pública 2009; 11(5):734-744.

Mantilla Anaya JR, Barreto Hernández E, Reguero Reza MT, Velandia Rodríguez DA. Identifying cefotaximase genes in Enterobacteriaceae hospital isolates by PCR-SSCP. Rev Colomb Biotecnol 2009; 11(2):57-65.

Mesa RJ, Blanc V, Blanch AR, Cortés P, González JJ, Lavilla S, Miró E, Muniesa M, Saco M, Tórtola MT, Mirelis B, Coll P, Llagostera M, Prats G, Navarro FJ. Extendedspectrum b-lactamase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in different environments (humans, food, animal farms and sewage). Antimicrob Chemothe 2006; 58:211-215.

Ministerio de Agricultura y Desarrollo Rural de Colombia. Resolución 000017: Sistema de pago de leche cruda al productor. Bogotá, Colombia. 2012.

Ministerio de la Protección Social. Decreto 1880 del 27 de mayo de 2011. Bogotá, Colombia.

Ministerio de la Protección Social. Decreto 616 del 28 de febrero de 2006. Bogotá, Colombia.

NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) (2005). CTX-M-12a enzyme *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. GenBank: CAG28417.1. [Access date: December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016]. URL: http:// www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/protein/47109376.

NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) (2015). *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strain K-837 beta-lactamase CTX-M-96 (blaCTX-M) gene, blaCTX-M-96 allele, complete cds. GenBank: KR811026.1. [Access date: April20<sup>th</sup>, 2016]. URL: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/940376853?report=genbank&log\$=nucl top&blast rank=1&RID=C1WP1YKG01R Nóbrega DB, Guiduce MVS, Guimarães FF, Ribol DF. Molecular epidemiology and extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases production of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from three dairy herds. Pesq Vet Bras 2013 ; 33(7):855-859.

Odenthal S, Akineden Ö, Usleber E. Extended-spectrum betalactamase (ESBL)-produzierende Enterobacteriaceae in Anlieferungsmich aus hessischen Milchviehbetrieben. In: 54. Arbeitstagung der Deutsche Veterinärmedizinische Gesellschaft (DVG) Arbeitsgebiet Lebensmittelhygiene, Garmisch-Partenkirchen (Alemania), Septimebre 24-27 de 2013.

Odenthal S, Akineden Ö, Usleber E. Extended spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase producing Enterobacteriaceae in bulk tank milk from German dairy farms. Int J Food Microbiol 2016; 5:238:278.

Ohnishi AT, Okatani H, Esaki K, Harada T, Sawada M, Murakami K, Marumo Y, Kato R, Sato K, Shimura N, Hatanaka, Takahashi T. Herd prevalence of Enterobacteriaceae producing CTX-M type and CMY-2 b-lactamases among Japanese dairy farms. J App Microbiol 2013; 115:288-289.

Ohnishi M, Okatani A T, Harada K, Sawada T, Marumo K, Murakami M, Sato R, Esaki H, Shimura K, Kato H, Uchida N, Takahashid T. Genetic characteristics of CTX-M-type extendedspectrum- $\beta$ -lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae involved in mastitis cases on Japanese dairy farms, 2007 to 2011. J Clin Microbiol 2013; 51(9): 3117-3122.

Oliver SP, Murinda SE. Antimicrobial resistance of mastitis pathogens. Vet Clin North Am Food Anim Pract 2012; 28(2):165-185.

Paterson DL, Bonomo RA. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases: a clinical update. Clin Microbiol Rev 2005; 18:657-686.

Pitout JDD, Thomson KS, Hanson ND, Ehrhardt AF, Moland ES, Sanders CC. B-lactamases responsible for resistance to expanded spectrum cephalosporins in *Klebsiella pneumoniae, Escherichia coli,* and *Proteus mirabilis* isolates recovered in South Africa. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1998; 42:1350-1354.

Puerta García A, Mateos Rodríguez F. Enterobacterias. Medicine 2010; 10(51):3426-3431.

Randall L, Heinrich K, Horton R, Brunton L, Sharman M, Bailey Horne V, Sharma M, McLaren I, Coldham N, Teale C, Jones J. Detection of antibiotic residues and association of cefquinome residues with the occurrence of Extended-Spectrum  $\beta$ -Lactamase (ESBL)-producing bacteria in waste milk samples from dairy farms in England and Wales in 2011. Res Vet Sci 2014; 96:15-24.

Rasheed MU, Thajuddin N, Ahamed P, Teklemariam Z, Jamil K. Antimicrobial drug resistance in strains of escherichia coli isolated from food sources. Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo 2014; 56(4):341-346.

Reist M, Geser N, Hachler H, Scharrer S, Stephan R. ESBLproducing Enterobacteriaceae: occurrence, risk factors for fecal carriage and strain traits in the Swiss slaughter cattle population younger than 2 years sampled at abattoir level. PLOS ONE 2013; 8(8):71725. Ruiz Romero RA. Mastitis bacteriana en ganado bovino: etiología y técnicas de diagnóstico en el laboratorio. [Access date: November 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2015]. URL: Disponible en: http://www. ammveb.net/articulos/Mastitis bacteriana.pdf.

Ruiz SJ, Montealegre MC, Ruiz Garbajosa P, Correa A, Briceño DF, Martinez E, Rosso F, Muñoz M, Quinn JP, Canton R, Villegas MV. First characterization of CTX-M-15-producing *Escherichia coli* ST131 and ST405 clones causing community-onset infections in South America. J Clin Microbiol 2011; 49(5):1993-1996.

Randall L, Heinrich K, Horton R, Brunton L, Sharman M, Bailey-Horne V, Sharma M, McLaren I, Coldham N, Teale C, Jones J. Detection of antibiotic residues and association of cefquinome residues with the occurrence of extended- spectrum b-lactamase (ESBL)-producing bacteria in waste milk samples from dairy farms in England and Wales in 2011. Res Vet Sci 2014; 96:15-24.

Rybak MJ, Pharm D. Resistance to antimicrobial agents: an update. Sup Pharmacotherapy 2004; 24(12).

Sánchez L, Ríos R, Máttar S. Detection of extended spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL) in *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated in a clinic in Villavicencio, Colombia. Infect 2008; 12(3): 193-200.

Sanders CC, Peyret M, Moland ES, Cavalieri SJ, Shubert C, Thomson KS, Boeufgras JM, Sanders WE. Potential impact of the VITEK 2 system and the advanced expert system on the clinical laboratory of a university-based hospital. J Clin Microbiol 2001; 39:2379-2385.

Schmid A, Hörmansdorfer S, Messelhäusser U, Käsbohrer A, Sauter-Louis C, Mansfeldc R. Prevalence of extended-spectrum -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* on bavarian dairy and beef cattle farms. App Environment Microbiol 2013; 79(9):3027-3032.

Schwaber MJ, Navon Venezia S, Chmelnitsky I, Leavitt A, Schwartz D, Carmeli Y. Utility of the VITEK 2 advanced expert system for identification of extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase production in Enterobacter spp. J Clin Microbiol 2006; 44(1):241-243.

Skočková A, Bogdanovičová K, Koláčková I, Karpíšková R. Antimicrobial-resistant and extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* in raw cow's milk. J Food Protect 2015; 2(234):72-77(6).

Smet A, Martel A, Persoons D, Dewulf J, Heyndrickx M, Herman L, Haesebrouck F, Butaye P. Broad-spectrum b-lactamases among Enterobacteriaceae of animal origin: molecular aspects, mobility and impact on public health. FEMS Microbiol Rev 2010; 34:295-316.

Snow LC, Warner RG, Cheney T, Wearing H, Stokes M, Harris K, Teale CJ, Coldham NG. Risk factors associated with extended spectrum beta-lactamase *E. coli* (CTX-M) on dairy farms in North West England and North Wales. Prev Vet Med 2012; 106(3,4):225-234.

StataCorp. 2011. Stata: Release 12. Statistical Software Release 12ed. StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas.

Sudarwanto M, Akineden Ö, Odenthal S, Gross M, Usleber E. Extended-spectrum b-Lactamase (ESBL)-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in bulk tank milk from dairy farms in Indonesia. Foodborne Path Dis 2015; 12(7):585-590.

Timofte D, Maciuca IE, Evans NJ, Williams H, Wattret A, Fick JC, Williams NJ. Detection and molecular characterization of *Escherichia coli* CTX-M-15 and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* SHV-12 β-lactamases from bovine mastitis isolates in the United Kingdom. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2014; 58(2):789-794.

Toro C. Protocolo de toma de muestras de leche: laboratorio de calidad e inocuidad de leche cruda. Facultad de Ciencias Agrarias, Universidad de Antioquia, Colombia. Versión 1 julio 10 de 2012.

Vacca CP, Niño CY, Reveiz L. Restricción de la venta de antibióticos en farmacias de Bogotá, Colombia: estudio descriptivo. Rev Panam Salud Pública 2011; 30(6):586-591.

Vanegas López MC, Moreno J E, Ramos Rueda V, Chirivi JS, Garzón A, Arévalo SA, Martínez MF, Gardeazábal PA, Baquero C. Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) isolated from Colombian foods. BIO 2012; 2:61-67.

Verraes C, Van Boxstael S, Van Meervenne E, Van Coillie E, Butaye P, Catry B, de Schaetzen MA, Van Huffel X, Imberechts H, Dierick K, Daube G, Saegerman C, De Block J, Dewulf J, Herman L. Antimicrobial resistance in the food chain: a review. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2013; 10(7): 2643-2669.

Villegas MV, Correa A, Perez F, Zuluaga T, Radice M, Gutkind G, Casellas JM, Ayala J, Lolans K, Quinn John P. CTX-M-12 β-Lactamase in a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Clinical Isolate in Colombia. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48(2):629-631.

Villegas MV; Guzmán Blanco M; Sifuentes Osornio J, Rossi F. Increasing prevalence of extended-spectrum-betalactamase among Gram-negative bacilli in Latin America – 2008 update from the study for monitoring antimicrobial resistance trends (SMART). Braz J Infect Dis 2011; 15(1):34-39.

Walsh C, Fanning S. Antimicrobial resistance in foodborne pathogensa cause for concern Curr Drug Targets 2008; 9(9):808-815.

Wegener HC. Antibiotic resistance—linking human and animal health. In: Institute of Medicine (US). Improving food safety through a one health approach: workshop summary. Washington (DC): National Academies Press (US); 2012. A15. [Access date: December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016]. URL: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/ NBK114485/

Woodford N, Fagan EJ, Ellington MJ. Multiplex PCR for rapid detection of genes encoding CTX-M extended spectrum B-lactamases. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006; 57:154-155.

Woodford N. Rapid characterization of beta lactamases by multiplex PCR. Methods Mol Biol 2010; 642:181-192.

Wooldridge M. Evidence for the circulation of antimicrobialresistant strains and genes in nature and especially between humans and animals. Rev Sci Tech 2008; 31(1):231-247.

World Health Organization –WHO (2001): Global Strategy for Containment of Antimicrobial Resistance. RevPanam Salud Pública vol.10 n.4 Washington Oct. 2001Xian-Zhi L, Manisha M, Shiva G, Lateef A. B- lactam resistance and  $\beta$ - lactamases in bacteria of animal origin. Vet Microbiol 2007; 121:197-214.

Zhao WH, Hu ZQ. Epidemiology and genetics of CTX-M extended-spectrum  $\beta$ -lactamases in Gram-negative bacteria. Critical Rev Microbiol 2013; 39(1):79-101.

Zeinhoma, Mohamed MA, Abdel-Latefb, Gihan K. Public health risk of some milk borne pathogens. Beni-Suef University J Basic App Scienc 2014; 3(3):209-215.