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Abstract
For many years training in endoscopic surgery has involved clinical practice with hospital patients. Currently, the development of simulators has made the learning curve for endoscopists much safer for patients. Nevertheless, the high cost of the equipment necessary for training has meant that this advantage has not yet been widely adopted in Latin America. The development of minimally invasive techniques such as endoscopic submucosal dissection for the management of superficial gastrointestinal neoplasia requires a learning curve that incorporates risk reduction for complications such as perforation and bleeding. This article proposes training of endoscopy specialists in this field through a six-stage course which includes the use of animal models.
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INTRODUCTION AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The high incidence of gastric cancer in Japan is widely known. The continuous search of Japanese researchers for the development of accessories and techniques to allow for minimally invasive treatment of gastric cancer led to the development of Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection (ESD) (1). This procedure uses endoscopic blades, such as the Insultaron-tipped (IT) knife-2 (Olympus Medical System Corp, Tokyo, Japan) which is suitable for one piece endoscopic dissections. It is clear that ESD offers advantages with regard to conventional mucosectomy techniques. It lowers the risk of relapses, and helps the pathologist evaluate some of the most important variables including histological type, depth of invasion, degree of compromise of lateral section borders, and depth of lymphatic and vascular compromises. The study of these variables determines the curability of the resection (1, 2) (Figures 1 to 6). However, although the technique is straightforward in its principles, it does require a high level of expertise due to the possible complications that can result. Of these procedures conducted by the best and most experienced physicians, 4% resulted in perforations and 8% resulted in hemorrhaging (1-3).

For decades, training in how to perform gastrointestinal endoscopy was conducted directly on patients. This “experience-based medicine” (4, 5) was taught by professors to students without clearly defined and measurable variables. It was a high risk scenario for patients with possible legal problems for doctors. On the other hand, studies of technical competence in endoscopy were not published until recent years. Adding to this, the number and quality of therapeutic procedures varies among hospitals, making training programs in different institutions incomparable due to the dissimilarities in conditions (5, 7).

The development of virtual models and simulators, such as the GI Mentor (Simbionix, Cleveland, Ohio USA), have proven useful for graphic simulation, for training residents in how to perform procedures, and because of the life-
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The quality of different exercises (gastroduodenoscopy, colonoscopy, and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)) Other simulators with different techniques have obtained similar results (6), but their high cost has, for the moment, put them out of reach for Latin America.

The appearance of endoscopic submucosal dissection has brought up important questions regarding this technique’s learning curve. How many exercises should be performed? On what models? Where should they be done? (8).

The use of animal models (both cadavers and live animals) for practicing new techniques in digestive endoscopy is not new (5, 10). Not only is this use not new, but it is in fact an inexpensive and practical alternative to the well known simulators mentioned above (which despite being high quality resources, are too expensive). This practice has shown itself to be an effective and inexpensive option for training for various procedures, including mucosectomies, biopsy sampling, gastrotomies, hemostasia (5), and, recently, for ESD (9).

**PRINCIPLES OF TRAINING WITH ANIMAL MODELS**

Although there are basic endoscopic simulators available in the market (Maniqui, Olympus Oliver 1) that are suitable for placement inside the stomach and esophagus of animal models, there are also less expensive devices which are easy to set up in any institution (Figures 7 and 8). These are useful for introducing the basic ESD technique. Also, these have been used for ‘hands on’ training workshops for ESD and other therapeutic endoscopic techniques.

**Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6.** Endoscopic submucosal dissection. 1. Marking. 2 and 3. Elevation and circumferential incision. 4 and 5. Submucosal dissection. 6. Extraction and hemostasis revision.

**Figures 7 and 8.** Training system for animal cadaver model.
We propose a systematic process of learning using an approximation of the ESD technique. This process will begin with adequate training in digestive endoscopy for early detection of malignant and pre-malignant lesions, including identification of areas with gastric atrophy and intestinal metaplasia. After this process, a minimum number of procedures should be completed before conducting ESD on patients. We have divided this process into five stages (Table 1).

Table 1. ESD learning process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Training stage</th>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage I: Systematizing diagnostic endoscopy technique</td>
<td>Systematic endoscopy, chromoendoscopy, (Indigo carmine, Lugol’s solution, or crystal violet, depending on the organ), photographic record.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage II: Review of ESD indications and contraindications</td>
<td>Evaluate past and expanded criteria of ESD risks and complications. Acquire skills for managing risks (hemostasia, perforation closure).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage III: Observation of experts</td>
<td>Observe at least 20 procedures. Participate in at least 10 procedures as an assistant to the specialist.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV: Animal cadaver model.</td>
<td>Practice on animal cadaver models: 20 procedures on different locations (stomach and esophagus) and with various lesion sizes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage V: Live animal model</td>
<td>5 to 10 procedures on young pigs (six month old piglets) or on canine models, under supervision of a veterinarian, with animals anesthetized and following recommendations and ethical norms relating to practice on animals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage VI: Procedures on humans</td>
<td>Practice ESD on humans, beginning with elevated lesions in the antrum smaller than 20 mm, followed by gastric fundus and corpus, then rectum and esophagus and finally colon.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This training model begins with learning ESD indications and contraindications. It should continue with observation of at least 20 procedures conducted by expert endoscopists, followed by practice dissecting porcine cadaver models. This should last for at least 5 to 10 sessions with 15 to 20 dissections. Then, under the supervision of a veterinarian, dissections should be performed on anesthetized live animal models. There should be from 2 to 5 sessions with 5 to 10 procedures. This last practice simulates real life scenarios using the technique in the presence of peristalsis, mucosal edema and risk of complications, including hemorrhaging and perforation. Finally, ESD should be performed on patients. For these procedures we recommend beginning with small dissections of elevated lesions in the antrum. Once experience of the stomach is acquired, the training may be extended to the rectum, esophagus and to lesions in the colon.

In conclusion, it is important to mention that even though training in Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection is safe and accessible, the stages proposed must be completed before practicing on humans. In addition, the learning curve should not in any way be defined solely by the number of procedures proposed. This is due to the fact that conditions vary among institutions, and the abilities of endoscopist vary as well. Taking all of this into account, it is important for endoscopists to recognize their own limitations and for there to be constant feedback in order to make ESD safer.
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