Abstract
Many patients with functional digestive disorders such as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) complain of bloating, abdominal distention and similar symptoms. Until recently these symptoms have been poorly understood, however new research allows us to clarify some aspects of their pathophysiology. This review discusses some aspects of these symptoms which are related to intestinal gas and its transit, visceral hypersensitivity, viscerosomatic response and to the intestinal microbiota.
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INTRODUCTION
Bloating and abdominal distention
Among the various types of functional digestive disorders common discomforts such as bloating and abdominal distention which are apparently safe can produce significant alterations to a patient’s quality of life especially when they are within the framework of conditions such as irritable bowel syndrome (1). The Spanish lexicon includes distensión abdominal (abdominal distention), but borrows bloating from English without clearly differentiating the meanings of these terms as in English where bloating means the subjective sensation of abdominal distention and “abdominal distention” means the visible symptom or objective assessment found in a clinical examination. The latest edition of the Rome III Diagnostic Criteria for Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders (FGDs) from the Rome Foundation (2, 3) includes “Functional Bloating” in C2 of the section on Functional Intestinal Disorders. It defines “Functional Bloating” as a condition not associated with other intestinal or gastroduodenal disorders since its criteria are based on symptoms. It goes on to say that it is a feeling of abdominal distention which may or may not be associated with measurable distention. This allows us to infer that the symptom and the sign may be present under the same denomination.

Now, to perform the official Spanish translation supported by the Translations Committee of the Rome Foundation, the Mexican Gastroenterology magazine translates the term “C2: Functional Bloating” as “C2 Inflamación / Distensión Funcional.” To the diagnostic criteria they add another word “Hinchazón” as follows: “Sensación recurrente de inflamación/ hinchazón o distensión visible cuando menos tres días al mes en los últimos tres meses.” The result is, at the least, a little confusing because the words inflamación and hinchazón mean “inflammation and swelling” which are organic rather than functional.

Abdominal inductance plethysmography and abdominal computed axial tomography have demonstrated convincingly that abdominal distention does occur in IBS patients reaching 4.72 additional inches of abdominal circumference in some patients (5). Even though the bloating sensation and visible abdominal distention may occur toge-
ther, they do not always occur together, for while many IBS patients complain of bloating only about half objectively demonstrate abdominal distension (5, 6).

Given these difficulties, the purpose of this review is to check different perspectives addressing the problem from the pathophysiological point of view. Table 1 presents these perspectives without attempting to resolve differences in linguistic terms or in clinical characteristics.

Table 1. Bloating and abdominal distention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathophysiological mechanisms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender/ Sex hormone regulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysregulation between CNS and ENS / psychosomatic factors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Excess/ Focal or generalized accumulation of gases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visceral hypersensitivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changes in Motility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abnormal Viscerosomatic Reflexes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomino-Phrenic discordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food Hypersensitivity / intolerance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alteration of the Intestinal Flora / colonic fermentation / SIBO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal immune activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constipation / hard stools</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. The role of gas

**Excess gas**

Many patients with IBS who complain of functional bloating are convinced that this is due to increased abdominal gas content. This could easily occur through fermentation of undigested carbohydrates by colonic bacteria or result from increased swallowing of air (13). Nevertheless, gas washing techniques and CAT scans combined with modern imaging analysis software have shown that this is not the main problem in most patients, but rather defects in distribution and transit of gas are more often the source of problems (14).

**Gas transit**

In a study of gas infusion into the jejunum in healthy volunteers and IBS patients, 18 of 20 IBS patients retained gas and developed distention or abdominal symptoms, but these symptoms did not occur in 16 out of 20 volunteers (15). These changes can be increased by adding an enteral lipid infusion which might provide an explanation for postprandial bloating (18). Additional experience evaluating oral-cecal and colonic transit with the use of abdominal inductance plethysmography could establish whether oral-cecal and colonic transit is directly related to abdominal distention among IBS patients, and whether they are inversely rated with stool consistency (17). Similar findings from research using scintigraphic techniques with radioactive xenon were recently published. Patients with constipation predominant IBS showed impaired clearance of a load of gas in the right colon and had increased sensations of bloating plus increased abdominal distension as measured by abdominal circumference (18).

3. Dysfunctional visceral sensitivity

It is well established that a great proportion of IBS patients have visceral hypersensitivity which might contribute to bloating (7), especially since normal stimulus or small variations of the gas content inside the bowel can be percei-
ved as bloating by patients with these functional disorders (19, 20).

Visceral hypersensitivity can be measured by neuroendocrine factors, increased intestinal permeability, alteration in gastrointestinal afferent impulses and by their processing in the central nervous system (19). It has also been found that autonomous nervous system modulates visceral hypersensitivity and it is known that increased sympathetic tone increases the levels of perception of intestinal stimuli (21). Since some IBS patients have increased sympathetic activity, this mechanism could play a key role in bloating (22). It is also recognized that IBS patients have increased mental attention to intestinal stimuli hence those presenting bloating pay more attention to their abdomen which is a kind of hyper-vigilance of their abdomen and all that comes from the bowels (22, 23).

Clinical experience shows that there is a relation between bloating without objective distention and the presence of visceral hypersensitivity. On the other hand when the abdominal circumference is enlarged during the course of the day it is more often associated with hyposensitivity and constipation-predominant IBS (24, 25).

4. Somatic dysfunction and abdomino-phrenic dyssynergia

This is one of the most recent pathophysiological developments of interest uncovered by groups investigating bloating. Mechanical mechanisms which have been proposed include diaphragmatic depression, hyperlordosis, weakness of the abdominal musculature and protrusion of the abdomen. Voluntary control of the abdominal musculature has also been suggested but its verification has been contested (26). It can be assumed that there is some type of innate reflex of the muscles of the anterior wall that allow adjustment of the bowel to ingestion of meals, to the pregnant uterus, or to change in posture as in standing or sitting. It is possible that this reflex is altered or exaggerated in patients with bloating (7, 29). The Barcelona Group used electromyography and sophisticated analysis of CAT scans in a study of volunteers and patients with IBS and/or bloating to show that abdominal adjustment to the load of volume is an active process that involves responses of the abdominal and diaphragm muscles (27). Patients with IBS and/or bloating have altered visceral-somatic reflexes with abdominal wall dystonia and failure of tonic contractions of the muscles combined with a paradoxical relaxation of the internal oblique muscles in response to a load of gas (28). Another study by the same group (30) compared patients whose main complaint was bloating with patients with severe intestinal dysmotility. CAT scans were performed on all patients in both groups in basal conditions and during the episodes of severe bloating. There were no differences at basal levels, but during bloating episodes patients with dysmotility showed a real increase of the total abdominal volume with a cephalic displacement of the diaphragm, whereas patients with functional disorders showed only small increases of intraabdominal volume. Distention was related to lowering of the diaphragm resulting from ventral-caudal distribution of content (39). These findings are of great value because they demonstrate that abnormal visceral-somatic reflexes generate abdominal distention (31). Another element of great value in this research is the addition of another diagnostic criterion for differentiating between functional and organic abdominal distention because in organic abdominal distention there both total volume and abdominal content increase and cephalic displacement of the diaphragm occurs (30).

However, questions have arisen because neither patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS nor patients with only bloating were included in these studies making it difficult to generalize these concepts to all patients.

Recently the same group presented a similar study (32) with the intention of establishing the role of the diaphragm in abdominal distension. The study compared healthy adults with patients who suffer from abdominal distension. After introducing gas into the bowels of the patients their abdominal circumferences were measured and the muscles of the abdominal wall and the diaphragm were evaluated electromyographically. Paradoxically, the study demonstrated that significantly greater distention results from contraction of the diaphragm and from internal oblique muscle relaxation (32). The interesting aspect of this conclusion is that it opens up the possibility of new treatments based on correction of inappropriate diaphragm contraction with bio-feedback techniques to prevent anterior protrusion of the abdominal wall as another way of handling bloating (32, 33).

5. The role of the intestinal microbiota

The notion that there may be alterations of the intestinal microbiota patients with IBS and bloating is based on many observations. First, it was proposed that bacterial fermentation of undigested carbohydrates increases production of short-chain fatty acids and gases such as carbon dioxide, hydrogen and methane. More recently it has been demonstrated that in IBS patients the microbiota is altered and propionic acid production is increased. These patients show significant increases in Lactobacillus and Veillonella. It has also been shown that the production of acetic and propionic acid is related to presence of greater numbers and intensity of symptoms such as abdominal pain, bloating, and changes in bowel habits resulting in decreased quality
hem of life (34, 35). Another series shows how the presence of ruminococcus torques is related to greater severity of IBS symptoms (36). Increased gas production in patients with IBS and bloating has been demonstrated by x rays which show that these patients contain higher gas volumes than do control patients (37). Similarly, other evaluations have shown that the quantity of gas produced by IBS patients is greater than that produced by control patients (38). This is in contrast to the reports previously mentioned according to which the important factors in this disorder are gas transit and alterations in sensitivity.

Small intestinal bacterial overgrowth (SIBO) is a clinical condition caused by excessive numbers of bacteria in the small intestine. It is characterized by symptoms such as diarrhea, abdominal pain and bloating which could be associated with excess gas due to increased production from bacterial fermentation in the gut (39). One of the most debated, controversial and interesting arguments is about the relationship of the intestinal microbiota with the genesis of SIBO and IBS (40, 41). The controversy begins with diagnosis, even though the method used is considered to be the gold standard. A bacterial count greater than 10 x 5 colony-forming units (CFUs)/Ml in a culture of jejunal aspirate are used. However, this method’s limitations in terms of difficulty of obtaining samples, its invasive character, and problems of contamination and maintaining anaerobic conditions have led to considerable dispute about its use (42). A breath test such as the lactulose hydrogen breath test (LHBT) has been developed for evaluation of SIBO. It uses the carbohydrate metabolism of bacterial enzymes.

Dr. Pimentel’s group insists that there is a relationship between SIBO and IBS. In a very interesting editorial (43) based on further research, they support this theory with proof evidence and go on to propose a modification of Koch’s postulates to establish the relationship between these two conditions and their genesis. They found that 78% of 202 IBS patients tested positive for LHBT suggesting that they have SIBO. They also found significant improvement of symptoms including abdominal pain, bloating and diarrhea when they treated SIBO with antibiotics. Patients’ Rome’s criteria changed from positive to negative (44). Other similar experiences with treatment support this association (45-47), however this does not totally resolve this controversy because doubts remain about the effectiveness of diagnostic methods for SIBO (48, 49). The prevalence of SIBO among IBS patients varies (49) plus general conceptual, epidemiological and therapeutic factors still need to be clarified (41, 50).

6. The role of food

At least two mechanism in which food generates bloating have been recognized: intolerance and hypersensitivity. Intolerance occurs in cases of fatty food, difficult to digest carbohydrates such as wheat and corn, non-absorbable sugars found in soft drinks, insoluble fiber, lactose in dairy products and vegetables such as cabbage and cauliflower. These foods can influence generation of symptoms in the gastrointestinal tract through many channels including activation of mechanoreceptors by their volume and physical properties and activation of chemoreceptors. Similarly, non-absorbable components can produce effects through osmotic action and fermentation in the colon (51-53).

On the other hand hypersensitivity activates the immune system through allergic phenomena and inflammation of the intestinal mucosa (54-56). As a practical consequence, it is not superfluous to mention here the importance of restricting consumption of these foods as a part of managing these patients.

7. Motor alterations

The role of gastrointestinal motility

If the important role that intestinal transit plays has been previously mentioned, attention is now being directed to the presence of specific alterations of the gastric motor function and their relationship with bloating. Gastroparesis is a condition in which this symptom is commonly observed and in which it often affects patients’ quality of life and requires therapeutic care (57).

The role of anorectal functioning

This aspect of the bloating’s pathophysiology has not been considered to be very relevant, but has generated interest due to observed alterations of the anorectal function especially in patients with constipation. This type of patient has been found to required prolonged times for rectal balloon expulsion and is a predictor of abdominal distension, which suggests that the ineffective evacuation of the gas and the stools with the extended rectal balloon expulsion which might be a mechanism related to bloating (58).

None of these observations can be looked at outside of the context of patients with functional digestive disorders for the genesis of which motor alterations are extremely important.

TREATMENT

A general overview of current treatment for bloating shows that there are a great variety of options. A recent review shows detailed possibilities ranging from management of bloating with diet to the use of probiotics, surfactants such as simethicone, antispasmodics such as trimebutine, and otilonium bromide, osmotic laxatives (bulking), stimulation of fluid secretion, lubiprostone, prokinetics., antibiotics, and prebiotics (59) (See Table 2).
Table 2. Bloating and abdominal distention: treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacological group</th>
<th>Diet</th>
<th>Drug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surfactants</td>
<td></td>
<td>Simethicone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antispasmodics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Trimebutine, otilonium Bromide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osmotic laxatives</td>
<td></td>
<td>Polyeethylene glycol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Boosters</td>
<td></td>
<td>Pseudium, calcium polycarbophil calcium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stimulating fluid secretion</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lubiprostone, linaclotide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prokinetic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dopamine antagonists</td>
<td></td>
<td>Metoclopramide, levosulpiride, domperidone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muscarinic antagonists</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agonists 5H4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cisapride, tegaserod</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agonists 5H1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sumatriptan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5H3 and other agonists</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ondansetron, renzapride</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antibiotics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Macrolides: erythromycin, Rifaximin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antimotic</td>
<td></td>
<td>Colchicines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioids</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fedotozine, naloxone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antidiarrheal agents</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lidamidina, diosmectite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probiotics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lactobacillus, Bifidobacterium spp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prebiotics</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fructoligosaccharides</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symbiotics</td>
<td></td>
<td>SCM-III (L. acidophilus, L. helveticus and bifidobacteria)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Alternative medicine

Plants                      | Mint, St. John’s Wort |
Traditional Chinese Medicine| Moxibustion, Acupuncture |
Other                       | Melatonin, Leuprolide Acetate | Biofeedback |

*Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2011; 33: 1071–1086

There are great expectations regarding the results of the Barcelona group’s use of biofeedback to correct inappropriate diaphragm contractions and to prevent protrusion of the anterior abdominal wall as another way to manage bloating (32, 33).

It is important to remember that the handling of this complaint is part of the general context of a patient with a digestive functional disorder and requires contemplation of the patient in her/his environment as well as use of standardized management guidelines for appropriate approaches to the patient (60).

CONCLUSIONS

In this review of pathophysiological concepts related to the common complaints in patients with digestive functional disorders such as bloating and abdominal distension we have insisted on maintaining the linguistic difference between the two terms: bloating for the symptom and abdominal distension for the sign. We reviewed the various mechanisms in relation to production and handling of intestinal gas, transit and motor alterations, sensorial responses and visceral somatic responses. We particularly highlighted abdomino-phrenic dysfunction. We also analyzed the role of intestinal microbiota in the pathophysiology of bloating with special emphasis on the controversial role of SIBO. Finally, we included some considerations related to food and a panoramic vision of management of bloating.
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