# Original Articles

# Genetic evaluation of survival and productivity traits in Arman crossbred sheep<sup>¤</sup>

Evaluación genética de las características de supervivencia y productividad en ovinos cruzados Arman

Avaliação genética de características de sobrevivência e produtividade em ovinos mestiços Arman

Mohammad Mahdi Shariati<sup>1</sup>, PhD; Saeed Zerehdaran<sup>1</sup>, PhD; Fatemeh Pourbayramian<sup>2</sup>, MSc; Shokoufeh Hasanvand<sup>3</sup>, MSc; Kourosh Mohammadi<sup>4\*</sup>, PhD(St).

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Science, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Sciences, University of Zabol, 98661-5538, Iran.

<sup>4</sup>Young Researchers and Elite Club, Khorramabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Khorramabad, Iran.

(Received: August 30, 2016; accepted: September 11, 2017)

doi: 10.17533/udea.rccp.v31n2a01

#### Abstract

**Background:** Arman sheep breed was synthesized by crossing several breeds, including Baluchi, Ghezel, Chios, and Suffolk. **Objective:** To estimate the (co)variance components and genetic parameters using the restricted maximum likelihood via twelve animal models for lamb survival and four animal models for ewe productivity traits. **Methods:** Data and pedigree information were collected at Abbasabad Sheep Breeding Station, Khorasan Razavi province, north-east of Iran, from 1999 to 2011. The traits studied were lamb survival rate (LSR), litter size at birth (LSB), litter size at weaning (LSW), litter mean weight per lambing (LMWL), litter mean weight per lamb weaned (LMWLW), total litter weight at birth (TLWB), and total litter weight at weaning (TLWW). Moreover, multivariate analyses were performed to estimate covariance between the traits. **Results:** Direct heritability estimates (h<sup>2</sup>a) for LSR was 0.081 and increased to 0.253 after correcting. Maternal genetic effects (h<sup>2</sup>m) and common litter effects (l<sup>2</sup>) accounted for 4 and 11.3% of the phenotypic variance for LSR, respectively. The estimations of h<sup>2</sup>a were 0.131, 0.080, 0.111, 0.190, 0.118, and 0.150 for LSB, LSW, LMWL, LMWLW, TLWB, and TLWW, respectively. The estimated fractions of variance —attributed to permanent environmental effects on ewe, (pe<sup>2</sup>) were 0.038, 0.050, 0.071, 0.060, and 0.050 for LSB, LSW, LMWL, TLWB, and TLWW, respectively. Service sire effects (S<sup>2</sup>) were significant for LSW, LMWL, and

To cite this article: Shariati MM, Zerehdaran S, Pourbayramian F, Hasanvand S, Mohammadi K. Genetic evaluation of survival and productivity traits in Arman crossbred sheep. Rev Colomb Cienc Pecu 2018; 31(2):83-92.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Kourosh Mohammadi. Young Researchers and Elite Club, Khorramabad Branch, Islamic Azad University, Khorramabad, Iran. Tel.: +98-912 644 7209. E-mail: kouroshmhd@hotmail.co.uk; kourosh.mohammadi@mail.um.ac.ir

TLWB, being 0.038, 0.030, and 0.049, respectively. Direct genetic correlations showed a vast range from 0.13 for LSB-LMWL to 0.91 for LMWL-TLWW. **Conclusion:** Results indicate that genetic change not only depends on the heritability of traits, but also on the observed phenotypic variation; therefore, improvement of non-genetic factors should be included in the breeding programs.

Keywords: animal models, direct heritability, litter mean weight, litter size, maternal effects.

#### Resumen

Antecedentes: Las ovejas Arman fueron sintetizadas a través del cruzamiento de varias razas, incluyendo Baluchi, Ghezel, Chios y Suffolk. Objetivo: Estimar los componentes de (co)varianza y parámetros genéticos por máxima verosimilitud restringida a través de doce modelos animales para la sobrevivencia de los corderos y cuatro modelos para características de productividad. Métodos: Los datos y la información de pedigrí se recogieron en la Estación de Cría Abbasabad, provincia de Khorasan Razavi, noreste de Irán, entre 1999 y 2011. Las características estudiadas fueron la tasa de supervivencia de los corderos (LSR), tamaño de la camada al nacimiento (LSB), tamaño de la camada al destete (LSW), peso promedio de la camada por parto (LMWL), peso promedio de la camada por cordero destetado (LMWLW), peso total de la camada al nacer (TLWB), y peso total de la camada al destete (TLWW). Además, se realizaron análisis multivariados para estimar la covarianza entre los rasgos. **Resultados:** La estimacion de heredabilidad directa (h<sup>2</sup><sub>o</sub>) para LSR fue 0,081 y aumentó a 0,253 después de la corrección. Los efectos genéticos maternos  $(h^2_m)$  y los efectos comunes de la camada (l<sup>2</sup>) representaron el 4 y el 11,3% de la varianza fenotípica para LSR, respectuvamente. Las estimaciones de h<sup>2</sup>, fueron 0,131, 0,080, 0,111, 0,190, 0,118 y 0,150 para LSB, LSW, LMWL, LMWLW, TLWB y TLWW, respectivamente. Las fracciones estimadas de varianza --- atribuidas a los efectos ambientales permanentes en las ovejas, (pe<sup>2</sup>) fueron 0,038, 0,050, 0,071, 0,060 y 0,050 para LSB, LSW, LMWL, TLWB y TLWW, respectivamente. Los efectos del servicio de carneros  $(S^2)$  fueron significativos para LSW, LMWL y TLWB, siendo 0,038, 0,030 y 0,049, respectivamente. Las correlaciones genéticas directas mostraron un amplio rango de 0,13 para LSB-LMWL a 0,91 para LMWL-TLWW. Conclusión: Los resultados indicaron que el cambio genético no sólo depende de la heredabilidad de los caracteres, sino también de la variación fenotípica observada; por lo tanto, el mejoramiento de los factores no genéticos debe ser incluido en las programas de mejora.

**Palabras clave:** efectos maternos, heredabilidad directa, modelos animales, peso medio de la camada, tamaño de la camada.

#### Resumo

Antecedentes: Arman ovelhas foi sintetizado pelo cruzamento de quatro raças incluindo Balúchi, Ghezel, Chios e Suffolk. Objetivo: Estimar os componentes de (co)variância e parâmetros genéticos por máxima verossimilhança restrita através de doze modelos animais para a sobrevivência dos cordeiros e quatro modelos animais para características de produtividade. Métodos: Os dados e as informações de pedigree foram coletadas no Abbāsābād Estação de Criação, província de Khorasan Razavi, nordeste do Irã desde 1999 a 2011. As características estudadas foram a taxa de sobrevivência de cordeiro (LSR), tamanho de leitegada ao nascimento (LSB), tamanho de leitegada ao desmame (LSW), peso médio da leitegada por entrega (LMWL), peso médio da leitegada por cordeiro desmamado (LMWLW), o peso total da leitegada ao nascimento (TLWB) e peso total da leitegada ao desmame (TLWW). Além disso, as análises multivariadas foram realizadas para estimar a covariância entre as características. **Resultados:** As estimativas de herdabilidade direta  $(h_{a}^{2})$  para LSR foi 0,081 e aumentada até 0,253 após correcção. Os efeitos genéticos maternos  $(h^2_m)$  e os efeitos comuns de leitegada (l<sup>2</sup>) representaram 4 e 11,3% da variância fenotípica de LSR, respectivamente. Estimativas de  $h_{a}^{2}$  foram 0,131, 0,080, 0,111, 0,190, 0,118 e 0,150 para a LSB, LSW, LMWL, LMWLW, TLWB e TLWW, respectivamente. As fracções de variância -atribuídos aos efeitos ambientais permanentes em ovelhas, (pe2) foram 0,038, 0,050, 0,071, 0,060 e 0,050 para a LSB, LSW, LMWL, TLWB e TLWW. Os efeitos de serviço de carneiros (s<sup>2</sup>) foram significativos para LSW, LMWL e TLWB sendo 0,038, 0,030 e 0,049, respectivamente. As correlações genéticas diretas mostrou uma gama de 0,13-0,91 LSB-LMWL para LMWL-TLWW. Conclusão: Os resultados indicaram que a modificação genética não só depende da hereditariedade de traços, mas também da variação fenotípica observada; portanto, a melhoria dos fatores não-genéticos devem ser incluídos em nos programas de melhoramento.

**Palavras-chave:** efeitos maternos, herdabilidade direta, modelos animais, peso médio da leitegada, tamanho de ninhada.

## Introduction

Small ruminants play an important role in animal farming in Iran. Genetic improvement of productivity traits in ewe depends on reliable genetic evaluations based on proper parameter values affecting profitability of meat production and improving breeding efficiency (Mohammadi et al., 2015). Efficiency of lamb production is affected by ewe productivity, maternal ability of the ewe, lamb growth potential, and survival traits (Dickerson, 1970). Ewe productivity traits are the most vital factors to determine reproductive efficiency (Mohammadi and Abdollahi-Arpanahi, 2015), and improving ewe productivity traits is more important, economically speaking, than improving growth rate (Wang and Dickerson, 1991). On the other hand, improvements of survival rate are unlikely if litter size is increased through selection without regard to whether the additional lambs born can be successfully reared (Lindsay, 1982). Nevertheless, improvements in survival rate might be achieved by modifying the conditions and suitable preparation for survival.

Arman sheep was synthesised by crossing four sheep breeds (i.e. Baluchi, Ghezel; two Iranian native breeds), Chios, and Suffolk at the Abbasabad breeding station, located in Khorasan Razavi province, Northeast of Iran. Increasing litter size, mutton production and tolerance to harsh, and unfavorable environmental conditions were the main objectives for producing this breed. The project started in 1975 and breed fixation was accomplished by selection and inbreeding.

To our knowledge, there are no literature reports on genetic parameters for lamb survival rate and ewe productivity traits for Arman crossbred sheep. Hence, this study was conducted to estimate the (co)variance components and genetic parameters of this breed using the restricted maximum likelihood method with 12 animal models for lamb survival and four animal models for ewe productivity traits. Correlations between traits were also estimated.

# Materials and methods

#### Geographical location and management

The data and pedigree information were collected from the Abbasabad Sheep Breeding Station. This

station is located at 33° 34' N and 58° 23' E, in the north-east of Iran. Collected data spans from 1999 to 2011. All animals were raised under similar environmental, nutritional, and management conditions. Breeding season extends from late August to early-October. Maiden ewes were exposed to fertile rams at approximately 1.5 years of age under a fully supervised mating strategy. Ewes in estrus were identified by means of teaser rams, at a ratio of 20-25 ewes per ram. The ewes were kept for a maximum of 7 parities and the rams for a maximum of two mating seasons. To avoid inbreeding, rams were rotationally allocated to each group of ewes. Lambs were ear-tagged and weighed at lambing or within 24 h of birth. Ewes and their lambs were placed in separate pens and kept for a few days, after lambing. Lambs were allowed to suckle dams until weaning. The suckling stage lasted for 90 d on average and minimum/maximum ages at weaning were 70/115 d. All lambs were weaned at the same day (i.e. not necessarily at the same age). The flock was kept on pastures during Spring and Summer seasons, and grazed on wheat and barley stubbles during Autumn. During Winter, the lambs were kept indoors and hand-fed. Supplementary feeding (consisting of wheat and barley straw, alfalfa hay, sugar beet pulp, and concentrate) was offered to all animals during Winter and to ewes in late pregnancy.

# Studied traits

The traits were lamb survival rate (LSR: Lambs alive from birth to weaning —coded by 0 for dead lamb and 1 for alive lamb at weaning), litter size at birth (LSB: Number of lambs born alive per ewe lambing within a specific year -coded as 1 or 2), litter size at weaning (LSW: Number of lambs weaned per ewe lambing within a specific year coded as 0 for dead lambs and 1 or 2 for alive lambs at weaning), litter mean weight per lambing (LMWL: Average birth weight of lambs per ewe lambing), litter mean weight per lamb weaned (LMWLW: Average weaning weight of lambs per ewe lambing), total litter weight at birth (TLWB: Sum of the birth weights of all lambs born per ewe lambing), and total litter weight at weaning (TLWW: Sum of the weights of all lambs weaned per ewe lambing). Data structure is summarized in Table 1.

	LSR (%)	LSB (head)	LSW (head)	LMWL (Kg)	LMWLW (Kg)	TLWB (Kg)	TLWW (Kg)
No. of records	3411	2232	2099	2232	2099	2232	2099
No. of sires	145	145	145	145	145	145	145
No. of ewes	1212	1212	1109	1212	1109	1212	1109
No. of dams with own records and progeny	1138	1138	1138	1138	1138	1138	1138
No. of dams of the ewes	482	482	482	482	482	482	482
No. of service sires	105	105	105	105	105	105	105
Overall mean ± SE	0.91 ± 0.03	1.47 ± 0.06	1.31 ± 0.05	4.03 ± 0.12	24.13 ± 1.24	5.72 ± 0.14	29.30 ± 1.30
Coefficient of variation (%)	0.29	0.29	0.26	0.21	0.27	0.33	0.35

Table 1. Summary of descriptive statistics for traits of Arman crossbred sheep.

LSR: Lamb survival rate; LSB: Litter size at birth; LSW: Litter size at weaning; LMWL: Litter mean weight per lambing; LMWLW: Litter mean weight per lamb weaned; TLWB: Total litter weight at birth; TLWW: Total litter weight at weaning; SE: Standard error.

#### Statistical analysis for lamb survival rate

The fitted models accounted for known environmental effects of lamb sex, birth type (single, twin, triplet, and more), lambing year in 12 categories (1999-2011), and ewe age at lambing in 4 categories (2, 3-4, 5-6, and >6 years old) for LSR. Least square analysis was accomplished using the general linear model (GLM) procedure of SAS (Version 9.4 SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA; 2014). Quadratic effects of lamb age at weaning on the studied traits were determined as non-signifcant. (Co)variance components and corresponding genetic parameters for traits were achieved by restricted maximum likelihood (REML) method fitting an animal model using ASReml (Residual Maximum Likelihood) software (Gilmour *et al.*, VSN International Ltd, Hempstead, HP1 1ES, UK; 2006).

Twelve animal models were fitted as follow, assuming LSR to be a continuous trait:

$y = Xb + Z_a a + e$		Model 1
$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_c c + e$		Model 2
$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_m m + e$	Cov(a,m) = 0	Model 3
$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_m m + e$	$Cov(a,m) = A\sigma_{am}$	Model 4
$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_m m + Z_c c + e$	Cov(a,m) = 0	Model 5
$y = Xb + Z_aa + Z_mm + Z_cc + e$	$Cov(a,m) = A\sigma_{am}$	Model 6
$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_l l + e$		Model 7
$y = Xb + Z_aa + Z_cc + Z_ll + e$		Model 8
$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_m m + Z_l l + e$	Cov(a,m) = 0	Model 9
$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{a} + \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{m}}\mathbf{m} + \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{l}}\mathbf{l} + \mathbf{e}$	$Cov(a,m) = A\sigma_{am}$	Model 10
$y = Xb + Z_aa + Z_mm + Z_cc + Z_ll + e$	Cov(a,m) = 0	Model 11
$y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_m m + Z_c c + Z_l l + e$	$Cov(a,m) = A\sigma_{am}$	Model 12

In these models, y, b, a, m, c, l, and e are vectors of observations, fixed effects, direct genetic effect, maternal genetic effect, maternal permanent environmental effect, common litter effects, and residual effects, respectively. X,  $Z_a$ ,  $Z_m$ ,  $W_c$ , and  $W_l$  are the incidence matrices relating observations to the respective fixed and random effects. It was assumed that:

$$E(y) = X\beta \text{ and } E\begin{bmatrix}a\\m\\c\\l\\e\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}0\\0\\0\\0\\0\end{bmatrix}$$
$$Var\begin{bmatrix}a\\m\\c\\l\\e\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}A\sigma_a^2 & A\sigma_{am} & 0 & 0 & 0\\A\sigma_{am} & A\sigma_m^2 & 0 & 0 & 0\\0 & 0 & I_d\sigma_c^2 & 0 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0 & I_l\sigma_l^2 & 0\\0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & I_n\sigma_e^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Where  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is the vector of fixed effects, A is the additive numerator relationship matrix,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{a}^{2}$  is the direct genetic variance,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{m}^{2}$  is the maternal genetic variance,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{am}^{2}$  is the direct-maternal genetic covariance,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{c}^{2}$  is the maternal permanent environmental variance,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{l}^{2}$  is the common litter variance,  $\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{e}^{2}$  is the residual variance, and  $I_{d}$ ,  $I_{l}$ , and  $I_{n}$  are identity matrices with orders equal to number of dams, litters, and records, respectively.

Also, for lamb survival rate, heritability obtained by animal and sire models were converted to the underlying liability scale following a standard approximation (Falconer, 1989).

$$h_{Underlying}^{2} = \frac{h_{Observed}^{2} (1-p)}{\frac{2}{i_{p}}}$$

Where:

p: Survival ratio in the flock.

i: Corresponding selection intensity.

#### Statistical analysis for ewe productivity traits

The fixed effects for ewe productivity traits were ewe age at lambing (4 levels: 2, 3-4, 5-6, and > 6 years old) and lambing year (13 levels, 1999-2011). Moreover, the data for TLWB, LMWL, TLWW, and LMWLW were pre-adjusted for the effect of lamb sex by multiplicative adjustment factors (Van Wyk *et al.*, 2003). The adjustment factors were determined using least square means (LSM) of male and female lambs and records of birth and weaning weight were adjusted accordingly. The following models were applied to each trait:

 $y = Xb + Z_a a + e$ Model 1  $y = Xb + Z_a a + W_{pe} pe + e$ Model 2  $y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_s s + e$ Model 3  $y = Xb + Z_a a + Z_s s + W_{pe} pe + e$ Model 4

Where, all the terms are as in Models 1-12 for LSR, with the exception of pe and s which denote vectors of permanent environmental effects related to repeated records of the ewes and sire additive genetic effects with corresponding design matrices  $W_{pe}$  and  $Z_s$ , respectively. It was assumed that ewe permanent environmental and service sire effects were normally distributed with mean 0 and variance  $I_d \sigma_{pe}^2$  and  $I_s \sigma_s^2$ , respectively, where  $\sigma_{pe}^2$ ,  $\sigma_s^2$  are ewe permanent environmental variance and service sire variance, and  $I_d$  and  $I_s$  are identity matrices with the order equal to the number of ewes and service sires.

Genetic, phenotypic and environmental correlations were estimated using multivariate analyses and applying the most appropriate models derived from univariate analyses. When the value of -2 log likelihood variance in the AIREML function was below 10<sup>-8</sup>, convergence was assumed to be achieved. Also, repeatability (r) was calculated using the following formula:

$$r = \frac{\sigma_a^2 + \sigma_{pe}^2}{\sigma_p^2}$$

Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) was applied (Akaike, 1974) in order to choose the most suitable model for each trait as:

$$AIC_i = -2 \log L_i + 2 p_i$$

Where,  $\log L_i$  is the maximised log likelihood of model i at convergence and  $p_i$  is the number of parameters in each model. The model with the lowest AIC was chosen as the most appropriate.

#### Results

#### Fixed effects

The least squares mean and standard errors of fixed effects from GLM analysis are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Least-squares means	(± SE	) of ewe age on studied tra	aits in Arman o	crossbred sheep
------------------------------	-------	-----------------------------	-----------------	-----------------

Fixed effects				Traits			
	LSR (%)	LSB (head)	LSW (head)	LMWLB (Kg)	LMWLW (Kg)	TLWB (Kg)	TLWW (Kg)
Ewe age (year)	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
2	$0.88 \pm 0.02^{c}$	$1.33^{c} \pm 0.05$	$1.09^{d} \pm 0.06$	3.89 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.12	$24.65^{c} \pm 1.24$	$4.90^{d} \pm 0.12$	$31.00^{b} \pm 1.40$
3-4	0.94 ± 0.03 <sup>a</sup>	$1.50^{b} \pm 0.05$	1.18°± 0.05	$4.12^b\pm0.14$	$25.42^{b} \pm 1.23$	$5.66^{b} \pm 0.11$	31.48 <sup>ab</sup> ± 1.40
5 -6	$0.92 \pm 0.02^{ab}$	1.58 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.06	$1.33^{b} \pm 0.06$	4.48 <sup>a</sup> ±0.13	25.88 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.28	6.36 <sup>a</sup> ± 0.14	32.12 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.36
> 6	$0.90 \pm 0.02^{b}$	$1.48^{b} \pm 0.05$	$1.25^{b} \pm 0.05$	$4.11^{b} \pm 0.12$	24.59 <sup>c</sup> ±1.28	5.24 <sup>c</sup> ± 0.14	31.08 <sup>a</sup> ± 1.25
Lambing year		**	**	**	**	**	**
Birth date	**	-	-	-	0.29 ± 0.02	-	0.36 ± 0.03

LSR: Lamb survival rate; LSB: Litter size at birth; LSW: Litter size at weaning; LMWL: Litter mean weight per lambing; LMWLW: Litter mean weight per lamb weaned; TLWB: Total litter weight at birth; TLWW: Total litter weight at weaning. Means with similar letters in each subclass within a column do not differ significantly.

The LSR was significantly affected by birth type and lamb sex (p<0.01; results not shown). Ewe age at lambing and lambing year on all of the traits were significant as well (p<0.01).

#### (Co)variance components and genetic parameters

(Co)variance components and estimations of genetic parameters for the traits obtained from

the most suitable models are presented in Table 3. Estimates of  $h_a^2$  were low and ranged from 0.08 for LSR and LSW to 0.19 for LMWLW. The repeatability estimates (r) for ewe productivity traits were higher than the corresponding  $h_a^2$  and ratio of permanent environmental variance on phenotypic variance and varied from 0.17 for LSW to 0.23 for TLWB.

Table 3. (Co)variance components and genetic parameters for lamb survival rate and ewe productivity traits fitting the most appropriate model in Arman crossbred sheep.

Parameter				Traits			
-	LSR	LSB	LSW	LMWL	LMWLW	TLWB	TLWW
Suitable model	10	2	4	4	1	4	2
$\sigma^2_{a}$	0.010	0.038	0.019	0.240	4.78	0.34	4.523
$\sigma^2_{pe}$	-	0.011	0.012	0.154	-	0.17	1.515
$\sigma^2_{m}$	0.005	-	-	-	-	-	-
$\sigma^2_{l}$	0.014						
$\sigma^2_{s}$	-		0.009	0.065	-	0.14	
$\sigma^2_{p}$	0.124	0.290	0.24	2.16	25.16	2.87	30.128
$h_a^2 \pm S.E$	0.081 ± 0.02	0.131 ± 0.008	0.080 ± 0.01	0.111 ± 0.02	0.190 ± 0.02	0.118 ± 0.02	0.150 ± 0.02
± S.E	-	$0.038 \pm 0.007$	$0.050 \pm 0.02$	0.071 ± 0.02	-	$0.060 \pm 0.03$	$0.050 \pm 0.04$
s <sup>2</sup> ± S.E	-	-	0.038 ± 0.01	$0.030 \pm 0.01$		$0.049 \pm 0.00$	
h <sup>2</sup> <sub>m</sub> ±S.E	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	-	-	-	-	-	-
l <sup>2</sup> ± S.E	0.11 ± 0.02	-	-	-	-	-	-
r <sub>am</sub> ± S.E	-0.33 ± 0.12	-	-		-	-	-
r	-	0.169	0.130	0.182	0.190	0.178	0.200
h <sup>2</sup> <sub>Underlying</sub>	0.253	-	-	-	-	-	-

LSR: Lamb Survival rate; LSB: Litter size at birth; LSW: Litter size at weaning; LMWL: Litter mean weight per lambing; LMWLW: Litter mean weight per lamb weaned; TLWB: Total litter weight at birth; TLWW: Total litter weight at weaning.  $\sigma_a^2$ : Direct genetic variance;  $\sigma_{pe}^2$ : Maternal permanent environmental variance;  $\sigma_m^2$ : Maternal genetic variance;  $\sigma_a^2$ : Common litter variance;  $\sigma_a^2$ : Sire service variance;  $\sigma_p^2$ : Phenotypic variance.  $h_a^2$ : Direct heritability; pe<sup>2</sup>: Ratio of maternal permanent environmental variance;  $n_a^2$ : Common litter variance;  $s^2$ : Ratio of service sire variance to phenotypic variance;  $h_m^2$ : Maternal genetic heritability; l<sup>2</sup>: Ratio of common litter effects to phenotypic variance;  $r_a$ : Correlation between direct and maternal genetic effects; r: Repeatability; h<sup>2</sup><sub>Underlying</sub>: Underlying heritability.

## Correlations

The genetic, phenotypic, and environmental correlations between traits are presented in Table 4. The highest values for genetic, phenotypic, and environmental correlations were obtained between LMWL-TLWW and LMWL-LMWLW, and LMWL-TLWB as 0.91, 0.91, and 0.93, respectively. Generally, the genetic correlations were in the same line with other corresponding correlations.

**Table 4.** Estimates of correlations between lamb survival rate and ewe productivity traits in Arman crossbred sheep.

Trait 1	Trait 2	r <sub>g12</sub> ± SE	r <sub>p12</sub> ± SE	r <sub>e12</sub> ± SE
LSB℃	LSW <sup>c</sup>	0.53 ± 0.09	0.63 ± 0.1	$0.49 \pm 0.08$
LSB	LMWL	0.13 ± 0.03	0.18 ± 0.06	$0.23 \pm 0.06$
LSB	LMWLW	$0.23 \pm 0.03$	$0.23 \pm 0.03$	$0.23 \pm 0.03$
LSB	TLWB	0.88 ± 0.1	0.69 ± 0.12	0.71 ± 0.09
LSB	TLWW	$0.75 \pm 0.06$	$0.79 \pm 0.09$	$0.63 \pm 0.07$
LSB	LSR	$0.28 \pm 0.06$	$0.29 \pm 0.06$	$0.33 \pm 0.09$
LSW	LMWL	0.43 ± 0.13	0.49 ± 0.16	0.41 ± 0.11
LSW	LMWLW	$0.33 \pm 0.08$	$0.43 \pm 0.08$	$0.38 \pm 0.07$
LSW	TLWB	$0.73 \pm 0.09$	0.74 ± 0.08	$0.68 \pm 0.08$
LSW	TLWW	$0.35 \pm 0.08$	$0.33 \pm 0.07$	0.41 ± 0.07
LSW	LSR	0.41 ± 0.09	0.44 ± 0.10	0.47 ± 0.11
LMWL	LMWLW	0.88 ± 0.17	0.91 ± 0.14	0.90 ± 0.14
LMWL	TLWB	0.85 ± 0.15	0.83 ± 0.16	0.93 ± 0.11
LMWL	TLWW	0.91 ± 0.16	0.65 ± 0.16	0.77 ± 0.18
LMWL	LSR	0.53 ± 0.19	$0.49 \pm 0.14$	0.61 ± 0.13
LMWLW	TLWB	0.49 ± 0.11	0.55 ± 0.15	0.49 ± 0.13
LMWLW	TLWW	0.68 ± 0.13	0.59 ± 0.13	0.57 ± 0.09
LMWLW	LSR	0.61 ± 0.14	0.57 ± 0.13	$0.48 \pm 0.09$
TLWB	TLWW	0.55 ± 0.09	$0.43 \pm 0.09$	0.42 ± 0.10
TLWB	LSR	0.71 ± 0.06	0.48 ± 0.09	0.55 ± 0.12

LSR: Lamb survival rate; LSB: Litter size at birth; LSW: Litter size at weaning; LMWL: Litter mean weight per lambing; LMWLW: Litter mean weight per lamb weaned; TLWB: Total litter weight at birth; TLWW: Total litter weight at weaning.  $r_{g12}$ : Genetic correlation between trait 1 and 2;  $r_{p12}$ : Phenotypic correlations between traits 1 and 2;  $r_{e12}$ : Environmental correlation between traits 1 and 2.

# Discussion

#### Fixed effects

According to Table 2, the LSR overall mean was 91% from birth to weaning and mature lambs from 3 to 4-year-old ewes had higher viability, that is most

likely due to the maternal ability and milk production. Significant effects of birth type and lamb sex on LSR (p < 0.05) were comparable with several reports (Riggo et al., 2008; Maxa et al., 2009; Chniter et al., 2011; Rashidi et al., 2011). The effect of ewe age was significant on all traits (p < 0.05), which is similar to previous studies (Chniter et al., 2011; Mohammadi et al., 2013; Mohammadi et al., 2015; Roshanfekr et al., 2015). In agreement with the study of Chniter et al. (2011), after one lambing, lamb survival rate and ewe productivity have been increased. A significant effect of lambing year on the mentioned traits were observed (p < 0.05). These results were consistent with reports in Scottish Blackface (Riggio et al., 2008), Lori-Bakhtiari (Vatankhah et al., 2008), and Danish sheep (Maxa et al., 2009) as a result of different climatic conditions, rainfall, management, nutrition, health care and relying on sheep pastures and meadows. Significant effect of lambing year on ewe productivity traits has been well documented by several studies (Mokhtari et al., 2010; Mohammadi et al., 2013; Mohammadi et al., 2015; Roshanfekr et al., 2015).

#### (Co)variance components and genetic parameters

Sheep breeding programs are limited in developing countries due to non-availability of pedigree and the lack of performance records for economically important traits. This lack of dataset has resulted in imprecise genetic parameters. Complete datasets with more associations between dam performance and offspring records as well as more progeny per dam affect the accuracy of partitioning maternal effects into genetic and environmental components (Boligon et al., 2012). The current dataset was large, and *fitting* complex models was possible because over five generations of animals with data and a moderate twin and triple rate were available (28 and 3%, respectively). The high number of twins enabled a more precise estimation of common litter effects. Current parameters were precisely estimated, with standard errors of 0.02 or lower for heritability estimates.

Estimate of  $h_a^2$  for LSR was 0.081 and enhanced to 0.253 after correcting. It shows that including this trait in breeding goals might be an effective way of enhancing survival rate. In practice, this would

involve choosing replacement sires from families with high survival rates. Estimates of h<sup>2</sup><sub>a</sub> for post-natal survival traits was in the range of 0.18 to 0.33 for Scottish Blackface sheep (Sawalha, 2007). Current  $h_{m}^{2}$  estimate for LSR was 0.04 (i.e. half of  $h_{a}^{2}$  and lower than those reported for Texel and Shropshire sheep breeds; Maxa et al., 2009). Current estimates indicated that LSR was more influenced by its additive genes than the maternal ones. Common environmental effect  $(1^2)$  is an effective factor for estimating the heritability of this trait and should be included in the model. Portion of  $l^2$  was remarkable (0.11) for LSR. Similar findings were obtained by Rashidi et al. (2011) in Markhoz goats. The correlation between direct and maternal genetic effects  $(\mathbf{r}_{\rm am})$  for LSR was negative (-0.33). Understanding the  $r_{am}$  would facilitate the formulation of optimal breeding programs and improve selection efficiency (Robison, 1981) as negative and positive  $\mathbf{r}_{\rm am}$  can diminish and accelerate response to selection, respectively (Wolf et al., 1998).

Response to direct selection for litter size is limited by low heritability of the trait, due to its discrete phenotypic expression (Hill, 1985). Estimate of  $h_{a}^{2}$ for LSB was 0.13, and it was higher than the estimates in several reports (Vatankhah et al., 2008; Mokhtari et al., 2010; Mohammadi et al., 2013). However, higher h<sup>2</sup>, estimate was reported for Lori sheep using threshold models (Mohammadi et al. 2015). The importance of litter size is that an increase in the number of lambs weaned per ewe per year offers the greatest single opportunity for any kind of sheep production. According to the findings reported in the literature (Mokhtari et al., 2010; Mohammadi et al., 2013; Mohammadi et al., 2015), the most appropriate model for LSB should have both direct genetic and permanent environmental effects of the ewes. Estimate of  $pe^2$  (0.04) was lower than the corresponding  $h_{a}^{2}$  for LSB, that is comparable with the estimates reported by Mohammadi et al. (2013), Mohammadi et al. (2015), and Roshanfekr et al. (2015) for this trait.

Direct heritability of LSW (0.08) was higher than the estimates reported by other researchers (Vatankhah *et al.*, 2008; Mokhtari *et al.*, 2010; Mohammadi *et al.*, 2013; Roshanfekr *et al.*, 2015). However, higher  $h_{a}^{2}$ estimate was reported for Lori sheep by Mohammadi *et al.* (2015). Genetic improvement in LSW was attributed to fertility, prolificacy, lamb growth, and lamb survival to weaning, and ewe viability from breeding to weaning (Ercanbrack and Knight, 1998). For LSW, a low  $h_a^2$  estimate showed that loss of lambs from birth to weaning is mainly influenced by environmental factors, lamb's genotype rather than ewe's genotype and hewing of some lambs before weaning age. The inclusion of service sire effects (s<sup>2</sup>) together with direct genetic effects and permanent environmental effects of ewe significantly affected Log likelihoods of LSW, LMWL, and TLWB. Our estimated variances of pe<sup>2</sup> and s<sup>2</sup> for LSW were 0.05 and 0.04, respectively.

The current estimate for direct heritability of LMWL was 0.11. Our obtained value was within the range of 0.09 (Mohammadi *et al.*, 2015) to 0.19 (Vatankhah *et al.*, 2008). There is a high phenotypic correlation between birth weight and LSR; therefore, LMWL could be used for selecting lambs that will survive until weaning (Fogarty *et al.*, 1984). In the current study, pe<sup>2</sup> and s<sup>2</sup> estimates for LMWL were 0.07 and 0.03, respectively.

The  $h_a^2$  for LMWLW (0.19) was higher than the value reported by Vatankhah *et al.* (2008) and Roshanfekr *et al.* (2015). However, a higher  $h_a^2$ (0.22) was reported by Mokhtari *et al.* (2010). The  $h_a^2$ estimate of LMWLW was higher than other studied traits, implying that selection for this trait would result in heavier lambs at weaning.

The ewe capacity to produce lamb weight at birth is measured by TLWB, without considering LSB. Estimated value for  $h_a^2$  of TLWB in the current study (0.12) was congruent with that reported in Lori-Bakhtiari sheep. Higher values (ranged from 0.06 to 0.2) were reported in several studies (Mokhtari *et al.*, 2010; Rashidi *et al.*, 2011; Mohammadi *et al.*, 2013). Similar to other traits, pe<sup>2</sup> and s<sup>2</sup> were lower than the corresponding  $h_a^2$  for TLWB, being 0.06 and 0.05, respectively.

Total litter weight at weaning measures overall productivity in terms of weights of lamb produced per parity, but it does not take into account conception rate. Total litter weight at weaning (TLWW) is the most appropriate criterion for selecting ewes, thus, an index is needed to achieve it. The  $h_a^2$  estimate for

TLWW (0.15) was in accordance with that reported by Mokhtari *et al.* (2010). Lower estimates were reported as well (Van Wyk *et al.*, 2003; Vatankhah *et al.*, 2008; Rashidi *et al.*, 2011; Mohammadi *et al.*, 2015; Roshanfekr *et al.*, 2015). For TLWW, the pe<sup>2</sup> estimate was 0.05. A similar finding was obtained for the Kermani sheep by Mokhtari *et al.* (2010). However, a higher estimate was also reported (Mohammadi *et al.*, 2015).

Repeatability values for all traits were moderate (from 0.17 for LSW to 0.23 for TLWB). Current repeatability for LSB (0.18) was in agreement with the findings of Vatankhah *et al.* (2008), Rashidi *et al.* (2011), and Mohammadi *et al.* (2013). Repeatability values for LMWLB (0.22), and TLWB (0.23) were similar to the estimated values obtained by Vatankhah *et al.* (2008). The obtained repeatability for LMWLW and TLWB was in accordance with the study by Mokhtari *et al.* (2010).

#### Correlation estimates

Genetic correlations were low to high, ranging from 0.13 (for LSB-LMWL) to 0.91 (for LMWL-TLWW). Phenotypic correlations ranged from 0.18 (for LSB-LMWL) to 0.91 (for LMWL-LMWLW). Environmental correlations were modrate to high, ranging from 0.23 (for LSB-LMWL) to 0.93 (for LMWL-TLWB).

This study shows that LSB has a strong genetic association with TLWB, which is in agreement with reports by Vatankhah *et al.* (2008), Mokhtari *et al.* (2010), and Rashidi *et al.* (2011). The TLWB and TLWW had positive and relatively high genetic correlations (0.90). This suggests that genes underlying heavy birth weight of litters, through number and weight of lambs are also affecting milk production and maternal behavior of ewes during the pre-weaning period. Estimates of genetic correlations were positive and medium (0.56) for LMWLW-TLWW, showing that growth of lambs from birth to weaning, mothering ability was affected by individual genotype of lambs (Vatankhah *et al.*, 2008). The environmental correlation estimates were positive.

Estimates of (Co)variance components and genetic parameters are necessary for genetic evaluation of

sheep and also to choose the best selection program. This study indicated that genetic and non-genetic factors affect survival and ewe productivity traits. Although current results indicate that survival rate and ewe productivity traits have low heritability in Arman sheep, including environmental factors in breeding programs could result in increasing profitability at farm level. Consequently, both animal and maternal genetic effects should be considered in breeding programs to ameliorate viability at birth.

#### Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge Parviz Mohammadi, Pharm. D., for providing Spanish and Portuguese versions of the Abstract, and Dr. Abouzar Mohammadi (Kashan University of Medical Sciences and Health Services, Kashan, Iran) for his great help in proofreading and valuable comments on the manuscript. In addition, the authors would like to appreciate Dr. Mahmood Vatankhah (Department of Animal Science, Agriculture and Natural Resources Research Center, Shahrekord, Iran) and Ebrahim Mirza Mohamadi, MSc. (Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran) for their help and for providing the data.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare they have no conflicts of interest with regard to the work presented in this report.

#### References

Akaike H. A new look at the statistical model identification. IEEE Trans Autom Control 1974; 19:716-723.

Boligon AA, Pereira RJ, Ayres DR, Albuquerque LG. Influence of data structure on the estimation of the additive genetic direct and maternal covariance for early growth traits in Nellore cattle. Livest Sci 2012; 145:212-218.

Chniter M, Hammadi M, Khorchani T, Krit R, Lahsoumi B, Sassi MB, Nowak R, Hamouda MB. Phenotypic and seasonal factors influence birth weight, growth rate and lamb mortality in D'man sheep maintained under intensive management in Tunisian oases. Small Ruminant Res 2011; 99:166-170.

Dickerson G. Efficiency of animal production-molding the biological components. J Anim Sci 1970; 30:849-859.

Ercanbrack SK, Knight AD. Responses to various selection protocols for lamb production in Rambouillet, Targhee, Columbia, and Polypay sheep. J Anim Sci 1998; 76:1311-1325.

Falconer DS. Introduction to quantitative genetics, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Longman Group (FE) Limited; 1989.

Fogarty NM, Dickerson GE, Young LD. Lamb production and its components in pure breeds and composite lines. II. Breed effects and heterosis. J Anim Sci 1984; 58:301-311.

Gilmour AR, Gogel BJ, Cullis BR, Thompson R. ASReml User Guide Release 3.0. VSN International Ltd, Hempstead, HP1 1ES, UK; 2009.

Hill WG. Detection and genetic assessment of physiological criteria of merit within breeds. In: Land, R.B., Robinson, D.W. (Eds.), Genetics of reproduction in sheep. Butterworths, London; 1985.

Maxa J, Sharifi AR, Pedersen J, Gauly M, Simianer H, Norberg E. Genetic parameters and factors influencing survival to twenty-four hours after birth in Danish meat sheep breeds. J Anim Sci 2009; 87:1888-1895.

Mohammadi K, Abdollahi-Arpanahi R, Amraei F, Mohamadi EM, Rashidi A. Genetic parameter estimates for growth and reproductive traits in Lori sheep. Small Rumin Res 2015; 131:35-42.

Mohammadi K, Abdollahi-Arpanahi R. Genetic, phenotypic and environmental trends for growth and reproductive traits in Zandi sheep. Global J Anim Sci Res 2015; 3:311-320.

Mohammadi K, Beigi Nassiri MT, Rahmatnejad E, Sheikh M, Fayazi J, Karimi Manesh A. Phenotypic and genetic parameter estimates for reproductive traits in Zandi sheep. Trop Anim Health Prod 2013; 45:671-677. Mokhtari MS, Rashidi A, Esmailizadeh AK. Estimates of phenotypic and genetic parameters for reproductive traits in Kermani sheep. Small Ruminant Res 2010; 88:27-31.

Rashidi A, Bishop SC, Matika O. Genetic parameter estimates for pre-weaning performance and reproduction traits in Markhoz goats. Small Ruminant Res 2011; 100:100-106.

Riggio V, Finocchiaro R, Bishop SC. 2008. Genetic parameters for early lamb survival and growth in Scottish Blackface sheep. J Anim Sci 2008; 86:1758-1764.

Robison OW. The influence of maternal effects on the efficiency of selection; A review. Livest Prod Sci 1981; 8:121-137.

Roshanfekr H, Berg P, Mohammadi K, Mirza Mohamadi E. Genetic parameters and genetic gains for reproductive traits of Arabi sheep. Biotech Anim Husb 2015; 31:23-36.

SAS Institute Inc. SAS User's Guide: Version 9.4. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA; 2014.

Van Wyk JB, Fair MD, Cloete SWP. Revised models and genetic parameter estimates for production and reproduction traits in the Elsenburg Dormer sheep stud. S Afri J Anim Sci 2003; 33:213-222.

Vatankhah M, Talebi MA, Edriss MA. Estimation of genetic parameters for reproductive traits in Lori-Bakhtiari sheep. Small Rumin Res 2008; 74:216-220.

Wang CT, Dickerson GE. Simulated effects of reproductive performance on life-cycle efficiency of lamb and wool production at three lambing intervals. J Anim Sci 1991; 69:4338-4347.

Wolf JB, Brodie Iii ED, Cheverud JM, Moore AJ, Wade MJ. Evolutionary consequences of indirect genetic effects. Trends Ecol Evol 1998; 13:64-69.