Sugarcane bagasse or elephant grass hay in diets for goats: Performance, feeding behavior and carcass characteristics
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Abstract

Background: Novel feeding strategies should be developed to produce high-quality meat in current goat production systems. Objective: To evaluate the effects of castration and the association of spineless cactus with sugarcane bagasse or elephant grass hay on nutrient intake, digestibility, performance, feeding behavior and carcass traits of feedlot kids. Methods: A total of 24 male kids (12 castrated and 12 intact), with an average initial weight of 18.5 ± 3.8 kg were allotted to a completely randomized 2 x 2 factorial design (sugarcane bagasse or elephant grass hay; intact or castrated), and confined for 70 days. Results: Nutrient intake and animal performance were similar between treatments. Sugarcane bagasse increased, when compared to elephant grass hay, the digestibility of dry matter (66.12 vs 62.88; p=0.005), crude protein (74.26 vs 70.95; p=0.029) and non-fibrous carbohydrates (91.94 vs 83.07; p<0.001). The forage source affected the time spent resting, ruminating and total chewing time, but there was no effect of diet on feeding and rumination efficiencies. Elephant grass hay increased, when compared to sugarcane bagasse, hot carcass weight (9.87 vs 10.79; p=0.025) and hot carcass yield (43.81 vs 46.87; p=0.013). Intact kids produced heavier hot carcasses when compared with castrated kids (10.80 vs 9.86; p=0.014). Conclusion: Feeding intact or castrated kids with sugarcane bagasse or elephant grass hay do not affect nutrient intake and performance. Feedlot goats have improved carcass traits if kept intact and fed elephant grass hay.
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Resumen

Antecedentes: Se requiere desarrollar nuevas estrategias de alimentación para producir carne de alta calidad en los sistemas actuales de producción de cabras. **Objetivo:** Evaluar el efecto de la castración y asociación de palma forrajera con bagazo de caña de azúcar o heno de pasto elefante sobre la ingesta de nutrientes, digestibilidad, rendimiento, comportamiento de alimentación y características de la canal de cabritos de engorde. **Métodos:** Un total de 24 cabritos (12 castrados y 12 intactos) con peso inicial promedio de 18,5 ± 3,8 kg fueron asignados a un diseño factorial 2 x 2 completamente al azar (bagazo de caña de azúcar o heno de pasto elefante; castrados o intactos) y confinados durante 70 días. **Resultados:** La ingesta de nutrientes y el rendimiento animal fueron similares entre tratamientos. El bagazo de caña de azúcar aumentó, en comparación con el heno de pasto elefante, la digestibilidad de la materia seca (66,12 vs 62,88; p=0,005), de la proteína cruda (74,26 vs 70,95; p=0,029) y de los carbohidratos no fibrosos (91,94 vs 83,07; p<0,001). La fuente de forraje afectó el tiempo de descanso, de rumia, y el tiempo total de masticación, pero no hubo efecto de la dieta sobre la eficiencia alimentaria y de la rumia. El heno de pasto elefante aumentó, en comparación con el bagazo, el peso de la canal caliente (9,87 vs 10,79; p=0,025) y el rendimiento de la canal caliente (43,81 vs 46,87; p=0,013). Los animales intactos produjeron canales calientes más pesadas en comparación con los castrados (10,80 vs 9,86; p=0,014). **Conclusión:** La alimentación de cabritos enteros o castrados con bagazo de caña de azúcar o heno de pasto elefante no afecta la ingesta de nutrientes y el rendimiento. Los cabritos tienen mejores características de canal si se alimentan con heno de pasto elefante y se dejan enteros.

Palabras clave: bagazo de la caña de azúcar; carne de cabra; caprino; castración; confinamiento; forraje; fuente de fibra; heno de pasto elefante; rendimiento de carcasa; pequeños ruminantes.

Resumo

Antecedentes: Novas estratégias de alimentação devem ser desenvolvidas para produção de carne de qualidade nos atuais sistemas de produção de caprinos. **Objetivo:** Avaliar o efeito da castração e da associação da palma forrageira com o bagaço de cana de açúcar ou feno de capim elefante no consumo de nutrientes, digestibilidade, desempenho, comportamento ingestivo e características de carcaça de cabritos confinados. **Métodos:** Um total de 24 caprinos (12 castrados e 12 não castrados), com peso inicial médio de 18,5 ± 3,8 kg, distribuídos em um delineamento experimental inteiramente casualizado, em arranjo fatorial 2 x 2 (bagaço de cana de açúcar ou feno de capim elefante; inteiros ou castrados), confinados durante 70 dias. **Resultados:** O consumo de nutrientes e o desempenho dos animais foram semelhantes entre os tratamentos. O bagaço de cana elevou, quando comparado com o feno, a digestibilidade da matéria seca (66,12 vs 62,88; p=0,005), proteína (74,26 vs 70,95; p=0,029) e carbohidratos não fibrosos (91,94 vs 83,07; p<0,001). As fontes de fibra afetaram os tempos de ócio, ruminação e mastigação total, mas não houve efeito para as eficiências de alimentação e ruminação. O feno de capim elefante aumentou, quando comparado com o bagaço, o peso de carcaça quente (9,87 vs 10,79; p=0,025) e o rendimento de carcaça quente (43,81 vs 46,87; p=0,013). Os animais inteiros produziram carcaças quentes mais pesadas em comparação aos castrados (10,80 vs 9,86; p=0,014). **Conclusão:** Alimentar caprinos inteiros ou castrados com bagaço de cana ou feno de capim-elefante não interfere no consumo de nutrientes e desempenho. Caprinos confinados terão as características de carcaça melhoradas se mantidos intactos e alimentados com feno de capim elefante.

Palavras-chave: bagaço de cana de açúcar; carne caprina; caprino; castração; confinamento; fonte de fibra; forragem; palma forrageira; pequeno ruminante; rendimento de carcaça.
Introduction

Goat farming stands out as an activity of cultural, social and economic relevance in the northeast region of Brazil. However, goat-derived products still lack standardization. The unsatisfactory productivity rates in the region caused by the seasonality of forage production make feedlot a reasonable strategy to intensify production of high-quality meat (Urbano et al., 2015). Nutritional plans and techniques available in feedlot systems to enhance nutrient intake and animal performance allow reducing the age at slaughter and obtaining carcasses with high commercial value.

Feedlot diets are typically expensive due to the cost of concentrate feeds. However, the beneficial effects of dietary fiber cannot be neglected. Fiber maintains adequate ruminal conditions and promotes greater absorption of nutrients (Alves et al., 2016), especially when correctly associated with sources of non-fibrous carbohydrates such as spineless cactus (Ferreira et al., 2012). Correct associations between feed sources tend to enhance animal performance and, when the combination involves alternative feed sources, the beneficial effects also extend to the economics of the system.

Carcass is the most crucial element in the production chain of livestock systems focused on meat production since it contains the edible part with the highest economic value. As a result, farmers have sought increasing carcass weight under commercial conditions. According to Gois et al. (2018), carcass weight is highly dependent on growth speed, which is strongly influenced by age at slaughter and nutritional management. Therefore, diets play a key role in improving performance of young animals and production of carcasses with high yield and attractive sensory and organoleptic properties (Osório et al., 2013).

We hypothesized that different sources of fiber and sexual condition could improve the results of confined goats. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the effects of castration and the association of spineless cactus with elephant grass hay or sugarcane bagasse on nutrient intake, digestibility, performance, feeding behavior, and qualitative (pH and temperature) and quantitative (weights and yields) carcass traits of feedlot kids.

Materials and Methods

Ethical considerations

All procedures followed recommendations of Conselho Nacional de Controle de Experimentação Animal (CONCEA) under protocol No. 054/2017, approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Use of Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte (UFRN).

Location and animals

The experiment was carried out at the experimental station of Grupo de Estudos em Forragicultura e Produção de Ruminantes (GEFORP) - Academic Unit of Agricultural Sciences/UFRN, located in Macaíba city in the eastern mesoregion of the State of Rio Grande do Norte, Brazil.

Twenty-four mixed breed male kids, of which 12 were castrated and 12 intact, with a mean initial age of five months (18.5 ± 3.8 kg) were allotted to a completely randomized 2 x 2 factorial design with two forage sources and two sexual conditions, totaling six replicates per treatment and 24 plots. The kids were castrated with rubber rings when they were 15 days old.

The kids were confined in individual pens equipped with feeders and waterers. The animals were weighed, identified, treated for endo and ectoparasites, and vaccinated for Clostridium spp. before starting the experimental period. Then, the kids went through a 30-day period of adaptation to handling and experimental diets.

The experimental diets were formulated to be isonitrogenous and contained the same proportion of NDF in order to meet maintenance requirements of 18 kg kids gaining 120 g day⁻¹, as set by the National Research Council (2007).
The concentrate was composed of ground corn, soybean meal, mineral mix, sodium chloride and urea. The forage source was spineless cactus chopped in a stationary forage chopper, associated with sugarcane bagasse (SB) or elephant grass hay (EGH) (Table 1). To obtain elephant grass hay, the green material was cut (180 days after planting), then the forage was chopped in a stationary forage and exposed to the sun, on a clean surface, for the haying process. When the hay point was reached, the hay was packed in nylon bags and stored in a ventilated and moisture-free environment. The stationary forage produced particles of hay, sugarcane bagasse and spineless cactus with a size of 1.5 cm².

Feeding was carried out twice a day (7 am and 3 pm) as total mixed ration, ad libitum, allowing for refusals of 5 - 10% of offered feed (DM basis). Refused feed was collected and weighed daily to adjust the feed offered and calculate dry matter intake.

Forage, concentrate ingredients, refusals and feces were sampled weekly for further analysis, which was carried out at the Laboratory of Animal Nutrition at UFRN. The analyses of dry matter (DM; method 934.01), ash (method 930.05), crude protein (CP; method 968.06), and ether extract (EE; method 920.39) were carried out according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2012). NDF was determined using heat-stable alpha-amylase according to the methodology described by Mertens (2002). Total carbohydrates (TC) were calculated using the equation proposed by Sniffen et al. (1992), and the contents of non-fibrous carbohydrates (NFC) were calculated according to Mertens (1997).

### Table 1. Ingredients and chemical composition of experimental diets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredient (g/kg DM)</th>
<th>Spineless cactus + SB³</th>
<th>Spineless cactus + EGH⁴</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spineless cactus</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugarcane bagasse</td>
<td>296.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elephant grass hay</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ground corn</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium chloride</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Mix</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urea</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dry matter (DM, %)¹</td>
<td>200.5</td>
<td>230.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organic Matter (OM)</td>
<td>914.2</td>
<td>921.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Protein (CP)</td>
<td>139.7</td>
<td>133.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether Extract (EE)</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>77.1</td>
<td>73.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF)</td>
<td>398.1</td>
<td>394.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF)</td>
<td>335.5</td>
<td>332.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Carbohydrates (TC)</td>
<td>772.6</td>
<td>774.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-fibrous carbohydrates (NFC)</td>
<td>368.9</td>
<td>374.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lignin (LIG)</td>
<td>69.4</td>
<td>72.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total digestible nutrients (TDN)²</td>
<td>76.01</td>
<td>68.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹% as organic matter, ²Determined by the digestibility test, ³Sugarcane bagasse, ⁴Elephant grass hay.
Total digestible nutrients (TDN) were estimated by a digestibility test carried out 48 days after beginning the experiment. Feces were collected directly from the rectum of animals once a day for five days, at different times (6 am, 8 am, 10 am, 12 pm and 2 pm). An internal marker (iNDF - indigestible neutral detergent fiber) was used to estimate fecal output (FO) on DM basis. Samples of leftovers, feed, and feces were placed in non-woven fabric bags and incubated in the rumen of cannulated sheep for 288 hours (Valente et al., 2015). The remaining incubation material was submitted to neutral detergent extraction for iNDF determination. The FO was calculated as follows: FO = ([marker consumed]/[marker in feces]). Dietary TDN was estimated using the equation of Weiss (1999). Chemical composition of the diets is shown in Table 1.

Feeding behavior analysis was performed on the 40th experimental day to assess times spent feeding, ruminating, resting, and total chewing time. Instant scan sampling was used to evaluate feeding behavior for 48 hours at 10-min intervals (Johnson and Combs, 1991). Feeding time (FT, h/day), and total chewing time (TCT, h/day) were evaluated following the methodology of Bürger et al. (2000).

The kids were weighed every 14 days after solid fasting for 16 hours. Total weight gain (TWG) was obtained by the difference between final weight (FW) and initial weight, while average daily gain (ADG) was obtained by the ratio of TWG over the number of days in feedlot until slaughter, not including the adaptation period: ADG = (WG/70). After 70 days in feedlot, in addition to the 30 of adaptation, the kids were individually weighed to obtain final weight (FW) after solid fasting of 16 hours. At slaughtering, the animals were stunned by concussion to cause unconsciousness and then bled with bilateral severance of the carotid arteries and jugular veins.

At the end of skinning and evisceration, the head and legs were removed for determination of hot carcass weight (HCW), which was then used to calculate hot carcass yield [HCY(%) = HCW/FW × 100]. Full and empty gastrointestinal tract (GIT), urinary bladder and gallbladder were weighed to determine empty body weight (EBW) and biological yield [BY (%) = HCW/EBW × 100].

The pH and carcass temperature were measured at 0 and 24 hours postmortem in the semimembranosus muscle using a pH meter equipped with glass electrode and a temperature probe (Testo®, model 205). Carcasses were placed in a cold chamber (± 4 ºC; 24 hours) and the chilled carcasses were weighed to obtain cold carcass weight (CCW).

The data were analyzed using the PROC GLM of SAS (version 9.4, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA, 2013) after testing for residual normality and homogeneity of variance. Given the high probability of Type II error, a significance level of α = 0.05 was used. When necessary, the means were compared by the F-test. The initial body weight was included as a covariate, as follows:

\[ Y_{ijk} = \mu + F_i + S_j + (F*S)_{ij}\beta(X_{ijk} - X) + e_{ijk}, \]

where:

- \( Y_{ijk} \) = Observed value of the dependent variable;
- \( \mu \) = Overall mean;
- \( F_i \) = Effect of forage source;
- \( S_j \) = Effect of sexual condition;
- \( (F*S)_{ij} \) = Effect of interaction (forage source x sexual condition);
- \( \beta(X_{ijk} - X) \) = Effect of the covariate (initial body weight);
- \( e_{ijk} \) = Experimental error.
Results

Fiber sources and sexual conditions did not affect \((p>0.05)\) nutrient intake (kg/day): dry matter intake (DMI), crude protein (CPI), neutral detergent fiber (NDFI) and non-fibrous carbohydrates (NFCI) (Table 2).

The fiber source influenced \((p<0.05)\) feeding behavior. The TSR was higher for animals fed SB, whereas the TSRU and TCT were higher for animals fed elephant grass hay (Table 3).

Final weight (FW), average daily gain (ADG), total gain (TG) and empty body weight (EBW), as well as qualitative carcass traits (pH and temperature at 0 and 24 hours post mortem) were not affected by diet, sexual condition, or their interaction \((p>0.05)\) (Table 4). Hot carcass weight (HCW) and cold carcass weight (CCW) were affected \((p<0.05)\) by sexual condition and fiber source, and were higher for intact animals, animals fed elephant grass hay (Table 4), respectively. There was a significant effect of diet \((p<0.05)\) on hot carcass yield (HCY) and biological yield (BY), with better results for animals fed elephant grass hay (Table 4).

Table 2. Dry matter intake, nutrient intake and coefficients of digestibility of diets for kids fed sugarcane bagasse and elephant grass hay as forage source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Diets</th>
<th>Sexual condition</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Diets</th>
<th>Sexual condition</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>SB10</td>
<td>EGH11</td>
<td>INT12</td>
<td>CAST13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMI (kg/day)</td>
<td>0.567</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>18.51</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPI (kg/day)</td>
<td>0.078</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>19.19</td>
<td>0.189</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDFI (kg/day)</td>
<td>0.219</td>
<td>0.258</td>
<td>0.256</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFCI (kg/day)</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.247</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.211</td>
<td>18.02</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMD (%)</td>
<td>66.12</td>
<td>62.88</td>
<td>64.51</td>
<td>64.49</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>0.795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPD (%)</td>
<td>74.26</td>
<td>70.95</td>
<td>72.50</td>
<td>72.71</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDFD (%)</td>
<td>41.00</td>
<td>40.04</td>
<td>40.83</td>
<td>40.21</td>
<td>11.36</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>0.815</td>
<td>0.608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFCD (%)</td>
<td>91.94</td>
<td>83.07</td>
<td>86.58</td>
<td>88.43</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>0.825</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDNI (kg/day)</td>
<td>0.431</td>
<td>0.459</td>
<td>0.471</td>
<td>0.412</td>
<td>16.91</td>
<td>0.364</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Dry matter intake, 2 Crude protein intake, 3 Neutral detergent fiber intake, 4 Non-fibrous carbohydrates intake, 5 Dry matter digestibility, 6 Crude protein digestibility, 7 Neutral detergent fiber digestibility, 8 Non-fibrous carbohydrates digestibility, 9 Total digestible nutrients intake, 10 Sugarcane bagasse, 11 Elephant grass hay, 12 Intact, 13 Castrated, 14 Diet, 15 Sexual condition.

Table 3. Feeding behavior of kids fed sugarcane bagasse and elephant grass hay as forage source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Diets</th>
<th>Sexual condition</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
<th>Diets</th>
<th>Sexual condition</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB5</td>
<td>EGH6</td>
<td>INT7</td>
<td>CAST8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSR (min)</td>
<td>1812.20</td>
<td>1539.00</td>
<td>1651.71</td>
<td>1699.50</td>
<td>11.16</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.499</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSRU (min)</td>
<td>865.62</td>
<td>1100.58</td>
<td>999.44</td>
<td>966.45</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>0.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FT (min)</td>
<td>202.17</td>
<td>240.43</td>
<td>228.84</td>
<td>213.76</td>
<td>20.14</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCT (min)</td>
<td>1067.80</td>
<td>1341.00</td>
<td>1228.29</td>
<td>1180.51</td>
<td>15.53</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>0.167</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Time spent resting, 2 Time spent ruminating, 3 Feeding time, 4 Total chewing time (FT + TSRU), 5 Sugarcane bagasse, 6 Elephant grass hay, 7 Intact, 8 Castrated, 9 Diet, 10 Sexual condition.
Table 4. Performance of goats fed sugarcane bagasse or elephant grass hay as forage source.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Items</th>
<th>Diets</th>
<th>Sexual condition</th>
<th>CV (%)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SB10</td>
<td>EGH11</td>
<td>INT12</td>
<td>CAST13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW (kg)</td>
<td>22.40</td>
<td>22.95</td>
<td>23.46</td>
<td>21.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADG (kg/day)</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWG (kg/day)</td>
<td>3.89</td>
<td>4.92</td>
<td>5.03</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCW (kg)</td>
<td>9.87</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>10.80</td>
<td>9.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCW (kg)</td>
<td>9.69</td>
<td>10.52</td>
<td>10.56</td>
<td>9.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBW (kg)</td>
<td>17.91</td>
<td>16.70</td>
<td>18.45</td>
<td>16.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCY (%)</td>
<td>43.81</td>
<td>46.87</td>
<td>45.69</td>
<td>44.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BY (%)</td>
<td>55.32</td>
<td>59.76</td>
<td>57.84</td>
<td>57.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 0h</td>
<td>6.84</td>
<td>6.79</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>6.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH 24h</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>5.62</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>5.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T9 0h (ºC)</td>
<td>34.98</td>
<td>34.03</td>
<td>33.84</td>
<td>35.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 24h (ºC)</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>6.73</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>6.61</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Final weight, 2Average daily gain, 3Total weight gain, 4Hot carcass weight, 5Cold carcass weight, 6Empty body weight, 7Hot carcass yield, 8Biological yield, 9Temperature, 10Sugarcane bagasse, 11Elephant grass hay, 12Intact, 13Castrated, 14Diet; 15Sexual condition.

Discussion

The DMI was lower than that recommended by the NRC (2007) for kids (820 g/day), which may be related to the feeding habit of goats. Despite being versatile, goats are classified as intermediate feeders (Hoffmann, 1989) and require longer periods for adaptation to new conditions, especially when removed from the Caatinga environment and allocated in individual pens with totally different diets, not only in terms of feed offered but also in terms of composition and assimilation. Garcez et al. (2020) report that even in feedlot systems, goats express selectivity and spend most of the time searching and selecting food, which influences both quality and quantity of the feed consumed besides chemical composition of leftovers causing variation in nutrient intake. Therefore, the typical feeding habit of goats not adapted to feedlot systems seems to have a greater influence on DMI than fiber source and sexual condition. Moreover, moisture content of diets, which contained 25 and 30% of spineless cactus, also contributed to the low DMI. Goats prefer less moist and more nutrient-rich feedstuffs, such as grasses, shrub and tree plants, due to their intrinsic selective capacity (Costa et al., 2015; Pinho et al., 2019).

The study of Lima Júnior et al. (2015) corroborates our argument when they calculated DMI of feedlot goats fed diets containing 30% spineless cactus associated with Tifton 85 hay (415 g DM/day) and cassava hay (544 g DM/day), reporting values lower than those obtained in the present study (567 and 670 g DM/day for BS and EGH, respectively).

The literature reports that DMI in ruminants can be physically limited by rumen filling, which is regulated by the proportion of total dietary NDF (Mertens, 1997, Alves et al., 2016). Goats, in turn, have a high intake capacity of forages with high NDF content (Formiga et al., 2020). When assessing fiber levels for goats, Branco et al. (2011) indicated a limitation in DMI from 35% of NDF in the diet, lower than the present study. However, diets had comparable NDF levels (40%), which led to similar NDF and DMI. The lack of effect of treatments on DM led to similar intakes of CP, NFC and TDN.

According to Pessoa et al. (2013), the carbohydrates present in the spineless cactus have high degradation rates, which may favor diet digestibility. An increase in dietary NFC concentration changes the microbial environment in the gastrointestinal tract of goats.
by increasing the amount of energy available in the rumen for bacterial growth (Ma et al., 2014; Ma et al., 2015; Pinho et al., 2019). This can increase nutrient digestibility. Thus, a greater inclusion of spineless cactus in sugarcane bagasse-based diets increased the digestibility of DM, CP and NFC in this treatment.

Muniz et al. (2012) evaluated the ruminal kinetics of the fibrous fraction of roughages, finding that an increase of approximately 3% lignin content in the feed, as found in the present study, decreases nutrient digestibility, justifying the lower values for EGH. Lignin is considered a limiting factor for fiber degradation due to its barrier effect that prevents microbial adhesion and colonization (Cabral et al., 2020).

The high digestibility of sugarcane bagasse-based diets reduced rumination and increased TSR since both DMI and NDFI, which could influence this characteristic, were similar between treatments. However, the low digestibility of elephant grass-based diets increased TSRU and, consequently, TCT, although feeding times were similar between diets.

In addition to the NDF level, fiber composition can influence behavioral activities, especially rumination due to physical barriers to the digestive action of microorganisms (Azevedo et al., 2013; Ramos et al., 2016). Thus, diets with similar NDF levels but composed of different feeds can predispose animals to different expenditures in daily activities (Carvalho et al., 2011).

Similarity of DMI and nutrient intake between treatments resulted in similar animal performance. Feed intake affects animal performance, since the ingested nutrients are converted into animal products (Pompeu et al., 2012).

Daily and total weight gain of kids were lower than the average 120 g/day expected by the NRC (2007). It is relevant to highlight that DMI did not reach the expected target (820 g/day), which would explain the low weight gain.

Regarding sex, it directly influences animal physiology. According to Pellegrini et al. (2012), intact males have higher growth speed than castrated males due to the anabolic effects of testosterone (Paim et al., 2011). It explains the higher results for HCW and CCW in intact animals (10.8 and 10.56 kg) in relation to castrated kids (9.86 and 9.64 kg). Both HCW and CCW are direct measures of body tissue composition (muscle, bone and fat).

Castration increases fat deposition in the carcass (Gois et al., 2018). In goats, fat deposition occurs primarily in the form of visceral fat, which is lost during evisceration (Rodrigues et al., 2013). Thus, it does not significantly influence the final carcass weight and yield.

The HCW and CCW results, although the highest for kids fed elephant grass hay, were similar to the findings of Lima Junior et al. (2015) for HCW (10.22 and 9.45 kg) and CCW (9.57 and 8.85 kg) for goats fed Tifton 85 hay and cassava hay associated with spineless cactus, respectively. This indicates that sugarcane bagasse and elephant grass hay are good alternatives to Tifton hay. The increase in hot carcass yield (HCY) and biological yield (BY) are in line with the increase in carcass weight. In a study with feedlot goats, Salles et al. (2013) reported lower BYs (50.95%) than those obtained in the present study for both forage sources (55.32 and 59.76% for SB and EGH, respectively). Biological yield was influenced by fiber source, most likely because when considering the empty body weight, this parameter is affected by variations in the gastrointestinal tract contents (Bezerra et al., 2017), which depends on diet intake.

The pH at 24 hours postmortem averaged 5.6, which is within the recommended range of 5.5-5.8 for carcasses of small ruminants after cooling (Della Malva et al., 2016). Therefore, it is possible that pre-slaughter handling procedures were carried out without animal stress. The temperature at 24 hours postmortem was high, possibly due to the cold chamber setup.

In conclusion, feeding intact or castrated kids with sugarcane bagasse or elephant grass hay
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does not affect nutrient intake and performance. However, feedlot goats fed elephant grass hay improve carcass traits if kept intact, which is our recommend combination of strategies.
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