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#### Abstract

Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt ( $P B W$ for short) extensions was established by Matczuk ([15], Theorem A). Since $P B W$ extensions are a particular example of skew $P B W$ extensions (also called $\sigma-P B W$ extensions), the aim of this paper is to compute this dimension for these extensions and hence generalize Matczuk's results for several algebras which can not be classified as $P B W$ extensions.
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Resumen. La dimensión de Gelfand-Kirillov de las extensiones de Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (abreviadas $P B W$ ) fue establecida por Matczuk ([15] Theorem A). Dado que las extensiones $P B W$ son un ejemplo particular de las extensiones $P B W$ torcidas (también llamadas extensiones $\sigma-P B W$ ), el objetivo de este artículo es calcular esta dimensión para dichas extensiones y así generalizar los resultados de Matczuk para varias álgebras que no pueden ser clasificadas como extensiones $P B W$.

Palabras y frases clave. Álgebras no conmutativas, anillos filtrado graduados, extensiones $P B W$, polinomios cuánticos torcidos, dimensión de Gelfand-Kirillov.

[^0]
## 1. Introduction

Originated in 2011 in the work of Gallego and Lezama [5], skew $P B W$ extensions are a generalization of $P B W$ extensions introduced by Bell and Goodearl [2] in 1988. These extensions defined in algebraic terms by generators and a list of commutation relations allow to study a considerable number of noncommutative rings of polynomial type. Skew $P B W$ extensions include $P B W$ extensions and many other algebras of interest for modern mathematical physicists which are not $P B W$ extensions. Some of these algebras are group rings of polycyclic-by-finite groups, Ore algebras, operator algebras, diffusion algebras, quantum algebras, quadratic algebras in 3 variables, Clifford algebras among many others. For some remarkable examples of skew $P B W$ extensions probably its Gelfand-Kirillov dimension have not been computed before. Indeed, for some particular non-commutative rings considered in this work several properties are probably known.

In this Section we recall the definition of skew $P B W$ extensions presented in [5] and we establish some key properties of this kind of non-commutative rings. The content and proofs of this introductory Section can be found in [5] and [13].

Definition 1. Let $R$ and $A$ be rings. We say that $A$ is a skew $P B W$ extension of $R$ (also called a $\sigma-P B W$ extension of $R$ ) if the following conditions hold:
(i) $R \subseteq A$.
(ii) There exist finite elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in A$ such $A$ is a left $R$-free module with basis

$$
\operatorname{Mon}(A):=\left\{x^{\alpha}=x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}: \alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}\right\}
$$

In this case we say that $A$ is a left polynomial ring over $R$ with respect to $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$ is the set of standard monomials of $A$. In addition, $x_{1}^{0} \cdots x_{n}^{0}:=1 \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$.
(iii) For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $r \in R \backslash\{0\}$ there exists $c_{i, r} \in R \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i} r-c_{i, r} x_{i} \in R . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iv) For every $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ there exists $c_{i, j} \in R \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{j} x_{i}-c_{i, j} x_{i} x_{j} \in R+R x_{1}+\cdots+R x_{n} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under these conditions we will write $A:=\sigma(R)\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$.
The following Proposition justifies the notation and the alternative name given for the skew $P B W$ extensions.

Proposition 2. ([5, Proposition 3]) Let $A$ be a skew PBW extension of $R$. Then, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, there exist an injective ring endomorphism $\sigma_{i}: R \rightarrow R$ and a $\sigma_{i}$-derivation $\delta_{i}: R \rightarrow R$ such that $x_{i} r=\sigma_{i}(r) x_{i}+\delta_{i}(r)$ for each $r \in R$.

Proof. We follow the proof presented in [5]. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$ and all $r \in R$, we have elements $c_{i, r}, r_{i} \in R$ with $x_{i} r=c_{i, r} x_{i}+r_{i}$. Since $\operatorname{Mon}(A)$ is a left $R$-basis of $A$, it follows that $c_{i, r}$ and $r_{i}$ are unique for $r$. Hence we define $\sigma_{i}, \delta_{i}: R \rightarrow R$ by $\sigma_{i}(r):=c_{i, r}, \delta_{i}(r):=r_{i}$. We can check that $\sigma_{i}$ is an endomorphism and $\delta_{i}$ is a $\sigma_{i}$-derivation of $R$, i.e., $\delta_{i}\left(r+r^{\prime}\right)=\delta_{i}(r)+\delta_{i}\left(r^{\prime}\right)$ and $\delta_{i}\left(r r^{\prime}\right)=\sigma_{i}(r) \delta_{i}\left(r^{\prime}\right)+\delta_{i}(r) r^{\prime}$, for any elements $r, r^{\prime} \in R$. By Definition 1 (iii), $c_{i, r} \neq 0$ for $r \neq 0$, which shows that $\sigma_{i}$ is injective for all $i$.

A particular case of skew $P B W$ extension is considered when all derivations $\delta_{i}$ are zero. If all $\sigma_{i}$ are bijective another interesting case is presented. We recall the following definition (cf. [5].)

Definition 3. Let $A$ be a skew $P B W$ extension.
(a) $A$ is quasi-commutative if the conditions (iii) and (iv) in Definition 1 are replaced by
(iii') For every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $r \in R \backslash\{0\}$ there exists $c_{i, r} \in R \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
x_{i} r=c_{i, r} x_{i} .
$$

(iv') For every $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ there exists $c_{i, j} \in R \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
x_{j} x_{i}=c_{i, j} x_{i} x_{j} .
$$

(b) $A$ is bijective if $\sigma_{i}$ is bijective for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $c_{i, j}$ is invertible for any $1 \leq i<j \leq n$.

Skew $P B W$ extensions can be characterized in a similar way as left $P B W$ rings in [3, Proposition 2.4].

Theorem 4. ([5, Theorem 7]) Let $A$ be a left polynomial ring over $R$ with respect to $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\} . A$ is a skew $P B W$ extension of $R$ if and only if the following conditions hold:
(a) For every $x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ and every $0 \neq r \in R$ there exist unique elements $r_{\alpha}:=\sigma^{\alpha}(r) \in R \backslash\{0\}$ and $p_{\alpha, r} \in A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha} r=r_{\alpha} x^{\alpha}+p_{\alpha, r}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{\alpha, r}=0$ or $\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{\alpha, r}\right)<|\alpha|$ if $p_{\alpha, r} \neq 0$. Moreover, if $r$ is left invertible, then $r_{\alpha}$ is left invertible.
(a) For every $x^{\alpha}, x^{\beta} \in \operatorname{Mon}(A)$ there exist unique elements $c_{\alpha, \beta} \in R$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta} \in A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha} x^{\beta}=c_{\alpha, \beta} x^{\alpha+\beta}+p_{\alpha, \beta}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\alpha, \beta}$ is left invertible, $p_{\alpha, \beta}=0$ or $\operatorname{deg}\left(p_{\alpha, \beta}\right)<|\alpha+\beta|$ if $p_{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$.
We remember also the following facts from [5, Remark 8].

## Remark 5.

(i) A left inverse of $c_{\alpha, \beta}$ will be denoted by $c_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}$. We observe that if $\alpha=0$ or $\beta=0$, then $c_{\alpha, \beta}=1$ and hence $c_{\alpha, \beta}^{\prime}=1$.
(ii) Let $\theta, \gamma, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ and $c \in R$. Then we have the following identities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\theta}\left(c_{\gamma, \beta}\right) c_{\theta, \gamma+\beta} & =c_{\theta, \gamma} c_{\theta+\gamma, \beta}, \\
\sigma^{\theta}\left(\sigma^{\gamma}(c)\right) c_{\theta, \gamma} & =c_{\theta, \gamma} \sigma^{\theta+\gamma}(c)
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) We observe that if $A$ is quasi-commutative then from the proof of Theorem 4 we conclude that $p_{\alpha, r}=0$ and $p_{\alpha, \beta}=0$ for every $0 \neq r \in R$ and every $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$.
(iv) From the proof of Theorem 4 we get also that if $A$ is bijective, then $c_{\alpha, \beta}$ is invertible for any $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$.

Next we present some key results proved in [13]. We start with a proposition that establishes that one can construct a quasi-commutative skew $P B W$ extension from a given skew $P B W$ extension of a ring $R$.

Proposition 6. Let $A$ be a skew $P B W$ extension of $R$. Then there exists a quasi-commutative skew $P B W$ extension $A^{\sigma}$ of $R$ in $n$ variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ defined by

$$
z_{j} r=c_{j, r} z_{j}, \quad z_{j} z_{i}=c_{i, j} z_{i} z_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,
$$

where $c_{j, r}, c_{i, j}$ are the same constants that define $A$. Moreover, if $A$ is bijective then $A^{\sigma}$ is also bijective.

Proof. We follow the proof presented in [13]. Consider variables $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ and the set of standard monomials $\mathcal{M}:=\left\{z_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots z_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}: \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$. Let $A^{\sigma}$ be the free $R$-module with basis $\mathcal{M}$ (i.e., $A$ and $A^{\sigma}$ are isomorphic $R$-modules). We define the product in $A^{\sigma}$ by the distributive law and the rules

$$
r z^{\alpha} s z^{\beta}:=r \sigma^{\alpha}(s) c_{\alpha, \beta} z^{\alpha+\beta}
$$

where the $\sigma$ 's and the constants $c$ 's are as in Theorem 4. The identities of Remark 5 show that this product is associative. Moreover, note that $R \subseteq$ $A^{\sigma}$ since for $r \in R, r=r z_{1}^{0} \cdots z_{n}^{0}$. Thus, $A^{\sigma}$ is a quasi-commutative skew
$P B W$ extension of $R$, and also, each element $f^{\sigma}$ of $A^{\sigma}$ corresponds to a unique element $f \in A$, when the variables $x$ 's are replaced by the variables $z$ 's. The last assertion of the proposition is obvious. Therefore, $A^{\sigma} \cong R\left[z_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right] \cdots\left[z_{n} ; \sigma_{n}\right]$ where $\sigma_{j}(r)=c_{j, r}, \sigma_{j}\left(z_{i}\right)=c_{i, j} z_{i}$ for $r \in R$ and $1 \leq i<j \leq n$.

An important fact for this work is that skew $P B W$ extensions are filtered rings. We recall the definition of these rings.

Definition 7. A filtered ring is a ring $B$ with a family $F B=\left\{F_{n} B: n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ of additive subgroups of $B$ where we have the ascending chain $\cdots \subset F_{n-1} B \subset$ $F_{n} B \subset \cdots$ such that $1 \in F_{0} B$ and $F_{n} B F_{m} B \subseteq F_{n+m} B$ for all $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

From a filtered ring $B$ it is posible to construct its associated graded ring $G(B)$ taking $G(B)_{n}:=F_{n} B / F_{n-1} B$. It is sufficient to consider the multiplication in $G(B)$ on homogeneous elements. If $a \in F_{n} B / F_{n-1} B$, it says that $a$ has degree $n$, and $\bar{a}=a+F_{n-1} B \in G(B)_{n}$ is the leading term of $a$. If $c$ has degree $m$, then $\bar{a} \bar{c}$ is defined as $a c+F_{m+n-1} B \in G_{m+n} B$. This multiplication is well defined and hence $G(S)$ is effectively a ring, which is known in the literature as the associated graded ring of $B$.

The first key theorem establishes the graduation of a general skew $P B W$ extension of a ring $R$.

Theorem 8. Let $A$ be an arbitrary skew $P B W$ extension of $R$. Then, $A$ is a filtered ring with filtration given by

$$
F_{m} A:= \begin{cases}R, & \text { if } \quad m=0  \tag{5}\\ \{f \in A: \operatorname{deg}(f) \leq m\}, & \text { if } \quad m \geq 1\end{cases}
$$

and the corresponding graded ring $G(A)$ is a quasi-commutative skew $P B W$ extension of $R$. Moreover, if $A$ is bijective, then $G(A)$ is a quasi-commutative bijective skew $P B W$ extension of $R$.

The next theorem characterizes the quasi-commutative skew $P B W$ extensions.

Theorem 9. Let $A$ be a quasi-commutative skew $P B W$ extension of a ring $R$. Then,
(i) $A$ is isomorphic to an iterated skew polynomial ring of endomorphism type.
(ii) If $A$ is bijective, then each endomorphism is bijective.

## 2. Gelfand-Kirillov Dimension

For finitely generated $\mathbb{k}$-algebras $B$, there exists the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension denoted by $\mathrm{GK} \operatorname{dim}(B)$, which is an invariant and coincides with the Krull dimension in the commutative case. Algebras with Gelfand-Kirillov dimension zero are precisely those finite dimensional. Since this dimension applies only to algebras over a field $\mathbb{k}$, throughout this section, $R$ is affine, that is, $R$ is finitely generated as $\mathbb{k}$-algebra and all automorphisms and derivations are $\mathbb{k}$-linear. We recall that a filtration $F B=\left\{F_{n} B: n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ of a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $B$ is said to be finite if each $F_{i} B$ is a finite dimensional $\mathbb{k}$-subspace.

It is known that if $\delta$ is a derivation of an $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $R$ for a field $\mathbb{k}$, then the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension GKdim of the ring of derivation type $R[x ; \delta]$ is equal to $\operatorname{GK} \operatorname{dim}(R)+1$, provided that $R$ is finitely generated [10]. Generalization of this result was established by Matczuk [15, Theorem A] for Poincaré-BirkhoffWitt extensions introduced by Bell and Goodearl [2] over finitely generated algebras. More exactly, Matczuk showed that if $R$ is an affine $\mathbb{k}$-algebra and $A$ is a $P B W$ extension of $R$, then $\operatorname{GKdim}(A)=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$. This result generalizes [16, Proposition 8.2.10]. In this Section we generalize the Matczuk's result for skew $P B W$ extensions of a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $R$ being $R$ finitely generated or with locally algebraic automorphisms. We start recalling the definition of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension.

Definition 10. Let $B$ be an affine $\mathbb{k}$-algebra with finite generating set given by $\left\{b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$. Let $V$ be a finite dimensional subspace of $B . V$ is called a finite dimensional generating subspace for $B$ if we can express every element of $B$ as a linear combination of monomials formed by elements of $V$.

An example is the case where $V$ is the subspace of $B$ spanned by the generators $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$. If we set $V^{0}:=\mathbb{k}$ and $V^{n}:=$ the subspace spanned by monomials of the form $b_{i_{1}}^{l_{1}} \cdots b_{i_{m}}^{l_{m}}, b_{i_{j}} \in\left\{b_{1}, \cdots, b_{m}\right\}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{m} l_{i}=n$, we have $B_{n}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} V^{i}$ and $B=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n}$. Define $d_{V}(n):=\operatorname{dim}_{k}\left(B_{n}\right)$. GKdim is a measure of the rate of growth of the algebra in terms of any generating set. More exactly

Definition 11. The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of $B$ is

$$
\operatorname{GKdim}(B):=\varlimsup \overline{\lim }\left(\frac{\log d_{V}(n)}{\log (n)}\right)
$$

for a finite dimensional generating subspace $V$ of $B$.
The Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of the algebra $B$ is independent of the choice of $V$. For details about Gelfand-Kirillov dimension see [10] or [16].

We need two preliminary results.

Proposition 12. ([10, Proposition 6.6]) Let $B$ be $a \mathbb{k}$-algebra with a finite filtration $\left\{B_{i}\right\}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ such that $G(B)$ is finitely generated. Then

$$
\operatorname{GKdim}\left(G(B)_{G(B)}\right)=\operatorname{GKdim}\left(B_{B}\right)
$$

Lemma 13. ([9, Lemma 2.2]) Let $B$ a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra with a finite dimensional generating subspace $V, \sigma a \mathbb{k}$-automorphism of $B$ and $\delta$ a $\sigma$-derivation. If $\sigma(V) \subseteq V$, then

$$
\operatorname{GKdim}(B[x ; \sigma, \delta])=\mathrm{GKdim}(B)+1
$$

Proof. Briefly, the idea presented in [9] is the following. We may assume that $1 \in V$. Since $\bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} V^{k}=B$ and $\delta(V)$ is finite dimensional, there exists a positive integer $m$ such that $\delta(V) \subset V^{m}$. Then, by induction on $n \geq 1$, we have $\delta\left(V^{n}\right) \subset V^{m+n}$ for all $n$. If $W:=\mathbb{k} x \oplus V$, then $W$ is a finite dimensional generating subspace of $B[x ; \sigma, \delta]$. One can show that $W^{n} \subset \sum_{k=0}^{n} V^{m n} x^{k}$ for all $n$. Since the sum $\sum_{k=0}^{n} V^{m n} x^{k}$ is direct, the definition of Gelfand-Kirillov dimension implies that $\operatorname{GKdim}(B[x ; \sigma, \delta])=\operatorname{GKdim}(B)+1$.

Next we formulate one of the main results in this section. Consider the automorphism $\sigma_{n}$ of $R$ in Proposition 2.

Theorem 14. Let $R$ be $a \mathbb{k}$-algebra with a finite dimensional generating subspace $V$ and let $A$ be a bijective skew $P B W$ extension of $R$ given by $A=$ $\sigma(R)\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$. If $\sigma_{n}(V) \subseteq V$, then

$$
\operatorname{GKdim}(A)=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n
$$

Proof. From Theorem 8 it is clear that $A$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra with a finite filtration. Let $X$ the $\mathbb{k}$-linear subspace of $A$ spanned by $1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Then $V X$ is a finite dimensional generating subspace of $G(A) \cong A^{\sigma}$ and hence Proposition 12 implies $\operatorname{GKdim}(A)=\operatorname{GKdim}(G(A))$. Now, from Theorem 5 and Theorem 9 we have that the ring $A^{\sigma}$ is isomorphic to the skew polynomial ring of automorphism type $R\left[x_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right] \cdots\left[x_{n} ; \sigma_{n}\right]$. Note that $R\left[x_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right] \cdots\left[x_{n-1} ; \sigma_{n-1}\right]$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra and the automorphism $\sigma_{n}$ of $R\left[x_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right] \cdots\left[x_{n-1} ; \sigma_{n-1}\right]$ given by $\sigma_{n}(r)=c_{n, r}$ and $\sigma_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)=c_{i, n} x_{i}$ for $r \in R, 1 \leq i<n$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-automorphism. If $X^{\prime}$ is the $\mathbb{k}$-linear subspace of $A$ spanned by $1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$, then $V X^{\prime}$ is a finite dimensional generating subspace of $R\left[x_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right] \cdots\left[x_{n-1} ; \sigma_{n-1}\right]$ and, from the assumption that $\sigma_{n}(V) \subseteq V$, it follows that $\sigma_{n}\left(V X^{\prime}\right) \subseteq V X^{\prime}$. Lemma 13 guarantees $\operatorname{GKdim}(A)=\operatorname{GKdim}(G(A))=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$.

Remark 15. Theorem 14 generalizes [15, Theorem A], which established the result above for classic $P B W$ extensions.

Definition 16 ([11] or [18]). For a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $B$, an automorphism $\sigma$ of $B$ is said to be locally algebraic if for any $b \in B$ the set $\left\{\sigma^{m}(b): m \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ is contained in a finite dimensional subspace of $B$.

We remark a useful result about rings with a locally algebraic automorphism

Lemma 17. ([11, Proposition 1]) If $\sigma$ is a locally algebraic automorphism of a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $B$, we have $\operatorname{GKdim}(B[x ; \sigma])=\operatorname{GKdim}(B)+1=\operatorname{GKdim}\left(B\left[x^{ \pm 1} ; \sigma\right]\right)$.

Next we formulate another result of this Section. Again, consider the automorphism $\sigma_{n}$ of $R$ in Proposition 2.

Theorem 18. Let $R$ be $a \mathbb{k}$-algebra with a finite dimensional generating subspace $V$ and let $A$ be a bijective skew $P B W$ extension of $R$ given by $A=$ $\sigma(R)\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$. If $\sigma_{n}$ is locally algebraic, then

$$
\operatorname{GKdim}(A)=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n
$$

Proof. From Theorem 14 we know that $A$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra with a finite filtration and that $V X$ is a finite dimensional generating subspace of $A^{\sigma} \cong G(A)$ which implies $\operatorname{GKdim}(A)=\operatorname{GKdim}(G(A))$. We also know that the ring $A^{\sigma}$ is isomorphic to the skew polynomial ring of automorphism type $R\left[x_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right] \cdots\left[x_{n} ; \sigma_{n}\right]$ and that the ring $R\left[x_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right] \cdots\left[x_{n-1} ; \sigma_{n-1}\right]$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra and the function $\sigma_{n}$ of $R\left[x_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right] \cdots\left[x_{n-1} ; \sigma_{n-1}\right]$ given by $\sigma_{n}(r)=c_{n, r}$ and $\sigma_{n}\left(x_{i}\right)=c_{i, n} x_{i}$ for $r \in R$, $1 \leq i<n$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-automorphism. Hence, if $X^{\prime}$ is the $\mathbb{k}$-linear subspace of $A$ spanned by $1, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$, then $V X^{\prime}$ a finite dimensional generating subspace of $R\left[x_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right] \cdots\left[x_{n-1} ; \sigma_{n-1}\right]$. It is easy to show that.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{n}^{m}\left(x_{i}\right)=\left[\prod_{t=0}^{m-1} \sigma_{n}^{m-1-t}\left(c_{n, i}\right)\right] x_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i<n, m \in \mathbb{N} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption, the automorphism $\sigma_{n}$ of $R$ is locally algebraic so (6) implies that $\sigma_{n}$, considered as an automorphism of $R\left[x_{1} ; \sigma_{1}\right] \cdots\left[x_{n-1} ; \sigma_{n-1}\right]$, is locally algebraic. By Lemma 17 we conclude $\operatorname{GKdim}(G(A))=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$.

## Remark 19.

(1) Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions in the literature (cf. [3], [10] and [16, Proposition 8.2.7]) agree with Theorems 14 and 18. For instance, the following Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions are well known:
(a) $\operatorname{GKdim}(R[x])=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+1$;
(b) $\operatorname{GKdim}\left(\mathbb{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right)=n$;
(c) $\operatorname{GKdim}\left(\mathcal{O}_{q}\left(\mathbb{k}^{2}\right)\right)=2$;
(d) $\operatorname{GKdim}\left(A_{n}(R)\right)=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$,
(e) $\operatorname{GKdim}(\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\mathfrak{g})$,
(f) $\operatorname{GKdim}(R G)=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)$ for any finite group $G$;
(g) $\operatorname{GKdim}\left(\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{k}))\right)=3$;
(h) $\operatorname{GKdim}(R \otimes \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{g})$, for a finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$;
(i) $\operatorname{GKdim}(R * \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})) \geq \operatorname{GKdim}(R)+\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{g}) ; \quad$ if $\quad R$ is affine, $\operatorname{GKdim}(R * \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g}))=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+\operatorname{dim}(\mathfrak{g}) ;$
(j) $\operatorname{GKdim}\left(\mathcal{O}_{q}\left(M_{n}(\mathbb{k})\right)\right)=n^{2}$ (c.f. [17]);
(k) $\operatorname{GKdim}\left(A_{2}\left(J_{a, b}\right)\right)=4$ (c.f. [4]);
(l) $\operatorname{GKdim}\left(A_{n}\left(q, p_{i j}\right)\right)=2 n$ (c.f. [6]);
(m) $\operatorname{GK} \operatorname{dim}(\mathcal{A})=n, \quad$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is a diffusion algebra (c.f. [8]).
(2) Theorem 18 generalizes the following result due to Zhang in [18]: Let $B$ a finitely generated $\mathbb{k}$-algebra which is a commutative domain, $\sigma$ is a $\mathbb{k}$ endomorphism of $A$, and if $\delta$ is a $\sigma$-derivation of $B$, then the following statements are equivalent:
(a) $\operatorname{GKdim}(B[x ; \sigma, \delta])<\operatorname{GKdim}(B)+2$;
(b) $\operatorname{GKdim}(B[x ; \sigma, \delta])=\operatorname{GKdim}(B)+1$;
(c) $\sigma$ is locally algebraic.
(3) Conditions on automorphism $\sigma_{n}$ in Theorem 14 and Theorem 18 are necessary as the next examples show. Let $R=\mathbb{k}\left[y^{ \pm 1}, z^{ \pm 1}\right]$. Consider the skew $P B W$ extensions of $R$ given by $B=\mathbb{k}\left[y^{ \pm 1}, z^{ \pm 1}\right][x ; \sigma]$ and $T=$ $\mathbb{k}\left[y^{ \pm 1}, z^{ \pm 1}\right]\left[x^{ \pm 1} ; \sigma\right]$ where $\sigma(y):=y z$ and $\sigma(z):=z$. Then $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)=2$ and $\operatorname{GKdim}(B)=\operatorname{GKdim}(T)=4$. Note that $T$ is the group algebra $\mathbb{k} G$ where $G$ is the group generated by $x, y, z$ with relations $z y=y z, z x=x z$ and $y^{-1} x^{-1} y x=z$. The representation

$$
x \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad y \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad z \mapsto\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

gives an isomorphism of $G$ with the group of $3 \times 3$ matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & a & b \\
0 & 1 & c \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, i.e., the discrete Heisenberg group. See [16], Example 8.2.16 for more details.

Other example is given by Ore extensions $B[x ; \sigma, \delta]$ with $B$ a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra and $\sigma$ a $\mathbb{k}$-endomorphism of $B$. Huh and Kim [9] showed the inequality $\operatorname{GKdim}(B[x ; \sigma, \delta]) \geq \operatorname{GKdim}(B)+1$, where equality holds whenever each
finite dimensional subspace of $B$ is contained in a finitely generated subalgebra of $B$ that is stable under both $\sigma$ and $\delta$. In general, the difference $\operatorname{GKdim}(B[x ; \sigma, \delta])-\operatorname{GKdim}(B)$ may be an arbitrary natural number, it may be infinite. Similarly, if $R$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra and $\delta$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-derivation, then $\operatorname{GKdim}(R[x ; \delta]) \geq \operatorname{GKdim}(R)+1$ (Corollary, 8.2.11).
(4) In $[3,8,12,14]$ Gelfand-Kirillov dimension is computed for several classes of rings and algebras. We remark that none of these algebras generalize skew $P B W$ extensions and Theorem 14 and Theorem 18 allow to compute the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for many examples of these algebras. See [13] for relations between all these algebras and skew $P B W$ extensions.

### 2.1. Gelfand-Kirillov Dimension of Skew Quantum Polynomials

In this Section we calculate the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for skew quantum polynomials. We recall the following definition presented in [13].

Definition 20. Let $R$ be a ring with a fixed matrix of parameters $\mathbf{q}:=\left[q_{i j}\right] \in$ $M_{n}(R), n \geq 2$, such that $q_{i i}=1=q_{i j} q_{j i}=q_{j i} q_{i j}$ for every $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, and suppose also that it is given a system $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n}$ of automorphisms of $R$. The ring of skew quantum polynomials over $R, R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, is defined as the ring satisfying the following relations:
(i) $R \subseteq R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$;
(ii) $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is a free left $R$-module with basis

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}: \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq r \text { and } \alpha_{i} \in \mathbb{N} \text { for } r+1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ satisfy the defining relations

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i} x_{i}^{-1} & =1=x_{i}^{-1} x_{i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r  \tag{8}\\
x_{j} x_{i} & =\sigma_{j}\left(x_{i}\right) x_{j}=q_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}, \quad r \in R, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n,  \tag{9}\\
x_{j} r & =\sigma_{j}(r) x_{j} j, \quad r \in R, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 21. $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ can be viewed as a localization of a skew $P B W$ extension. In fact, we have the quasi-commutative bijective skew $P B W$ extension

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & :=\sigma(R)\left\langle x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle, \quad \text { with } \quad x_{i} r=\sigma_{i}(r) x_{i} \quad \text { and } \\
x_{j} x_{i} & =q_{i j} x_{i} x_{j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we set $S:=\left\{r x^{\alpha}: r \in R^{*}, x^{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Mon}\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}\right\}\right\}$ then $S$ is a multiplicative subset of $A$ and $S^{-1} A \cong R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$. In fact, if $f \in A$ and $r x^{\alpha} \in S$ are such that $f r x^{\alpha}=0$, then $0=f r x^{\alpha}=f x^{\alpha}\left[\left(\sigma^{\alpha}\right)^{-1}(r)\right]$, so
$0=f x^{\alpha}$ since $\left(\sigma^{\alpha}\right)^{-1}(r) \in R^{*}$, and hence, $f=0$. From this we get that $r x^{\alpha} f=0 . S$ satisfies the left (right) Ore condition:

If $f=c_{1} x^{\beta_{1}}+\cdots+c_{t} x^{\beta_{t}}$, then $g r x^{\alpha}=x^{\alpha} f$, where $g:=d_{1} x^{\beta_{1}}+\cdots+d_{t} x^{\beta_{t}}$ with $d_{i}:=\sigma^{\alpha}\left(c_{i}\right) c_{\alpha, \beta_{i}} c_{\beta_{i}, \alpha}^{-1} \sigma^{\beta_{i}}\left(r^{-1}\right)$, and $c_{\alpha, \beta_{i}}, c_{\beta_{i}, \alpha}$ are the elements of $R$ that we obtain when we apply Theorem 4 to $A$ (for the right Ore condition $g$ is defined in a similar way). This means that $S^{-1} A$ exists ( $A S^{-1}$ also exists, and hence, $S^{-1} A \cong A S^{-1}$.

Finally, note that the function

$$
h^{\prime}: A \rightarrow R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right], \quad h^{\prime}(f):=f
$$

is a ring homomorphism and it satisfies $h^{\prime}(S) \subseteq R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]^{*}$ (in fact, $\left[r x^{\alpha}\right]^{-1}=\left(\sigma^{\alpha}\right)^{-1}\left(r^{-1}\right)\left(x^{\alpha}\right)^{-1}$ ), so $h^{\prime}$ induces the ring homomorphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h: S^{-1} A \rightarrow R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \\
& h\left(\frac{f}{r x^{\alpha}}\right):=h^{\prime}\left(r x^{\alpha}\right)^{-1} h^{\prime}(f)=\left(r x^{\alpha}\right)^{-1} f .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is clear that $h$ is injective; moreover, $h$ is surjective since $x_{i}=h\left(\frac{x_{i}}{1}\right), 1 \leq$ $i \leq n, x_{j}^{-1}=h\left(\frac{1}{x_{j}}\right), 1 \leq j \leq r, r=h(r), r \in R$.

## Remark 22.

(a) When all automorphisms are trivial, the ring of quantum polynomials over $R$ is denoted by $R_{\mathbf{q}}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$.
(b) If $R=\mathbb{k}$ is a field, then $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is the algebra of skew quantum polynomials.
(c) For trivial automorphisms we get the algebra of quantum polynomials simply denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}$ (see [1]).
(d) If $r=0$, the $\operatorname{ring} R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]=R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is the $n$-multiparametric skew quantum space over $R$.
(e) When $r=n$, the ring of skew quantum polynomials over $R$ coincides with $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]$, the $n$-multiparametric skew quantum torus over $R$. In this case, if $n=1, R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]=R\left[x^{ \pm 1} ; \sigma\right]$, i.e., this ring coincides with the skew Laurent polynomial ring over $R$. If $r=n$ and automorphisms are trivial, i.e., $\sigma_{i}=i_{R}, 1 \leq i \leq n$, we denoted $R_{\mathbf{q}}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ and it is called n-multiparametric quantum torus over $R$. For $R=\mathbb{k}$, $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is simple called $n$-multiparametric skew quantum torus, and the particular case $n=2$ is called skew quantum torus; for trivial automorphisms we have the $n$-multiparametric quantum torus and the quantum torus (see [7].) The ring $\mathbb{k}\left[x^{ \pm 1} ; \sigma\right]$ is the algebra of skew Laurent polynomials; if $\sigma=i_{R}$, then $R\left[x^{ \pm 1} ; \sigma\right]=R\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is the classical Laurent polynomial ring over $R$, and then $\mathbb{k}\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is the algebra of Laurent polynomials.
(f) Following [7, p. 16], let $\mathbb{k}$ be a field and $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{i j}\right)$ a multiplicatively antisymmetric $n \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{k}$. The corresponding multiparameter quantum torus is the $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}\left(\left(\mathbb{k}^{*}\right)^{n}\right)$ presented by generators $x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{n}^{ \pm 1}$ and relations $x_{i} x_{j}=q_{i j} x_{j} x_{i}$ for all $i, j$. The single parameter version $\mathcal{O}_{q}\left(\left(\mathbb{k}^{*}\right)^{n}\right)$, for $q \in \mathbb{k}^{*}$, is the special case when $q_{i j}=q$ for all $i<j$.

From these observations we can see that the ring of skew quantum polynomials over $R$ generalizes all the rings considered by Artamonov in [1].

For the next Lemma consider the automorphism $\sigma_{n}$ in Theorem 18.
Lemma 23. Let $R$ be $a \mathbb{k}$-algebra with a finite dimensional generating subspace $V$ and suppose that $\sigma_{n}$ is locally algebraic. Then,
$\operatorname{GKdim}\left(R_{q, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right)=\operatorname{GKdim}\left(R_{q, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)$.
Proof. Note that $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ is as a quasi-commutative bijective skew $P B W$ extension of the $r$-multiparametric skew quantum torus over $R$. More exactly, $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] \cong \sigma(T)\left\langle x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\rangle$, with $T:=R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$. Note that for $T, \sigma$ is defined by the $\sigma_{j}$ in (9) with $1 \leq j \leq r$. Moreover, $T$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra with a finite dimensional generating subspace $V X^{ \pm 1}$, where $X^{ \pm 1}:=\left\{1, x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right\}$. Given that $\sigma_{n}$ is a locally algebraic automorphism of $T$, the result follows from Theorem 18 .

The following Lemma allows us to compute GKdim of the $n$-multiparametric skew quantum torus.

Lemma 24. Let $R_{q, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ be the r-multiparametric skew quantum torus. If $R$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra with a finite dimensional generating subspace $V$, and the automorphism $\sigma_{r}$ of $R_{q, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r-1}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ given by $\sigma_{r}(a)=c_{r a}, \sigma_{r}\left(x_{i}\right)=$ $c_{i r} x_{i}$ for $a \in R$ and $1 \leq i<r$, is locally algebraic, then

$$
\operatorname{GKdim}\left(R_{q, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]\right)=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+r
$$

Proof. Follows from Lemmas 17 and 23.
For the next Theorem consider the automorphism $\sigma_{n}$ of $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ in Lemma 23.

Theorem 25. Under the same conditions of Lemma 24, Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for skew quantum polynomials over a finitely generated $\mathbb{k}$-algebra $R$ with locally algebraic automorphism $\sigma_{n}$, is given by

$$
\operatorname{GKdim}\left(R_{q, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1} x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]\right)=\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n .
$$

Proof. It is clear that $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ is a $\mathbb{k}$-algebra. Denote with $X^{ \pm 1}$ the $\mathbb{k}$-linear subspace of $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ spanned by $1, x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}$. Then $V X^{ \pm 1}$ is a finite dimensional generating subspace of $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$. The assertion follows from Theorem 18 and Lemma 24.

## 3. Examples

In [13] it was proved that all rings and algebras in the following tables are bijective skew $P B W$ extensions. Under conditions of Theorems 14 and 18 we have computed its Gelfand-Kirillov dimension.

| Noncommutative ring | GKdim |
| :--- | :---: |
| Polynomial ring | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$ |
| Skew polynomial ring of derivation type | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$ |
| Universal enveloping algebra of Lie algebras | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$ |
| Universal enveloping algebra of Kac-Moody | Lie algebras |
|  | $\operatorname{GKdim}(k)+m+n$ |
| Universal enveloping rings $\mathcal{U}(V, R, \mathbb{k})$ | $\operatorname{GKdim}(k)+n$ |
| Differential operator rings $V(R, L)$ | $\operatorname{GKdim}(k)+n$ |
| Tensor and crossed product | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$ |
| Twisted or smash product differential | operator ring |
|  | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$ |

Table 1. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for some $P B W$ extensions.

| Noncommutative ring | GKdim |
| :--- | :---: |
| Weyl algebra | $2 n$ |
| Quantum plane | 2 |
| Algebra of $q$-differential operators | 2 |
| Algebra of shift operators | 2 |
| Mixed algebra | 3 |
| Algebra for multidimensional discrete linear systems | $2 n$ |
| Algebra $B$ | 3 |

Table 2. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for some Ore extensions of derivation type.

| Noncommutative ring | GKdim |
| :--- | :---: |
| Algebra of linear partial differential operators | $2 n$ |
| Algebra of linear partial shift operators | $2 n$ |
| Algebra of linear partial difference operators | $2 n$ |
| Algebra of linear partial $q$-dilation operators | $n+m$ |
| Algebra of linear partial $q$-differential operators | $n+m$ |
| Operator differential rings | $m$ |

Table 3. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for operator algebras.

| Noncommutative ring | GKdim |
| :--- | :---: |
| Diffusion algebras | $n$ |
| Generalized Weyl algebras $R\{\theta, \xi\}$ | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+2$ |
| Quadratic algebras in 3 variables | 3 |
| Clifford algebras | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+2 n$ |

TABLE 4. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for others examples of skew $P B W$ extensions.

| Noncommutative ring | GKdim |
| :--- | :---: |
| Additive analogue of the Weyl algebra | $2 n$ |
| Multiplicative analogue of the Weyl algebra | $n$ |
| Quantum algebra $\mathcal{U}^{\prime}(\mathfrak{s o}(3, \mathbb{k}))$ | 3 |
| Dispin algebra $\mathcal{U}(\operatorname{osp}(1,2))$ | 3 |
| Woronowicz algebra $\mathcal{W}_{\nu}(\mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{k}))$ | 3 |
| Algebra U | $3 n$ |
| The Complex algebra $V_{q}(\mathfrak{s l}(3, \mathbb{C}))$ | 10 |
| Manin algebra $\mathcal{O}_{q}\left(M_{2}(\mathbb{k})\right)$ | 4 |
| Algebra of quantum matrices $\mathcal{O}_{q}\left(M_{n}(\mathbb{k})\right)$ | $n^{2}$ |
| $q$-Heisenberg algebra $\mathbf{H}_{n}(q)$ | $3 n$ |
| Quantum enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{s l}(2, \mathbb{k}))$ | 3 |
| Hayashi's algebra $W_{q}(J)$ | $3 n$ |
| Differential operators on a quantum space $D_{\mathbf{q}}\left(S_{\mathbf{q}}\right)$ | $2 n$ |
| Quantum Weyl algebra $A_{2}\left(J_{a, b}\right)$ | 4 |
| Quantum Weyl algebra $A_{2}^{\bar{q}, \Lambda}$ | 2 |
| Quantum Weyl algebra of Maltsiniotis $A_{n}^{\mathbf{q}, \lambda}$ | $n^{2}$ |
| Quantum Weyl algebra of Maltsiniotis $A_{n}\left(q, p_{i j}\right)$ | $n^{2}$ |
| Multiparameter quantized Weyl algebra $A_{n}^{Q, \Gamma}$ | $n^{2}$ |
| Quantum Weyl algebra $A_{n}(\bar{q}, \Lambda)$ | $n^{2}$ |
| Quantum symplectic space $\mathcal{O}_{q}\left(\mathfrak{s p}\left(\mathbb{k}^{2 n}\right)\right)$ | $n^{2}$ |

Table 5. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for some quantum algebras.

Finally, Table 6 contains the Gelfand-Kirillov dimensions for some examples of skew quantum polynomials (see Remark 22.)

| Noncommutative ring | GKdim |
| :---: | :---: |
| Skew Laurent extension $R\left[x^{ \pm 1} ; \sigma_{1}\right]$ | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+1$ |
| Skew Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{k}\left[x^{ \pm 1} ; \sigma_{1}\right]$ | 1 |
| Classical Laurent polynomial ring $R\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]$ | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+1$ |
| Algebra of Laurent polynomials $\mathbb{k}\left[x^{ \pm 1}\right]$ | 1 |
| $n$-Multiparametric skew quantum space $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ | $\mathrm{GK} \operatorname{dim}(R)+n$ |
| $n$-Multiparametric quantum space $R_{\mathbf{q}}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$ |
| $n$-Multiparametric skew quantum space $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ | $n$ |
| $n$-Multiparametric quantum space $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ | $n$ |
| $n$-Multiparametric skew quantum torus $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+r$ |
| $n$-Multiparametric quantum torus $R_{\mathbf{q}}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+r$ |
| $n$-Multiparametric skew quantum torus $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}\right]$ | $r$ |
| Ring of skew quantum polynomials $R_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$ |
| Ring of quantum polynomials $R_{\mathbf{q}}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ | $\operatorname{GKdim}(R)+n$ |
| Algebra of skew quantum polynomials $\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}, \sigma}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ | $n$ |
| Algebra of quantum polynomials $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{q}}=\mathbb{k}_{\mathbf{q}}\left[x_{1}^{ \pm 1}, \ldots, x_{r}^{ \pm 1}, x_{r+1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ | $n$ |

Table 6. Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of skew quantum polynomials.
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