Burden on Caregivers of Children with Cerebral Palsy: Predictors and Related Factors*

Carga de los cuidadores de niños con parálisis cerebral: predictores y factores relacionados


ELENA M. MARRÓN **
DIEGO REDOLAR-RIPOLL ****
MERCÈ BOIXADÓS *****
RUBÉN NIETO ******
NOÉMÍ GUILLAMÓN ******
EULÀLIA HERNÁNDEZ *******
BENIGNA GÓMEZ ********

Universitat Oberta de Catalunya, Barcelona, España

** Departamento de Psicología. Estudios de Psicología y Ciencias de la Educación. Researcher ID: H-6891-2013. E-mail: emunoz-marr@uoc.edu

*** Departamento de Psicología. Estudios de Psicología y Ciencias de la Educación. Researcher ID: F-1736-2011. E-mail: dredolar@uoc.edu

**** Departamento de Psicología. Estudios de Psicología y Ciencias de la Educación. Researcher ID: H-7324-2013. E-mail: mboixados@uoc.edu

***** Departamento de Psicología. Estudios de Psicología y Ciencias de la Educación. Researcher ID: H-6907-2013. E-mail: rnietol@uoc.edu

****** Departamento de Psicología. Estudios de Psicología y Ciencias de la Educación. Researcher ID: H-6905-2013. E-mail: nguillamon@uoc.edu


******** Departamento de Psicología. Estudios de Psicología y Ciencias de la Educación. Researcher ID: H-7350-2013. E-mail: bgomezz@uoc.edu

ABSTRACT

The main objective of this research was to explore which factors best predict the occurrence of burden on primary caregivers of children with cerebral palsy (CP). Understanding these factors enables the identification of those caregivers at risk of having their physical and psychological health adversely affected, and the implementation of intervention strategies to reduce the negative impact of caring on parents of children with chronic medical conditions. The study sample consisted of a total of 62 caregivers (89% women) aged between 30 and 54 years (Mean = 41.98, SD = 5.64). CP affected children were aged between 1 and 17 years (Mean = 7.69, SD = 4.18) and the average degree of disability (%, reflected in the medical record assessed by the Ministry of Health, Social Services and Equality of Spain) was 77.098 (scale of 100) (SD = 14.62). A burden model was constructed based on multiple linear regressions. The model included the following variables: degree of disability, depression (assessed by Beck Depression Inventory-II) and self-efficacy (measured by the Revised Scale for Caregiving Self-Efficacy). The regression model explained 40.9% of the total variance. It was found that self-efficacy had a negative linear association with burden, while the degree of disability and depression showed a positive linear association. The most important predictors of caregiver burden were degree of disability, depression and self-efficacy. For this reason, we believe that it is necessary to develop interventions to reduce depression and enhance self-efficacy in parents of children with CP as one of the primary objectives for minimizing the burden on caregivers of disabled children.
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RESUMEN

El objetivo principal de esta investigación fue explorar cuáles son los factores que mejor predicen la ocurrencia de la carga sobre los cuidadores primarios de niños con parálisis cerebral (CP). La comprensión de estos factores permite identificar cuidadores en riesgo de presentar problemas físicos o psicológicos y la implementación de estrategias de intervención para reducir el impacto negativo del cuidado de los padres de niños con condiciones médicas crónicas. La muestra del estudio consistió en un total de 62 cuidadores (89 % mujeres) con edades entre 30 y 54 años (M = 41.98,
While care is part of parenting, it can often generate a significant burden when the requirements are excessive and long lasting. This excessive responsibility may adversely affect the physical and psychological health of caregivers (Brehaut et al., 2009; Tucker, Butler, Loyuk, Desmond, & Surrency, 2009), affecting their social, cultural and professional lives (Grootenhuis & Bronner, 2009) and possibly reducing their quality of life (Davis et al., 2010).

Zarit, Reever, and Bach-Peterson (1980) defined burden as the impact that caregiving has on the primary caregiver, i.e. the degree to which the caregiver perceives that the different spheres of his/her life (social life, leisure, health, privacy) have been affected by this task (Segú, Ortiz-Talo, & de Diego, 2008). Despite its frequent use in scientific literature, the concept of burden has shown some ambiguity and vagueness, and it has been necessary to distinguish between “objective” burden, which refers to changes in the domestic and daily life of caregivers caused by the caring situation, and “subjective” burden, which refers to the attitudes and emotional reactions to the experience of caring (e.g., Myers, 2003). A global understanding of the effects of care on the caregiver should take into account both the physical and mental limitations of the person being cared for, the context in which the care occurs, the consequences arising from the caring role and the factors that may moderate all of the above. Only by taking into account all these elements simple and effective interventions can be introduced to reduce the negative effects and enhance the positive effects of care (Pousada et al., 2009).

The empirical evidence suggests that there is a wide variation in how caregivers adapt to the specific demands of care, which has led a large number of researchers to ascertain the determinants of burden and stress in caregivers. In this sense, it seems that three aspects combine to create a wide variety of circumstances that can cause stress and burden: 1) contextual factors, 2) characteristics of the affected person, and 3) caregiver’s characteristics. It is important to understand the influence of these variables on the physical and mental health of the caregiver in order to reduce their negative impact, as much as possible.
Most of the researches focused on the study of the effects of care, have been done with gerontological populations and, more recently, with patients with brain damage (traumatic brain injury and stroke), psychiatric disorders (mainly schizophrenia) and chronic or long-term illness, such as cancer, multiple sclerosis or spinal cord injury. In all cases, caregivers frequently exhibit burden, and its intensity depends on several factors, which can be grouped into the categories mentioned above:


2. Characteristics of the affected person, such as severity of disability and the high demands of care (Chang, Chiou, & Chen, 2010; McCullagh et al., 2005; Rigby et al., 2009; Rivera-Navarro et al., 2009; Vincent, Desrosiers, Landreville, & Demers, 2009), the severity of the clinical situation (Carod-Artal, Ferreira Coral, Trizotto, & Menezes Moreira, 2009; Gutiérrez-Maldonado et al., 2005), the presence of cognitive and behavioral problems (aggressiveness, difficulty in communicating, etc.) (Artaso et al., 2003; Vincent et al., 2009) or psychological state (McCullagh et al., 2005; Rivera-Navarro et al., 2009; Vincent et al., 2009).

3. Caregiver characteristics, from a demographic standpoint (i.e. gender, occupation, educational level) (Caqueo-Urizar & Gutiérrez-Maldonado, 2006; Carod-Artal et al., 2009; Gutiérrez-Maldonado et al., 2005; McCullagh et al., 2005; Vicent et al., 2009) to mental and physical health (Carod-Artal et al., 2009; McCullagh et al., 2005; Rigby et al., 2009), training in caregiving tasks (McCullagh et al., 2005) or coping strategies employed (Artaso et al., 2003).

Although current research on the burden of caregivers of disabled children is still scarce, several studies have shown the existence of different factors that contribute to the onset of burden and stress in parents of children with disabilities (e.g., Barlow, Cullen-Powell, & Cheshire, 2006; Button, Pianta, & Marvin, 2001; Mobarak, Khan, Munir, Zaman, & McConachie, 2000; Wang & Jong, 2004), which largely coincide with those found in the aforementioned researches.

Several authors have found that parents of children disabled by physical or mental illness are more likely to experience burden (Olsson & Hwang, 2001; Seguí et al., 2008) and experience depression, anxiety and sadness more often than parents of children without disabilities (Barlow et al., 2006; Button et al., 2001; Mobarak et al., 2000; Wang & Jong, 2004). The presence of these symptoms increases the possibility of burden occurrence in caregivers of children with chronic diseases (Calderón et al., 2011).

The aim of this study, therefore, was to try to understand the complex network of direct and indirect relations that impact on the burden of parent-caregivers of disabled children. We focused on the following objectives:

- To determine the influence of socio-demographic and clinical variables of children in the presence of caregiver burden.
- To determine the influence of socio-demographic and psychological health variables of caregivers and those related with care in the occurrence of burden.

Method

Participants

A convenience sample of caregivers of children with cerebral palsy (CP) was selected for this study through different Spanish associations of children with CP (all of them part of ASPACE - Confederación Española de Federaciones y Asociaciones de Atención a las Personas con Parálisis Cerebral y Afines).

The following inclusion criteria were used for these caregivers: (1) to be the father or mother of a child with cerebral palsy (aged less than 18 years) and (2) to be the main caregiver of this child (based on the number of hours of caregiving reported).
Exclusion criteria were: (1) to be illiterate or unable to understand Spanish, and 2) to have psychological problems.

The final sample was made up of 62 caregiving fathers and mothers. All of them gave their informed written consent to participate in the study.

Materials

To ascertain the factors that influence the caregiver burden (dependent variable), the following independent variables were assessed:

The dependent variable (caregiver burden) was assessed using the Zarit Burden Interview (Zarit et al., 1980), which is the most widely referenced scale in studies of caregiver burden. This scale is composed of 22 items that reflect how persons sometimes feel when they are taking care of another person. The total score ranges from 0 to 88. A high score indicates a higher level of burden.

Procedure

The participants were contacted through associations of cerebral palsy and were invited to complete the questionnaires (see measures section for a completed description of the variables assessed) with the purpose of assessing the factors potentially related to caregivers’ burden. All the members of all the associations affiliated to the
confederation ASPACE were contacted through the confederation and invited to participate. Caregivers who were willing to participate in the study gave their consent to the association to share their contact data with the research group and they received a call from one of the members of the group. In this telephonic conversation, the main aim of this study and the importance of their participation were highlighted. They were further informed about how much time would be taken up by their participation and the confidentiality of their responses. They were also given an appointment with a researcher during which they could receive help on the testing research team considered more difficult to answer (approximately half of the battery). The rest of the tests were sent to participants via e-mail or by post. They could contact any time through e-mail or phone with the research team for any doubts. All of those who were willing to participate completed the whole battery of tests.

The Ethics Committee of the Universitat Oberta de Catalunya approved all of the study procedures.

**Statistical analysis**

All the analyses were carried out using the statistical package SPSS version 19.0. Taking into account the study’s purposes, descriptive statistics (means and standard deviation) for the predictive variables and socio-demographic information were also computed.

In order to carry out a thorough analysis of the main factors that might influence the dependent variable (caregiver burden), we decided to build a multiple linear regression model. We implemented

| Table 2
<p>| Sociodemographic Characteristics of the Sample |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Caregiver (n=62)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>55 (88.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, Mean (years)</td>
<td>41.98±5.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational level, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-universitary studies</td>
<td>35 (56.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universitary studies</td>
<td>27 (43.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married or similar</td>
<td>56 (90.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Separate</td>
<td>4 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widow</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment status, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Working</td>
<td>39 (62.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non working</td>
<td>23 (37.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other people involve in care, N (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>57 (91.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>5 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of children, Mean</td>
<td>1.97±0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% of care, Mean</td>
<td>65.58±19.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time since being primary caregiver (months), Mean</td>
<td>96.98±49.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burden, Mean</td>
<td>35.01±10.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own work.
a strategy for selecting the independent variables in steps, progressively and sequentially adding predictor terms to the model for inclusion.

After the addition of a new predictor, the terms of the model were examined with a sequential exclusion procedure, eliminating those that met the criteria for exclusion. The criteria for inclusion, exclusion and tolerance were set as: \( p_{in} \leq 0.05 \), \( p_{out} > 0.1 \), tolerance > 0.01. After selecting the model predictor variables we analyzed compliance with the assumptions of normality, linearity, homoscedasticity and autocorrelation in order to estimate the parameters and their standard errors.

To verify the assumption of normality we used standardized residuals and applied Shapiro-Wilks and Kolmogorov-Smirnov normality tests (with Lilliefors correction). To evaluate the lack of independence, we used the autocorrelation test of Durbin-Watson. Moreover, we assessed collinearity to ensure there was no redundant information that would cause unstable regression coefficients.

**Results**

Table 2 summarizes the main demographic characteristics of the caregivers who participated in the study. The mean age of caregivers was 40.95 years (SD = 0.79) and 88.7% were women, 100% of whom were mothers of children with cerebral palsy. The mean age of the children was 7.69 years (SD = 4.18) and the mean degree of disability was 77.098 (SD = 14.62).

Based on the sequential inclusion procedure, the construction of the final regression model included the variables degree of disability, depression and self-efficacy.

None of the tests applied revealed a significant deviance from the normality assumption of the estimated model (\( P_{KS} = 0.2 \); \( P_{SW} = 0.957 \)). The representation of the residuals indicates that the values were well distributed around 0, and there were no discrepancies in linearity or homogeneity of variances. The test for serial correlation between adjacent error terms was made using the statistic \( d \), obtaining a value of \( d = 2118 \), indicating no autocorrelation. Table 3 shows data from two similar measures of collinearity for each of the variables in the model: The tolerance and the variance inflation factor (VIF). Due to collinearity, problems appear when the tolerances are lower than 0.1 or VIF is greater than 10, so we can conclude that in our model there were no variables in collinearity.

To assess the fit of the model, we used the coefficient of determination. In our case, the regression explained 40.9% of the total variation. To check whether this degree of variation was of significance we compared the variance explained by regression to the residual variance. The global significance test of this model was as follows: \( F (3, 53) = 11.516, p < 0.001 \).

The results showed that the estimated regression equation predictors (degree of disability, depression and self-efficacy) explained a significant proportion of the variability of the caregiver burden. Table 4 presents the coefficients for each predictor variables in the model. As shown in the table, self-efficacy had a negative linear association with the burden, and their contribution to the regression equation is statistically significant (\( B = -0.186, p = 0.005 \)). Meanwhile, the degree of disability and depression showed a significant positive linear association with the caregiver burden (\( B = 0.193, p = 0.015 \); \( B = 0.452, p = 0.002 \), respectively).

**Discussion**

In this study, the levels of burden of care on parent caregivers of a child with cerebral palsy and its determinants were examined. As we noted in the results section, the most important predictors of the burden in our study were degree of disability, depression and self-efficacy.

An important aspect of caregivers burden is the demands of caring. Different studies have shown that they can directly contribute to both the caregiver’s psychological well-being and physical health (Musil, 1998; Raina et al., 2005). Our results show that the degree of disability/dependence of children was a significant predictor of caregiver burden. Several authors suggest that children with disabilities due to chronic illnesses are one of the cardinal factors of the demands of care (Raina et al., 2004, 2005).
As shown in the results section, and in line with other previous studies (Cheshire, Barlow, & Powell, 2010b; Majerovitz, 2007; Unsal-Delialioglu, Kaya, Ozel, & Gorgulu, 2009), depression has manifested itself as an important predictor of caregiver burden, showing a significant positive linear association.

On the other hand, our results have shown that anxiety is not a predictor factor in explaining the dependent variable in our study. This is in accordance with the results of Ones, Yilmaz, Cetinkaya, and Caglar (2005) who analyzed a sample of 46 mothers of children with cerebral palsy and 46 mothers of healthy children and found no difference in levels of anxiety between the two groups. These authors concluded that the parents' anxiety could probably be more related to acute life situations than to a long-lasting situation. A long-lasting situation can lead to depressive symptoms, which are more noticeable if the problem becomes chronic.

Self-efficacy has been shown to be related to the psychosocial well-being of parents of children with cerebral palsy (Barlow et al., 2006; Cheshire, Barlow, & Powell, 2010a). Parents with high self-efficacy have better physical and mental health, better relationships and greater satisfaction in their personal relationships (Florian & Finder, 2001; Ketelaar, Volman, Gorter, & Vermeer, 2008). In our study this variable has been shown to be an important predictor of caregiver burden.

Different studies have shown that parent caregivers of children with cerebral palsy have a diminished quality of life (Davis et al., 2010; Eker & Tüzün, 2004; Ones et al., 2005; Terra et al., 2011; Tuna, Unalan, Tuna, & Kokino, 2004). However, our results show that the quality of life of caregivers is not an important predictor of burden. Burden may be a predictor of caregiver's quality of life, but this relation was not explored in the present research.

Our study has also shown that family functioning is not a significant predictor of caregiver burden. However, other studies with caregivers of children with cerebral palsy have found that family functioning can affect the caregiver's well being directly or indirectly through self-perception, social support and stress management (Raina et al., 2005). Also, in a pediatric population, Phipps et al. (2005) have shown that family functioning is one of the predictors of distress experienced by caregivers.

In terms of the coping strategies used by caregivers, we have found that they are not a significant predictor of caregiver burden. Recently, Chronister, Chan, Sasson-Gelman, and Chiu (2010) examined the effect that coping with stressful situations had on the quality of life of caregivers of people with brain damage. These authors have shown that such strategies appear to have a partial role as a predictor of quality of life (Chronister et al., 2010).

In patients with dementia it has been shown that the coping strategies used by caregivers are an

| TABLE 3 |
| Collinearity Statistics |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Tolerance</th>
<th>VIF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>0.991</td>
<td>1.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0.735</td>
<td>1.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>0.897</td>
<td>1.115</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own work.

| TABLE 4 |
| Coefficients of the Predictors in the Model of Burden |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>No standardized coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Confidence interval 95.0% for B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B Tip. error Beta Inferior Superior limit</td>
<td>Inferior limit</td>
<td>Superior limit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>24.88 7.98 0.278</td>
<td>3.118</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>8.851</td>
<td>40.909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disability</td>
<td>0.193 0.076 0.278</td>
<td>2.529</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depression</td>
<td>0.452 0.14 0.364</td>
<td>3.237</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.172</td>
<td>0.733</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-efficacy</td>
<td>-0.186 0.064 -0.186</td>
<td>-2.923</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-0.314</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own work.
important factor for explaining burden (Cooper, Katona, Orrell, & Livingston, 2008; Sun, Kosberg, Kaufman, & Leeper, 2010). Similar results have been found in psychiatric disorders such as schizophrenia (Hanzawa et al., 2010).

In conclusion, there is a wide disparity in how caregivers adjust to the specific demands of care. Of the parameters studied, regarding overload and burden in caregivers, our study finds that two factors are important: The characteristics of the affected person (child with CP) regarding the degree of disability and dependence; and the caregiver characteristics regarding mood state and self-efficacy strategies. However, it seems that contextual factors regarding environmental quality of life, social support and family functioning do not have a significant effect on the perceived burden of the caregivers.

Despite the strengths of this study, it presents few limitations. Firstly, the present study has not taken into account the behavior problems of children with cerebral palsy. For some authors, behavioral problems are one of the variables that appear to predict better psychological well-being of caregivers (King, King, Rosenbaum, & Goffin, 1999). Along these lines, Raina et al. (2005) argues that the behavioral problems of children with cerebral palsy could be established as an important predictor of caregiver psychological well-being, both directly and indirectly through its effects on self-perception and family function.

A second limitation of the study is that we did not include socio-economic factors. In previous research, about the effects that stress has on the health and welfare of people, the economic status seems to be a very important predictor (McEwen & Gianaros, 2010). However, a study by Raina et al. (2005) found that the impact of such factors on the health and psychological well-being of the parent caregivers of children with cerebral palsy was very weak.

Moreover, it is necessary to keep in mind that the broad-reaching nature of this study limits its ability to extract conclusions of a causal nature. Also, the conclusions reached in this work cannot be applied freely to the general population, since the results are based on individual experiences of caregivers of children with cerebral palsy.
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