http://www.revistas.unal.edu.co/index.php/refame

#### Revista Facultad Nacional <sup>de</sup>Agronomía

# Identification of climatic and physiological variables associated with rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) yield under tropical conditions



Identificación de variables climáticas y fisiológicas asociadas al rendimiento del arroz (*Oryza sativa* L.) en condiciones tropicales

doi: 10.15446/rfnam.v72n1.72076

Yeison Mauricio Quevedo Amaya1\*, José Isidro Beltrán Medina1 and Eduardo Barragán Quijano1

# ABSTRACT

#### Keywords:

Crop yield cv. *Oryzica* 1 Photosynthesis Solar radiation Sowing date Rice crop productivity is influenced by climatic conditions such as solar radiation, temperature, and water availability during its vegetative and reproductive stage. In Colombia, rice cultivation is carried out throughout the year; so, it is necessary to identify the sowing dates where high yields are obtained, and which physiologic and climatic factors significantly influence them. Therefore, this research aimed to identify the key climatic and physiological factors that allow maximizing the yield and maintaining good productivity in sowing dates with optimal and deficient environmental conditions, respectively. The experiment was carried out in a rice producing region in northern of Tolima, Colombia from 2015 to 2016. Ten sowing dates were established, with a randomized complete block design in a divided strips arrangement. For each sowing date, climatic conditions were tracked, and growth, development, and yield of rice plant were evaluated. Also, the photosynthetic rate was assessed on five sowing dates. Results showed that physiologic factors that have more relation with crop yield are plant height, leaf area index and dry mass accumulation between phenological stages 37 and 49; whereas the unique climatic factor, that was highly related to yield, was solar radiation between phenological stages 51 to 77. Furthermore, when the optimum values of each variable were reached, a yield higher than 9,500 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was achieved. No relation was observed between the photosynthesis rate of at leaf level and yield.

## RESUMEN

#### Palabras clave: Rendimiento de cultivos cv. *Oryzica* 1 Fotosíntesis Radiación solar Fecha de siembra

La productividad del cultivo del arroz está influenciada por las condiciones climáticas, como la radiación solar, temperatura y disponibilidad de agua, durante la etapa vegetativa y reproductiva. En Colombia se realizan siembras de arroz durante todo el año, por lo que es necesario identificar las fechas de siembra donde se obtenga alto rendimiento, y qué factores fisiológicos y climáticos influyen de forma significativa en este. Por lo tanto, esta investigación tuvo como objetivo identificar los factores climáticos y fisiológicos clave, que permitan maximizar el rendimiento y mantener una buena productividad en fechas de siembra con condiciones ambiental óptimas y deficientes, respectivamente. El experimento se realizó en una región productora de arroz en el norte de Tolima, Colombia durante los años 2015 y 2016. Se establecieron diez fechas de siembra, con un diseño en bloques completos al azar en un arreglo de franjas divididas. En cada fecha de siembra se hizo seguimiento a las condiciones climáticas y se evaluó el crecimiento, desarrollo y rendimiento de las plantas de arroz. Además, la tasa fotosintética se evaluó en cuatro fechas de siembra. Se encontró que los factores fisiológicos que más relación tienen con el rendimiento son la altura de la planta, el índice de área foliar y la acumulación de masa seca entre los estados fenológicos 37 y 49, mientras que, un único factor abiótico que estuvo altamente relacionado con el rendimiento fue la radiación solar entre los estados fenológicos 51 a 77. Cuando se alcanzaron los valores óptimos de cada una de estas variables se alcanza un rendimiento superior a los 9.500 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>. No se observó relación entre la tasa de fotosíntesis a nivel de hoja y el rendimiento.

<sup>1</sup> Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria, AGROSAVIA. Km 9 Vía Espinal-Chicoral, Código Postal 733520, El Espinal, Colombia.

\* Corresponding author: <yquevedo@corpoica.org.co>



ice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is an essential food grain for about half of humanity, being a basic component in political, economic and social stability, and to a certain degree, in our survival (Degiovanni *et al.*, 2010). Rice is the second most cultivated cereal in the world after corn reaching a world production of 740 billion t in 2014; in Colombia production reached 2.2 million t the same year (FAO, 2017).

Climatic conditions directly affect crop physiology and yield (Chen et al., 2004; Jarma et al., 2012). Currently, climate change has generated climatic alterations as a higher frequency of extreme weather events (Delerce et al., 2016). Climate change effects on different zones vary depending on the magnitude and seasonal characteristics (Ko et al., 2014). In Colombia, according to the IPCC (2014), these events will occur in higher frequency and magnitude, which, according to simulation models, will generate a temperature increase from 5 to 7 °C and an approximate decrease of 10% in precipitation during 2005-2100 period. In this context, there will be variability in rice cultivation productivity between 5 and 29% (lizumi et al., 2014), which represents a threat at the socioeconomic level for rice producers (Delerce et al., 2016).

Rice productivity is influenced by climatic conditions such as Solar Radiation (SR), temperature and water availability during the vegetative and reproductive stages (Fageria, 2007). For example, high night temperature (>30 °C) reduces crop yield; it causes an increase in respiratory rate, subsequently, reduces photosynthesis rate, amount of dry matter (DM), and leaf area (Alvarado et al., 2017). Another climatic variable that negatively affects rice cultivation is high daytime temperature (>40 °C), as this generates an increase in respiratory rate, and therefore, a reduction in photosynthesis rate by non-stomatal limitations (Sánchez et al., 2014). DM production and harvest index are positively related to yield (Yoshida, 1981). Accumulation of DM is determined by SR interception by the canopy, which is influenced by the amount of incident SR and characteristics such as Leaf Area Index (LAI) and insertion angle and orientation (Ying et al., 1998; De Costa et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2009). The LAI has a direct correlation with yield because it determines the ability to intercept a more considerable amount of photosynthetically active radiation (Ahmad *et al.*, 2009; Aschonitis *et al.*, 2014). Therefore, SR is the limiting factor of productivity (Delerce *et al.*, 2016).

In the department of Tolima, Colombia, agronomic management practices as sowing dates (SD) are not carried out in accordance with the region's climatology. Producers are sowing throughout the year due to water access rotation imposed by irrigation districts, so the two SD with the highest solar radiation peaks are being misapplied. Thus, there is a high yield variability in different SD (Castilla *et al.*, 2010; Delerce *et al.*, 2016). Nonetheless, because of climate change, the SD that is considered ideal for crop establishment may have suffered changes.

Availability of climatic information and plant characteristics help understand yield variability and its determinant factors (Huang *et al.*, 2016). Consequently, for this production area, it is necessary to estimate the physiologic and climatic factors that are highly related to yield, with the objective of using them as variables for crop monitoring or defining management practices to maintain these key variables within optimal ranges.

In the department of Tolima, the effect of three SD on crop growth and yield have been studied (Garcés and Restrepo, 2015). Besides, some authors have carried out studies with secondary information to estimate the relationship between climatic variables and vield (Delerce et al., 2016). Previous investigations were developed in the municipality of Saldaña in the south of the department, but this production area is very different in climate, soil characteristics, and management practices applied to productive rice systems compared to the north of Tolima. There is a necessity in development studies that evaluate how the physiological and climatic factors affect rice crop in this region. Therefore, this research aimed to identify the key climatic and physiological factors that allow maximizing the yield and maintaining good productivity in sowing dates with optimal and deficient environmental conditions, respectively. In the experiment, 10 SD were evaluated to identify which time of the year presented the best environmental conditions that allow maximizing the crop yield and establishing a relationship through automatic learning techniques between physiologic and climatic factors and the yield.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS Study site and plant material

This research was carried out during the period 2015-2016 in the municipality of Armero-Guayabal, located in the northern region of Tolima, Colombia. Ten sowing dates (SD), a SD per month, were established on November, December, January, February, March, April, May, June, July, and August. The cultivar used was *Oryzica* 1, this cultivar was selected because it has been sown for 34 years in this region due to its good productivity and high grain quality.

## Experimental design and evaluated variables

The experiment was carried out in soil formed by volcanic flows with a sandy loam texture. Plots were established in a completely randomized block design in a divided strips arrangement, where the stripes corresponded to the sowing dates. Each strip comprised 2500 m<sup>2</sup> divided into three blocks. Similar agronomic management was carried out for the ten sowing dates, where irrigation was provided by gravity with a frequency of two days per week. The control of pests, diseases, and weeds were carried out according to weekly sampling, using chemical synthesis products. The nutritional supply was made using five edaphic fertilizations, during the different phenological stages according to the nutritional requirements for the extraction of potential yield of 10,000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

Growth variables and trends were estimated, as follows: Leaf area index (LAI) and dry matter (DM) above the soil was determined following the methodology described by Degiovanni et al. (2010) and Garcés and Restrepo (2015), respectively. Phenology stages were characterized according to the BBCH scale (Lancashire et al., 1991), and plant height was also measured. These variables were evaluated in all the plants that were in an area of 625 cm<sup>2</sup>, from the phenological stage 11 to 99. Once the phenological stage 99 was reached, harvest index and plant components were estimated. Moreover, with data obtained from the panicle number per square meter, weight of 1,000 grains, the percentage and weight of filled grains, green paddy yield in kg ha<sup>-1</sup> was calculated according to Garcés and Restrepo (2015). The yield was estimated with a grain humidity of 22%.

Climatological variables were collected using a weather station (Davis Vantage Pro 2, San Francisco, California)

located 500 meters far from the study area. These data were divided into 12 parts according to the BBCH scale, and the following variables were obtained: maximum diurnal temperature, maximum night temperature, minimum night temperature, accumulated solar radiation (SR), accumulated precipitation and average relative humidity according to each of the 12 parts considered.

In the SD of April, May, June, July, and August, photosynthesis rate, stomatal conductance and transpiration, in the phenological stages 49 (i.e., first awns visible), 65 (i.e., 50% of flowers), and 75 (i.e., milky ripe) were estimated in the youngest completely expanded leaf, using a portable open photosynthesis-meter system (LI-6400 XT Li-Cor Lincoln, Nebraska, U.S.A.). The photosynthetic photon flux density of 1600 µmol photons m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, the concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> inside the chamber was set at 400 µmol CO<sub>2</sub> mol<sup>-1</sup>, and the vapor pressure deficit remained between 1.5 and 1.7 kPa; data were taken after reaching stable state equilibrium (~10 min). The area of the leaf inside the chamber was measured in order to correct with the real data area.

Growth trends, as well as growth, development, yield components and, climatic variables, were analyzed with the automatic learning methodology using tree decision algorithms (Delerce et al., 2016). In order to use this technique, it is necessary to previously filter the variables considering their correlation with predictors (Hastie et al., 2009); therefore, these variables were filtered by partial least squares regression using the NIPALS model (Geladi and Kowalski, 1986). Furthermore, the criteria considered were the variables of importance that presented a coefficient >0.8 and a correlation with the predictor variable. Once important variables were identified through the trees and photosynthesis analysis, contour plots were made with yield as a response variable. This analysis was done with the objective of identifying optimal points where performance is maximum (Figueroa, 2003). Besides, a multivariate analysis of variance with a Hotelling's significance test was performed with yield component data. Data analyzes were carried out with the software RStudio Inc., version 3.5.1.

# RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Identification of sowing dates

The SD of December and May presented the highest yields (Table 1), agreeing with the results found by various authors (Datt *et al.*, 2012; Sameera *et al.*, 2016; Dong

*et al.*, 2017). Moreover, the number of panicles and the percentage of full grains have a high correlation with yield (Table 2) where a significant correlation is observed between these variables. This correlation explains the high yields found in the SD of December and May, the results obtained were similar to those reported by Thippani *et al.* (2017). However, no relationship was observed between the weight of 1,000 grains and the

number of grains per panicle with yield (Table 2), what differs from what was found by Díaz *et al.* (2000), where they stated that these are variables that significantly influence yield and are closely related to grain length. Possibly this correlation was not observed because only one variety was evaluated, and it is probable that no variability in this character would occur, so in future studies, this variable should be considered.

Sowing date	Harvest index	Number of panicles (m²)	Yield (kg ha <sup>.1</sup> )	Weight of 1000 grain (g)	Filled grains (%)	Number grains per panicles	Hotelling grouping
July	0.42	260.00	6,247.46	24.53	65.14	85.59	а
May	0.37	509.67	9,964.31	21.74	77.31	78.60	b
December	0.30	569.67	10,831.3	24.70	78.62	59.23	С
November	0.43	347.17	5,658.62	24.85	78.69	50.74	d
April	0.38	359.17	9,609.48	20.93	79.54	62.83	е
March	0.28	353.33	7,992.42	24.63	71.92	76.78	fg
August	0.36	298.33	6,948.08	23.75	59.92	85.98	fhi
June	0.37	336.00	8,150.35	25.08	73.31	66.08	g
February	0.49	337.00	7,223.23	25.50	64.78	76.71	h
January	0.42	432.33	6,355.61	23.85	65.87	51.50	i

Table 1. Yield components and harvest index of rice in ten sowing dates in Armero-Guayabal.

Treatments with a different letter indicate significant differences ( $P \le 0.05$ ).

Table 2. Pearson correlation between crop yield and yield components evaluated on ten sowing dates in Armero-Guayabal.

Response Variable 1	Response Variable 2	Pearson	P-value
	Number of panicles	0.57687	0.0001
Vield	Weight of 1,000 grains	-0.17424	0.28225
Tielu	Filled grains (%)	0.59497	0.00005
	Number of grain per panicle	0.19518	0.22746

# Identification of physiologic and climatic factors associated with rice yield

According to the tree method, the LAI variable in the stage 49 (i.e. maximum panicle swelling stage), plant height in the phenological stage 41 (i.e. beginning of panicle swelling), and accumulated DM in the stage 37 (i.e. elongation of the stem), are the variables

that are mostly associated with rice yield, moreover, together they explain this with an  $R^2$  of 0.92 (Figure 1A). Maximum yield is reached when the LAI is between 10 and 11 (Figure 2A), which are similar to values found by Mae *et al.* (2006), who observed a linear relationship between LAI and yield, finding the maximum crop yield with a LAI between 10 and 12. Garcés and Restrepo



Figure 1. A. Tree for physiologic factors associated with rice yield; B. Tree for climatic factors associated with rice yield. LAI: leaf area index; PH: plant height; DM: dry matter; SR: solar radiation.

(2015) conducted a similar study in the southern area of Tolima, where they found that maximum yield was reached with a LAI of 7; this difference can be attributed to the fact that different varieties were used. The highest yield is reached with a plant height between 0.30 and 0.40 m, while yield is significantly reduced when it is less than 0.30 m (Figure 2A). These differences are present because higher plants allow better ventilation and better location of the leaves inside the canopy. On the contrary, plants with lower height generate lower ventilation and wrong leaf location, which generate a reduction in the photosynthesis rate of the canopy in 60 to 80%, and yield is reduced by approximately 2,000 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (Setter, 1997; Peng *et al.*, 2008). Therefore, to obtain a high yield, it is necessary to reach a LAI between 10 and 11 and a plant height between 0.30 and 0.40 m, both between plant development stages 41 and 49, which allows the plant to have a better solar radiation uptake.



Figure 2. A. Physiologic contour plot associated with rice yield; B. Climatic factor contour plot associated with rice yield; LAI: leaf area index; SR: solar radiation.

SR that is received by crops from stages 30 to 89 has a decisive influence on crop yield (Yoshida and Parao, 1976). According to the tree methodology, the climatic factors that are the most associated with yield is SR in the phenological stage 51 to the 77, with an *R*<sup>2</sup> of 0.88 (Figure 1B). Maximum yield is reached when SR presented values between 4,000 and 4,500 cal cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> between stages 51 to 59 (i.e., inflorescence emergence), and greater than 4,500 cal cm<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup> between stages 71 and 77 (i.e., development of fruit) (Figure 2B). The relationship found between SR and yield is due to a direct relationship between global and intercepted SR and accumulation of DM; and therefore, with yield (Garcés and Restrepo, 2015; Huang *et al.*, 2016).

However, for SR to be converted into DM, it must be intercepted, and this is defined by plant LAI and architecture (Ying *et al.*, 1998; De Costa *et al.*, 2006; Zhang *et al.*, 2009). These physiological factors are decisive because it allows SR, that cannot be absorbed by the leaves of the upper third, to penetrate and be intercepted by the middle and lower third leaves, where 70% of the leaf area is found, which contributes to a photosynthetic rate of approximately ~47% of the canopy (Song *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, to have a high efficiency in the conversion of SR into carbon

skeletons, it is necessary that the photosynthetic apparatus does not show climatic stress limitations, which can be generated by high diurnal (>40 °C) and nocturnal (>30 °C) temperatures (Sánchez *et al.*, 2014; Alvarado *et al.*, 2017). However, these temperatures were not found in any sowing date (data not shown).

The photosynthesis rate has a close relationship with vield because as it increases, photosynthate supply increases from leaves to grains (Fu and Lee, 2008). However, no clear relationship was found between yield and this variable. SD with the highest yield does not coincide with a high photosynthesis rate in any of the three phenological stages evaluated (Figure 3). This lack of relationship is contradictory to the direct relationship between photosynthesis rate and yield found by other authors (Hidayati et al., 2016). This result is explained because the photosynthesis rate was not evaluated at the canopy level but on a single leaf, and it was also estimated at the saturation point and not the real photosynthesis rate on different phenological moments. Therefore, the influence of SD in the photosynthesis rate of the canopy should be studied further in depth, through daily curves, which allow seeing the real capacity of carbon fixation and its relationship with the yield.



Figure 3. Behavior of yield and the photosynthetic rate in different phenological stages of the rice plant.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The physiological parameters that influenced the rice yield are leaf area index, plant height and dry matter in rice phenological stages 37 to 49. The climatic factor

that had a significant relationship with yield was solar radiation in plant phenological stages between 51 and 77, corresponding to the sowing days of December and May. Since solar radiation was optimal for only these sowing dates, more practices and studies should be developed to maximize solar radiation uptake along the year, focusing on the increase of photosynthesis rate of the canopy, and subsequently, rice yield.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Authors thank to Corporación Colombiana de Investigación Agropecuaria (Agrosavia), and the Government of the Republic of Colombia for financing this study. The authors also thank to Gonzalo Sarmiento and his work team for their valuable collaboration that was essential to complete this research.

#### REFERENCES

Ahmad A, Iqbal S, Ahmad S, Khaliq T, Nasim W, Husnain Z, Hussain A, Zia-ul-Haq M and Hoogenboom G. 2009. Seasonal growth, radiation interception, its conversion efficiency and biomass production of *Oryza sativa* L. under diverse agro-environments in Pakistan. Pakistan Journal of Botany 41(3): 1241–1257.

Alvarado O, Garces G and Restrepo H. 2017. The effects of night-time temperatures on physiological and biochemical traits in rice. Notulae Botanicae Horti Agrobotanici Cluj-Napoca 45(1): 157–163. doi: 10.15835/nbha45110627

Aschonitis VG, Papamichail DM, Lithourgidis A and Fano EA. 2014. Estimation of leaf area index and foliage area index of rice using an indirect gravimetric method. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis 45(13) 1726-1740. doi: 10.1080/00103624.2014.907917

Castilla LA, Pineda D, Ospina J, Echeverry J, Perafan R, Garces G, Sierra J and Dias A. 2010. Cambio climático y producción de arroz. Revista Arroz 58(489): 4–11.

Chen CC, McCarl BA and Schimmelpfennig DE. 2004. Yield variability as influenced by climate: A statistical investigation. Climatic Change 66(1-2): 239-261. doi: 10.1023/B:CLIM.0000043159.33816. e5

Datt I, Mehla BS, Goyat B and Kaliramana RS. 2012. Variability and correlation coefficient analysis of plant height, yield and yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Annals of Biology 28(1): 45-52.

Díaz S, Pérez N and Morejón R. 2000. Evaluación Del Germoplasma De Arroz (*Oryza sativa* L). Cultivos Tropicales 21(2): 5-8.

De Costa WAJM, Weerakoon WMW, Herath HLMJ, Amaratunga KSP and Abeywardena RMI. 2006. Physiology of yield determination of rice under elevated carbon dioxide at high temperatures in a subhumid tropical climate. Field Crops Research 96(2-3): 336-347. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2005.08.002

Degiovanni V, Martínez CP, César P and Motta F. 2010. Producción eco-eficiente del arroz en América Latina. First Edition. Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical, Cali. 487 p.

Delerce S, Dorado H, Grillon A, Rebolledo MC, Prager SD, Patiño V, Gárces G and Jiménez D. 2016. Assessing weather-yield relationships in rice at local scale using data mining approaches. PLoS ONE 11(8) e0161620. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0161620

Dong H, Chen Q, Wang W, Peng S, Huang J, Cui K and Nie L. 2017. The growth and yield of a wet-seeded rice-ration rice system in central China. Field Crops Research 208: 55–59. doi: 10.1016/j. fcr.2017.04.003

Fageria NK. 2007. Yield physiology of rice. Journal of Plant Nutrition 30(6): 843-879. doi: 10.1080/15226510701374831

FAO. 2017. Country Indicators. In: FAOSTAT, http://www.fao. org/home/en/.; accessed: January 2018.

Fu JD and Lee BW. 2008. Changes in photosynthetic characteristics during grain filling of a functional stay-green rice SNU-SG1 and its  $F_1$  hybrids. Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology 11(1): 75-82.

Garcés G and Restrepo H. 2015. Growth and yield of rice cultivars sowed on different dates under tropical conditions. Ciencia e Investigación Agraria 42(2): 8-8. doi: 10.4067/S0718-16202015000200008

Geladi P and Kowalski BR. 1986. Partial least-squares regression: a tutorial. Analytica Chimica Acta 185: 1-17. doi: 10.1016/0003-2670(86)80028-9

Hastie T, Tibshirani R and Friedman J. 2009. The Elements of Statistical Learning. Second Edition. Springer Science+Business Media, New York. 745 p. doi: 10.1007/b94608

Hidayati N, Triadiati and Anas I. 2016. Photosynthesis and transpiration rates of rice cultivated under the system of rice intensification and the effects on growth and yield. HAYATI. Journal of Biosciences 23(2): 67-72. doi: 10.1016/j.hjb.2016.06.002

Huang M, Shan S, Cao F and Zou Y. 2016. The solar radiationrelated determinants of rice yield variation across a wide range of regions. NJAS - Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences 78: 123-128. doi: 10.1016/j.njas.2016.05.004

lizumi T, Luo J, Challinor A, Sakurai G, Yokozawa M, Sakuma H, Brown M and Yamagata T. 2014. Impacts of El Niño Southern Oscillation on the global yields of major crops. Nature Communications 5(3712). doi: 10.1038/ncomms4712

IPCC. 2014. Cambio climático 2014: Informe de síntesis -Resumen para responsables de políticas. In: The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, https://www.ipcc.ch. 33 p.; accessed: January 2018.

Jarma A, Cardona C and Araméndiz H. 2012. Efecto del cambio climático sobre la fisiología de las plantas cultivadas: Una Revisión. Revista U.D.C.A Actualidad & Divulgación Científica 15(1): 63-76.

Ko J, Kim HJ, Jeong S, An JB, Choi G, Kang S and Tenhunen J. 2014. Potential impacts on climate change on paddy rice yield in mountainous highland terrains. Journal of Crop Science & Biotechnology 17(3): 117-126. doi: 10.1007/s12892-013-0110-x

Lancashire PD, Bleiholer H, Boom T, Langelüddeke P, Stauss R, Weber E and Witzenberger A. 1991. A uniform decimal code for growth stages of crops and weeds. Annals of Applied Biology 119(3): 561–601. doi: 10.1111/j.1744-7348.1991.tb04895.x

Mae T, Inaba A, Kaneta Y, Masaki S, Sasaki M, Aizawa M, Okawa S, Hasegawa S and Makino A. 2006. A large-grain rice cultivar, Akita 63, exhibits high yields with high physiological N-use efficiency. Field Crops Research 97(2-3): 227–237. doi: 10.1016/j. fcr.2005.10.003

Peng S, Khush GS, Virk P, Tang Q and Zou Y. 2008. Progress in ideotype breeding to increase rice yield potential. Field Crops Research 108(1): 32-38. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2008.04.001

Sameera S, Srinivas T, Rajesh A, Jayalakshmi V and Nirmala P. 2016. Variability and path co-efficient for yield and yield

components in rice. Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research 41(2): 259-271.

Sánchez AD, Garcés and Restrepo H. 2014. Biochemical and physiological characterization of three rice cultivars under different daytime temperature conditions. Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research 74(4): 373-379. doi: 10.4067/S0718-58392014000400001

Setter TL, Laureles EV and Mazaredo AM. 1997. Lodging reduces yield of rice by self-shading and reductions in canopy photosynthesis. Field Crops Research 49(2-3): 95-106. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4290(96)01058-1

Song Q, Zhang G and Zhu XG. 2013. Optimal crop canopy architecture to maximise canopy photosynthetic  $CO_2$  uptake under elevated  $CO_2$  A theoretical study using a mechanistic model of canopy photosynthesis. Functional Plant Biology 40(2): 109-124. doi: 10.1071/FP12056

Thippani S, Kumar SS, Senguttuvel P and Madhav MS. 2017. Correlation Analysis for Yield and Yield Components in Rice (*Oryza*  sativa L.). International Journal of Pure & Applied Bioscience 5(4):1412-1415. doi: 10.18782/2320-7051.5658

Ying J, Peng S, He Q, Yang H, Yang C, Visperas RM and Cassman K. 1998. Comparison of high-yield rice in tropical and subtropical environments I. Determinants of grain and dry matter yields. Field Crops Research 57(1): 71-84. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4290(98)00077-X

Yoshida S. 1981. Fundamentals of Rice Crop Science. Los Baños, Filipinas. The International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños. pp. 65-109.

Yoshida S and Parao FT. 1976. Climatic influence on yield and yield components of lowland rice in the tropics. Climate and rice 20: 471-494.

Zhang Y, Tang Q, Zou Y, Li D, Qin J, Yang S, Chen L, Xia B and Peng S. 2009. Yield potential and radiation use efficiency of "super" hybrid rice grown under subtropical conditions. Field Crops Research 114(1): 91-98. doi: 10.1016/j.fcr.2009.07.008