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#### Abstract

Let $H$ be a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, and let $L(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on $H$ into itself. Given $A, B \in L(H)$, define the generalized derivation $\delta_{A, B} \in L(L(H))$ by $\delta_{A, B}(X)=A X-X B$. An operator $A \in L(H)$ is $P$-symmetric if $A T=T A$ implies $A T^{*}=T^{*} A$ for all $T \in C_{1}(H)$ (trace class operators). In this paper, we give a generalization of $P$-symmetric operators. We initiate the study of the pairs $(A, B)$ of operators $A, B \in L(H)$ such that $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)} W^{*}=\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)} W^{*}$, where $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)} W^{*}$ denotes the ultraweak closure of the range of $\delta_{A, B}$. Such pairs of operators are called generalized $P$-symmetric. We establish a characterization of those pairs of operators. Related properties of $P$-symmetric operators are also given.
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Resumen. Sea $H$ un espacio de Hilbert separable sobre los complejos y denote por $L(H)$ al álgebra de los operadores acotados de $H$ es sí mismo. Da$\operatorname{dos} A, B \in L(H)$, defina la derivada generalizada $\delta_{A, B} \in L(L(H))$ como $\delta_{A, B}(X)=A X-X B$. Un operador $A \in L(H)$ es $P$-simétrico si la condición $A T=T A$ implica que $A T^{*}=T^{*} A$ para todo $T \in C_{1}(H)$ (los operadores de clase de traza). En este artículo presentamos una generalización de los operadores $P$-simétricos. En este artículo estudiamos pares $(A, B)$ de operadores $A, B \in L(H)$ tales que $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)} W^{*}=\overline{R\left(\delta_{\left.A^{*}, B^{*}\right)}\right.} W^{*}$, donde $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)} W^{W^{*}}$ denota la clausura ultradébil del rango $\delta_{A, B}$. A esta clase de operadores los llamamos
operadores $P$-simétricos generalizados. En este artículo damos una caracterización de esta clase de pares de operadores y presentamos propiedades de los operadores $P$ simétricos generalizados.
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## 1. Introduction and Notation

Let $H$ be a separable infinite dimensional complex Hilbert space, and let $L(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on $H$ into itself. Given $A, B \in L(H)$, we define the generalized derivation $\delta_{A, B}: L(H) \longrightarrow L(H)$ by $\delta_{A, B}(X)=A X-X B$, we simply write $\delta_{A}$ for $\delta_{A, A}$.

An operator $A \in L(H)$ is called $D$-symmetric if $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}=\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}}\right)}$, where $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}$ denotes the norm closure of the range $R\left(\delta_{A}\right)$ of $\delta_{A}$. Clearly $A$ is $D$ symmetric if and only if $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}$ is a self-adjoint subspace of $L(H)$. Examples of $D$-symmetric operators include the normal operators, isometries and hyponormal weighted shifts. The properties of $D$-symmetric operators have been considered in a number of papers (see for example [1], [5], [6], [3], [4], [7], [9], [10] and [11]).

In [2] it is proved that if $A$ is $D$-symmetric, then $A$ has the property $(F P)_{C_{1}(H)}$, that is, $A T=T A$ implies $A^{*} T=T A^{*}$ for every $T \in C_{1}(H)$ (trace class operators). Operators $A$ satisfying the property $(F P)_{C_{1}(H)}$ are termed $P$-symmetric.
S. Bouali and J. Charles introduced $P$-symmetric operators, and they gave some basic properties of this class of operators ([5], [6]). In this paper, we study the pairs $(A, B)$ of operators $A, B \in L(H)$ with the property that $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)} W^{*}=$ $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)} W^{*}$, where $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)}{ }^{W^{*}}$ denotes the ultraweak closure of $R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)$. We call such pairs of operators generalized $P$-symmetric. We give a characterization and some properties of $P$-symmetric pairs $(A, B)$ of bounded linear operators $A$ and $B$.

The present paper investigates also the class of $P$-symmetric operators. We prove that if $A$ is a rationally cyclic subnormal operator, then $A$ is $D$ symmetric. A well-known result of S. Bouali and J. Charles [5] says that an operator $A \in L(H)$ is $P$-symmetric if and only if $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}{ }^{W^{*}}$ is self-adjoint. So, for a $P$-symmetric operator $A$ we consider the following sets: $\mathcal{C}_{\circ}(A)=\{C \in L(H)$ : $\left.C L(H)+L(H) C \subset{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}}^{W^{*}}\right\}, \mathcal{I}_{\circ}(A)=\left\{Z \in L(H): Z R\left(\delta_{A}\right)+R\left(\delta_{A}\right) Z \subset\right.$ \left.${\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}}^{W^{*}}\right\}, \mathcal{B}_{\circ}(A)=\left\{B \in L(H): R\left(\delta_{B}\right) \subset{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}}^{W^{*}}\right\}$. We establish some new properties concerning these subalgebras of $L(H)$. We present some examples and counterexamples of $P$-symmetric and essentially $D$-symmetric operators.

We conclude this section with some notation and terminology. An operator on $H$ will always be understood to be a bounded linear transformation from $H$
into itself. The algebra of all bounded linear operators on $H$ will be dented by $L(H)$. Given $A \in L(H)$, we shall denote the kernel, the orthogonal complement of the kernel and the closure of the range of $A$ by $\operatorname{ker}(A), \operatorname{ker}^{\perp}(A)$ and $\overline{R(A)}$, respectively. The spectrum of $A$ will be denoted by $\sigma(A)$, and the restriction of $A$ to an invariant subspace $M$ will be denoted by $A \mid M$. A closed subspace $M$ of $H$ is said to reduce $A$ if $A M \subseteq M$ and $A M^{\perp} \subseteq M^{\perp}$, that is, if $M$ and $M^{\perp}$ are both invariant under $A$. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\bar{\lambda}$ denote the complex conjugate of $\lambda$. A complex number $\lambda$ is said to be a reducing eigenvalue for $A$ if $\operatorname{ker}(A-\lambda I)$ reduces $A$, where $I$ is the identity operator. For vectors $x$ and $y$ in $H$ we denote by $x \otimes y$ the rank-one operator defined by $x \otimes y(z)=\langle z, y\rangle x$ for all $z \in H$.

Let $K(H), C_{1}(H)$ and $F(H)$ be respectively the ideal of compact operators, the ideal of trace class operators and the ideal of finite rank on $H$. The trace function is defined on $C_{1}(H)$ by $\operatorname{tr}(T)=\sum_{n}\left\langle T e_{n}, e_{n}\right\rangle$, where $\left(e_{n}\right)$ is any complete orthonormal sequence in $H$. The weakly continuous linear functionals on $L(H)$ are those of the form $f_{T}(X)=\operatorname{tr}(X T)$, where $T \in F(H)$. The ultraweakly continuous linear functionals on $L(H)$ are those of the form $f_{T}(X)=\operatorname{tr}(X T)$, where $T \in C_{1}(H)$.

For $A \in L(H)$, let $[A]$ denote the image of $A$ under the canonical projection of $L(H)$ onto the Calkin algebra $L(H) \mid K(H)$. An operator $A \in L(H)$ is said to be essentially normal if $A^{*} A-A A^{*}$ is compact, equivalently, if $[A]$ is a normal element of the Calkin algebra.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a Banach space and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a subspace of $\mathcal{B}$. Denote by $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ the set of all bounded linear functionals. We define the annihilator of $\mathcal{S}$ by

$$
\mathcal{S}^{\circ}=\left\{f \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}: f(s)=0 \text { for all } s \in \mathcal{S}\right\}
$$

Any other notation will be explained as and when required.

## 2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. An operator $A \in L(H)$ is called $D$-symmetric, if $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}=$ $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}}\right)}$.
Theorem 2.2. [1] If $A \in L(H)$, then the following two statements are equivalent.
(1) $A$ is $D$-symmetric.
(2) (i) $[A]$, the corresponding element of the Calkin algebra, is D-symmetric and
(ii) $A T=T A$ and $T \in C_{1}(H)$ implies $A^{*} T=T A^{*}$.

Definition 2.3. Let $A \in L(H)$. Then $A$ is called $P$-symmetric if $A T=T A$ and $T \in C_{1}(H)$ implies $A^{*} T=T A^{*}$.
Theorem 2.4. [3] Let $A \in L(H)$, then
(1) $A$ is $P$-symmetric if and only if ${\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}}^{W^{*}}$ is self-adjoint.
(2) $P(H)$ (the set of $P$-symmetric operators) is self-adjoint.

Proposition 2.5. [3] Let $A \in L(H)$. If there exist nonzero vectors $f, g \in H$ such that
(1) $A f=\lambda f$ and $A^{*} f \neq \bar{\lambda} f$,
(2) $A^{*} g=\bar{\lambda} g$,
then $A$ is not $P$-symmetric.
Example 2.6. Let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an orthonormal basis for $H$. Let $H_{\circ}=$ $\vee\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ denote the linear subspace of $H$ generated by the set $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$. Let $A_{\circ}$ be defined by

$$
A_{\circ}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & -1
\end{array}\right) \in L\left(H_{\circ}\right)
$$

We next define the operator $A=A_{\circ} \oplus I$, with respect to the decomposition $H=H_{\circ} \oplus H_{\circ}^{\perp}$, where $I$ is the identity operator. It is easily verified that

$$
A e_{2}=-e_{2}, A^{*} e_{2}=e_{1}-e_{2}+e_{3} \neq-e_{2} \text { and } A^{*} e_{3}=-e_{3} .
$$

It follows that $A$ is not $P$-symmetric.
Definition 2.7. An operator $A \in L(H)$ is called essentially $D$-symmetric, if $[A]$, its corresponding element of the Calkin algebra, is $D$-symmetric.

The following result is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1.
Corollary 2.8. (i) An operator $A$ on $H$ is $D$-symmetric if and only if $A$ is essentially $D$-symmetric and $P$-symmetric.
(ii) An essentially normal operator $A$ is $D$-symmetric if and only if $A$ is $P$-symmetric.
(iii) An operator in the trace class is $P$-symmetric if and only if it is normal.

Remark 2.9. Let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ (respectively $\left.\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\right)$ be an orthonormal basis for $H$. Let $S$ be the unilateral (respectively bilateral) shift $S e_{n}=\omega_{n} e_{n+1}$ where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (respectively $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) with nonzero weights $\omega_{n}$. By taking a unitarily equivalent weighted shift, we may assume that $\omega_{n}=\left|\omega_{n}\right|>0$.

In [6] it is shown that $S$ is $P$-symmetric if and only if $S$ satisfies the total products condition, that is,

$$
\Sigma_{k=1}^{\infty} \omega_{k} \cdot \omega_{k+1} \cdot \cdots \cdot \omega_{k+n}=\infty \quad \text { for all } n \in \mathbb{N}
$$

[^0]Example 2.10. We now present an example of an essentially $D$-symmetric which is not $P$-symmetric. We define our operator $A$ as follows.

Let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an orthonormal basis for $H$. Set

$$
A e_{k}=\frac{1}{k^{2}+1} e_{k+1} \text { for all } k \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

It is obvious that $A$ is essentially normal. Then it follows from Theorem 2.1 in [1] ( which is valid in any $C^{*}$-algebra), that $A$ is essentially $D$-symmetric. However the weights of $A$ don't satisfy the total products condition, and so $A$ is not $P$-symmetric.

Example 2.11. Let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be an orthonormal basis for $H$. Define $T \in L(H)$ by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T e_{2 n}=\frac{1}{2} e_{2 n+1} \\
T e_{2 n+1}=2 e_{2 n+2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Since the weights of $T$ satisfy the total products condition, then $T$ is $P$ symmetric. It follows from Lemma 2 in [11] that $T$ is not essentially $D$-symmetric. On the other hand, we have that $T^{2}$ is unitary, and hence $T^{2}$ is $D$-symmetric. But $T$ is not $D$-symmetric.

## 3. Main Results

Definition 3.1. Let $A, B \in L(H)$ and $\mathcal{J}$ be a two-sided ideal of $L(H)$. The pair $(A, B)$ is said to possess the Fuglede-Putnam property $(F P)_{\mathcal{J}}$ if $A T=T B$ and $T \in \mathcal{J}$ implies $A^{*} T=T B^{*}$. i.e. $\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{A, B} \mid \mathcal{J}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}} \mid \mathcal{J}\right)$.

Definition 3.2. Let $A, B \in L(H)$. The pair $(A, B)$ of operators $A$ and $B$ is called $P$-symmetric if

$$
\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)} W^{*}={\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)}}^{W^{*}}
$$

For $A, B \in L(H)$, let $R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ}$ denotes the set of all norm-continuous linear functionals that vanish on the range $R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)$ of $\delta_{A, B}$. Note also that $L(H)^{\prime W^{*}}$ is the set of all ultraweakly continuous linear functionals on $L(H)$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $A, B \in L(H)$. Then

$$
R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ}=\left(R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ} \cap K(H)^{\circ}\right) \oplus\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{B, A}\right) \cap C_{1}(H)\right)
$$

The proof of the preceding lemma is the same as the proof of Theorem 3 [12].
Theorem 3.4. Let $A, B \in L(H)$. The pair $(A, B)$ is $P$-symmetric if and only if $(A, B) \in(F P)_{C_{1}(H)}$ and $(B, A) \in(F P)_{C_{1}(H)}$.

Proof. Observe that the assertion $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)}{ }^{W^{*}}={\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)}}^{W^{*}}$ is equivalent to

$$
R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ} \cap L(H)^{\prime W^{*}}=R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)^{\circ} \cap L(H)^{\prime W^{*}}
$$

We get from lemma 3.1 that

$$
R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ}=\left(R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ} \cap K(H)^{\circ}\right) \oplus\left(\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{B, A}\right) \cap C_{1}(H)\right)
$$

Hence, it follows that

$$
R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ} \cap L(H)^{\prime W^{*}} \simeq \operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{B, A}\right) \cap C_{1}(H)
$$

Consequently, we have $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)} W^{*}=\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)} W^{*}$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{B, A}\right) \cap C_{1}(H)=\operatorname{ker}\left(\delta_{B^{*}, A^{*}}\right) \cap C_{1}(H)
$$

This completes the proof.
Theorem 3.5. Let $A, B \in L(H)$. If there exist nonzero vectors $x, y \in H$, and some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that
(1) $B x=\lambda x$ and $B^{*} x \neq \bar{\lambda} x$,
(2) $A^{*} y=\bar{\lambda} y$, then the pair $(A, B)$ is not $P$-symmetric.

Proof. We must show that $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)} W^{W^{*}} \neq{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)}}^{W^{*}}$. Clearly we have that $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)}{ }^{W^{*}}=\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)}{ }^{W^{*}}$ if and only if

$$
f_{T} \in R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ} \Longleftrightarrow f_{T} \in R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)^{\circ},
$$

for every $T \in C_{1}(H)$.
It suffices to exhibit a trace class operator $T$ for which $f_{T} \in R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)^{\circ}$ but $f_{T} \notin R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)^{\circ}$. Let us define the rank one operator $T=x \otimes y$.

Then for any $Y \in L(H)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{T}\left(\delta_{A, B}(Y)\right) & =\operatorname{tr}[(A Y-Y B) T]=\operatorname{tr}(Y T A)-\operatorname{tr}(Y B T) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left(Y \circ\left(x \otimes A^{*} y\right)\right)-\operatorname{tr}(Y \circ(B x \otimes y)) \\
& =\operatorname{tr}(\lambda Y T)-\operatorname{tr}(\lambda Y T)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define an operator $X \in L(H)$ by $X=y \otimes(B-\lambda)^{*} x$. Then, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{T}\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}(X)\right) & =\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(A^{*} X-X B^{*}\right) T\right] \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left[\left\{\left(A^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right) X-X\left(B^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right)\right\} T\right] \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(A^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right) X T\right]-\operatorname{tr}\left[X\left(B^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right) T\right] \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(A^{*}-\bar{\lambda}\right) y \otimes T^{*}(B-\lambda)^{*} x\right]-\operatorname{tr}\left[\left(y \otimes(B-\lambda)^{*} x\right)(B-\lambda)^{*}(x \otimes y)\right] \\
& =-\left\|(B-\lambda)^{*} x\right\|^{2} .\|y\|^{2} \neq 0,
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

Example 3.6. Let $\left(e_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis for $H$. Let $H_{\circ}=$ $\vee\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$, and set

$$
B_{\circ}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-i & i & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & i
\end{array}\right) \in L\left(H_{\circ}\right)
$$

Define the operator $B \in L(H)$ by $B=B_{\circ} \oplus I$ with respect to the decomposition $H=H_{\circ} \oplus H_{\circ}^{\perp}$, and let $A=i e_{2} \otimes e_{2}$. A straightforward computation gives $B e_{3}=i e_{3}, B^{*} e_{3}=-e_{2}-i e_{3} \neq-i e_{3}$ and $A^{*} e_{2}=-i e_{2}$. Then it follows from Theorem 3.2 that $(A, B)$ is not $P$-symmetric.

Theorem 3.7. Let $A, B \in L(H)$. If $A$ and $B$ are $P$-symmetric operators such that $\sigma(A) \cap \sigma(B)=\phi$, then the pair $(A, B)$ is $P$-symmetric.

Proof. Assume that $A$ and $B$ are $P$-symmetric operators with disjoint spectra. Let $T \in \overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)}{ }^{W^{*}}$, then there exists a sequence $\left(X_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ of elements in $L(H)$ such that $\left(A X_{\alpha}-X_{\alpha} B\right)_{\alpha}$ converges in the ultra-weak topology (or the weak * operator topology) to $T$, in symbols we write $A X_{\alpha}-X_{\alpha} B \xrightarrow{W^{*}} T$.

On $H \oplus H$ consider the operators $L, S$ and $Y_{\alpha}$ defined as

$$
L=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right), \quad S=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & T \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad Y_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & X_{\alpha} \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
\delta_{L}\left(Y_{\alpha}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \delta_{A, B}\left(X_{\alpha}\right) \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{W^{*}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & T \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)=S .
$$

Hence, we get $S \in{\overline{R\left(\delta_{L}\right)}}^{W^{*}}$. Since $A$ and $B$ are $P$-symmetric with disjoint spectra, then we obtain from Theorem 2.6 in [3], that $L$ is $P$-symmetric. Thus, there exists a sequence $\left(Z_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ in $L(H \oplus H)$ for which $\delta_{L^{*}}\left(Z_{\alpha}\right) \xrightarrow{W^{*}} S$. A simple calculation shows that there exists a sequence $\left(U_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha}$ in $L(H)$ such that $\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\left(U_{\alpha}\right) \xrightarrow{W^{*}} T$. Then we conclude that $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)}{ }^{W^{*}} \subseteq{\left.\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right.}\right)^{W^{*}} \text {. } . . . . ~}_{\text {. }}$

The argument to verify the reverse inclusion is identical to the above and thus the proof is complete.

Corollary 3.8. Let $A, B \in L(H)$. If $(A, B)$ is $P$-symmetric, then

$$
A^{*} R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)+R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right) B^{*} \subset{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{W^{*}}
$$

Proof. Suppose that $(A, B)$ is $P$-symmetric. Then we have

$$
{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{W^{*}}={\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)}}^{W^{*}}
$$

If $X$ is any operator in $L(H)$ then

$$
A^{*} \delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}(X)=\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\left(A^{*} X\right)
$$

and

$$
\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}(X) B^{*}=\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\left(X B^{*}\right)
$$

From this it follows that

$$
A^{*} R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right) \subset A^{*}{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{W^{*}}=A^{*}{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)}}^{W^{*}} \subset{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}\right)}}^{W^{*}}={\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{W^{*}} .
$$

By the same argument as above, we prove that $R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right) B^{*} \subset{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A, B}\right)}}^{W^{*}}$. So the proof is complete.

Remark 3.9. Let $A, B \in L(H)$. Suppose that $(A, B)$ is $P$-symmetric and let $T \in C_{1}(H)$ such that $A T=T B$. Then we get

$$
\delta_{A, B}(A T)=0 \Rightarrow \delta_{A^{*}, B^{*}}(A T)=0
$$

Hence, it follows readily that

$$
\left(A^{*} A-A A^{*}\right) T=T\left(B B^{*}-B^{*} B\right)=A T T^{*}-T T^{*} A=T^{*} T B-B T^{*} T=0
$$

Then we have $\overline{R(T)}$ reduces $A$, and $\operatorname{ker}^{\perp}(T)$ reduces $B$, and $A|\overline{R(T)}, B| \operatorname{ker}^{\perp}(T)$ are unitarily equivalent normal operators.

Definition 3.10. An operator $A$ on a Hilbert space $H$ is subnormal, if there is a Hilbert space $K$ containing $H$ and a normal operator $N$ on $K$, such that $N(H) \subseteq H$ and $A=N \mid H$ (the restriction of $N$ to $H$ ).

Definition 3.11. An operator $A \in L(H)$ is called rationally cyclic if there is an $e \in H$ such that

$$
H=\overline{\{r(A) e: r \in \operatorname{Rat}(\sigma(A))\}}
$$

where $\operatorname{Rat}(\sigma(A))$ is the set of rational functions with poles off $\sigma(A)$, and $e$ is called a rationally cyclic vector for $A$.

Theorem 3.12. Let $A \in L(H)$. If $A$ is a rationally cyclic subnormal operator, then $A$ is $D$-symmetric.

Proof. Suppose that $A$ is a rationally cyclic subnormal operator, and let $T \in$ $C_{1}(H)$ be such that $A T=T A$. It follows from Yoshino's result [14] that $T$ is subnormal. It is well-known that any compact subnormal operator is normal. We get that $T$ is normal. Hence, it follows from Fuglede-Putnam theorem that $A T=T A$ implies $A T^{*}=T^{*} A$. Thus, $A$ is $P$-symmetric.

Since $A$ is a rationally cyclic subnormal operator, it results from Shaw and Berger's Theorem [2] that $[A]$ is normal. Then $A$ is essentially $D$-symmetric. This proves that $A$ is $D$-symmetric.

Definition 3.13. Let $A \in L(H)$. The commutant $\{A\}^{\prime}$ of $A$ is defined by:

$$
\{A\}^{\prime}=\{B \in L(H): A B=B A\}
$$

The bicommutant $\{A\}^{\prime \prime}$ of $A$ is defined by:

$$
\{A\}^{\prime \prime}=\left\{C \in L(H): C B=B C, \text { for all } B \in\{A\}^{\prime}\right\}
$$

Remark 3.14. It is known that $A$ is $P$-symmetric if and only if $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)} W^{*}$ is a self-adjoint subspace of $L(H)$. Hence, for a $P$-symmetric operator $A$, it is natural to introduce the following subalgebras:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{C}_{\circ}(A)=\left\{C \in L(H): C L(H)+L(H) C \subset{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}}^{W^{*}}\right\} \\
\mathcal{I}_{\circ}(A)=\left\{Z \in L(H): Z R\left(\delta_{A}\right)+R\left(\delta_{A}\right) Z \subset{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}}^{W^{*}}\right\} \\
\mathcal{B}_{\circ}(A)=\left\{B \in L(H): R\left(\delta_{B}\right) \subset{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}}^{W^{*}}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is well-known that if $H$ is of finite dimension ([13]), then

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\circ}(A)=\{0\}, \quad \mathcal{I}_{\circ}(A)=\{A\}^{\prime}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{\circ}(A)=\{A\}^{\prime \prime}
$$

In [4] S. Bouali and J. Charles proved that if $A$ is normal with finite spectrum then

$$
\mathcal{C}_{\circ}(A)=\{0\}, \mathcal{I}_{\circ}(A)=\{A\}^{\prime}, \quad \mathcal{B}_{\circ}(A)=\{A\}^{\prime \prime}
$$

Theorem 3.15. Let $A \in L(H)$ be a $P$-symmetric operator. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $K(H) \subset{\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}}^{W^{*}}$.
(ii) A has no reducing eigenvalues.
(iii) $K(H) \subset \mathcal{C}_{\circ}(A)$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$ If $A$ has a reducing eigenvalue, then $(S x, x)=0$ for all $S \in \overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)} W^{*}$, and some non-zero $x \in H$. It follows that $K(H) \not \subset \overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)} W^{*}$.
(ii) $\Rightarrow\left(\right.$ i) Suppose that $K(H) \not \subset \overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)}{ }^{W^{*}}$. Then there exist a non-zero $T$ in the trace class such that $f_{T}$ vanishes on $R\left(\delta_{A}\right)$, that is, $A T=T A$. Since $A$ is $P$-symmetric, then $A T=T A$ and $T \in C_{1}(H)$ implies $A T^{*}=T^{*} A$. It follows that $A\left(T+T^{*}\right)=\left(T+T^{*}\right) A$ and $A\left(T-T^{*}\right)=\left(T-T^{*}\right) A$. Hence $A$ commutes with a non-zero trace class operator. Consequently, $A$ has a finite dimensional reducing subspace $H_{\circ}$. Clearly, $A \mid H_{\circ}$ is $P$-symmetric, and so $A \mid H_{\circ}$ is normal by Corollary 2.1 (iii). Thus $T$ has a reducing eigenvalue.

The remaining equivalence $(i i) \Leftrightarrow(i i i)$ is obvious.
We include the following properties for the sake of completeness. We omit the proofs which are based entirely on those of C. Gupta and P. Ramanujan for $D$-symmetric operators [8].

Corollary 3.16. Let $A \in L(H)$ be a $P$-symmetric operator with $\mathcal{C}_{\circ}(A)=$ $K(H)$. Then we have the following assertions:
(i) $A$ is essentially normal.
(ii) A has no reducing eigenvalue.
(iii) Each projection in $\overline{R\left(\delta_{A}\right)} W^{W^{*}}$ is finite dimensional.
(iv) $\mathcal{I}_{\circ}(A)=\{Z \in L(H): A Z-Z A \in K(H)\}$.
$(v) \mathcal{B}_{\circ}(A) \mid \mathcal{C}_{\circ}(A)$ is a commutative $C^{*}$-algebra of the Calkin algebra $L(H) \mid K(H)$.
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