Scientific research article / http://dx.doi.org/10.15446/rcciquifa.v48n3.84990

Volumetric behaviour of tetra-*n*-butyl ammonium bromidein various solvents at different temperatures

Shipra Baluja*, Elham Abdullah Mo Alnayab

Department of Chemistry, Saurashtra University, Rajkot-360005 (Gujarat) India.

*Correo electrónico: shipra_baluja@rediffmail.com

Received: July 11, 2019

Accepted: October 1, 2019

Abstract

Measurement of ultrasonic velocity, density and viscosity of solutions of Tetra Butyl Ammonium Bromide have been carried outin different solvents (water, methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol and 1-butanol) as functions of concentration (1 to 0.1 M) at different temperatures (298.15 K to 318.15K). Using these experimental data, various acoustical and apparent parameters such as acoustical impedance, intermolecular free length, adiabatic compressibility, molar compressibility, Van der Waals constant, relaxation strength, apparent molar isentropic compressibility, apparent molar volume have been evaluated. Further, some thermodynamic parameters such as Gibbs free energy of activation, enthalpy and entropy of activation have been evaluated. All these parameters have been evaluated to understand type of interactions present in studied solutions.

Key words: Tetra Butyl Ammonium Bromide, water, methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol, 1-butanol, acoustical and apparent parameters, Molecular interactions.

Resumen

Comportamiento volumétrico del bromuro de tetra-*n*butilamonio en varios solventes a diferentes temperaturas

La medición de la velocidad ultrasónica, la densidad y la viscosidad de algunas soluciones de bromuro de tetra-n-butilamonio se llevó a cabo en diferentes solventes (agua, metanol, etanol, 1-propanol y 1-butanol) en función de la concentración (1 a 0,1 M) y a diferentes temperaturas (298,15 K a 318.15 K). Utilizando estos datos experimentales, se evaluaron varios parámetros acústicos y aparentes, como la impedancia acústica, la longitud libre intermolecular, la compresibilidad adiabática, la compresibilidad molar, la constante de Van der Waals, la fuerza de relajación, la compresibilidad isentrópica molar aparente, el volumen molar aparente, etc. Además, se evaluaron algunos parámetros termodinámicos, como la energía de activación libre de Gibbs, la entalpía y la entropía de activación. Todos estos parámetros han sido evaluados para comprender el tipo de interacciones presentes en las soluciones estudiadas.

Palabras clave: bromuro de tetra-n-butilamonio, agua, metanol etanol, 1-propanol, 1-butanol, parámetros acústicos y aparentes, interacciones moleculares.

INTRODUCTION

One of most economical class of industrial compounds is quaternary ammonium salts which are known of their bioactive and surface active properties [1-5]. These salts consist of positively charged nitrogen atom with long or short chains and organic anions. However, these salts with cations of larger radii do not form strong hydrogen bonds with other solvent molecules in solution [6]. The ammonium ions with long alkyl chains have a strong tendency to enable the surrounding water molecules to form the clathrate like structure even in aqueous solution [7]. Due to the presence of both hydrophilic and hydrophobic groups in these quaternary ammonium salts, they have some peculiar properties such as high viscosities, long dielectric relaxation time, high apparent molar heat capacities and molar volume, low surface charge density, improved thermal and chemical stability etc.[8-14]. So, these quaternary ammonium salts with low melting points are also considered as ionic liquids [15, 16].

These quaternary ammonium ionic liquids are used in specific applications as pesticides, fungicides corrosion inhibitors, disinfectants, emulsifiers, surfactants catalysis, lubricant, coating materials etc. [17-25]. Further, these ionic liquids are used as electrolytes in high energy devices [26]. Quaternary ammonium salts are also used as ingredients in hair conditioners, shampoos and toothpastes [27]. Recently, tetra butyl ammonium bromide has emerged as mild, water-tolerant, inexpensive and environmentally compatible homogenous catalyst in various organic transformations [28-30].

Owing to their various applications in various fields, it would be interesting to study their volumetric properties in solutions. In recent years, the ultrasonic, volumetric and viscometric techniques have gained much importance due to their ability of characterizing physiochemical behavior of liquid systems [31-33]. These parameters enable evaluation of some useful thermodynamic and transport parameters, which can be used to explain the nature and extent of molecular interactions qualitatively; because the properties of pure substances are different from their mixtures [34, 35].

In the present work, acoustical and volumetric properties of an ionic liquid; tetra-nbutyl ammonium bromide (TBAB) have been studied in different solvents (water, methanol, ethanol, 1-propanol and 1-butanol) at different temperatures over a wide range of concentrations. A tetra alkyl ammonium cation is regarded as large spherical ion and a solute with hydrophobic surface [36] which affect the interactions in solutions. Thus, the volumetric behaviour of TBAB in different solvents can provide information regarding solute-solute and solute-solvent interactions.

Materials

The tetra-*n*-butyl ammonium bromide (TBAB) was purchased from Dutt Chemicals (Bhavnagar, India) and was used as such. The solvents, water, methanol, propanol, butanol were of HPLC grade supplied by Spectrochem Pvt. Ltd (Mumbai, India) and were purified by standard procedure [37]. The ethanol was supplied by Shree Chalthan Vibhag Khand UdyogSahakari Mandii Ltd. (Surat, India). The solutions of tetra-n-butyl ammonium bromidewere prepared in different alcohols over a wide range of concentrations. An electrical balance (Mettler Toledo AB204-S) with an accuracy of \pm 0.1mg was used for the preparation of solutions.

Measurements of density, viscosity and ultrasound velocity

The density and sound velocity of pure water, selected alcohols and solutions of TBAB were measured at different temperatures (298.15, 308.15, and 318.15 K) using Anton Paar sound velocity and density meter (DSA 5000M) with accuracies of $0.5 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$ and $0.005 \text{ kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$, respectively. The model DSA 5000 M simultaneously determines two physically independent properties within one sample. The two-in-one instrument is equipped with a density cell and a sound velocity cell thus combining the proven Anton Paar oscillating U-tube method with a highly accurate measurement of sound velocity. Both cells are temperature controlled by a built-in Peltier thermostat.

The viscosities of water, selected alcohols and different solutions of studied ionic liquid were measured at different temperatures (298.15, 308.15, and 318.15 K) using an Ubbelohde viscometer with a standard relative uncertainty of \pm 0.09. The temperature was controlled by the digital temperature controller of the NOVA viscosity bath with an accuracy of 0.5 °C. The pure solvent/solutions were allowed to attain the desired temperature in the viscosity bath before the measurements. A digital stopwatch with an accuracy of 0.01 s (Hanhart, Gütenbach, Germany) was used to measure the flow time of water, pure solvents and solutions.

Results and discussion

The experimentally measured density, sound velocity and sound velocity of pure water and selected alcohols are listed in table 1 along with literature values. It is observed that measured values are in good agreement with those reported in literature.

Solvents	Density, kg.m ⁻³	Sound velocity, m.s ⁻¹	Viscosity, 10 ³ Pa.s
Water	997.052 (997.00 ^{82*})	1496.49 (1496.3983*)	0.890 (0.890^{82^*})
Methanol	786.814 (786.636 ^{84*})	1102.63 (1102.54 ^{84*})	0.542 (0.542 ^{85*})
Ethanol	787.043 (786.60 ^{86*})	1148.11 (1145.0 ^{86*})	1.070 (1.079^{86^*})
Propanol	799.606 (799.620 ^{84*})	1205.46 (1205.8184*)	1.901 (1.915 ^{87*})
Butanol	805.998 (805.90 ⁸⁸)	1239.67 (1239.72 ^{84*})	2.547 (2.520^{89^*})

 Table 1. Experimental values of density, sound velocity and viscosity of pure solvents at 298.15K.

(*) The values in parenthesis are from literature.

The measured density, sound velocity and viscosity of solutions of tetra butyl ammonium bromide (TBAB) in different solvents are presented in table 2 at different temperatures. It is evident from table 2 that density, velocity, viscosity values of all solvents increase with increase the concentration and decreases with increase the temperature except for water, where at lower concentrations, velocity increases with increases with increase the concentrations.

From these experimental data, various acoustical and apparent properties have also been evaluated using equations reported earlier [38-40]:

Acoustical impedance (Z): $Z = U\rho$

Where U is the sound velocity and ρ is the density of solution.

Adiabatic compressibility (
$$\kappa_s$$
): $\kappa_s = \frac{1}{\rho U^2}$

Intermolecular free path length (L_f): $L_f = K_j \kappa_s^{1/2}$

Where K_i is a temperature dependent Jacobson's constant ($(93.875 + 0.375T) \cdot 10^{-8}$).

Relaxation strength (r): $r = 1 - \left(\frac{U}{U_{\infty}}\right)$

Where U_{∞} is Schaff's limiting value taken as 1600 m/s for liquids.

8
Ē.
2
at
H
Š
멑
H
e e
Ц
1
ŭ
, о
Æ
-=
0
멅
(0
3
ц
ē
\geq
0
s
Ļ
u
e e
GL
ъ
ïf
Ч
~
-H
\sim
щ
\triangleleft
B
È.
5
f
2
- 5
õ
ŭ
.s
-12
÷
ž
I
- È
÷E
Š
2
ંગ
≻
Ч
ē
Ξ
5
Š
5
-1
.1
č
G
Ъ
e o
Ч
H
•
2
e
7
4
- 50

Conc., mol/ lit	Density, kg/m ³	Velocity, ms ⁻¹	Viscosity .10 ³ Pa.s	Density, kg/m ³	Velocity, m.s ⁻¹	Viscosity.10 ³ Pa.s	Density kg/m ³	Velocity m.s ⁻¹	Viscosity.10 ³ Pa.s
		298.15K			308.15K			318.15K	
				W;	ater				
0.10	999.481	1520.3	1.002	996.269	1539.66	0.809	992.271	1552.90	0.670
0.20	1002.15	1545.24	1.121	998.717	1561.40	0.870	994.492	1571.36	0.729
0.40	1007.746	1591.37	1.427	1003.736	1598.21	1.130	999.003	1600.49	0.900
0.60	1013.528	1631.94	1.801	1008.868	1631.15	1.422	1003.582	1626.69	1.114
0.80	1019.138	1666.56	2.389	1013.787	1657.08	1.808	1007.931	1645.47	1.374
1.00	1023.473	1697.33	2.908	1017.594	1692.56	2.195	1011.331	1676.85	1.650
				Met	hanol				
0.10	797.85	1117.85	0.627	835.697	1085.67	0.588	825.3641	1053.77	0.521
0.20	807.231	1129.88	0.754	842.243	1097.66	0.657	832.0123	1066.06	0.579
0.40	826.925	1154.36	0.882	853.222	1123.03	0.825	844.662	1091.62	0.747
0.60	846.442	1180.42	1.025	868.209	1148.76	0.931	859.694	1117.63	0.813
0.80	864.913	1206.61	1.230	880.475	1174.43	1.079	872.11	1143.51	0.919
1.00	873.826	1233.63	1.430	888.26	1202.44	1.221	880.586	1172.66	1.055
									(Continued)

Shipra Baluja, Elham Abdullah Mo Alnayab

- S
_
H
Ę
ra
e e
문
<u> </u>
ц
ب
<u> </u>
Ľ
ச
ΞĐ
Ч
ц
Ľ,
5
ž
-1-
Š
Ę
5
ŭ
f
Ξ
Ч
n
m
Ā
m,
H
ίų.
2
~
, L
sit
cosit
scosit
viscosit
d viscosit
nd viscosit
and viscosit
y and viscosit
ity and viscosit
ocity and viscosit
clocity and viscosit
velocity and viscosit
d velocity and viscosit
nd velocity and viscosit
und velocity and viscosit
sound velocity and viscosit
, sound velocity and viscosit
ty, sound velocity and viscosit
sity, sound velocity and viscosit
nsity, sound velocity and viscosit
łensity, sound velocity and viscosit
edensity, sound velocity and viscosit
hedensity, sound velocity and viscosit
Thedensity, sound velocity and viscosit
. Thedensity, sound velocity and viscosit
: 2. Thedensity, sound velocity and viscosit
Je 2. Thedensity, sound velocity and viscosit
uble 2. Thedensity, sound velocity and viscosit
Table 2. Thedensity, sound velocity and viscosit

Conc., mol/ lit	Density, kg/m ³	Velocity, ms ⁻¹	Viscosity .10 ³ Pa.s	Density, kg/m ³	Velocity, m.s ^{.1}	Viscosity.10 ³ Pa.s	Density kg/m ³	Velocity m.s ⁻¹	Viscosity.10 ³ Pa.s
		298.15K			308.15K			318.15K	
				Eth	anol				
0.10	803.739	1173.95	1.218	795.162	1140.45	0.986	786.548	1107.34	0.880
0.20	814.261	1184.41	1.455	805.874	1152.17	1.194	797.276	1119.29	1.029
0.40	834.755	1207.47	1.808	826.499	1174.68	1.471	818.156	1142.21	1.274
0.60	850.381	1227.86	2.167	842.826	1195.97	1.808	834.597	1163.99	1.506
0.80	870.813	1248.22	2.607	862.929	1215.86	2.220	854.509	1183.8	1.834
1.00	880.832	1269.43	3.202	872.963	1238.04	2.573	865.008	1208.0	2.118
				Prol	panol				
0.10	814.054	1222.4	2.226	806.07	1188.63	1.990	797.914	1155.51	1.509
0.20	824.294	1231.98	2.516	816.518	1199.17	2.115	808.498	1166.36	1.703
0.40	836.027	1247.4	3.112	835.268	1216.56	2.611	826.257	1184.4	2.085
0.60	853.049	1262.22	3.895	850.786	1232.85	3.090	842.813	1201.7	2.386
0.80	870.517	1274.27	4.865	863.517	1244.26	3.812	856.003	1212.84	2.936
1.00	882.474	1288.63	5.964	875.09	1256.21	4.602	867.62	1224.98	3.466
									(Continued)

Volumetric behaviour of tetra-*n*-butyl ammonium bromide in various solvents

Conc., mol/ lit	Density, kg/m ³	Velocity, ms ⁻¹	Viscosity .10 ³ Pa.s	Density, kg/m ³	Velocity, m.s ^{.1}	Viscosity.10 ³ Pa.s	Density kg/m ³	Velocity m.s ⁻¹	Viscosity.10 ³ Pa.s
		298.15K			308.15K			318.15K	
				But	anol				
0.10	817.139	1250.98	2.939	809.503	1217.59	2.279	801.727	1184.88	2.009
0.20	826.829	1259.51	3.377	819.371	1226.56	2.679	811.701	1193.94	2.328
0.40	845.337	1276.19	4.218	837.825	1243.61	3.266	829.718	1210.07	2.825
0.60	860.234	1288.16	5.410	853.073	1256.28	4.120	845.613	1224.73	3.472
0.80	876.417	1299.53	7.041	867.609	1266.89	5.087	860.582	1235.92	4.070
1.00	886.803	1309.16	8.522	880.293	1276.89	6.302	873.102	1245.78	4.956

Table 2. The density, sound velocity and viscosity of TBAB in different solvents at different temperatures.

Molar compressibility (*W*): $W = \left(\frac{M}{\rho}\right) \kappa_s^{-1/7}$

Where *M* is the apparent molecular weight of solution and can be calculated according to the following equation: $M = M_1W_1 + M_2W_2$

Where W_1 and W_2 are weight fractions of solvent and solute respectively. M_1 and M_2 are molecular weights of the solvent and solute respectively.

Rao's molar sound function (R_m) : $R_m = \left(\frac{M}{\rho}\right) U^{1/3}$

Van der Waals constant (b):
$$b = \frac{M}{\rho} \left\{ 1 - \left[\frac{RT}{MU^2} \right] \left[\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{MU^2}{3RT} \right)} - 1 \right] \right\}$$

where R (8.3143 (J K⁻¹mol⁻¹)) is the gas constant and T is absolute temperature.

Solvation number (S_n) : $S_n = \frac{M_2}{M_1} \left[\frac{(100 - X)}{X} \right] \left[1 - \frac{K_s}{K_s^\circ} \right]$

Where X is the number of grams of solute in 100 g of the solution. M_1 and M_2 are the molar masses and κ_s^{0} and κ_s are adiabatic compressibility of pure solvent and solution respectively.

Internal pressure (π_i): $\pi_i = b' RT (K\eta / U)^{1/2} (\rho^{2/3} / M^{7/6})$

Where b' is the packing factor (=2), K is a constant (4.28 ×10⁹) and R is gas constant (8.3143J K⁻¹mol⁻¹).

Free volume
$$(V_f)$$
: $V_f = \left(\frac{MU}{K\eta}\right)^{3/2}$

Molar cohesive energy (MCE): $MCE = V_m \pi_i$

Where V_m is the molar volume.

Some of these evaluated parameters are listed in tables 3 and 4.

Conc. mol/lit	298.15K	308.15K	318.15K	298.15K	308.15K	318.15K	298.15K	308.15K	318.15K
	$L_{f}x10^{11}$,m		Z	x 10 ⁻⁶ , kg.m ⁻²	2 S ⁻¹		MCE,kJ mol	I.
				Water					
0.10	4.32	4.27	4.24	1.509	1.526	1.535	34.06	31.45	29.46
0.20	4.24	4.21	4.19	1.525	1.540	1.548	34.64	31.41	29.62
0.40	4.11	4.10	4.10	1.558	1.570	1.575	37.76	34.70	32.00
0.60	3.99	4.01	4.03	1.591	1.600	1.603	41.54	38.22	35.03
0.80	3.90	3.93	3.97	1.627	1.633	1.632	47.10	42.55	38.50
1.00	3.82	3.84	3.89	1.663	1.666	1.663	51.32	46.23	41.67
				Methan	ol				
0.10	6.57	6.80	7.05	0.941	0.907	0.829	32.68	36.47	32.99
0.20	6.46	6.69	6.93	0.961	0.924	0.850	34.98	36.10	33.93
0.40	6.25	6.46	6.68	0.995	0.958	0.893	36.83	38.11	37.45
09.0	6.04	6.24	6.45	1.035	0.997	0.933	38.84	39.25	38.19
0.80	5.84	6.03	6.23	1.073	1.034	0.977	41.71	41.27	39.78
1.00	5.65	5.83	6.00	1.106	1.068	1.014	44.13	4.36	41.73
				Ethano	I				
0.10	6.24	6.45	6.68	0.944	0.907	0.870	43.90	41.56	41.28
0.20	6.14	6.35	6.57	0.964	0.929	0.887	47.05	44.82	43.73

(Continued)

of	
(\mathbf{E})	
¥	
) C)	
lerg	
e er	
esiv	
sohe	
ar c	
lou	
ıpr	
() aı	
e(Z	
anc	
bed	
imi	
cal	
usti	
aco	
Ę,	
h (J	
ngt	
h le	
pat	
ree	
ar f	
scul	
loc	s.
cern	ture
int	erai
h a	du
suc	ntte
ers	erei
met	diff
araı	at
al p	ents
stic	olv
noc	nt s
le a	erei
jom	diff
3. S	Е.
ble	AB
Tal	ŢB

		aranne an							
Conc. mol/lit	298.15K	308.15K	318.15K	298.15K	308.15K	318.15K	298.15K	308.15K	318.15K
	$L_{f}x10^{11}$,	ш		Z,	x 10 ⁻⁶ , kg.m ⁻²	S ⁻¹		MCE,kJ mol ⁻¹	
				Ethano	I				
0.40	5.95	6.15	6.35	1.008	0.971	0.922	51.22	48.57	47.50
0.60	5.80	5.98	6.17	1.044	1.008	0.961	55.17	52.92	50.71
0.80	5.63	5.81	6.00	1.087	1.049	0.997	59.47	57.64	55.00
1.00	5.51	5.67	5.84	1.118	1.081	1.033	65.08	61.23	58.24
				1-Propan	lol				
0.10	5.95	6.15	6.36	0.995	0.958	0.922	57.58	57.23	52.37
0.20	5.87	6.06	6.26	1.016	0.979	0.943	60.24	58.03	54.69
0.40	5.73	5.90	6.10	1.048	1.016	0.979	65.82	63.27	59.37
0.60	5.60	5.77	5.95	1.083	1.049	1.013	72.56	67.85	62.54
0.80	5.47	5.68	5.85	1.120	1.074	1.038	79.97	74.59	68.65
1.00	5.34	5.59	5.75	1.155	1.099	1.063	87.33	81.17	73.85
				1-Butane	ol				
0.10	5.80	5.99	6.19	1.022	0.986	0:950	65.07	60.21	59.36
0.20	5.73	5.91	6.10	1.041	1.005	0.969	68.81	64.38	62.99
0.40	5.59	5.77	5.95	1.079	1.042	1.004	75.58	69.84	68.21
0.60	5.49	5.66	5.83	1.110	1.072	1.036	84.54	77.49	74.60
0.80	5.37	5.56	5.70	1.144	1.099	1.068	95.24	85.22	79.73
1.00	5.28	5.46	5.58	1.170	1.128	1.100	103.78	93.80	86.87

Table 4. Some different solver	acoustical para nts at different t	meters such as re emperatures.	elaxation streng	gth (r), Rao'sm	ıolar sound fi	ınction (R_m)aı	nd Van der W	áals constant ((b)of TBAB in
Conc., mol/lit	298.1K	308.1K	318.15K	298.15K	308.1K	318.15K	298.1K	308.15K	318.15K
		r		R_m .1	$0^4 m^{10/3} \cdot s^{-1/3} \cdot r$	nol ⁻¹		b.10 ⁵ m ³ . mol ⁻	1
				Water					
0.10	0.0971	0.0740	0.0580	3.202	3.230	3.257	2.559	2.569	2.582
0.20	0.0673	0.0477	0.0355	4.337	4.375	4.413	3.481	3.498	3.519
0.40	0.0108	0.0022	-0.0006	6.599	6.653	6.710	5.311	5.344	5.383
09.0	-0.0403	-0.0393	-0.0336	8.840	8.911	8.986	7.112	7.165	7.227
0.80	-0.0849	-0.0726	-0.0576	11.056	11.141	11.232	8.884	8.961	9.048
1.00	-0.1254	-0.1192	-0.0984	13.273	13.403	13.514	10.647	10.753	10.867
				Methan	ol				
0.10	0.5119	0.5396	0.5662	5.694	5.723	5.754	4.943	4.998	5.061
0.20	0.5013	0.5294	0.5561	7.126	7.173	7.223	6.165	6.245	6.334
0.40	0.4795	0.5073	0.5345	9.808	9.887	9.968	8.493	8.618	8.745
09.0	0.4557	0.4845	0.5121	12.285	12.387	12.493	10.648	10.806	10.969
0.80	0.4313	0.4612	0.4892	14.600	14.713	14.839	12.680	12.868	13.063
1.00	0.4055	0.4352	0.4628	16.739	16.872	17.013	14.626	14.847	15.061

(Continued)

Shipra Baluja, Elham Abdullah Mo Alnayab

Table 4. Some acoustical parameters such as relaxation strength (<i>r</i>), Rao'smolar sound function (<i>R_m</i>)and Van der Waals constant (<i>b</i>)of TBAB in different of different semicontries.
UNITICUE SOLVENES AL UNITERENT LENIPERATURES.

Conc., mol/lit	298.1K	308.1K	318.15K	298.15K	308.1K	318.15K	298.1K	308.15K	318.15K
		- L		R _m .1	$0^4 m^{10/3} \cdot s^{-1/3} \cdot r$	nol ⁻¹		b.10 ⁵ m ³ . mol ⁻	
				Ethano	1				
0.10	0.4617	0.4919	0.5210	7.501	7.525	7.549	6.573	6.640	6.710
0.20	0.4520	0.4814	0.5106	8.829	8.869	8.909	7.755	7.843	7.936
0.40	0.4305	0.4610	0.4904	11.322	11.385	11.450	9.962	10.088	10.219
0.60	0.4111	0.4413	0.4708	13.715	13.788	13.878	12.073	12.220	12.386
0.80	0.3914	0.4225	0.4526	15.814	15.912	16.027	13.907	14.090	14.292
1.00	0.3705	0.4013	0.4300	18.094	18.218	18.351	15.884	16.100	16.323
				1-Propa	lor				
0.10	0.4163	0.4481	0.4784	9.258	9.276	9.297	8.068	8.141	8.216
0.20	0.4071	0.4383	0.4686	10.484	10.514	10.547	9.150	9.239	9.333
0.40	0.3863	0.4219	0.4520	12.968	12.856	12.937	11.326	11.314	11.464
0.60	0.3700	0.4063	0.4359	15.179	15.089	15.174	13.262	13.286	13.450
0.80	0.3537	0.3952	0.4254	17.215	17.242	17.332	15.033	15.193	15.375
1.00	0.3303	0.3836	0.4138	19.328	19.324	19.430	16.835	17.026	17.235
									(Continued)

		cuiperatures.							
Conc., mol/lit	298.1K	308.1K	318.15K	298.15K	308.1K	318.15K	298.1K	308.15K	318.15K
		-		R_{m} .1	$0^4 m^{10/3} \cdot s^{-1/3} \cdot r$	nol ⁻¹		b.10 ⁵ m ³ . mol ⁻	
				Butano	1				
0.10	0.3887	0.4209	0.4516	11.065	11.082	11.101	9.626	9.706	9.789
0.20	0.3803	0.4123	0.4432	12.210	12.236	12.265	10.630	10.724	10.824
0.40	0.3638	0.3959	0.4280	14.370	14.418	14.475	12.519	12.645	12.785
0.60	0.3498	0.3835	0.4141	16.444	16.495	16.563	14.329	14.475	14.631
0.80	0.3342	0.3730	0.3985	18.354	18.448	18.547	15.981	16.194	16.369
1.00	0.3202	0.3581	0.3801	20.328	20.366	20.508	17.687	17.858	18.064

Table 4. Some acoustical parameters such as relaxation strength (r), Rao'smolar sound function (R_m) and Van der Waals constant (b) of TBAB in different solvents at diffe... Figures 1 and 2 show the variation of sound velocity of TBAB with concentration in water and in different solvents at different temperatures. It is clear from figures 1 and 2 that for all the solvents, sound velocity increases with increase in concentration of TBAB in all the studied solvents and temperatures. However, as temperature increases, velocity decreases.



Figure 1. The variation of sound velocity of TBAB in water at different temperatures. •: 298.15K, •: 308.15K, •: 318.15K.

The sound velocity is related to intermolecular free path length. It is evident from table 3 that intermolecular free path length (L_f) decreases with increase the concentration. As the name suggests, intermolecular free length is the distance between the solute and solvent molecules in a solution. At low concentrations, molecules are not so close sovalue of intermolecular free length is high but as concentration increases, molecules come closer and so intermolecular free length decreases. Thus, decrease in L_f suggests the decrease of distance between solute and solvent molecules due to existence of solute-solvent interactions [41].

So, when solute and solvent molecules come close to each other, velocity increases as evident from figures 1 and 2 in studied solvents. Thus, sound velocity is reverse of intermolecular free length. Thus, sound wave propagates with higher velocity in the closely packed arrangement of atoms than in the loosely packed arrangement of atoms [42, 43]. The increase of density and viscosity in solutions also indicates more attraction between solute and solvent molecules in solutions. [44]. However, when temperature increases, these interactions become weak [45] due to thermal disturbances which causes intermolecular free length to increase. This in turn, causes decrease of sound velocity with temperature.



Figure 2. The variation of sound velocity of TBAB in alcohols at [A] 298.15 K, [B] 308.15K and [C] 318.15K. Methanol (\blacklozenge), ethanol (\blacklozenge), propanol (\blacktriangle), butanol (\blacklozenge).

The predominance of strong association between solute and solvents is further supported by adiabatic compressibility (κ_s). Figure 3 shows the variation of adiabatic compressibility with concentration in different solvents. For simplicity, the graphical presentation is only for 298. 15 K. For other temperatures also, variation in adiabatic compressibility with concentration is of same nature.



[A]

Figure 3. The variation of adiabatic compressibility (κ_s) with concentration at 298.15K [A] in water and [B] in alcohols. Methanol (\blacklozenge), ethanol (\blacklozenge), propanol (\blacktriangle), butanol (\blacklozenge) and water (\blacklozenge).

It is observed that adiabatic compressibility (κ_s) also decreases with concentration. The decrease in adiabatic compressibility is attributed to the influence of the electrostatic

field of ions which results in aggregation of solvent molecules around solute molecule indicating strong solvent-solute interactions [46]. The adiabatic compressibility increases with increase the temperature due to increase in thermal agitation. The existence of solvent-solute interactions in studied solution is further confirmed by decrease of relaxation strength (r) and increase of acoustical impedance (Z) with concentration as shown in tables 3 and 4. Reverse is true with increase in temperature.

Figure 4 shows the variation of molar compressibility with concentration of TBAB in studied solvents. It is observed that there is linear change increase in molar compressibility. The Van der Waals constant (*b*) represents the volume occupied by the molecules of a liquid. It is a useful parameter to understand the nature of interactions between the solute and solvent. Table 4 shows that Van der Waals constant (*b*) increases linearly with increase the concentration in all the solvent and at different temperatures and the order is: 1-butanol > 1-propanol > ethanol > methanol > water. The linear variation in Rao's molar sound function (R_m) is also observed in table 4.



Figure 4. The variation of molar compressibility with concentration of TBAB in studied solvents at 298.15 K. Methanol (\diamond), ethanol (\blacksquare), propanol (\blacktriangle), butanol (\blacklozenge) and water (\bullet).

The extent of linear variation of some of the acoustical parameters is given in table 5 by least square fitting equations and correlation coefficients (γ). It is observed that for most of the parameters, correlation coefficients (γ) is greater than 0.9918. The linear change in Rao's molar sound function (R_m), Van der Waals constant (b) and molar compressibility (W) suggest absence of complex formation in these solutions [47].

Parameters solvents	Water	Methanol	Ethanol	1-Propanol	1-Butanol
U,m.s ⁻¹	197.46C + 1506.8	128.64C+1104	105.96C + 1163.8	94.389C + 1213.5	75.669C +1244.5
	$\gamma = 0.993$	$\gamma = 0.9996$	$\gamma = 0.9996$	$\gamma = 0.9973$	$\gamma = 0.9987$
r, kg m ⁻³	0.0272C + 0.9969	0.0957C + 0.7884	0.0875C + 0.7973	0.0765C+ 0.8072	0.0784C + 0.8116
	$\gamma = 0.9983$	$\gamma = 0.9999$	$\gamma = 0.9915$	$\gamma = 0.9964$	$\gamma = 0.9925$
κ _s .10 ¹⁰ ,	-1.0442C + 4.3821	-2.4318C+9.6989	-2.2176C +9.1815	-1.7703C + 8.3563	-1.4946C + 7.9165
m ² .N ⁻¹	$\gamma = 0.9845$	$\gamma = 0.9973$	$\gamma = 0.9928$	$\gamma = 0.9978$	$\gamma = 0.9918$
L _f .10 ¹¹ ,m	-0.5532C + 4.3506	-0.8716C+6.4747	-0.8136C + 6.2983	-0.6754C + 6.0069	-0.581C + 5.8448
	$\gamma = 0.9883$	$\gamma = 0.9987$	$\gamma = 0.9953$	$\gamma = 0.9989$	$\gamma = 0.9939$
R_m .10 ⁴ ,m ^{10/3} .s ^{-1/3} .	1.119C+ 0.2103	1.218C +0.4196	1.1716C + 0.6493	1.1169C + 0.8301	1.0261C + 1.016
mol ⁻¹	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 0.9996$	$\gamma = 0.9988$	$\gamma = 0.9984$	$\gamma = 0.9992$
$b.10, m^{3}.mol^{-1}$	9E-05C + 2E-05	1.0788C +0.3711	1.0302C+ 0.5703	0.9733C + 0.725	0.8931C + 0.8847
	$\gamma = 0.9999$	$\gamma = 0.9994$	$\gamma = 0.9985$	$\gamma = 0.9981$	$\gamma = 0.999$
W.10 ³ , m ³ .mol ⁻¹)	2.1124C+ 0.3987	2.2978C +0.7843	2.2118C + 1.201	2.0905C + 1.54	1.9347C + 1.8832
(Nm ²) ^{1/7}	$\gamma = 1$	$\gamma = 0.9997$	$\gamma = 0.9989$	$\gamma = 0.9985$	$\gamma = 0.9992$
4	-0.2483C + 0.1157	-0.118C +0.5252	-0.1011C + 0.4717	-0.0933C+ 0.4255	-0.076 C + 0.3954
	$\gamma = 0.9845$	$\gamma = 0.9973$	$\gamma = 0.9928$	$\gamma = 0.9978$	$\gamma = 0.9918$

Table 5. The Least square equations and regression coefficients(γ) of TBAB in differentsolvents at 298.15K.

Volumetric behaviour of tetra-*n*-butyl ammonium bromide in various solvents

The internal pressure (π_i) is the resultant of the forces of attraction and repulsion between the molecules in a liquid [48]. Cohesion creates a pressure within a liquid of value between 10³ to 10⁴ atmospheres. The important factor is that the internal pressure is sensitive to external pressure also. Figure 5 [A] shows the variation of internal pressure with concentration in all the selected solvents at 298.15 K. It is observed that internal pressure of TBAB in studied solvents is found to increase with increasing the concentration of the solutions and decrease with increase the temperature. This is because as temperature increases, there is a tendency for the ions to move away from each other, reducing the possibility for the interaction [49]. The increase in internal pressure with concentration indicates ordered structural arrangement due to molecular association between solute and solvent molecules [50].



Figure 5. The variation of [A] internal pressure and [B] free volume with concentration of TBAB in studied solvents at 298.15 K. Methanol (\blacklozenge), ethanol (\blacksquare), propanol (\blacktriangle), butanol (\bullet) and water (\bullet). 740

From internal pressure, free volumes of solutions have also been evaluated. Free volume indicates the void space between the molecules i.e., the volume present due to irregular packing of molecules [48]. Figure 5 [B] shows the variation of free volume with concentration in all the selected solvents at 298.15K. It is observed that in studied solutions, free volume decreases in all the solutions indicating thereby more interaction between solute and solvent molecules, which causes compact packing [51]. The order of decrease is:1-butanol < 1-propanol<ethanol<water< methanol. It means that it increases with increase in the chain length of alcohols due to the increase the ability of making hydrogen bonds, which decrease with increase the temperature.

Comparison of figures 5 [A] and [B] shows that free volume is inverse of internal pressure. Similar results have been reported by other workers [52]. Thus, increase in the internal pressure and decrease of free volume indicate increase the cohesive forces in the solution [53]. The increase in temperature has two opposing effects, namely structure formation (intermolecular association) and structure destruction. The structure-forming tendency is primarily due to solvent–solute interactions while destruction of the previously formed structure is due to thermal fluctuations. When the thermal energy is greater than that of interaction energy, it causes destruction of the structure previously formed [54]. As evident from results, solvent-solute interactions predominate in studied solutions.

Molar cohesive energy (MCE) is the parameter, which relates the free energy state of the liquid system to the escaping tendency. The plot of MCE vs. concentration in figure 6. It is evident from the figure that there is linear increase with concentration of TBAB in all solvents. It is observed that MCE increases with increase in concentration and decreases with increase of temperature and the order is: 1-butanol >1-propanol>ethanol> water >methanol. The reason for slighter MCE values for water in comparison to methanol may be because of the fact that viscosity of water is higher than methanol. The overall increase of MCE with concentration confirms the enhancement of the structure-forming tendency of TBAB molecules [55].

Solvation number is another parameter, which gives the information about structure forming tendency or structure breaking tendency of a solute in solutions. The solvation number can be positive or negative. The positive solvation number suggests structure-forming tendency of solute whereas negative solvation number indicates structure-breaking tendency of solute in a solvent [56]. Figure 7 shows that for the studied solutions, solvation number values are positive at all temperatures in all solvents. The order of solvation number in studied solvents is: water > methanol > ethanol>1-propanol>1-butanol. The probability of ions getting closer to the solvent molecules is increased, enhancing the interactions between solute and solvent molecules [57].



Figure 6. The variation of molar cohesive energy with concentration of TBAB studied solvents at 298.15 K. Methanol (\diamond), ethanol (\blacksquare), propanol (\blacktriangle), butanol (\blacklozenge) and water (\bullet).



Figure 7. The variation of solvation number with concentration of TBAB in studied solvents at 298.15 K. Methanol (\blacklozenge), ethanol (\blacksquare), propanol (\blacktriangle), butanol (\blacklozenge) and water (\blacklozenge).

The behaviour of a solute in a solution can also be predicted by evaluating their apparent properties. So, for studied solutions, apparent molar volume and apparent molar compressibility were evaluated t different temperatures.

The apparent molar volume (φ_{ν}) of a solute is defined as the difference between the volume of the solution and the volume of the pure solvent per mole of solute and is given by:

$$\varphi_{V} = \frac{M_{2}}{\rho} - \frac{\left(\rho - \rho_{0}\right)}{m\rho\rho_{0}}$$

Where *m* denotes the number of moles of the solute per kilogram of solvent (molality), ρ and ρ_0 are densities of solution and solvent, respectively and M_2 is the molar mass of the solute. The evaluated values of apparent molar volume are listed in table 6.

Conc. M	Apparent molar volume. 10 ⁶ m ³ /mole					
			298.15 K			
	Water	Methanol	Ethanol	1-Propanol	1-Butanol	
0.10	298.186	263.799	188.965	215.329	251.842	
0.20	296.123	269.620	223.004	236.722	258.421	
0.40	293.088	262.406	234.643	271.738	258.191	
0.60	290.536	254.558	244.974	266.521	261.826	
0.80	288.637	248.656	237.160	259.479	258.027	
1.00	288.487	241.458	246.829	261.678	262.793	
			308.15 K			
0.10	301.154	264.615	189.773	215.937	257.667	
0.20	299.264	270.608	223.401	236.820	261.352	
0.40	296.792	263.243	235.493	247.736	260.941	
0.60	294.683	255.414	244.498	254.095	263.509	
0.80	293.163	248.915	237.804	259.609	263.015	
1.00	293.110	241.696	247.776	262.795	263.483	
		318.15 K				
0.10	304.179	265.335	188.852	216.640	255.102	
0.20	302.595	271.589	224.140	237.479	260.546	
0.40	300.527	264.174	236.094	252.854	263.224	
0.60	298.739	256.136	245.370	255.732	264.164	
0.80	297.486	249.462	239.250	260.481	263.229	
1.00	297.449	241.827	248.632	263.832	264.199	

Table 6. Apparent molar volume of TBAB in different solvents.

It is observed that apparent molar volume decreases with concentration for water and methanol whereas for ethanol, 1-propanol and 1-butanol, values increase with concentration. The values are highest for 1-butanol, which is in agreement with that of other workers [58]. The values of apparent molar volume depend on type of salt, size of ions etc. In the present study, values of apparent molar volume are positive and large which is due to large organic ion with large intrinsic volume [58]. Further, large apparent molar volume is attributed to strong attractive interactions due to hydrogen bonding [59].

The reason for decrease of apparent molar volume with concentration in water and methanol is not clear. Hooshyar and Khezri have also reported similar decrease of apparent molar volume with concentration in binary and ternary systems [14] and the reason is not discussed. With temperature, there is slight increase in apparent molar volume in all the studied solvents.

The limiting apparent molar volume is evaluated by extrapolation of experimental apparent molar volume values using the following equation [60]:

$$\varphi_V = \varphi_V^0 - S_V C^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Where *C* is the molar (mol/L) concentration and S_V is coefficient which is a measure of solute-solute interactions [61].

From the plot of φ_V vs. $C^{1/2}$, the values of φ_V^0 and S_V were evaluated from the intercept and slope respectively and are listed in table 7.

A relation between thermodynamics and acoustics can be obtained from the density and sound velocity measurements, which combined to calculate the apparent molar isentropic compressibility (φ_{\varkappa}), by the equation:

$$\varphi_{\kappa} = \left(\kappa_{s}\rho_{0} - \kappa_{s,0}\rho\right) + \frac{M_{2}\kappa_{s}}{\rho}$$

Where M_2 is the molar mass of TBAB, \varkappa_s and $\varkappa_{s,0}$ refer to the adiabatic compressibility of the solution and solvent, respectively. The evaluated values of apparent molar compressibility are listed in table 8.

The apparent molar isentropic compressibility φ_x values are negative in all solvents. Further, apparent molar compressibility in studied solvents increases with increase in concentration. The negative apparent molar isentropic compressibility indicates that the solvent surrounding TBAB ion would cause greater resistance to compression than the bulk, and this decreases with increase the chain length of alcohols [62]. The observed results are in agreement with other workers while studying different

Solvent	Φ _V ⁰ .10 ⁶ m3.mol ⁻¹	S _{V.} 10 ⁶ m ^{9/2} .mol ^{-3/2}	Φ _κ ⁰ .10 ¹⁴ m ⁵ .N ⁻¹ .mol ⁻¹	S _κ .10 ¹⁴ m ^{13/2} .N ⁻¹ .mol ⁻¹
		298.15K		
Water	302.76 (302.9)*1,2	-15.13	-1.93 (-1.8711)*1	0.68
Methanol	281.54(286.1)	-36.59	-7.95	2.95
Ethanol	180.98	71.26	-6.79	4.17
1-Propanol	208.78	63.66	-5.44	2.92
1-Butanol	250.6	11.68	-4.24	1.95
		308.15K		
Water	304.83 (303.15)*1	-12.49	-1.64	0.62
Methanol	282.88	-37.59	-8.71	4.05
Ethanol	181.56	71.19	-8.73	4.75
1-Propanol	203.00	63.71	-6.35	3.51
1-Butanol	256.56	7.53	-3.91	1.44
		318.15K		
Water	307.25(305.447)*1	-10.46	-1.33	0.50
Methanol	296.86	-53.64	-9.21	4.08
Ethanol	180.57	73.53	-9.71	5.31
1-Propanol	204.58	63.85	-7.08	3.93
1-Butanol	254.00	11.43	-3.66	1.12

Table 7. The coefficients of apparent molar properties in solutions of TBAB in different solvents at different temperatures.

*1-(9), *2-[45]

quaternary ionic liquids [63]. In water and methanol, values increases with temperature whereas in other three alcohols, values decreases with temperature. Similar behavior was observed for some other electrolytes also [64].

It has been reported that large organic cation (tetra butyl ammonium) has high intrinsic compressibility as compared to the small monoatomic bromide anion. The bromide ions remain unsolvated, thus ruling out the possibility to have intrinsic compressibility. So, it is assumed that compressibility of the solution is unaffected by poor solvation of bromide ions. Further, there may be possibility of another effect where solvent molecules can penetrate into the intra-ionic free space. This is an electrostriction effect and causes constriction in the solution volume. The predominance of the penetration effect

Concentration (M), mol.l ⁻¹	Apparent molar isentropic compressibility 10 ¹⁴ m ⁵ .N ⁻¹ .mol ⁻¹					
			298.15K			
	Water	Methanol	Ethanol	1-Propanol	Butanol	
0.10	-1.605	-6.207	-8.153	-5.406	-3.683	
0.20	-1.611	-5.460	-6.086	-4.392	-3.305	
0.40	-1.519	-4.966	-5.014	-3.275	-3.017	
0.60	-1.412	-4.642	-4.355	-3.037	-2.689	
0.80	-1.305	-4.430	-4.115	-2.866	-2.530	
1.00	-1.304	-4.241	-3.740	-2.672	-2.305	
			308.15K			
0.10	-1.330	-9.098	-8.973	-5.891	-3.145	
0.20	-1.354	-7.081	-6.805	-4.871	-3.200	
0.40	-1.248	-5.985	-5.534	-4.048	-3.078	
0.60	-1.161	-5.414	-4.839	-3.592	-2.806	
0.80	-1.064	-5.075	-4.533	-3.195	-2.606	
1.00	-1.030	-4.824	-4.124	-2.928	-2.434	
			318.15K			
0.10	-1.099	-9.451	-10.015	-6.621	-2.705	
0.20	-1.131	-7.558	-7.565	-5.447	-3.129	
0.40	-1.025	-6.487	-6.143	-4.442	-3.106	
0.60	-0.953	-5.903	-5.366	-3.993	-2.951	
0.80	-0.865	-5.548	-4.999	-3.545	-2.771	
1.00	-0.854	-5.296	-4.579	-3.243	-2.588	

Table 8. Apparent molarisentropic compressibility of TBAB in different solvents.

over the effect of intrinsic compressibility of the tetra-*n*- alkyl ammonium ions causes negative φ_{*} values [65]. As chain length increases, intrinsic compressibility overrides the penetration effect [66].

In case of methanol solutions, the penetration of the solvents molecules into the intra ionic free space of TBAB may be high. This may cause decrease of apparent molar isentropic compressibility with increase the concentration of the TBAB in the solution. This effect decreases with increase the chain length of alcohols. To evaluate limiting apparent molar isentropic compressibility, the following Gucker's equation [67] was used:

$$\varphi_{\kappa} = \varphi_{\kappa}^{0} - S_{\kappa}C^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Where φ_{\varkappa}^{0} and S_{\varkappa} are the coefficients and can be evaluated from the intercept and slope respectively from linear plots. The evaluated values of these coefficients are given in table 8.

It is evident from table 8 that all the coefficients i.e., φ_{ν}^{0} , S_{ν} , φ_{z}^{0} and S_{z} vary systematically in alcohols from ethanol to 1-butanol. Whereas for methanol, these values are either high or low. Further, for all the studied solvents, φ_{ν}^{0} values are large and positive whereas φ_{z}^{0} values are negative. As φ_{ν}^{0} is a measure of solute solvent interaction, the positive value indicates strong solute solvent interactions. This suggests structure-forming tendency of TBAB in studied solvents.

The higher φ_{ν}^{0} value in methanol may due to the ability of the short chain of alcohol to penetrate into the intra-ionic free space and this effect decreases with increase the concentration of TBAB in the solution. As chain length of alcohols increases from ethanol to 1-butanol, there may be possibility of hydrogen bonding, which affects interactions of TBAB with alcohols. The order is: 1-butanol>1-propanol>ethanol. Similar result was observed by Mohammed Taghi *et al.* [62], where in methanol solutions have higher value of φ_{ν}^{0} as compared to ethanolic solution. The observed φ_{ν}^{0} value in water and methanol are in agreement with those observed by other workers. The values reported by these workers are given in table 8 in parenthesis.

In case of water, large organic ions may form clathrate like structure with water molecules. The tendency of making such structure decreases with increase the concentration of the TBAB. The observed values of limiting apparent molar volume for water and methanol in the present study are in agreement with those reported by other workers [68]. So, in water solutions, highest values of apparent molar volume, limiting apparent molar volume and apparent molar compressibility are observed (see table 8). Further, φ_V^0 values are not much affected by the temperature.

The S_{ν} values are negative for water and methanol whereas for rest of the alcohols, values are positive. The negative S_{ν} means that solute-solvent interaction is stronger than solute-solute interactions. Reverse is true in other alcohols. Among alcohols, S_{ν} values decrease with increase the chain length of the alcoholsexcept in methanol. Since S_{ν} is a measure of solute-solute interactions, the negative values indicate the presence of weak solute-solute interactions. Among other three alcohols, S_{ν} is minimum in 1-butanol.

This again proves that magnitude of solute-solvent interactions is maximum in 1-butanol as compared to ethanol and 1-propanol.

The φ_{x}^{0} values are negative for all the studied solvents. It is higher in water than alcohols. Among alcohols, it is maximum in 1-butanol. The negative φ_{x}^{0} again proves existence of solute-solvent interactions in the studied solutions. In water, φ_{x}^{0} values are less negative. This behavior can be explained by strong solute-solute interactions due to hydrophobic nature of TBAB due to which it is difficult for solvent molecules to penetrate in interionic free space. Further, negative and lower values of S_{x} is in agreement with the negative change in apparent molar volume values [69].

Viscosity is one of the most important factors, which affect mobility of ions/molecules. It has been reported [70, 71] that viscosity measurements are very useful in providing information regarding ion-solvent interaction, particularly as regards the modification induced by the solute on the solvent structure.

In the present study, viscosity of solutions is also found to increase with concentration. The viscosity depends on nature of solvent and intermolecular force. The viscosity of solution in different concentrations and temperatures is also affected by various molecular interactions present in solutions. The concentration and temperature dependence of viscosity coefficient of solutions also provided useful insights to the extent of ionic solvation [72]

For this, the following Jones-Dole equation [73] is used which describe the relative viscosities as a function of concentration.

$$\frac{\eta}{\eta o} = 1 + AC^{\frac{1}{2}} + B$$

Where η and η_0 are the viscosity coefficients of the solution and solvent respectively. *A* is known as Falkenhagen coefficient which reflects solute-solute interactions. The viscosity *B* coefficient is an empirical parameter, which gives information regarding solute-solvent interactions. *B* parameter is related to the size of solute and molar volume of the solvent [74, 75]. Thus, *B* values reflect the net structural effects of the solute and solvent molecules.

It is observed that A is negative for all the selected solvents and is very small as compared to coefficient B, which suggests that there is a weak ion-ion interaction. It is reported that for organic electrolytes, A value can be neglected at moderate or high concentrations [75, 76]. So, above equation is simplified as:

$$\frac{\eta}{\eta o} = 1 + BC$$

The value of coefficient *B* can be obtained from the slope of linear plot of $(\eta/\eta_{o}-1)$ vs. *C*. Table 9 shows the values of *B* for all the solutions. The values of the *B* coefficient for all studied solvents at all studied temperatures are positive, indicating that the solute solvent interactions are strong [77, 78] so TBAB ions behave as structure makers. Further, as temperature increases, *B* values decrease. Further, as number of carbon atoms increases in alcohols, *B*-coefficient increase.

Table 9. The coefficient *B* of Jones-Dole equation for solutions of TBAB in studiedsolvents at different temperatures.

Solvents	298.15K	308.15K	318.15K
Water	2.389	1.750	1.228
Methanol	1.566	1.269	1.046
Ethanol	1.978	1.632	1.271
1-Propanol	2.160	1.521	1.119
1-Butanol	2.439	1.711	1.248

Some thermodynamic parameters such as enthalpy (ΔH^*), Gibbs free energy(ΔG^*) and entropy (ΔS^*) have also been evaluated from acoustic data by the following equations [76, 77]:

$$\Delta G^{\cdot} = RT ln \left(\frac{\eta V_m}{h N_A} \right)$$
$$\left(R ln \left(\frac{\eta V_m}{h N_A} \right) = \frac{\Delta H^{\cdot}}{T} - \Delta S^{\cdot} \right)$$

Where *R* is the gas constant, *h* is Plank constant, N_A is Avogadro number, V_m is the molar volume, and η is the viscosity.

The enthalpy and entropy can be evaluated from the slope and intercept of the plot of

$$Rln\left(\frac{\eta V_m}{bN_A}\right)$$
 vs. $1/T$.

The evaluated enthalpy and entropy values are reported in table 10. It is observed enthalpy values are positive whereas entropy are negative. The positive enthalpy suggests endothermic process in studied solutions. The negative entropy indicates that formation of the transition state is associated with an increase in order relative to the initial state [76, 77]. This is expected when the free volume in the liquid has to be rearranged in order to provide sufficient space to the moving particles for jumping from their initial position to the activated transition state. The positive Gibbs energy of flow suggest that the process is non-spontaneous.

	Enthalpy, kJ.mol ⁻¹							
Conc., mol/l	Water	Methanol	Ethanol	1-Propanol	1-Butanol			
0.10	15.194	6.0178	11.647	14.066	13.924			
0.20	16.276	9.1973	12.513	14.247	13.648			
0.40	17.411	5.508	12.704	14.957	14.767			
0.60	18.106	8.0999	13.296	18.418	16.065			
0.80	20.885	10.442	12.811	18.816	20.467			
1.00	21.364	11.009	15.25	20.264	20.321			
		Entropy, J.K	¹ mol ⁻¹					
0.10	-168.73	-199.35	-186.13	-183.43	-185.94			
0.20	-167.40	-190.76	-185.14	-183.96	-188.26			
0.40	-166.47	-204.67	-186.33	-183.40	-186.27			
0.60	-166.32	-197.17	-185.85	-173.50	-183.80			
0.80	-159.40	-190.68	-188.87	-173.84	-171.13			
1.00	-159.47	-189.82	-182.23	-170.56	-173.14			

Table 10. The variation of the enthalpyand entropy with concentration in differentsolvents.

Figure 8 shows the variation of Gibb's free energy with concentration. It is observed from figure 8 that Gibbs free energy values are positive and increases linearly with increase in temperature and concentration of TBAB in all solvents. The positive Gibbs free energy may be attributed to specific interactions like hydrogen bonding and charge transfer [78, 79]. The increase of Gibbs free energy suggests closer packing of the molecules due to bonding of unlike molecules in the solutions [80]. The increase in Gibbs free energy with temperature suggests that more time is required for the cooperative process or the rearrangement of molecules in the solution.



Figure 8. The variation of Gibbs free energy with concentration of TBAB in different solvents at [A] 298.15 K, [B] 308.15 K and [C] 318.15 K. Methanol (♦), ethanol (■), propanol (▲), butanol (●) and water (●).

Comparison of Gibbs free energy values between alcohols shows as chain length increases, Gibbs free energy increases i.e., order is: butanol>propanol>ethanol>met hanol> water. The result ΔH^* and ΔG^* were positive while the ΔS^* are negative at all concentrations indicating thereby presence of specific interaction between the solute and solvents. It has been reported that positive Gibbs free energy mostly causes endothermic type of reactions [81-89].

Thus, it is concluded that in all the studied solutions, solute-solvent interactions exist and the magnitude of these interactions vary in different solvents. However, when temperature increases, these interactions become weak due to thermal disturbances. The solute-solvent interactionsincreases with increase in the chain length of alcohols due to the increase the ability of making hydrogen bonds, which decrease with increase the temperature. The specific interaction between the solute and solvents is again confirmed by negative entropy. The positive enthalpy suggests endothermic process in studied solutions. Whereas positive Gibbs energy of flow suggest that the process is non-spontaneous.

Acknowledgement

Authors are thankful to Head of Chemistry Department, Saurashtra University for providing facilities.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the authors.

References

- 1. Z. Wei, X. Wei, Xiuhong, Z. Wang, J. Liu, Ionic liquid crystals of quaternary ammonium salts with a 2-hydroxypropoxy insertion group, *J. Mater. Chem.*, **21**,6875-6882 (2011).
- 2. J.I. Kadokawa, *Ionic Liquids-New Aspects for the Future*, InTech, 2013.
- 3. A. Stojanovic, C. Morgenbesser, D. Kogelnig, R. Krachler, B.K. Keppler, *Ionic Liquids: Theory, Properties, New Approaches*, A. Kokorin (Ed.), Intech Open, 2011.

- 4. R.T. Carson, E. Larson, S.B. Levy, B.M. Marshall, A.E. Aiello, Use of antibacterial consumer products containing quaternary ammonium compounds and drug resistance in the community, *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.*, **62**, 1160-1162 (2008).
- 5. H. Kleszczyńska, S. Matyjasik, J. Sarapuk, D. Grobelny, S. Witek, Interaction of some quaternary ammonium salts with red cells and planar lipid membranes, *Studia Biophys.*, **84**, 173-178 (1981).
- B. Dmochowska, K. Sikora, A. Woziwodzka, J. Piosik, B. Podgórska, Mutagenic activity of quaternary ammonium salt derivatives of carbohydrates, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 12, 1434-1439 (2016).
- 7. S.P.V. Vladimi, E. Yanenko, I. Krossing, R. Kalb, Thermochemistry of ammonium based ionic liquids: Tetra-alkyl ammonium nitrates. Experiments and computations, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, **51**, 107-113 (2012).
- 8. E.R. Nightingale Jr., Viscosity of aqueous solutions. III. Tetramethylammonium bromide and the role of the tetraalkylammonium ions, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **66**, 894-897 (1962).
- 9. S. Lindenbaum, G.E. Boyd, Osmotic and activity coefficients for the symmetrical tetraalkylammonium halides in aqueous solution at 25°C, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **68**, 911-917 (1964).
- B.J. Levien, Some physical properties of aqueous solutions of tetramethylammonium bromide and tetramethylammonium iodide, *Aust. J. Chem.*, 18, 1161-1170 (1965).
- 11. H.S. Frank, W.Y. Wen, Ion-solvent interaction. Structural aspects of ion-solvent interaction in aqueous solutions: a suggested picture of water structure, *Disc. Faraday Soc.*, 24, 133-140 (1957).
- 12. H. Ruterjans, F. Schreiner, U. Sage, T. Ackermann, Apparent molal heat capacities of aqueous solutions of alkali halides and alkylammonium salts, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **73**, 986-994 (1969).
- 13. A.K. Covington, T. Dickinson, *Physical chemistry of organic solvent systems*, Plenum, New York, 1973.
- H. Hooshyar, B. Khezri, Volumetric properties of tetra-*n*-butyl ammonium bromide in aqueous solutions of magnesium sulphate in the temperature range 298.15 to 318.15K and under the atmospheric pressure, *Phys. Chem. Liq.*, 54, 663-679 (2016).

- 15. Z.B. Zhou, H. Matsumoto, K. Tatsumi, Low-melting, low-viscous, hydrophobic ionic liquids: aliphatic quaternary ammonium salts with perfluoroalkyl trifluoroborates, *Chem., Eur. J.*, **11**, 752-766 (2005).
- 16. J. Sun, M. Forsyth, D.R. MacFarlane, Room-temperature molten salts based on the quaternary ammonium ion, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **102**, 8858-8864 (1998).
- D.Z. Xu, Y. Liu, S. Shi, Y. Wang, Chiral quaternary alkylammonium ionic liquid [Pro-dabco] [BF₄]: as a recyclable and highly efficient organocatalyst for asymmetric Michael addition reactions, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 21, 2530-2534 (2010).
- S. Han, J. Li, S. Zhu, R. Chen, Y. Wu, X. Zhang, Z. Yu, Potential application of ionic liquid in wood related industries, *BioResources*, 4, 825-834 (2009).
- 19. C.P. Gerba, Quaternary ammonium biocides: efficacy in application, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 81, 464-469 (2015).
- D. Zhao, M. Wu, Y. Kou, E. Min, Ionic liquids: applications in catalysis, *Catalysis Today*, 74, 157-189 (2002).
- G. Cheng, Z. Zhang, S. Chen, J.D. Bryers, S. Jiang, Inhibition of bacterial adhesion and biofilm formation on zwitterionic surfaces, *Biomaterials*, 28, 4192-4199 (2007).
- B.C. Ranu, A. Das, S. Samanta, Catalysis by an ionic liquid: efficient conjugate addition of thiols to electron deficient alkenes catalyzed by molten tetrabutylammonium bromide under solvent-free conditions, *Tetrahedron*, 59, 2417-2421 (2003).
- S. Mallakpour, A. Zadehnazari, Synthesis and characterization of novel heat stable and processable optically active poly(amide–imide) nanostructures bearing hydroxyl pendant group in an ionic green medium, *J. Polym. Environ.*, 21, 132-140 (2013).
- B. Guo, E. Duan, A. Ren, Y. Wang, H. Liu, Solubility of SO₂ in caprolactam tetrabutyl ammonium bromide ionic liquids, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 55, 1398-1401 (2010).
- T. Floris, P. Kluson, L. Bartek, H. Pelantova, Quaternary ammonium salts ionic liquids for immobilization of chiral Ru-BINAP complexes in asymmetric hydrogenation of β-ketoesters, *Appl. Catalysis*, **366**, 160-165 (2009).

- K. Kim, C. Lang, R. Moulton, P.A. Kohl, Electrochemical investigation of quaternary ammonium/aluminum chloride ionic liquids, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 151, A1168-A1172 (2004).
- 27. M.I. Levinson, Rinse-added fabric softener technology at the close of the twentieth century, *J. Surfactants Deterg.*, **2**, 223-235(1999).
- 28. S. Sowmiah, V. Srinivasadesikan, M.C. Tseng, Y.H. Chu, On the chemical stabilities of ionic liquids, *Molecules*, 14, 3780-3813 (2009).
- 29. J.M. Khurana, S. Kumar, Tetrabutylammonium bromide (TBAB): a neutral and efficient catalyst for the synthesis of biscoumarin and 3,4-dihydropyrano[*c*] chromene derivatives in water and solvent-free conditions, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **50**, 4125-4127 (2009).
- 30. L.W. Xu, J.W. Li, S.L. Zhou, C.G. Xia, A green, ionic liquid and quaternary ammonium salt-catalyzed aza-Michael reaction of α,β-ethylenic compounds with amines in water, *New J. Chem.*, **28**, 183-184 (2004).
- 31. A.U. Mandakmare, M.L. Narwade, D.T. Tayade, A.B. Naik, Intermolecular interactions in dioxane-water solutions of substituted coumarins according to ultrasonic data, *Russ. J. Phys. Chem.*, **88**, 2334-2338 (2014).
- 32. O. Mokate, W.A.A. Ddamba, Volumetric properties of (difurylmethane+alkan-1-ol) binary mixtures at 298.15 K, *J. Soln. Chem.*, **35**, 1493-1503 (2006).
- 33. S. Canzonieri, A. Camacho, R. Tabarsrozzi, M. Postigo, L. Mussari, Volumetric and viscous behaviour of the binary and ternary systems formed by methyl acetate, ethyl acetate and 1-propanol at 283.15, 298.15 and 313.15 K, *Phys. Chem. Liq.*, **50**, 530-545 (2012).
- 34. B. Goddu, M.M. Tadavarthi, V.K. Tadekoru, J.N. Guntupalli, Density, speed of sound, and dynamic viscosity of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bis(trifluoromethylsulfonyl)imide/1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate and *N*-methylaniline binary systems from T = 298.15 to 323.15 K at 0.1 MPa, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **64**, 2303-2319(2019).
- 35. D.S. Gill, D.S. Rana, S.P. Jauhar, Compressibility studies of some copper(I), silver(I), and tetrabutylammonium salts in acetonitrile + adiponitrile binary mixtures, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **55**, 2066-2071 (2010).

- 36. F. Hirata, K. Arakawa, Ultrasonic study of solute-solvent interaction in aqueous solutions of tetraalkylammonium salts, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn*, **45**, 2715-2719 (1972).
- J.A. Riddick, W.B. Bunger, T. Sakano, Organic Solvents: Physical Properties and methods of purification, 4thEd., Techniques of Chemistry, II, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley, New York, 1986.
- S. Baluja, R.M. Talaviya, Density, sound speed, and viscosity of dihydropyridine derivatives in dimethyl sulfoxide at different temperatures, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 61, 1431-1440 (2016).
- B. Hemalatha, P. Vasantharani, N. Senthikumar, Solute-solvent interactions of TBAB in DMF-water system at different temperatures, *Int. J. Adv. Eng. Tech.*, 6, 795-803 (2013).
- 40. A. Toumi, N.E. Hammami, M. Bouanz, Acoustic and thermodynamic study of binary mixture cyclohexane-methanol using ultrasonic interferometer at different temperatures, *Ind. J. Pure Appl.* Phys., 56, 461-467 (2018).
- 41. S.S. Kulkarni, U.V. Khadke, Effect of solvents on the ultrasonic velocity and acoustic parameters of polyvinylidene fluoride solutions, *Ind. J. Mat. Sci.*, **2016**, ID 9582582 p. 1-6 (2016).
- 42. S.G. Rao, T.M. Mohan, T.V. Krishna, B.S. Rao, Volumetric properties of 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium tetrafluoroborate and 2-pyrrolidone from T = (298.15 to 323.15) K at atmospheric pressure, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, **94**, 127-137 (2016).
- M.S. Raman, M. Kesavan, K. Senthilkumar, V. Ponnuswamy, Ultrasonic, DFT and FT-IR studies on hydrogen bonding interactions in aqueous solutions of diethylene glycol, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 202, 115-124 (2015).
- 44. D.R. Godhani, P.B. Dobariya, A.M. Sanghani, A.A. Jogel, J.P. Mehta, Effect of temperature and solvents on thermo-physical properties of 1,3,4-oxadiazole derivative at atmospheric pressure, *J. Mol. Liq.*, **180**, 179-186 (2013).
- 45. S. Ravichandran, K. Ramanathan, Ultrasonic investigations of MnSO₄, NiSO₄ and CuSO₄ aqueous in polyvinyl alcohol solution at 303K, *Rasayan J. Chem.*, **3**, 375-384 (2010).
- 46. D.R. Godhani, P.B. Dobariya, A.M. Sanghani, Effect of temperature and solvents on ultrasonic velocity and thermodynamic parameters of 1,3,4-oxadiazole derivative solutions, *J. Mol. Liq.*, **168**, 28-35 (2012).

- 47. V. Kannapn, R.J. Santhi, Ultrasonic study of induced dipole-dipole interactions in binary liquid mixtures, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Phys.*, **43**, 750-754 (2005).
- 48. P. Sharma, S. Chauhan, M.S. Chauhan, V.K. Syal, Ultrasonic velocity and viscosity studies of tramacip and parvodex in binary mixtures of alcohol + water, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Phys.*, **46**, 839-843 (2008).
- 49. S. Punitha, R. Uvarani, A. Panneerselvam, Acoustical and Spectroscopic studies in aqueous solutions of polymer and dextrin's binary complex formation, *Int. J. ChemTech Res.*, 7,629-638 (2014).
- 50. M. Kazafi, H.R. Ansari, Acoustical behavior of glucose. Sucrose and maltose in aqueous ammonium chloride solutions (0.5M) at different temperature, *Res. Paper. Chem.*, **1**, 1-4 (2011).
- 51. K.R. Devi, S. Geetha, Ultrasonic analysis of intermolecular interaction through internal pressure and free volume of aqueous fertilizer solutions, *Int. J. ChemTech Res.*, **8**, 519-526 (2015).
- 52. S. Punitha, R. Uvarani, A. Panneerselvam, S. Nithiyanantham, Physico-chemical studies on binary aqueous solutions of Anti-Viral Influenza drugs, *Heliyon*, **5**, e01941 (2019).
- 53. B.B. Dhaduk, C. B. Patel, P. H. Parsania, Ultrasonic speed and related thermoacoustical parameters of solutions of 1,1'-bis(3-methyl-4-ethoxyacetylphenoxy) cyclohexane at four different temperatures, *J. Soln. Chem.*, **44**,1976-1996 (2015).
- 54. P. S. Nikam, M. Hasan, T.B. Pawar, A.B. Sawant, Ultrasonic velocity and allied parameters of symmetrical tetraalkyl ammonium bromides in aqueous ethanol at 298.15 K, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Phys.*, **42**,172-178 (2004).
- 55. M.R. Sanaria, P.H. Parsania, Studies on sound velocity and acoustical parameters of epoxy resins based on bisphenol-C, *J. Pure Appl. Ultrason.*, **22**, 54-59 (2000).
- 56. S. Khan, R. Sharma, A.K. Sharma, Acoustic studies and other acoustic parameters of Cu (II) soap derived from non-edible neem oil (*AzadirectaIndica*), in non-aqueous media at 298.15 K, *Acta Acustica*, **104**, 277-283 (2018).
- 57. D.R. Bharja, Y.V. Patel, P.H. Parsania, Ultrasonic study of poly (R, R', 4, 4'-cyclohexylidene diphenylene phosphorochlorid-ate)-DMF solutions at different temperatures, *J. Pure Appl. Ultrason.*, **24**, 47-53 (2002).

- 58. J. Krakowiak, Apparent molar volumes and compressibilities of tetrabutylammonium bromide in organic solvents, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, **43**,882-894 (2011).
- 59. R. Sadeghi, R. Golabiazar, M. Zlaii, Vapor-liquid equilibria, density, speed of sound, and refractive index of sodium tungstate in water and in aqueous solutions of poly(ethyleneglycol) 6000, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **55**, 125-133 (2010).
- 60. D.O. Mason, XXVIII. Solute molecular volumes in relation to solvation and ionization, *Philosoph. Mag.*, **8**, 218-235 (1929).
- 61. R. Gopal, M.A. Siddiqi, Study of ion-solvent interaction of some tetraalkylammonium and common ions in N-methylacetamide from apparent molal volume data, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **73**, 3390-3394 (1969).
- 62. M.T.Z. Moattar, H. Shekaari, Apparent molar volume and isentropic compressibility of ionic liquid 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium bromide in water, methanol, and ethanol at T = (298.15 to 318.15) K, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, **37**, 1029-1035 (2005).
- 63. R.L. Gardas, D.H. Dagade, J.A.P. Coutinho, K.J. Patil, Thermodynamic Studies of Ionic Interactions in Aqueous Solutions of Imidazolium-Based Ionic Liquids [Emim][Br] and [Bmim][Cl], *J. Phys. Chem. B*, **112**, 3380-3389 (2008).
- 64. P.J. Victor, B. Das, D.K. Hazra, Ultrasonic velocities and isentropic compressibilities of electrolytes in 2-methoxyethanol from 15 to 35°C, *J. Soln. Chem.*, **30**, 435-442 (2001).
- 65. D. Das, B. Das, D.K. Hazra, Ultrasonic velocities and isentropic compressibilities of some symmetrical tetraalkylammonium salts in *N*,*N*-dimethylacetamide at 298.15 K, *J. Mol. Liq.*, **111**, 15-18 (2004).
- 66. J. Krakowiak, W. Grzybkowski, Apparent molar volume and compressibility of tetrabutylphosphonium bromide in various solvents, *J. Chem. Eng. Data.*, **55**, 2624-2629 (2010).
- 67. F.T. Guker, The apparent molal heat capacity, volume, and compressibility of electrolytes, *Chem. Rev.*, **13**,111-130 (1933).
- N. Saha, B. Das, Apparent molar volumes of some symmetrical tetraalkylammonium bromides in acetonitrile at (298.15, 308.15, and 318.15) K, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, 42, 227-229 (1997).

- 69. P.S. Naidu, K.R. Prasad, Ultrasonic velocity and allied parameters of cypermethrin with xylene and ethanol, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Phys.*, **42**, 512-517 (2004).
- S.K. Sharma, G. Singh, H. Kumar, R. Kataria, Thermodynamic study of N-acetyl glycine in aqueous tetraethylammonium iodide solutions in the temperature interval (288.15 to 308.15) K: Volumetric and acoustic study, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, 94, 74-84 (2016).
- Y. Zhao, H. Liu, Z. Min, J. Wang, Volumetric and viscosity properties for tetraalkylammonium bromides and some ions in PC+THF mixtures at 298.15 K, *J. Mol. Liq.*, 223, 1172-1177 (2016).
- 72. H. Donald, B. Jenkins, Y. Marcus, Viscosity B-coefficients of ions in solution, *Chem. Rev.*, **95**, 2695-2724 (1995).
- 73. G. Jones, M. Dole, The viscosity of aqueous solutions of strong electrolytes with special reference to barium chloride, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **51**, 2950-2964 (1929).
- 74. R.S. Patil, V.R. Shaikh, P.D. Patil, A.U. Borse, K.J. Patil, The viscosity B and D coefficient (Jones–Dole equation) studies in aqueous solutions of alkyl trimethylammonium bromides at 298.15 K, *J. Mol. Liq.*, **200**, 416-424 (2014).
- 75. H. Shekaari, M.T.Z. Moattar, S.N. Mirheydari, Density, viscosity, speed of sound, and refractive index of a ternary solution of aspirin, 1-butyl-3-methyl-imidazolium bromide, and acetonitrile at different temperatures T = (288.15 to 318.15) K, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **60**, 1572-1583 (2015).
- 76. E. Tyunina, V. Afanas'ev, M. Chekunova, Viscosity and density of solutions of tetra ethyl ammonium tetrafluoroborate in propylene carbonate at different temperatures, *J. Soln. Chem.*, **41**, 307-317(2012).
- 77. T. Zhao, Q. Xu, J. Xiao, X. Wei, Excess properties and spectroscopic studies for binary system of polyethylene glycol 200 (1) + dimethyl sulfoxide (2) at T = (298.15 to 318.15) K, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 60, 2135-2145 (2015).
- 78. T. Ramanujappa, J.A. Bhavani, E.R. Gopal, N.M. Murthi, Excess sound velocity and excess specific acoustic impedance of (2,2,2-trifluoroethan-1-01 + benzene), (benzene+dimethylsulphoxide) and (2,2,2-trifluoroethan-1-01+dimethyl sulphoxide) at 298.15 K, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Phys.*, 38, 301-305 (2000).
- 79. A. Ali, S. Hyder, A. K. Nain, Intermolecular interactions in ternary liquid mixtures by ultrasonic velocity measurements, *Ind. J. Phys.*, **74B**, 63-67 (2000).

- 80. A.B. Naik, Densities, viscosities, speed of sound and some acoustical parameter studies of substituted pyrazoline compounds at different temperatures, *Ind. J. Pure Appl. Phys.*, **53**, 27-34 (2015).
- N.J. Madhuri, P.S. Naidu, J. Glory. K.R. Prasad, Ultrasonic investigations of molecular interaction in binary mixtures of benzyl benzoate with acetonitrile and benzonitrile, *E-J. Chem.*, 8, 457-469 (2011).
- 82. U. Domanska, M. Królikowska, Density and viscosity of binary mixtures of thiocyanate ionic liquids + water as a function of temperature, *J. Soln. Chem.*, **41**, 1422-1445 (2012).
- M.G. Landge, S.S. Badade, B.V. Kendre, Density, ultrasonic velocity and viscosity measurements of glucose-alcohol-water mixtures at various temperatures, *Int. J. Res. Chem. Environ.*, 3, 348-352 (2013).
- S.S. Bittencourt, H.E. Hoga, R.B. Torres, J.V.H. Angelo, Thermodynamic and spectroscopic properties of binary mixtures of *n*-butylammonium butanoate ionic liquid with alcohols at *T* = (293.15–313.15) K, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, 105, 238-252 (2017).
- M.B. Gramajo de Doz, A.M. Cases, C.M. Bonatti, H.N. Sólimo, Influence of temperature on the (liquid + liquid) equilibria of {3-methyl pentane + cyclopentane + methanol} ternary system at T = (293.15, 297.15, and 299.15) K, J. Chem. Thermodyn., 41, 1279-1283 (2009).
- 86. M. Hasan, A.P. Hiray, U.B. Kadam, D. F. Shirude, K.J. Kurhe, A.B. Sawant, Densities, viscosities, speeds of sound, FT-IR and ¹H-NMR studies of binary mixtures of *n*-butyl acetate with ethanol, propan-1-ol, butan-1-ol and pentan-1-ol at 298.15, 303.15, 308.15 and 313.15 K, *J. Soln. Chem.*, 40, 415-429 (2011).
- A.K. Nain, Ultrasonic and viscometric study of molecular interactions in binary mixtures of aniline with 1-propanol, 2-propanol, 2-methyl-1-propanol, and 2-methyl-2-propanol at different temperatures, *Fluid Phase Equilib.*, 259, 218-227 (2007).
- 88. Y. Xu, J. Yao, C. Wang, H. Li, Density, viscosity, and refractive index properties for the binary mixtures of n-butylammonium acetate ionic liquid + alkanols at several temperatures, *J. Chem. Eng. Data*, **5**7, 298-308 (2012).
- 89. U. Domanska, M. Zawadzki, A. Effect Królikowska, Effect of temperature and composition on the density, viscosity, surface tension, and thermodynamic properties of binary mixtures of *N*-octylisoquinoliniumbis{(trifluoromethyl)sulfo-nyl}imide with alcohols, *J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, **48**, 101-111 (2012).

How to cite this article

S. Baluja, E.A.M. Alnayab, Volumetric behaviour of tetra-*n*-butyl ammonium bromide in various solvents at different temperatures, *Rev. Colomb. Cienc. Quím. Farm.*, **48**(3), 722-761 (2019).