Giant pancreatic pseudocyst drainage by endoscopic cystogastrostomy: Case report

INTRODUCTION

The pancreatic pseudocyst is a liquid collection of debris, surrounded by fibers and inflammatory tissue and lacking epithelial coverage. It can be found partially or totally within the pancreatic parenchyma(1) and persists for more than six weeks after the condition onset(2). It arises as a complication of acute(3) or chronic(4) pancreatitis, trauma(5), or other processes that affect the pancreatic duct(6).

The symptoms are usually abdominal pain, vomiting, nausea, and weight loss(7). Diagnosis is made by transabdominal ultrasound, tomography, magnetic resonance, or endoscopy, the latter being the method of choice(8).

This manuscript intends to present the case of a woman with a pancreatic pseudocyst secondary to acute pancreatitis of unclear etiology and its evolution after endoscopic cystogastrostomy.

CLINICAL CASE

A 41-year-old woman from western Boyacá had a one-month history of severe epigastric pain and vomiting, exacerbated in the last 15 days. With no history of alcohol consumption, she attended the regional hospital. Abdominal tomography showed a pancreatic pseudocyst measuring 88 x 74 x 68 mm. She was administered analgesics and discharged; however, she was re-admitted due to the persistence of pain. The control abdominal tomography revealed continuance of the pancreatic pseudocyst in contact with the posterior wall of the less...
ser curvature of the stomach, for which she was referred to tertiary care.

On physical examination upon admission to our institution, the patient presented with a distended abdomen, pain, a palpable mass in the epigastrium, and no jaundice. The paraclinical tests showed a complete blood count without leukocytosis, hyponatremia, mild hypochloremia and hypokalemia, no metabolic acidemia, slightly increased lactate, mild hyperbilirubinemia, at the expense of direct hyperbilirubinemia, and mild amylasemia. Possible acute pancreatitis was considered. Therefore, we decided to perform a contrast-enhanced computed tomography of the abdomen, which reported a pseudocyst with an approximate volume of 1,460 mL in contact with the posterior gastric wall (Figure 1).

Upper GI endoscopy was performed, finding compression of the posterior stomach wall. Due to the impossibility of referral to a center with endoscopic ultrasound availability, we decided to drain it with endoscopic cystogastrostomy at the end of the eighth week.

The posterior stomach wall was incised near the antrum with an output of necrotic and pancreatic material of approximately 1,500 mL. The cystogastrostomy was dilated with a balloon of up to 18 mm in diameter in operating rooms (Figure 2). Endoscopic cholangiography was taken at the same surgical time, ruling out choledocholithiasis. Pancreatography was performed, finding dilatation of the pancreatic duct without disruption, followed by a pancreatic and biliary papillotomy.

On the third day after the primary intervention, the patient had signs of systemic infection, fever (39.2), leukocytosis, and positive procalcitonin (31.3). In the culture report of the pseudocyst fluid, there was *E. coli* growth. Piperacillin/tazobactam was administered for eight days, and endoscopic necrosectomies were performed under sedation every 24 to 48 hours (Figure 3).

On the third day after antibiotic treatment, normalization of acute phase reactants and improved liver function tests were observed. Thirteen washes were performed through endoscopic cystogastrostomy, achieving clinical and paraclinical improvement with discharge on day 45. Follow-up was conducted for six months, noting good evolution with no abdominal pain or relapses, no functional limitation, and weight gain.

---

**Figure 1.** Computed tomography of the abdomen and pelvis. Presence of a 16 x 16 x 11 cm pancreatic pseudocyst, with no free fluid in the cavity.

**Figure 2.** Pseudocyst drainage.
endoscopy, it has fewer complications and is more cost-effective\(^{17}\), avoids external drainage (success rate of 98.3\%, with recurrence of 2.5\%\(^{16}\), and has a high long-term success rate. Nonetheless, the form of drainage, whether transmural or transpapillary, is still divergent; when comparing them, they do not provide a more significant benefit in the treatment outcome.

In the guidelines of the Society of Endoscopic Gastroenterology of India\(^{18}\), the use of the pigtail catheter is mentioned as a drainage method; however, in the case of pseudocysts with necrotic content, they recommend management with a metallic stent. The endoscopic approach showed advantages; recovery was adequate and without complications, despite lacking the help of endoscopy or LAMS, which are the techniques of choice for similar cases\(^{19}\).

**CONCLUSION**

Endoscopic cystogastrostomy guided or not with endoscopic ultrasound and without luminal apposition stent is a viable, safe, effective, and economical therapeutic option for selected patients with a giant pancreatic pseudocyst.
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