Words from the editor

Diego Aponte Martín, MD

Please receive a special greeting from the magazine’s editorial team. We hope you enjoy all the scientific articles that we have selected and processed for your reading.

As we told you, we are working on several levels to improve the editorial process. This is why we have inverted the magazine’s pyramid of importance. Now, the most important thing for us is the reader, so we have improved our design, and we have chosen the most current and useful topics for your daily practice in order to gain readers here in our own country as well as in other countries. Secondly, we are working for the authors by providing them with better information and tools to facilitate submission of their articles through OJS (Open Journal Systems). Now, at the entrance to our website, authors will find that it is easier to send us their articles plus they will find a very simple tutorial guide (if necessary). Third, we have increased the impact and visibility of authors’ articles on social networks. Fourth, we continue to enlarge our base of reviewers with the intention that all of us will review scientific articles when we have experience, knowledge and expertise on the topics being reviewed.

Our editorial committees work periodically. We have five of our own editors plus one representative each from the endoscopy, coloproctology and hepatology associations. Our aim is to work more closely with them so that these associations feel supported. Also, we are trying to bring all levels of the editorial process pyramid closer together from the readers, the largest and most important group, through the authors and the reviewers, to the editorial committee. We want the magazine to comply with our motto “a magazine from everyone for everyone”. Our aim is to always do this with excellence and with the best quality and scientific rigor so that we can be proud of our work. We hope that this will lead us to be the best at all times.

In this issue, we present an editorial reviewing performance of diagnostic tests on digestive bleeding in the small intestine which was written by Professor Raúl Cañadas Garrido, an expert on the subject. In addition, we present an exchange of scientific comments in the letters to the editor which we consider very valuable since they share points of view based on scientific evidence. We also present seven clinical case studies of great interest.

We appreciate the work of all the participants and we hope that they will help us continue improving all aspects of the editorial process to obtain better publications.

We hope you enjoy this issue.