The analytic fixed point function II

DIEGO MEJÍA* Universidad Nacional de Colombia, Medellín

Christian Pommerenke[†] Technische Universität, Berlin

ABSTRACT. Let φ be analytic in the unit disk $\mathbb D$ and let $\varphi(\mathbb D)\subset \mathbb D$, $\varphi(0)\neq 0$. Then $w=z/\varphi(z)$ has an analytic inverse z=f(w) for $w\in \mathbb D$, the fixed point function. This paper studies the case that $\varphi(1)=\varphi'(1)=1$ with a growth condition for $\varphi''(x)$ and determines the asymptotic behaviour of various combinations of the coefficients of φ connected with f. The results can be interpreted in various contexts of probability theory.

Keywords and phrases. Fixed point function, coefficients, Bürmann-Lagrange, asymptotics, equilibrium, first return, branching process.

 $2000\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.$ Primary: 30B10. Secondary: 60F99, 60J80.

RESUMEN. Sea φ analítica en el disco unitario \mathbb{D} y $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$, $\varphi(0) \neq 0$. Entonces $w = z/\varphi(z)$ tiene una inversa analítica z = f(w) para $w \in \mathbb{D}$, la función de punto fijo. Este artículo estudia el caso en que $\varphi(1) = \varphi'(1) = 1$ con una condición de crecimiento para $\varphi''(x)$ y determina el comportamiento asintótico de varias combinaciones de los coeficientes de φ conectados con f. Los resultados se pueden interpretar en varios contextos de la teoría de la probabilidad.

1. Introduction

Let the function φ be analytic in the unit disk \mathbb{D} and $\varphi(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$, $\varphi(0) \neq 0$. In [MePo05, Sec. 3] it was shown that there is a unique function f that maps \mathbb{D} conformally onto a starlike domain F in \mathbb{D} and satisfies f(0) = 0,

$$w \varphi(f(w)) = f(w) \quad \text{for } w \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (1.1)

^{*}Supported by COLCIENCIAS.

 $^{^\}dagger \mathrm{Supported}$ by Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG).

Thus z = f(w) is the inverse function of $w = z/\varphi(z)$. We call f the fixed point function of φ because f(w) is the unique fixed point of $w\varphi$ in \mathbb{D} .

The fixed point function f has a continuous and injective extension to $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, see [MePo05, Th. 3.2]. Furthermore [MePo05, Th. 2.2] we have

$$\partial \mathbb{D} \cap \partial F = \{ \zeta \in \partial \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(\zeta)| = 1, \, |\varphi'(\zeta)| \le 1 \}$$
 (1.2)

where $\varphi(\zeta)$ and $\varphi'(\zeta)$ are angular limits [Po92, Sect. 4.3]. It follows from (1.1) by differentiation that

$$w\frac{f'(w)}{f(w)} = \frac{1}{1 - w\,\varphi'(f(w))} = \frac{1}{1 - z\,\varphi'(z)/\varphi(z)}$$
(1.3)

for $z = f(w), w \in \mathbb{D}$.

We shall restrict ourselves to the case that $\varphi(1)=1$ and $\varphi'(1)\leq 1$; since $\varphi(\mathbb{D})\subset \mathbb{D}$ the Julia-Wolff lemma [Po92, Prop. 4.13] shows that $\varphi(1)=1$ implies that the angular derivative $\varphi'(1)$ is positive real or infinite. The case $\varphi'(1)<1$ will be considered only in the last section.

In Section 4 we study the condition

$$\varphi(x) = x + b(1-x)^{\beta} + o((1-x)^{\beta}) \quad \text{as } x \to 1-$$
 (1.4)

where $1 < \beta \le 2$ and $0 < b < \infty$. Then $\varphi''(1)$ is finite if and only if $\beta = 2$. Our main result is Theorem 4.3 about coefficients.

The results about the coefficients can be interpreted as results about probabilities. Let X denote a random variable with values in \mathbb{N}_0 and the distribution $a_k = \mathbb{P}(X = k)$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots$. Then

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k \qquad (z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}})$$
 (1.5)

is the generating function of X and satisfies $\varphi(1)=1$ and $\varphi(\mathbb{D})\subset\mathbb{D}$. We assume that $\varphi(0)=\mathbb{P}(X=0)>0$.

Let S_n be the sum of n independent random variables all distributed like X. The Bürmann-Lagrange formula (Theorem 2.1) shows that the fixed point function f has a special affinity to probabilities of the form $\mathbb{P}(S_n = n - k)$.

The study of S_n is a classical chapter of probability theory, see e.g. the book of V.V. Petrov [Pe75]. Most of our results on probability are known, at least, in the case $\beta = 2$ of finite variance.

2. The Bürmann-Lagrange formula

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ be analytic with $\varphi(0) \neq 0$ and let z = f(w) be the inverse function of $w = z/\varphi(z)$. We define $a_{n,k}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ by

$$\varphi(z)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{n,k} z^k. \tag{2.1}$$

Now we present the Bürmann-Lagrange formula [PoSz25, p. 125] in a somewhat different form and also for functions ψ with a pole at 0.

The formulas still hold near w=0 if we only assume that φ is analytic near z=0 and $\varphi(0)\neq 0$.

Theorem 2.1. Let $m \ge 0$, $0 < \rho \le 1$ and

$$\psi(z) = \sum_{k=-m}^{\infty} b_k z^k \text{ for } 0 < |z| < \rho.$$
 (2.2)

If $0 < |w| < \rho$ then

$$w f'(w) \psi(f(w)) = \sum_{n=-m+1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-m+1}^{n} b_{k-1} a_{n,n-k} \right) w^{n}, \qquad (2.3)$$

$$\psi(f(w)) = b_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{m} b_{-k} a_k^* + \sum_{n=-m}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-m}^{n} \frac{k}{n} b_k a_{n,n-k} \right) w^n$$
 (2.4)

where n = 0 is omitted in the last outer sum and where $z \varphi'(z)/\varphi(z) = \sum a_k^* z^k$.

Proof. Since $|f(w)| \le |w|$ by the Schwarz lemma and since f is univalent in \mathbb{D} , we have $0 < |f(w)| < \rho$ for $0 < |w| < \rho$ so that $\psi \circ f$ is analytic in $\{0 < |w| < \rho\}$.

Let $0 < r < \rho$ and $C = \{|w| = r\}$. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The coefficient of w^n of the function $w f'(w) \psi(f(w))$ is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{C} \frac{\psi(f(w))}{w^n} f'(w) dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{f(C)} \frac{\psi(z) \varphi(z)^n}{z^n} dz,$$

where we have substituted $w=z/\varphi(z)$ with z=f(w). This is the coefficient of z^{n-1} of the function

$$\psi(z)\,\varphi(z)^n = \sum_{k=-m+1}^{\infty} b_{k-1}\,z^{k-1}\,\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{n,j}\,z^j$$

which is equal to the inner sum in (2.3).

Next we apply (2.3) to ψ' . We obtain

$$\frac{d}{dw} \, \psi(f(w)) = \sum_{n=-m}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=-m+1}^{n} k \, b_k \, a_{n,n-k} \right) w^{n-1} \, .$$

Integrating we obtain (2.4) except for a constant. The coefficient of w^0 is

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_C \frac{\psi(f(w))}{w} dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int\limits_{f(C)} \frac{\psi(z)}{z} \left(1 - z \frac{\varphi'(z)}{\varphi(z)} \right) dz$$

because of (1.3), which gives the value in (2.4).

 \checkmark

In particular we obtain

$$w f'(w) f(w)^{k-1} = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} a_{n,n-k} w^n \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{Z},$$
 (2.5)

$$f(w)^k = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n} a_{n,n-k} w^n \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$
 (2.6)

3. Some auxiliary estimates

A Stolz angle at 1 is an open triangle \triangle symmetric to \mathbb{R} that satisfies $\overline{\triangle} \cap \partial \mathbb{D} = \{1\}$. We say that a function has an angular limit at 1 if this limit exists for $z \to 1$ in every Stolz angle \triangle .

Proposition 3.1. Let g be analytic in \mathbb{D} and

$$g(z) \sim b(1-z)^{\beta} \text{ as } z \to 1 \text{ angularly.}$$
 (3.1)

where $b \neq 0$ and $\beta \neq 0$. Then

$$g'(z) \sim -\beta b(1-z)^{\beta-1} \text{ as } z \to 1 \text{ angularly.}$$
 (3.2)

Proof. By (3.1) the function $(1-z)^{-\beta}g(z)$ has the angular limit $b\neq\infty$ at 1. It follows [Po92, Prop. 4.8] that

$$(1-z)^{-\beta+1}g'(z) + \beta(1-z)^{-\beta}g(z) = (1-z)\frac{d}{dz}\left[(1-z)^{-\beta}g(z)\right]$$

has the angular limit 0 at 1. Hence (3.2) follows from (3.1).

Proposition 3.2. Let g be analytic in \mathbb{D} and

$$(1-x)^{\alpha} g(x) \to 0 \text{ as } x \to 1-,$$
 (3.3)

$$|1 - z|^{\alpha} |g(z)| \le c < \infty \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}$$
(3.4)

where $1 < \alpha < \infty$. Then

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(re^{it})| dt = o\left((1-r)^{1-\alpha}\right) \text{ as } r \to 1 - .$$
 (3.5)

Proof. We establish (3.5) for $0 \le t \le \pi$. The analytic function $(1-z)^{\alpha} g(z)$ is bounded because of (3.4) and therefore has the angular limit 0 at 1 because of (3.3), see [Po92, Th. 4.3].

Given $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$ there exists $r_0 \in (\frac{1}{2},1)$ such that

$$|1 - re^{it}|^{\alpha} |g(re^{it})| < \varepsilon$$
 for $r_0 < r < 1$, $|t| \le \delta = (1 - r)/\varepsilon$.

For $r_0 < r < 1$ we therefore have

$$\int_{0}^{\delta} |g(re^{it})| dt < \varepsilon \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{|1 - re^{it}|^{2 - \alpha}}{|1 - re^{it}|^{2}} dt.$$
 (3.6)

 \checkmark

If $1 < \alpha \le 2$ this is

$$\leq \varepsilon (1+\varepsilon^{-2})^{(2-\alpha)/2} (1-r)^{2-\alpha} \int\limits_0^\delta \frac{dt}{|1-re^{it}|^2} < 4\pi \varepsilon^{\alpha-1} (1-r)^{1-\alpha}.$$

If $2 \le \alpha < \infty$ the last expression in (3.6) is

$$\leq \varepsilon (1-r)^{(2-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{\delta} \frac{dt}{|1-re^{it}|^2} \leq 2\pi \varepsilon (1-r)^{1-\alpha}.$$

Since $|1 - re^{it}| \ge 2rt/\pi$ we obtain from (3.4) that

$$\int_{\delta}^{\pi} |g(re^{it})| dt \le \int_{\delta}^{\infty} \frac{c \pi^{\alpha}}{t^{\alpha}} dt = \frac{c \pi^{\alpha} \varepsilon^{\alpha - 1}}{\alpha - 1} (1 - r)^{1 - \alpha}$$

because $\delta = (1 - r)/\varepsilon$. These estimates prove (3.5).

It is well known that, for $\alpha > 0$.

$$(-1)^n \binom{-\alpha}{n} = \frac{\alpha(\alpha+1)\cdots(\alpha+n-1)}{n!} \sim \frac{n^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \qquad (n \to \infty). \tag{3.7}$$

The following theorem is the key to the later results

Theorem 3.3. Let $1 < \alpha < \infty$ and let

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n \tag{3.8}$$

be analytic in \mathbb{D} . We suppose that

$$(1-x)^{\alpha-1} h(x) \to a \in \mathbb{C} \text{ as } x \to 1, \tag{3.9}$$

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{D}} |1 - z|^{\alpha} |h'(z)| < \infty. \tag{3.10}$$

Then

$$c_n \sim \frac{a}{\Gamma(\alpha - 1)} n^{\alpha - 2} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (3.11)

Proof. It follows from (3.9) and (3.10) that

$$|h(x)| \le \frac{c_0}{(1-x)^{\alpha-1}} \ (0 \le x \le 1), \ |h'(\zeta)| \le \frac{c_1}{|1-\zeta|^{\alpha}} \ (\zeta \in \mathbb{D}).$$

Let $z\in\mathbb{D}$ and |1-z|<1; the case $|1-z|\geq 1$ is simpler. Let C be the circular arc $\{\zeta\in\mathbb{D}:|1-\zeta|=|1-z|\}$ and let $x\in(0,1)$ be the point where C intersects \mathbb{R} . Integrating over C we obtain

$$|h(z) - h(x)| \le \int_{x}^{z} |h'(\zeta)| |d\zeta| \le \frac{\pi}{2} |1 - z| \frac{c_1}{|1 - z|^{\alpha}},$$

and since 1 - x = |1 - z| we conclude that

$$|1 - z|^{\alpha - 1} |h(z)| \le c_2 \text{ for } z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (3.12)

It follows by (3.9) that $(1-z)^{\alpha-1}h(z)$ has the angular limit a; see e.g. [Po92, Th. 4.3]. Therefore we conclude from Proposition 3.1 that $(1-x)^{\alpha}h'(x) \rightarrow (\alpha-1)a$ as $x \rightarrow 1-$. Hence we can apply Proposition 3.2 to the function

$$g(z) = z h'(z) - \frac{(\alpha - 1)a}{(1 - z)^{\alpha}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(n c_n - (\alpha - 1) a {\binom{-\alpha}{n}} (-1)^n \right) z^n; \quad (3.13)$$

the condition (3.4) is satisfied due to (3.10). We conclude from (3.5) with $r = 1 - n^{-1}$ that the coefficients of g are $o(n^{\alpha-1})$ so that (3.11) follows from (3.13) and (3.7).

4. A fractional derivative condition

In this section we consider the following condition and its consequences.

(A) The function $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \to \mathbb{D}$ is analytic and satisfies $\varphi(0) \neq 0$ and

$$\varphi(x) - x \sim b(1-x)^{\beta} \text{ as } x \to 1-$$
 (4.1)

where $0 < b < \infty$ and $1 < \beta \le 2$. Note that we only require radial and not unrestricted approach to z = 1.

Proposition 4.1. If condition (A) holds then $\varphi(1) = \varphi'(1) = 1$ as angular limits and, as $z \to 1$ angularly,

$$\varphi(z) - z \sim b(1-z)^{\beta},\tag{4.2}$$

$$1 - \varphi'(z) \sim \beta b(1-z)^{\beta-1},$$
 (4.3)

$$\varphi''(z) \sim \beta(\beta - 1) b(1 - z)^{\beta - 2}.$$
 (4.4)

Proof. We see from (4.1) that $(1 - \varphi(x))/(1 - x) \to 1$. Hence φ has the angular derivative 1 at 1 so that $\varphi'(1) = 1$ [Po92, Prop. 4.7] and it follows from the Julia-Wolff lemma [Po92, Th. 4.13] that

$$\frac{1+\varphi(z)}{1-\varphi(z)} \,=\, \frac{1+z}{1-z} \,+ p(z) \qquad (z \in \mathbb{D})$$

where $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ and thus $|\arg p(z)| < \frac{\pi}{2}$. Hence

$$h(z) = \log \frac{\varphi(z) - z}{(1 - z)^{\beta}} = \log \frac{p(z)(1 - \varphi(z))}{2(1 - z)^{\beta - 1}}$$

satisfies $|\operatorname{Im} h(z)| < (\beta+2)\pi/2$ and is therefore a Bloch function [Po92, Sect. 4.2]. Since $h(x) \to \log b$ as $x \to 1$ by (4.1), it follows that h has the angular limit at 1. This is the assertion (4.2), and we obtain (4.3) and (4.4) by applying Proposition 3.1 twice.

Let f be again the fixed point function of φ , see (1.1).

Theorem 4.2. Under the assumption (A) the domain $F = f(\mathbb{D})$ has tangents of angles $\pm \frac{\pi}{2\beta}$ at 1 and

$$1 - f(w) \sim b^{-1/\beta} (1 - w)^{1/\beta}, \tag{4.5}$$

$$f'(w) \sim (\beta b)^{-1} (1 - f(w))^{1-\beta} \sim \beta^{-1} b^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} (1 - w)^{\frac{1}{\beta} - 1},$$
 (4.6)

$$f''(w) \sim (\beta - 1) \beta^{-2} b^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} (1 - w)^{\frac{1}{\beta} - 2}$$
 (4.7)

as $w \to 1$, $w \in \mathbb{D}$, thus for unrestricted approach.

Proof. (a) Let \triangle be a Stolz angle in 1 of opening $\alpha > \pi/\beta$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. If $z = 1 - \rho e^{i\vartheta}$ with $|\vartheta| < \frac{\pi}{2}$ then, by (4.2),

$$|\varphi(z)|^2 = |z + b(1-z)^{\beta} + o(\rho^{\beta})|^2$$

= $|z|^2 + 2b \operatorname{Re} [(1-z)^{\beta}] + o(\rho^{\beta})$

as $\rho \to 0$ and thus

$$|\varphi(z)|^2 - |z|^2 = \rho^{\beta} (2b\cos(\beta\vartheta) + o(1)).$$

This is positive for $\beta |\vartheta| < \frac{\pi}{2} - \varepsilon$ and negative for $\beta |\vartheta| > \frac{\pi}{2} + \varepsilon$ for small ρ . Hence the domain $F = \{z \in \mathbb{D} : |\varphi(z)| > |z|\}$ has tangents of angles $\pm \pi/(2\beta)$ at 1. In particular, F lies within some Stolz angle near 1.

(b) We obtain from Proposition 4.1 that $1-z\,\varphi'(z)/\varphi(z)\sim\beta b(1-z)^{\beta-1}$ as $z\to 1$ angularly. Since $f(\mathbb{D})$ lies in a Stolz angle by part (a), we conclude from (1.3) with z=f(w) that

$$f'(w) = \frac{1 + o(1)}{\beta b} (1 - f(w))^{1-\beta}$$
(4.8)

as $w \to 1$, $w \in \mathbb{D}$ and therefore

$$(1 - f(w))^{\beta} = \beta \int_{w}^{1} (1 - f(\omega))^{\beta - 1} \frac{1 + o(1)}{\beta b} (1 - f(\omega))^{1 - \beta} d\omega$$
$$= (b^{-1} + o(1)) (1 - w).$$

Hence (4.5) holds, and (4.6) follows from (4.8).

By a short calculation we obtain from (1.3) that

$$f''(w) = \frac{w^2 f'(w)^3}{f(w)} \varphi''(f(w)) + 2 \frac{f'(w)^2}{f(w)} - 2 \frac{f'(w)}{w}.$$
 (4.9)

Hence we see from (4.4) and (4.6) that

$$f''(w) \sim (\beta b)^{-3} (1 - f(w))^{3-3\beta} \beta(\beta - 1) b(1 - f(w))^{\beta - 2}$$

which implies (4.7) in view of (4.5).

Let $a_{n,k}$ be the coefficients of $\varphi(z)^n$, see (2.1). We come to our main theorem.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose that condition (A) holds and that $\overline{f(\mathbb{D})} \subset \mathbb{D} \cup \{1\}$. Let

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\chi(z)}{(1-z)^{\gamma}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \ \gamma \ge 0$$
 (4.10)

where χ is analytic in \mathbb{D} and has a finite angular limit $\chi(1) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k-1} a_{n,n-k} \sim \frac{\chi(1) b^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\beta}}}{\beta \Gamma(1 + (\gamma - 1)/\beta)} n^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\beta}} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (4.11)

Proof. (a) We apply Theorem 3.3 with $\alpha = 2 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{\beta} > 1$ and

$$h(w) = w\psi(f(w)) f'(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n w^n.$$
 (4.12)

We have $\chi(f(w)) \to \chi(1)$ because f(1) = 1 and $F = f(\mathbb{D})$ lies in a Stolz angle by Theorem 4.2. Hence we obtain from (4.5), (4.6) and (4.10) that

$$(1-w)^{\alpha-1}h(w) \sim \chi(1) \left(1-w\right)^{1+\frac{\gamma-1}{\beta}} b^{\frac{\gamma}{\beta}} (1-w)^{-\frac{\gamma}{\beta}} \beta^{-1} b^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} (1-w)^{\frac{1}{\beta}-1}$$

which converges to $\chi(1) \beta^{-1} b^{(\gamma-1)/\beta}$ as $w \to 1$. We shall verify (3.10) in part (b). Then it follows from (3.11) that

$$c_n \sim c \, n^{(\gamma - 1)/\beta} \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
 (4.13)

where c is the factor in (4.11), and (4.11) now is a consequence of (2.3) (with m=0) in the Bürmann-Lagrange formula.

(b) Since the angular limit $\chi(1)$ exists, we have $(1-z)\chi'(z) \to 0$ [Po92, Prop. 4.8] and thus, by (4.10),

$$\psi'(z) = \frac{\gamma \chi(z) + (1-z)\chi'(z)}{(1-z)^{\gamma+1}} = O\left(\frac{1}{|1-z|^{\gamma+1}}\right)$$
(4.14)

as $z \to 1$, $z \in F = f(\mathbb{D})$. Hence we obtain from Theorem 4.2 that

$$h'(w) = \psi(f(w))f'(w) + w\psi'(f(w))f'(w)^{2} + w\psi(f(w))f''(w)$$

$$= O\left(|1 - f(w)|^{-\gamma - 1 + 2 - 2\beta}\right) + O\left(|1 - f(w)|^{-\gamma}|1 - w|^{\frac{1}{\beta} - 2}\right)$$

$$= O\left(|1 - w|^{(1 - \gamma)/\beta - 2}\right) = O\left(|1 - w|^{-\alpha}\right)$$
(4.15)

as $w \to 1$, $w \in \mathbb{D}$. It follows that $|1-w|^{\alpha}|h'(w)|$ is bounded for $w \in \mathbb{D}$, $|w-1| \le \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Furthermore f is continuous and injective in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$. Since f(1)=1 it follows that |1-f(w)| is bounded away from 0 in $U=\{w\in\mathbb{D}:|w-1|>\delta\}$. By assumption we have $\overline{f(\mathbb{D})}\subset\mathbb{D}\cup\{1\}$ and it follows from [MePo05, Th. 2.2] that f is analytic in \overline{U} . Moreover $\psi'(f(w))$ is bounded in U. Hence we see from (4.15) that $|1-w|^{\alpha}|h'(w)|$ is bounded also in U.

5. Applications to probability theory

Now we assume that φ has the form

$$\varphi(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k, \quad a_k \ge 0 \quad (k = 0, 1, ...)$$
(5.1)

and satisfies $\varphi(0) \neq 0$, $\varphi(1) = 1$ and $\varphi'(1) = 1$. Thus φ is the generating function of a random variable X with values in \mathbb{N}_0 and expectation $\mathbb{E}(X) = \varphi'(1) = 1$. Let

$$S_n = X_1 + \ldots + X_n \qquad (n = 0, 1, \ldots)$$

where the X_{ν} are independent random variables with $\mathbb{P}(X_{\nu} = k) = a_k$ for all ν and k. Since the X_{ν} are independent, the power $\varphi(z)^n$ has the coefficients $\mathbb{P}(S_n = k)$ and thus, by (2.1)

$$a_{n,k} = \mathbb{P}(S_n = k) \text{ for } n, k \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$
 (5.2)

Proposition 5.1. Let φ be given by (5.1) with $\varphi(1) = \varphi'(1) = 1$ and suppose that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} k^2 a_k \sim c m^{2-\beta} \qquad (m \to \infty)$$
 (5.3)

where $1 < \beta \le 2$ and $0 < c < \infty$. Then condition (A) of Section 4 is satisfied with

$$b = \frac{c\Gamma(3-\beta)}{\beta(\beta-1)}. (5.4)$$

An explicit example is given [MePo05, Ex. 6.2] by

$$\varphi(z) = z + (2\beta)^{-1} (1 - z)^{\beta} + \frac{1}{4} (1 - z)^{2}.$$

Proof. The case $\beta=2$ is easy. Therefore we assume that $1<\beta<2$. It follows from (5.3) and (3.7) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} k(k-1)a_k \sim c\Gamma(3-\beta) (-1)^m {\beta-3 \choose m}$$

and therefore, as $x \to 1$,

$$\frac{\varphi''(x)}{1-x} = \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} (k-1)k \, a_k \right) x^{m-2} \sim \frac{c \, \Gamma(3-\beta)}{(1-x)^{3-\beta}}.$$

Now we multiply by 1-x and integrate twice using $\varphi'(1)=1$ and $\varphi(1)=1$. We obtain (4.1) with b given by (5.4).

The generating function φ is called *aperiodic* if there does not exist q>1 such that $a_k=0$ for $k\not\equiv 0 \mod q$. If φ is aperiodic then $|\varphi(z)|<1$ for $z\in\overline{\mathbb{D}},\ z\not\equiv 1$, see e.g. [MePo05, Sect. 7]. Thus the condition $\overline{f(\mathbb{D})}\subset\mathbb{D}\cup\{1\}$ of Theorem 4.3 is satisfied. Hence we obtain from Theorem 4.3:

Theorem 5.2. Let the generating function φ be aperiodic and let condition (A) of Section 4 be satisfied. Let $\gamma \geq 0$ and

$$\psi(z) = \frac{\chi(z)}{(1-z)^{\gamma}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k z^k$$
 (5.5)

where χ is analytic in \mathbb{D} and $\chi(1) \neq 0$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k-1} \mathbb{P}(S_n = n - k) \sim \frac{\chi(1) b^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\beta}}}{\beta \Gamma(1 + (\gamma - 1)/\beta)} n^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\beta}} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (5.6)

If the variance σ^2 of X is finite then we see from (4.4) that $\beta=2$ and $b=\sigma^2/2$. Hence (5.6) becomes

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{k-1} \mathbb{P}(S_n = n - k) \sim \frac{\chi(1) \, \sigma^{\gamma - 1}}{2^{(\gamma + 1)/2} \, \Gamma((1 + \gamma)/2)} \, n^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{2}}. \tag{5.7}$$

Now we give some specific applications where we always assume that condition (A) holds and that φ is aperiodic.

5.1. The limit behaviour of S_n . Let Z be any random variable with values in \mathbb{N}_0 that is independent of the sums S_n . We apply Theorem 5.2 with $\gamma = 0$ and χ the generating function of Z. Then $\chi(1) = 1$ and the sum in (4.11) becomes

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \mathbb{P}(Z=k-1) \mathbb{P}(S_n=n-k).$$

Since the Z and S_n are independent we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n + Z = n) \sim \frac{b^{-1/\beta}}{\beta \Gamma(1 - 1/\beta)} n^{-\frac{1}{\beta}} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (5.8)

5.2. Asymmetry near the equilibrium. Since $\mathbb{E}(S_n) = n$ the equilibrium is reached if $S_n = n$. Now we apply Theorem 5.2 with $\gamma = 1$ and $\chi(z) = 1$. We obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n < n) = \sum_{k=1}^n \mathbb{P}(S_n = n - k) \to \frac{1}{\beta} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

This value is $> \frac{1}{2}$ if $\beta < 2$. This does not contradict the law of large numbers because this law only says that $S_n/n \to 1$ but does not say anything about $\mathbb{P}(S_n/n < 1)$.

We introduce random variables T_n with values in $\{1,\ldots,n\}$ by $T_n=n-S_n$ for $S_n < n$ and $\mathbb{P}(T_n=k) = \mathbb{P}(S_n=n-k \mid S_n < n)$. It follows from Theorem 5.2 with $\psi(z) = (1-z)^{-2}$ and $\psi(z) = 2(1-z)^{-3}$ that

$$\mathbb{E}(T_n) \, \sim \, \frac{b^{1/\beta}}{\Gamma(1+1/\beta)} \, n^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \, \, \mathbb{V}(T_n) \, \sim \, \left(\frac{2b^{2/\beta}}{\Gamma(1+2/\beta)} - \frac{b^{2/\beta}}{\Gamma(1+1/\beta)^2} \right) \, n^{\frac{2}{\beta}} \, .$$

5.3. The first return to equilibrium. Now we introduce a random variable N with values in \mathbb{N} by

$$N = n \Leftrightarrow S_n = n, S_{\nu} \neq \nu \quad (1 \leq \nu < n).$$

Thus N is when S_n reaches its equilibrium for the first time.

Now $(S_n = n)$ is a recurrent event [Fe68, p. 311] and we see from (1.3) and from (2.5) with k = 0 that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(S_n = n) w^n = \frac{1}{1 - w \varphi'(f(w))}.$$

Hence it follows [Fe68, p. 311] that

$$w\,\varphi'(f(w)) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \,\mathbb{P}(N=n)\,w^n\,. \tag{5.9}$$

Since $\varphi'(1) = 1$ and thus f(1) = 1, we see that the random variable N is not defective.

Now we argue as in the proof of Theorem 4.3 with $\psi=\varphi''$. It follows from (5.9) that

$$h(w) = w \varphi''(f(w)) f'(w) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n \mathbb{P}(N = n+1) w^n;$$
 (5.10)

this notation agrees with (4.12). We consider $\alpha = 1 + \frac{1}{\beta} > 1$. It follows from Proposition 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 that, as $w \to 1-$,

$$(1-w)^{\alpha-1}h(w) \sim (1-w)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}\beta(\beta-1)\,b(1-f(w))^{\beta-2}f'(w) \to (\beta-1)\,b^{\frac{1}{\beta}}.$$

With some effort the condition (3.10) is verified as in part (b) of the proof of Theorem 4.3. Hence we obtain from (5.10) and Theorem 3.3 that

$$n \mathbb{P}(N = n + 1) \sim (\beta - 1) b^{\frac{1}{\beta}} \Gamma(1/\beta)^{-1} n^{\frac{1}{\beta - 1}}$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{P}(N=n) \sim \frac{(1-\beta) b^{1/\beta}}{\Gamma(1/\beta)} n^{\frac{1}{\beta}-2} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

If σ is finite then $\beta=2$ and we obtain $\mathbb{P}(N=n)\,\sim\,\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\,n^{-3/2}\,.$

5.4. The total progeny in a branching process. We consider a Galton-Watson branching process [Fe68] [AtNe72]. Let Z_k denote the number of individuals in the k-th generation where $Z_0 = q$ is given; in general it is assumed that $Z_0 = 1$. These individuals reproduce independently and the number of children of each individual is distributed like X. Then

$$Y = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Z_k \le \infty$$

is the total progeny, that is the total number of all individuals over all generations; see e.g. [Fe68, p. 298] [KaNa94]. It was shown in [MePo05, Sect. 6] that the fixed point function f of φ is the generating function of Y if $Z_0 = 1$. Since we now start with q individuals reproducing independently, we have

$$f(w)^{q} = \sum_{n=q}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(Y=n) w^{n}.$$

Hence we obtain from (2.6) and (5.8) that

$$\mathbb{P}(Y=n) = \frac{q}{n} \, \mathbb{P}(S_n = n - q) \, \sim \, \frac{q \, b^{-1/\beta}}{\beta \, \Gamma(1 - 1/\beta)} \, n^{-\frac{1}{\beta} - 1} \,. \tag{5.11}$$

If $\sigma < \infty$ we have $\mathbb{P}(Y = n) \sim \frac{q}{\sqrt{2\pi} \sigma} n^{-3/2}$.

6. The case $\varphi'(1) < 1$

Let φ again be analytic in $\mathbb D$ and $\varphi(\mathbb D)\subset \mathbb D,\ \varphi(0)\neq 0$ and $\varphi(1)=1.$ Now we assume that

$$\varphi'(z) \to \mu < 1 \text{ as } z \to 1, \ z \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (6.1)

The fixed point function f satisfies f(1) = 1 and now

$$f'(w) \to \frac{1}{1-\mu}, \frac{1-f(w)}{1-w} \to \frac{1}{1-\mu} \text{ as } w \to 1, w \in \mathbb{D}$$
 (6.2)

by (1.3). Hence $f(\mathbb{D})$ is tangential to $\partial \mathbb{D}$ at 1 [Po92, p. 80]. This is the reason why we have to allow unrestricted approach in (6.1). The situation is more complicated than for $\varphi'(1) = 1$ and we only prove one result.

Theorem 6.1. Suppose that $1 < \beta < 2$, $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $c \neq 0$ and

$$\varphi''(z) \sim c(1-z)^{\beta-2}, \, \varphi'''(z) = O\left(|1-z|^{\beta-3}\right)$$
 (6.3)

as $z \to 1$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. If $\overline{f(\mathbb{D})} \subset \mathbb{D} \cup \{1\}$ then, for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$a_{n,n-k} \sim \frac{c(1-\mu)^{-\beta-1}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} n^{-\beta} \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (6.4)

Proof. We have $\alpha = 3 - \beta > 1$ because $\beta < 2$. We apply Theorem 3.3 to

$$h(w) = \frac{d}{dw} \left(f'(w) f(w)^{k-1} \right) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} (n-1) a_{n,n-k} w^{n-2};$$
 (6.5)

see (2.5). We restrict ourselves to the case k=1 to simplify some technical details.

It follows from (4.9), (6.2) and (6.3) that

$$h(w) = f''(w) \sim c(1-\mu)^{-\beta-1} (1-w)^{\beta-2} \text{ as } w \to 1, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$
 (6.6)

 $\sqrt{}$

Now we differentiate (4.9) and obtain from (6.3) that

$$h'(w) = f'''(w) = O(f''(w)\varphi''(f(w))) + O(\varphi'''(f(w))$$

= $O(|1 - w|^{2\beta - 4}) + O(|1 - w|^{\beta - 3}) = O(|1 - w|^{-\alpha})$

because $\beta > 1$. As in part (b) of the proof of Theorem 4.3, we see that (3.10) holds. Hence it follows from (3.11), (6.5) and (6.6) that

$$(n-1) a_{n,n-1} \sim \frac{c(1-\mu)^{-\beta-1}}{\Gamma(2-\beta)} n^{1-\beta} \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

which implies (6.4) for k = 1.

Now let φ be an aperiodic probability generating function with $\mathbb{E}(X) < 1$ that satisfies (6.3) with $1 < \beta < 2$. Then it follows from (6.4) that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n = n - q) \sim c_1 n^{-\beta} \quad (n \to \infty), \ c_1 \neq 0$$
 (6.7)

and we obtain from (5.11) that the total progeny Y in a branching process satisfies $\mathbb{P}(Y=n) \sim c_2 \, n^{-\beta-1}, \ c_2 \neq 0$.

The relation is not always (or never ?) true if $\beta=2$, that is for finite variance. Consider for instance the case that φ is analytic in $\{|z|< R\}$ with R>1. Then large deviation theory shows that

$$\mathbb{P}(S_n = n - 1) = O(\rho^n) \quad (n \to \infty) \text{ for some } \rho < 1$$

which is very much smaller than (6.7). See e.g. [Gä77] and see [MePo05, Sect. 7] for details.

References

- $[{\rm AtNe72}]\;$ K. B. Athreya & P. E. Ney , $Branching\;processes,$ Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [Fe68] W. Feller, An introduction to probability theory and its applications I, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1968.
- [Gä77] J. GÄRTNER, On large deviations from the invariant measure, *Theory Probab. Appl.* **22** (1977), 24–39.
- [KaNa94] A. V. Karpenko & V. Nagaev, Limit theorems for the total number of descendants for the Galton-Watson branching process, *Theory Probab.* Appl. 38 (1994), 433–455.
- [MePo05] D. MeJía & Ch. Pommerenke, The analytic fixed point function in the disk, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory, 5 no. 2 (2005), 275–299.
- [Pe75] V. V. Petrov, Sums of independent random variables, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [PoSz25] G. Póya & G. Szegö, Aufgaben und Lehrsätze aus der Analysis I, Springer, Berlin, 1925.
- [Po92] Ch. Pommerenke, Boundary behaviour of conformal maps, Springer, Berlin, 1992.

(Recibido en febrero de 2006. Aceptado en abril de 2006)

ESCUELA DE MATEMÁTICAS UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL DE COLOMBIA A.A. 3840 MEDELLIN, COLOMBIA e-mail: dmejia@unal.edu.co

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK MA 8-2
TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT
D-10623 BERLIN, GERMANY
e-mail: pommeren@math.tu-berlin.de