Mitochondrial DNA analysis suggests a Chibchan migration into Colombia
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Abstract

The characterization of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) allows the establishment of genetic structures and phylogenetic relationships in human populations, tracing lineages far back in time. We analysed samples of mtDNA from twenty (20) Native American populations (700 individuals) dispersed throughout Colombian territory. Samples were collected during 1989-1993 in the context of the program Expedición Humana (“Human Expedition”) and stored in the Biological Repository of the Institute of Human Genetics (IGH) at the Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (Bogotá, Colombia). Haplogroups were determined by analysis of RFLPs. Most frequent was haplogroup A, with 338 individuals (48.3%). Haplogroup A is also one of the most frequent haplogroups in Mesoamerica, and we interpret our finding as supporting models that propose Chibchan-speaking groups migrated to northern Colombia from Mesoamerica in prehistoric times. Haplogroup C was found in 199 individuals (28.4%), while less frequent were B and D, with 113 and 41 (16% and 6%) individuals, respectively. The haplogroups of nine (9) individuals (1.3%) could not be determined due to the low quality of the samples of DNA. Although all the sampled populations had genetic structures that fit broadly into the patterns that might be expected for contemporary Central and South American indigenous groups, it was found that haplogroups A and B were more frequent in northern Colombia, while haplogroups C and D were more frequent in southern and south-western Colombia.
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Introduction

Traditionally, studies of the demographic history of human populations were based on archaeological records and historical documents. During the later 20th century, these approaches were supplemented by studies of classical genetic markers, such as ABO blood groups and HLA loci. More recently, it has become possible to use the direct analysis of autosomal DNA, the non-recombining portion of the Y chromosome (NRY DNA), and mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) to study the genetic structure of human populations in greater detail. mtDNA, inherited through the maternal line, has certain properties that make it a valuable tool for analysing variability among human populations.
(Wallace et al. 1999, Ingman et al. 2000). Analysis of mtDNA haplogroups makes it possible to distinguish particular maternal lineages within populations, offering new opportunities for the comparison of these results with those from archaeological, anatomical, and linguistic approaches.

**Peopling of the Americas**

It has long been understood that the Americas were most likely populated by migration from Asia across what is now the Bering Strait. Genetic, anatomical, and archaeological studies have proposed various models regarding the origin and number of prehistoric migrations from Asia to the Americas (Stone & Stoneking 1998). Some have proposed a single wave of migration (Bonatto & Salzano 1997, Fagundes et al. 2008, Hooshiar Kashani et al. 2012), while others have supported two (Torrioni et al. 1992, Horai et al. 1993, Pitblado 2011) or three (Greenberg et al. 1986, Reich et al. 2012) migratory waves. Moreover, previous work has determined the presence of the four principal founding mtDNA haplogroups (A, B, C, and D; X is also found in low frequencies) among contemporary Native Americans derived from Asian populations (Sherr et al. 1990, Torroni et al. 1992, 1993a, 1994, Horai et al. 1993, Brown et al. 1998, Malhi et al. 2003, Lewis et al. 2005). Analysis of mtDNA sequences has provided not only a high level of resolution, confirming the Asian origin of Native Americans, but also time estimates for migrations into the Americas from Asia (Luiselli et al. 2000, O’Rourke & Raff 2010, Hooshiar Kashani et al. 2012). It now seems probable that the first American populations left north-eastern Asia as much as 30,000 years before the present (ybp), perhaps pausing in Beringia for some 15,000 years, during which time new mutations separated the New World lineages from their Asian relations, before then moving into the Americas proper (Torrioni et al. 1993a, 1993b, Forster et al. 1996, Tamm et al. 2007, Goebel et al. 2008, Hooshiar Kashani et al. 2012). It is possible that two further migrational pulses from Asia contributed to populations speaking Eskimo–Aleut languages and to the Canadian Chipewyan people, who speak a Na-Dene language (Goebel et al. 2008, Reich et al. 2012). The remainder of the Native American population, however, would seem to be descended from the initial Asian migration.

This scenario recalls Greenberg’s controversial proposals (Greenberg et al. 1986, 1987, Greenberg & Ruhlen 1992), which elaborated on an theory originally developed by Sapir (1916) and which has since been sustained by Ruhlen (1994), that Native American languages can be divided in three linguistic macro-families: Amerind, Eskimo-Aleut, and Na Dene. This analysis has, however, been strongly criticized on methodological grounds (Bolnick et al. 2004), and the conservative consensus among contemporary linguists recognizes a large number of as-yet distinct American language families (Campbell 1997). South America alone is understood to contain some 108 separate language families and isolates (Campbell 2012, Muysken & O’Connor 2014). Although linguistic analysis can be a powerful tool to aid in reconstructing human prehistory (Amorim et al. 2013), and there has accordingly been much recent interest in comparing linguistic and genetics studies, it is critical to represent patterns of both genetic and linguistic variation accurately if such work is to contribute usefully to our understanding (Szathmary 1984). Moreover, it must be remembered that it is not uncommon for populations to shift languages—or for ethnicity (and language) to be shared across populations of diverse genetic heritage. In the case of American languages, analysis has long been hampered by poor and limited data, and reassessment of higher-level relationships may need to await the collection of more and better information. Nevertheless, in recent decades considerable progress has been made in clarifying the relationships between at least some American languages, offering fresh opportunities for comparison with the results of archaeological, anatomical, and genetic studies.

**Peopling of South America**

Regarding indigenous South American populations, studies of autosomal microsatellite markers have revealed a pattern of progressively reduced heterozygosity from north to south and from west to east (Wang et al. 2007). Most models for the peopling of South America take one of two basic forms. One of these assumes that an initial migration into the continent progressed south from Mesoamerica along the Andes, thereafter expanding eastward at various points. The other assumes that the initial migration from Mesoamerica split into easterly and westerly
groups in the northern part of the continent, thereafter progressing southward separately (Bodner et al. 2012). There are also questions about the possibilities of later population pulses (Lewis et al. 2007), as in the case of Chibchan speakers (see below), who seem to have entered northern South America from Mesoamerica sometime during the Holocene (Constenla Umaña 1995, Melton et al. 2007). Ultimately, no real consensus on how South America was initially peopled has been reached. Research using data from modern populations is complicated by the fact that, although some populations (e.g. that of Venezuela) reveal relatively high frequencies of indigenous haplogroups, many indigenous South American populations have been impacted by significant inflows of European and African genes over the last 500 years (Salas et al. 2004, Mendizabal et al. 2008, Catelli et al. 2011, Gómez-Carballa et al. 2012).

Chibchan-speaking populations of Mesoamerica and northern South America

Modern speakers of Chibchan languages are dispersed across lower Mesoamerica and northern South America. In recent decades, a sound understanding of the Chibchan language family has been established (Constenla Umaña 1981, 1991, 2005, 2008, Quesada 2007) and complemented by archaeological studies (Lange & Stone 1984, Bray 2003, Hoopes & Fonseca Z 2003, Hoopes 2005) that, taken together, indicate Chibchan-speaking populations originated in Mesoamerica, spreading to northern South America in prehistoric times. Studies of mtDNA diversity amongst modern Mesoamerican Chibchan-speakers have found they are characterized by high frequencies of haplogroup A (>65%), markedly lower frequencies of B (20–30%), very low frequencies of D, and absence of C (Santos & Barrantes 1994, Torroni et al. 1994, Batista et al. 1995, Kolman et al. 1995, Kolman & Bermingham 1997). However, a study by Melton et al. (2007) shows that although modern Chibchan-speaking populations in Colombia’s Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta (SNSM) likewise have high frequencies of haplogroup A (>65%), they also have moderate frequencies of C (<35%), and very low frequencies of B as well as D (<7.5%, if present). Thus, Chibchan populations seem most prominently characterized by haplogroup A (common in Mesoamerica) and moreover show strong genetic affinities with contemporary Mayan-speakers (Melton et al. 2007). The secondary frequency of haplogroup C distinguishes the Chibchan-speakers of Colombia’s SNSM from Mesoamerican Chibchan-speakers, in whom B is found at similar frequencies. Haplogroup C is rare in other southern Mesoamerican populations (Kolman & Bermingham 1997) but common in other South American populations (Keyeux et al. 2002). Moreover, haplogroup D is common in northern South America (Lalueza-Fox 1996, Lalueza-Fox et al. 1997) though not amongst either Mesoamerican or Colombian SNSM Chibchan-speakers.

Indigenous populations of contemporary and prehistoric Colombia

In Colombia, recent estimates suggest that around 68 indigenous American languages, classified into 13 language families and 8 language isolates, are still spoken by perhaps some 700,000 people (Landaburu 2005). Presently, the state recognizes over 80 ethnic minority groups (including Afro-Colombian and Romani-speaking groups), scattered throughout the country in both rural and urban contexts (Rojas et al. 2010). There is a considerable amount of variation in the scientific literature with regards to the nomenclature for American languages and ethnic groups. The present study uses (Spanish-language) names that align with the labelling practices of the Biological Repository of the Institute of Human Genetics (IGH) at the Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (Bogotá, Colombia), from which the samples used in the study were drawn. For guidance on correspondences between the names used in the present study and other common names frequently used to identify the same ethnic groups in English-language publications in linguistics and anthropology, see Supplementary material 3 (and, further, Adelaar & Muysken 2004 p 66).

Since the 1980s, genetic and clinical studies of molecular HLA antigens (Bernal et al. 1995), as well as of mtDNA and Y chromosomes (Keyeux et al. 2002, Melton et al. 2007, Rondón et al. 2008, Noguería 2012, Noguera et al. 2014), have shed fresh light on the genetic structures of Colombian populations, revealing a high degree of admixture between populations of different
origins and a clear vision of antigenic diversity (Briceño et al. 1996). Some results from the analysis of nucleotide diversity amongst these groups suggest past population bottlenecks, followed by later recovery (Mitchell et al. 2000). Post-bottleneck populations tend to reveal low genetic variability, and the original proportion of alleles in the general population may have changed considerably. There can be significant frequencies of African haplogroups within modern Colombian indigenous populations, especially in areas bordering the Caribbean and Pacific coasts, and some effects of genetic isolation can be seen through high frequencies of mtDNA haplogroup L, attributed to the results of the trans-Atlantic slave trade (Nuñez et al. 2010). In contrast, northwestern Colombia reveals a high frequency of European Y chromosomes alongside a similarly high frequency of indigenous mtDNA (Carvajal-Carmona et al. 2000). Other studies suggest that some individuals lacking specific markers for major South American haplogroups could belong to haplogroup X, usually found in North American indigenous populations (Forster et al. 1996, Malhi & Smith 2002, Malhi et al. 2003, Ribeiro-dos-Santos et al. 2013).

Besides studies of modern populations, several studies of ancient Colombian DNA have recently been conducted. Remains from 11 individuals interred near Bogotá c. 2,000 ybp were found to belong exclusively to haplogroup B (Silva et al. 2008). In contrast, analysis of remains from 16 individuals from a nearby location in southwestern Bogotá, but dating from the immediate pre-Colonial period (c. 400-1000 ybp), revealed a mix of haplotypes A and B (Jara et al. 2010). Given the association of haplogroup A with both Central and South American Chibchan-speaking populations (Melton et al. 2007), this change in haplogroup patterns over time within the same geographical area has been associated with an influx of Chibchan speakers (Jara et al. 2010). In contrast, analysis of comparably aged (c. 860 ybp) remains from 17 individuals from the southern parts of the Department of Santander associated with the Colonial-era Guane people (of unknown linguistic affiliation) revealed higher frequencies of haplogroup B (41%), slightly lower frequencies of haplogroup A (35%), and lower frequencies of haplogroup D (24%) (Casas-Vargas et al. 2011). Accordingly, it may be that the (pre )Colonial Guane represented descendants of an earlier non-Chibchan-speaking population, characterized by high proportions of haplogroup B, that had more recently also received an influx of haplogroup A, perhaps from the neighboring Chibchan-speaking populations of the Altiplano Cundiboyacense. The Guane are known to have had trade relations with Chibchan-speaking Muisca of the (pre )Colonial Altiplano (Langebaek Rueda 1985, Lleras Pérez & Langebaek Rueda 1987, Pérez 1990) and conceivably had other kinds of relations with them as well.

The present study

Colombia occupies a pivotal juncture between Central and South America, where peoples from the Mesoamerican, Caribbean, Amazonian, and Andean regions have all interacted (Constenla Umaña 1991). Despite an increased pace of research in recent decades, many questions remain about the form and sequence of population movements, as well as prehistoric cultural and linguistic shifts, in the region. The present paper reports on a study that analyzed restriction fragment length polymorphisms (RFLPs) to identify the mtDNA haplotypes associated with various indigenous Colombian groups in order to establish their genetic structures and consider possible relationships between haplogroup frequencies and linguistic affiliations, particularly to reexamine proposed relationships between the extent of the Chibchan language family and high frequencies of haplogroup A. All results from this study were based only on the analysis of mtDNA haplogroups; haplotypes were determined only as a quality control.

Materials and methods

Population samples

For this study, we analyzed mtDNA from twenty (20) Native American populations dispersed throughout Colombia (Figure 1), sampling 700 individuals. The majority of the samples was collected during 1989-1993 within the context of the Expedición Humana project and stored in the Human Biological Repository of the Institute of Human Genetics (IHG), Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (Bogotá, Colombia). Another group of 282 samples had been collected separately from the Arsario, Coreguaje, Embera, Ijka, Kogui, and Wayuu populations by Ignacio Briceño in the
context of his doctoral research (Briceño 1998), but these samples are included within the context of the Expedición Humana project (see Table 1 and Supplementary material 3). All human samples were obtained with informed consent and donated voluntarily. Data derived from samples collected in Somalia were compiled using MITOMAP (Derbeneva et al. 2010, Lott et al. 2013).

Table 1. Native American populations included in the study. Note [1] to Table 1: Samples collected in Somalia were compiled using MITOMAP (Derbeneva et al. 2010, Lott et al. 2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Native American group</th>
<th>Number of sampled individuals</th>
<th>Department (of Colombia) or country (for non-Colombian out-group)</th>
<th>Latitude and longitude</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arsario</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Magdalena</td>
<td>11° 18′ 80.19″ N, 73° 32′ 99.36″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butaregua</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Santander</td>
<td>6° 64′ 56.97″ N, 73° 19′ 50.67″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chilmila</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Magdalena</td>
<td>10° 54′ 74.61 N, 74° 14′ 96.33″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coreguaje</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Caquetá</td>
<td>1° 10′ 59.11″ N, 75° 40′ 82.47″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Embera</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Chocó</td>
<td>2° 83′ 44.97″ N, 77° 52′ 04.47″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guahibo</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Casanare, Arauca</td>
<td>4° 43′ 39.36″ N, 71° 91′ 53.08″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guambiano</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Cauca</td>
<td>2° 60′ 54.42″ N, 72° 34′ 47.14″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guane</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Santander</td>
<td>6° 68′ 31.56″ N, 73° 24′ 99.72″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guayabero</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Guaviare</td>
<td>2° 85′ 94.03″ N, 72° 38′ 87.97″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huitoto</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Putumayo</td>
<td>0° 60′ 96.94″ N, 72° 38′ 87.97″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ijka</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Magdalena</td>
<td>10° 46′ 65.72″ N, 73° 58′ 34.44″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingano</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Putumayo</td>
<td>0° 28′ 20.11″ N, 76° 99′ 63.08″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogui</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Cauca</td>
<td>11° 03′ 59.75″ N, 73° 63′ 70.92″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murui-Muinane</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Putumayo</td>
<td>0° 61′ 59.17″ N, 72° 25′ 01.78″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Páez</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Cauca</td>
<td>2° 57′ 41.22″ N, 75° 98′ 14.11″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasto (Awá)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Nariño</td>
<td>0° 96′ 66.67″ N, 77° 70′ 04.78″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tukano</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Vaupés</td>
<td>1° 24′ 92.81″ N, 70° 24′ 17.86″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wayuu</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>Guajira</td>
<td>11° 97′ 93.28″ N, 72° 19′ 67.06″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuco</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>César</td>
<td>10° 03′ 36.81″ N, 73° 09′ 33.33″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenú</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Sucre</td>
<td>10° 43′ 91.58″ N, 74° 91′ 22.08″ W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL SAMPLES</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somali [1]</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>7° 01′ 16.39″ N, 45° 25′ 78.11″ W</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DNA extraction

DNA from the population samples was extracted through the phenol-chloroform method. Salting out was used for the extraction of the control samples, due to the ease of implementation, low toxicity of reagents, and facility of visualizing the DNA (Miller et al. 1988).

mtDNA analysis

mtDNA was analyzed by RFLPs using primers based on Stone & Stoneking (1998) to identify haplogroups A, B, C, and D. In the present study, conditions for polymerase chain reaction (choice of template DNA, enzyme, dNTPs, magnesium chloride, and the necessary concentrations of each) were standardized. Hypervariable region I (HVR-I) was analyzed by sequencing DNA randomly for a small percentage (5%) as quality control. These samples were analyzed using primers based on Prieto et al. (2011) (see Table 2).

RFLP analysis defined existing haplogroups (the four founding haplogroups, A–D), according to three restriction sites, and a deletion in intergenic region 5. We analyzed the markers that define the founding Amerindian haplogroups as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individuals</th>
<th>Hg</th>
<th>16114</th>
<th>16189</th>
<th>16217</th>
<th>16223</th>
<th>16290</th>
<th>16298</th>
<th>16319</th>
<th>16325</th>
<th>16327</th>
<th>16362</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Butaregua 11</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
<td>G → A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T → C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butaregua 13</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>T → C</td>
<td>T → C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butaregua 19</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>T → C</td>
<td>T → C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butaregua 2-33</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
<td>G → A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T → C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butaregua 2-39</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T → C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guane 2-20</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
<td>G → A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T → C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guane 21</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
<td>G → A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T → C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guane 2-14</td>
<td>A2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
<td>G → A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T → C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guane 19</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td>T → C</td>
<td>T → C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasto 175</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>T → C</td>
<td>T → C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasto 1-X</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
<td>G → A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T → C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasto 234</td>
<td>C1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T → C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasto 247</td>
<td>A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
<td>G → A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasto 44</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>C → T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T → C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasto 232</td>
<td>D</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>C → T</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T → C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Polymorphic sites hypervariable region I, HVR-I (random sequencing of 15 samples). Note [1] to Table 2: This table was prepared using MitoTool (Fan & Yao 2011, 2013).
Haplogroup A. i) Restriction enzyme Hae III. ii) Site gain in nt663 and formation of fragments of 121, 66, 38, and 17 base pairs (bp).

Haplogroup B. i) 9-deletion base pairs [bp] in COII/tRNALys.

Haplogroup C. i) Restriction enzyme Hinc II. ii) DNA fragment of 181 base pair (bp), a loss of a HincII site at position 13259, and formation of fragments of 155 and 26 bp.

Haplogroup D. i) Restriction enzyme Alu I. ii) DNA fragment of 183 base pair (bp) present cleavage site loss Alu site, position 5,176.

The amplification reaction to 700 samples had a final volume of 20 μL, with 18.5 μL of a master mix (Green Master Mix, Promega, 0.5 μM of each primer (1μL), and 1.5 μL of sample. DNA amplification conditions proceeded in an initial denaturation at 94 °C for 15 minutes, followed by denaturation at 94 °C for 60 seconds, annealing at 51 °C for 60 seconds in haplogroup A, 54.3 °C for haplogroups B, C and D, and extension at 72 °C for 60 seconds. Final extension at 72 °C for 5 minutes was included. Each region was independently examined. PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in polyacrylamide gels and detected by ultraviolet irradiation after staining with ethidium bromide.
Data Analysis

F-statistics (Fst distances), were calculated using the Arlequin 3.5.1.2 software (Excoffier et al. 2005); see Supplementary material 1 and 2. An inter-analysis, based on the parameters of haplogroup diversity, was performed between each of the pairs of groups (individuals and/or populations) based on the methods of Tajima (1989) and Nei & Kumar (2000, ll. 284-286). We constructed a dendrogram outlining phylogeny or evolutionary kinship between the populations studied and in comparison to an African (Somali) population. This tree was constructed with the unweighted pair group method using arithmetic averages (UPGMA) (see, for example, Sneath & Sokal 1973), one of the most widely used methods of clustering of taxa or populations. Percentages of different mitochondrial haplogroups (see Figure 1).

Fig. 1. Map of Colombia showing geographic location of the Native American populations considered in this study and their mtDNA haplogroups.
and Fst values for twenty (20) Colombian indigenous populations were calculated (see Table 3 and Supplementary material 3).

Alignment of sequences

Sequences were aligned with the revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (rCRS) (Anderson et al. 1981, 2011). 

Table 3. Genetic distances among the 20 sampled populations (pairwise Fst).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wayuu</th>
<th>Butaregua</th>
<th>Zenu</th>
<th>Coreguaje</th>
<th>Guambiano</th>
<th>Tukano</th>
<th>Huitoto</th>
<th>Pasto</th>
<th>Ingano</th>
<th>Kogui</th>
<th>Arsario</th>
<th>Paez</th>
<th>Chimila</th>
<th>Ijka</th>
<th>Guahibo</th>
<th>Guane</th>
<th>Yuco</th>
<th>Murui Muinane</th>
<th>Guayabero</th>
<th>Embera</th>
<th>Somalie</th>
<th>Embera</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wayuu</td>
<td>0.00077</td>
<td>0.00831</td>
<td>0.05115</td>
<td>0.07362</td>
<td>0.01204</td>
<td>0.01413</td>
<td>0.04997</td>
<td>-0.00852</td>
<td>0.04957</td>
<td>0.04807</td>
<td>0.11213</td>
<td>0.10158</td>
<td>0.27875</td>
<td>0.3767</td>
<td>0.31562</td>
<td>0.0322</td>
<td>0.3004</td>
<td>0.28657</td>
<td>0.28657</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butaregua</td>
<td>0.00831</td>
<td>0.03082</td>
<td>0.07152</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.01306</td>
<td>0.01493</td>
<td>0.04638</td>
<td>0.014494</td>
<td>0.07407</td>
<td>0.06923</td>
<td>0.15609</td>
<td>0.17557</td>
<td>0.42237</td>
<td>0.4914</td>
<td>0.42237</td>
<td>0.1181</td>
<td>0.1436</td>
<td>0.22574</td>
<td>0.22574</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zenu</td>
<td>0.05115</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.09921</td>
<td>0.11121</td>
<td>0.02482</td>
<td>0.01782</td>
<td>0.05438</td>
<td>0.14494</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.11211</td>
<td>0.18721</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.29444</td>
<td>0.11819</td>
<td>0.4164</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coreguaje</td>
<td>0.07362</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.09921</td>
<td>0.11121</td>
<td>0.02482</td>
<td>0.01782</td>
<td>0.05438</td>
<td>0.14494</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.11211</td>
<td>0.18721</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.29444</td>
<td>0.11819</td>
<td>0.4164</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guambiano</td>
<td>0.01204</td>
<td>0.01306</td>
<td>0.02482</td>
<td>0.02482</td>
<td>0.00865</td>
<td>0.00289</td>
<td>0.04638</td>
<td>0.14494</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.11211</td>
<td>0.18721</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.29444</td>
<td>0.11819</td>
<td>0.4164</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tukano</td>
<td>0.01413</td>
<td>0.01782</td>
<td>0.02482</td>
<td>0.02482</td>
<td>0.00865</td>
<td>0.00289</td>
<td>0.04638</td>
<td>0.14494</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.11211</td>
<td>0.18721</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.29444</td>
<td>0.11819</td>
<td>0.4164</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huitoto</td>
<td>0.04997</td>
<td>0.05438</td>
<td>0.05438</td>
<td>0.05438</td>
<td>0.00865</td>
<td>0.00289</td>
<td>0.04638</td>
<td>0.14494</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.11211</td>
<td>0.18721</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.29444</td>
<td>0.11819</td>
<td>0.4164</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasto</td>
<td>0.04957</td>
<td>0.07407</td>
<td>0.07407</td>
<td>0.07407</td>
<td>0.00865</td>
<td>0.00289</td>
<td>0.04638</td>
<td>0.14494</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.11211</td>
<td>0.18721</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.29444</td>
<td>0.11819</td>
<td>0.4164</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ingano</td>
<td>0.04957</td>
<td>0.07407</td>
<td>0.07407</td>
<td>0.07407</td>
<td>0.00865</td>
<td>0.00289</td>
<td>0.04638</td>
<td>0.14494</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.11211</td>
<td>0.18721</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.29444</td>
<td>0.11819</td>
<td>0.4164</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogui</td>
<td>0.11213</td>
<td>0.15609</td>
<td>0.15609</td>
<td>0.15609</td>
<td>0.00865</td>
<td>0.00289</td>
<td>0.04638</td>
<td>0.14494</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.11211</td>
<td>0.18721</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.29444</td>
<td>0.11819</td>
<td>0.4164</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arsario</td>
<td>0.10158</td>
<td>0.17557</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.00865</td>
<td>0.00289</td>
<td>0.04638</td>
<td>0.14494</td>
<td>0.07408</td>
<td>0.11211</td>
<td>0.18721</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.29444</td>
<td>0.11819</td>
<td>0.4164</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.21319</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td>0.27212</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paez</td>
<td>0.0322</td>
<td>0.1181</td>
<td>0.19784</td>
<td>0.22863</td>
<td>0.24138</td>
<td>0.11239</td>
<td>0.10572</td>
<td>0.06167</td>
<td>0.02459</td>
<td>0.09628</td>
<td>0.08229</td>
<td>0.00638</td>
<td>0.11351</td>
<td>0.3004</td>
<td>0.01098</td>
<td>0.20153</td>
<td>0.14606</td>
<td>0.06388</td>
<td>0.02004</td>
<td>-0.00737</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chimila</td>
<td>0.3004</td>
<td>0.14356</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.23822</td>
<td>0.24221</td>
<td>0.21932</td>
<td>0.15919</td>
<td>0.14445</td>
<td>0.24281</td>
<td>0.25698</td>
<td>0.19016</td>
<td>0.09747</td>
<td>0.27506</td>
<td>0.20543</td>
<td>0.01098</td>
<td>0.20153</td>
<td>0.14606</td>
<td>0.06388</td>
<td>0.02004</td>
<td>-0.00737</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ijka</td>
<td>0.28657</td>
<td>0.2902</td>
<td>0.33369</td>
<td>0.34256</td>
<td>0.32511</td>
<td>0.20333</td>
<td>0.2676</td>
<td>0.2625</td>
<td>0.28879</td>
<td>0.3673</td>
<td>0.37852</td>
<td>0.3362</td>
<td>0.43988</td>
<td>0.49551</td>
<td>0.46908</td>
<td>0.2924</td>
<td>0.37148</td>
<td>0.24427</td>
<td>0.32212</td>
<td>0.28511</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sequences were aligned with the revised Cambridge Reference Sequence (rCRS) (Anderson et al. 1981, 2011).
Andrews et al. 1999) using MitoTool (Fan & Yao 2011, 2013). For each of the samples, changes were determined relative to the rCRS.

Results and discussion

Haplogroups, origins and affiliations of indigenous Colombia populations

Results of the analysis of mitochondrial DNA (see Table 2 and Figure 1) show that haplogroup A was most frequent, found in 338 individuals (48.3%), followed by haplogroup C, found in 199 individuals (28.4%). Less frequent were haplogroups B and D, found in 113 and 41 (16% and 6%) individuals, respectively. The haplogroups of nine (9) individuals (1.3%) could not be determined due to the low quality of the DNA. We found higher frequencies of haplogroups A and B in populations from northern (and mid-western) Colombia, and higher frequencies of haplogroups C and D in populations from southern Colombia (Figure 1). We constructed a phylogenetic tree to show the genetic distances between populations (Figure 2). The cladogram reveals four (4) genetically defined branches.

The first of these groups is characterized by the predominance of haplogroup C. It includes the Wayuu population (Arawakan-speaking), the Koreguaje and Tukano populations (Tucanoan-speaking), the Guambiano population (Barbacoan-speaking), the Huitoto population (speaking a Bora–Huitoto language), the Butaregua population (self-identified as descendants of the extinct Guane, whose language was of unknown affiliation), and the Zenú population (language extinct and of unknown affiliation). Here, it is significant to note the high frequency of C within the Arawakan-speaking Wayuu population, despite their close geographic proximity to the Chibchan-speaking populations of the SNSM (consisting of the Ijka, Kogui, and Arsario) in which haplogroup A predominates (Melton et al. 2007). The prevalence of C amongst the Wayuu may also support the notion that they share genetic affinity with other indigenous groups from the Amazonian region, where haplogroup C likewise predominates and in (or near) which the Arawakan languages are thought to have originated (Aikhenvald 1999, 2006; Walker & Ribeiro 2011).

The second group is characterized by the predominance of haplogroup A. It includes the Pasto (whose language is extinct, but thought to have probably belonged to the Barbacoan family) and Ingano populations (Quechuan-speaking), as well as the Ijka, Kogui, Arsario, and Chimila populations (all Chibchan-speaking), the Páez (Nasa Yuwe) population (speaking a language isolate), and the Guahibo population (speaking a Guahiban language). The Pasto population, located in southern Colombia, is characterized by higher frequencies of haplogroups A and C (38% and 28% respectively), as well as lower frequencies of haplogroups D and B (21% and 3%, respectively). The Pasto also appear to be genetically closest to the Huitoto population (of the first, C-dominated group), with a genetic distance of 0.006, and to the Ingano population, with a genetic distance of 0.007. The Pasto samples present a greater genetic distance from Ijka, Chimila, and Guahibo populations,
despite likewise exhibiting a higher frequency of haplogroup A (possibly as a result of genetic influence from prehistoric Chibchan-speaking immigrants to the region). The Chibchan-speaking Chimila presented a high frequency of A (80%) with somewhat lower frequencies of B (20%) and no incidence of C or D, very similar to the results obtained by Batista et al. (1995) for the Chibchan-speaking Kuna [Cuna] of the Panamanian-Colombian border region (approximately 72% A, 28% B, with no C or D).

A third group is comprised of populations containing three or four founding haplogroups, and includes the Murui and Huitoto Muinane populations (speaking Bora–Huitoto languages), a modern self-identified Guane population, the Embera population (speaking a Chocoan language), the Guayabero population (Guajiboan-speaking) and the Yuco population (Cariban-speaking). Interestingly, the Guayabero population, living in the departments of Meta and Guaviare, speak a language of the same family as the neighboring Guahibo population, but their genetic profile, showing a high frequency of haplogroup B, is completely different from that of the Guahibo, who belong to the A-dominated second group identified in this study.

Finally, the dendrogram shows the distinct Somali African population. It was chosen for comparative purposes as it is genetically very distant from Colombian indigenous populations.

Additionally, we present the mutational changes (polymorphic sites of the mtDNA) of hypervariable region I at different positions in the sequence to determine founding haplogroups. These changes are compared (see Table 3) to the rCRS (Anderson et al. 1981, Andrews et al. 1999). We found that all individuals show the same haplogroups as determined by both methods. Using HVR-I allowed identification of haplotypes A2, B2, and C1 within a small subsample of individuals (5%) as a quality control; these are all specific haplotypes found in indigenous American populations but not in Asian or European populations.

The Chibchan-speaking populations of Colombia’s SNSM (Ijka, Kogui, and Arsario) share similar profiles, with high frequencies of haplogroups A and C (and very low frequencies of B, if present, and no incidence of D). However, they are distinct from the Chibchan-speaking Kuna [Cuna] (Batista et al. 1995) and Chimila to their west, which in contrast both present modest frequencies of haplogroup B (28% and 20%, respectively) but no incidence of C (or D). The Wayuu, northeast of the SNSM, also present significant frequencies of A and C, though they were distinguished from the Chibchan-speaking SNSM populations by a somewhat higher frequency (23%) of haplogroup B (similar to the frequencies of that haplotype in the Chimila and Cuna [Kuna]). The nearby Cariban-speaking Yuco population, southeast of the SNSM near the Venezuelan border, has an even higher frequency (43%) of haplotype B. Archaeological and linguistic analysis suggests that Cariban languages may have spread both northwest and southeast from the Orinoco River delta in relatively late prehistory, perhaps from the thirteenth century AD (Muysken 2012). The Yuco language seems to represent part of a spread of Cariban inland from the Caribbean coast in prehistoric times (Adelaar & Muysken 2004), presumably at the expense of other languages, possibly including Chibchan. The Wayuu population also shows a genetic resemblance to Amazonian populations, many of which are likewise Arawakan-speaking. Archaeological and linguistic analyses suggest that the Arawakan languages, like the Cariban languages but in an earlier period, may have spread from a place of origin in the Amazon down the Orinoco valley to the Caribbean coast and into the Caribbean islands by 2,500 byp (Heckenberger 2013).

The close association between high frequencies of haplogroup A and Chibchan linguistic affiliation found in previous studies (Melton et al. 2007) suggests Colombian indigenous populations with elevated frequencies of haplogroup A may have been influenced by Chibchan-speaking populations or may have themselves shifted from Chibchan to other languages. Alternatively, as haplogroup A is moreover associated with Central American populations generally, the possibility that speakers of other unknown Mesoamerican language families migrated into Colombia in prehistoric times cannot be completely ruled out. In any event, the Guahibo present a high frequency of haplogroup A (86%), while in contrast their close geographical and linguistic neighbors the Guayabero have a markedly
lower frequency of haplogroup A (48%). These data suggest a considerable genetic distance separates the two groups, and could support the hypothesis that the ancestors of the (now Guahibo-speaking) Guahibo population had Mesoamerican and/or Chibchan-speaking origins or influences. Besides the strong genetic similarity of the Guahibo with Colombian Chibchan-speakers (particularly the Ijka of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta), it is noted that Guahibo inhabit a different habitat (savannah) than the Guayabero (jungle). We interpret the high frequency of haplogroup A in Guahibos as result of a founder effect among other possible mechanisms.

Samples from the Zenú population of the Department of Sucre, whose now extinct language is of unknown affiliation, revealed no presence of haplogroup B (comparable with the extremely low levels in the Chibchan-speakers of the Sierra Nevada de Santa Marta) and a lower (in comparison with those Chibchan-speakers) though still considerable proportion of haplogroup A (33%), but a relatively high proportion of haplogroup C (67%). This situation could result of a population bottleneck, as is the case in other groups revealing a high frequencies of haplogroup A, with low genetic diversity (i.e. Chibchan-speaking populations; Melton et al. 2007). It should be noted that the Zenú samples’ pattern of high frequencies of C and somewhat lower frequencies of A sets them apart from their Chibchan-speaking neighbors in northern Colombia or in southern Panama (Batista et al. 1995).

The populations identified in this study as Butaregua (a settlement name) and Guane are both from near the town of Barichara in rural Santander. Moreover, both self-designate as indigenous descendants of the (pre) Colonial Guane population, though they are certainly admixed. Neither population has official state recognition as an ethnic minority. Samples from the modern Butaregua population reveal a particular combination of haplogroups A, B, and D (the most northerly extension of D found in our samples) reminiscent of (though not identical to) that found in ancient remains associated with the prehistoric Guane (A: 35%; B: 41%; D: 24%; Casas-Vargas et al. 2011). However, the Butaregua also reveal a significant frequency (52%) of haplogroup C, which is absent from the ancient Guane samples but otherwise common in varying proportions in many modern Colombian indigenous populations. The modern Guane population likewise contrasts with the ancient Guane in having a modest but marked frequency of haplogroup C. A further contrast between the modern Guane and both the ancient Guane and their modern Butaregua neighbors is the complete absence of haplogroup D. Clearly, the specific genetic histories of the modern Butaregua and Guane populations must differ significantly, despite both groups’ claims of common descent from the ancient Guane. It is likely both modern populations have been impacted by genetic drift, founder effects, and/or population bottlenecks.

Amongst the Pasto, from the department of Nariño, haplogroup A was most frequent (38%), with somewhat lower frequencies of haplogroups C (28%) and D (21%). Although the Pasto language is extinct, it is thought very likely to have belonged to the Barbacoan family, which has more typological similarities with the central Andean sphere characterized by Quechuan than with the northern Colombian Chibchan sphere (Constenla Umaña 1991). Quechuan influence was strong in this region during immediate pre-Colonial times (after c. 1400 AD), but it is not clear whether the Andean affinities of Barbacoan hint at a deeper relationship with Quechuan or simply an earlier period of areal interaction (Adelaar & Muysken 2004). Nevertheless, it seems likely that the ancestors of the modern Pasto were poised, both linguistically and genetically, between the wider Andean/Quechuan and Chibchan spheres.

**Conclusions**

The results of the present study show that haplogroups A and B are more frequent in northern Colombia, while haplogroups C and D are more frequent in southern Colombia. This broadly supports the findings of previous studies (Lalueza-Fox 1996, Lalueza-Fox et al. 1997, Keyeux et al. 2002, Melton et al. 2007) that have found frequencies of haplogroup D approaching 100% in the Southern Cone of South America, while haplogroup A is very frequent in Central America (where D is relatively uncommon). Likewise, we conclude that the higher frequencies of haplogroup A in northern Colombia may be best explained as the result of prehistoric immigrations...
from Central America. Such migrations would have included at least a significant proportion of Chibchan speakers (as no other linguistic community of Central American origin has been shown to have expanded into northern South America). Although the genetic structures of most indigenous Colombian populations seem to fall broadly into the described northern A-B and southern C-D patterns, the Guahibo and Ijka populations present particularly high frequencies of haplogroup A (86% and 92%, respectively), suggesting that either genetic drift, founder effects and/or population bottlenecks have set them slightly apart.

Further research on mtDNA haplotypes from the same populations used in the present study should permit greater precision in determining the amount of mitochondrial genetic diversity within Colombian populations. It should be cautioned that further analyses of haplotypes within these populations may not necessarily support the present study’s conclusions, which are based solely on haplogroups.

Nevertheless, the results of the present study contribute to the ongoing genetic analysis of modern Colombian indigenous populations, and offer significant new opportunities for comparison with ancient DNA from the region, though we would certainly recommend that further studies of ancient and modern population genetics be performed. Although comparative work across disciplines shows that populations defined through genetic analysis may sometimes correlate with populations identified by material culture and/or linguistic affiliation, though they also make it clear that various instances of prehistoric language shift also took place. Thus, simplistic equations of genetically defined populations with linguistically or culturally defined populations must be rejected unless stronger evidence for linking them can be presented. The analysis of such evidence would certainly call for greater interdisciplinary collaboration. Elsewhere, in both the Americas and Eurasia, models for interpreting persistent frontiers (long-lasting conjunctions of varying combinations of various archaeologically, genetically, ecologically, and/or linguistically defined zones) have proven fruitful for interpreting prehistoric ethnolinguistic and/or cultural zones (Anthony 2007). Similar kinds of interdisciplinary approaches to collecting and analyzing new data should likewise be pursued in Colombia (and elsewhere in the Americas).
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Análisis mitocondrial de ADN sugiere una migración Chibcha a Colombia

Resumen. La caracterización del DNA mitocondrial (mtDNA) permite el estudio de estructuras genéticas y de relaciones filogenéticas en poblaciones humanas, al rastrear linajes hacia muy atrás en el tiempo. Analizamos muestras de mtDNA de veinte (20) poblaciones nativas americanas (700 individuos) dispersas por toda Colombia. Las muestras se obtuvieron entre 1989-1993 como parte del programa Expedición Humana y se almacenaron en el Banco Biológico del Instituto de Genética Humana (IGH) de la Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (Bogotá, Colombia). Los haplogrupos se determinaron mediante análisis de RFLPs. El más frecuente fue el haplogrupo A, con 338 individuos (48.3%). El haplogrupo A es también uno de los más frecuentes en Mesoamérica, y nosotros interpretamos nuestro hallazgo como una evidencia en favor de los modelos según los cuales los grupos que hablaban chibcha migraron al norte de Colombia desde Mesoamérica en tiempos prehistóricos. El haplogrupo C se encontró en 199 individuos (28.4%), mientras que los menos frecuentes fueron B y D con 113 y 41 individuos (16 y 6% respectivamente). No se pudieron determinar los haplogrupos de nueve (9) individuos (1.3%) debido a la baja calidad de las muestras de DNA. Aunque todas las poblaciones muestreadas tienen estructuras genéticas que se ajustan en líneas generales a los patrones que se podrían esperar para grupos indígenas contemporáneos de Centro y Suramérica, encontramos que los haplogrupos A y B eran más frecuentes en el norte de Colombia, mientras los haplogrupos C y D eran más frecuentes en el sur y suroccidente del país.

Palabras clave: mtDNA; nativos americanos; migraciones humanas; Colombia; Suramérica; haplogrupos, Método RFLP; Fragmentos de Restricción de Longitud Polimórfica

Chibchan mitochondrial DNA

Análise de DNA mitocondrial sugere uma migração Chibchan à Colômbia

Resumo. A caracterização de DNA mitocondrial (mtDNA) permite o estabelecimento de estruturas genéticas e relações filogenéticas em populações humanas, rastreando linhagens ao longo do tempo. Nós analisamos amostras de mtDNA de vinte (20) populações Nativas Americanas (700 indivíduos) distribuídas ao longo do território colombiano. Amostras foram coletadas durante 1989-1993 dentro do contexto do programa Expedição Humana (“Expedição Humana”) e armazenadas no Repositório Biológico do Instituto de Genética Humana (IGH) da Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (Bogotá, Colômbia). Haplogrupos foram determinados por análise do polimorfismo no comprimento de fragmentos de restrição (RFLPs). O haplogrupo mais frequente foi o haplogrupo A, com 338 indivíduos (48,3%). Esse haplogrupo também é um dos mais frequentes em Mesoamérica, e interpretamos nossos resultados como um modelo de suporte que propõe que grupos que falaram Chibchan migraram ao Norte de Colômbia a partir da Mesoamérica ainda em tempos pré-históricos. Haplogrupo C foi encontrado em 199 indivíduos (28,4%), enquanto que os menos frequentes foram B e D, com 113 e 41 (16% e 6%) indivíduos, respectivamente. Os haplogrupos de nove (9) indivíduos (1,3%) não puderam ser determinados devido à baixa qualidade das amostras de DNA. Embora todas as populações amostradas tivessem estruturas genéticas que cabem amplamente nos padrões esperados para os grupos indígenas contemporâneos da América Central e do Sul, foi observado que os haplogrupos A e B foram mais frequentes no Norte da Colômbia, enquanto que os haplogrupos C e D foram mais frequentes no Sul e Sudoeste da Colômbia.

Palavras-chave: mtDNA; Nativos Americanos; migração humana; Colômbia; América do Sul; haplogrupos, Método RLFP; Polimorfismo no Comprimento de Fragmentos de Restrição