

Between Open Sets and Semi-Open Sets

Samer Al Ghour^{1,*}, Kafa Mansur¹

Edited by

Juan Carlos Salcedo-Reyes
(salcedo.juan@javeriana.edu.co)

1. Jordan University of Science and
Technology, Faculty of Science and
Arts, Department of Mathematics and
Statistics 22110, Irbid, Jordan, 3030.

*alгоре@just.edu.jo

Received: 28-06-2017

Accepted: 01-12-2017

Published on line: 25-01-2018

Citation: Al Ghour S, Mansur K
Between Open Sets and Semi-Open Sets,
Universitas Scientiarum, 23 (1): 9-20, 2018.
doi: 10.11144/Javeriana.SC23-1.bosa

Funding:

N.A.

Electronic supplementary material:

N.A.



Abstract

We introduce and investigate ω_s -open sets as a new class of sets which lies strictly between open sets and semi-open sets. Then we use ω_s -open sets to introduce ω_s -continuous functions as a new class of functions between continuous functions and semi-continuous functions. We give several results and examples regarding our new concepts. In particular, we obtain some characterizations of ω_s -continuous functions.

Keywords: Semi-open set; ω -open set; Semi-continuous function

Introduction

Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. We will denote the complement of A in X , the closure of A , the interior of A , the exterior of A , and the relative topology on A , by $X - A$, \bar{A} , $\text{Int}(A)$, $\text{Ext}(A)$, and τ_A , respectively. In 1963, Levine [7] defined semi-open sets as a class of sets containing the open sets as follows: A is semi-open if there exists an open set U such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \bar{U}$, this is equivalent to say that $A \subseteq \overline{\text{Int}(A)}$. Using semi-open sets he also generalized continuity by semi-continuity as follows: A function $f: (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ is semi-continuous if for all $V \in \tau_2$, the preimage $f^{-1}(V) \in \text{SO}(X, \tau_1)$. The complement of a semi-open set is called semi-closed [5]. A point $x \in X$ is called a condensation point [6] of A if for every $U \in \tau$ with $x \in U$, the set $U \cap A$ is uncountable. Hdeib [6] defined ω -closed sets and ω -open sets as follows: A is called ω -closed if it contains all its condensation points. The complement of an ω -closed set is called ω -open. The collection of all ω -open sets of a topological space (X, τ) will be denoted by τ_ω . In [1], the author proved that (X, τ_ω) is a topological space and $\tau \subseteq \tau_\omega$. Moreover, it was observed that A is ω -open if and only if for every x in A there is an open set U and a countable subset C such that $x \in U - C \subseteq A$. The ω -closure of A in (X, τ) , denoted by \bar{A}^ω , is the smallest ω -closed set in (X, τ) that contains A (cf. [1]). The ω -interior of

A in (X, τ) , denoted by $\text{Int}_\omega(A)$, is the largest ω -open set in (X, τ) contained in A . The ω -exterior of A in (X, τ) , denoted by $\text{Ext}_\omega(A)$, is defined to be $\text{Int}_\omega(X - A)$. It is clear that the ω -closure (resp. ω -interior) of A in (X, τ) equals the closure (resp. interior) of A in (X, τ_ω) . In 2002, Al-Zoubi and Al-Nashef [2] used ω -open sets to define semi ω -open sets as a weaker form of semi-open sets as follows: A is semi ω -open if there exists an ω -open set U such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}$. The collection of all semi ω -open sets of a topological space (X, τ) will be denoted by $S\omega O(X, \tau)$. Al-Zoubi [4] used semi ω -open sets to introduce semi ω -continuous functions as a weaker form of ω -continuous functions as follows: A function $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$ is semi ω -continuous [4] if for all $V \in \tau_2$, the preimage $f^{-1}(V) \in S\omega O(X, \tau_1)$. This paper is devoted to define ω_s -openness as a property of sets that is strictly weaker than openness and stronger than semi-openness as follows: A is ω_s -open if there exists an open set U such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. We investigate this class of sets, and use it to study a new property of functions strictly between continuity and semi-continuity, and another new property of functions strictly between slight continuity and slight semi-continuity.

Throughout this paper \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Q} , and \mathbb{Q}^c , will denote the set of real numbers, the set of natural numbers, the set of rational numbers, and the set of irrational numbers, respectively. For any non-empty set X we denote by τ_{disc} the discrete topology on X . Finally, by τ_u we mean the usual topology on \mathbb{R} .

The following sequence of theorems will be useful in the sequel:

Theorem 1.1 ([3]). *Let (X, τ) be a topological space and $A \subseteq X$. Then*

- (a) *If A is non-empty, then $(\tau_A)_\omega = (\tau_\omega)_A$.*
- (b) *$(\tau_\omega)_\omega = \tau_\omega$.*

Theorem 1.2 ([2]). *Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then*

- (a) *$SO(X, \tau) \subseteq S\omega O(X, \tau)$, and $SO(X, \tau) \neq S\omega O(X, \tau)$ in general.*
- (b) *$\tau_\omega \subseteq S\omega O(X, \tau)$, and $\tau_\omega \neq S\omega O(X, \tau)$ in general.*

Theorem 1.3 ([1]). *Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then*

- (a) *If (X, τ) is anti-locally countable, then $\overline{A}^\omega = \overline{A}$ for all $A \in \tau_\omega$, and $\text{Int}_\omega(A) = \text{Int}(A)$ for all ω -closed set A in (X, τ) .*
- (b) *If (X, τ) is locally countable, then τ_ω is the discrete topology.*

ω_s -Open sets

Definition 2.1. Let A be a subset of a topological space (X, τ) . Then A is called ω_s -open of (X, τ) , if there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$ and A

is called ω_s -closed if $X - A$ is ω_s -open. The family of all ω_s -open subsets of (X, τ) will be denoted by $\omega_s(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then $\tau \subseteq \omega_s(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{SO}(X, \tau)$.*

Proof. Let $A \in \tau$. Take $U = A$. Then $U \in \tau$ and $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. This shows that $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. It follows that $\tau \subseteq \omega_s(X, \tau)$. Let $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. Then there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$, but $\overline{U}^\omega \subseteq \overline{U}$. Thus $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$. This shows that $\omega_s(X, \tau) \subseteq \text{SO}(X, \tau)$. \square

In the following example we will see that, in general, neither of the two inclusions in Theorem 2.2 are equalities:

Example 2.3. Consider (\mathbb{R}, τ) , where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}^c, \mathbb{N} \cup \mathbb{Q}^c\}$. It is not difficult to check that $\overline{\mathbb{N}}^\omega = \mathbb{N}$, $\overline{\mathbb{N}} = \mathbb{Q}$, and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}^c}^\omega = \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{N}$. Thus $\mathbb{Q} \in \text{SO}(X, \tau) - \omega_s(X, \tau)$ and $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{N} \in \omega_s(X, \tau) - \tau$.

Theorem 2.4. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Then*

- (a) *If (X, τ) is anti-locally countable, then $\omega_s(X, \tau) = \text{SO}(X, \tau)$.*
- (b) *If (X, τ) is locally countable, then $\tau = \omega_s(X, \tau)$.*

Proof. (a) By Theorem 2.2 it is sufficient to show that $\text{SO}(X, \tau) \subseteq \omega_s(X, \tau)$. Let $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau)$. Then there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}$. Since (X, τ) is anti-locally countable, then by Theorem 1.3 (a), $\overline{U} = \overline{U}^\omega$. It follows that $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$.

(b) By Theorem 2.2 it is sufficient to show that $\omega_s(X, \tau) \subseteq \tau$. Let us take $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. Then there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. Since (X, τ) is locally countable, then by Theorem 1.3 (b), $\overline{U}^\omega = U$. It follows that $A = U$ and hence $A \in \tau$. \square

The following example shows that ω -open sets and ω_s -open sets are independent:

Example 2.5. Consider (\mathbb{R}, τ) where $\tau = \{\emptyset, \mathbb{R}, [0, \infty)\}$. It is not difficult to check that $\overline{[0, \infty)}^\omega = \mathbb{R}$. Thus $[-1, \infty) \in \omega_s(X, \tau) - \tau_\omega$ and $(0, \infty) \in \tau_\omega - \omega_s(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 2.6. *A subset A of a topological space (X, τ) is ω_s -open if and only if $A \subseteq \overline{\text{Int}(A)}^\omega$.*

Proof. Necessity. Let A be ω_s -open. Then there exists some $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. Since $U \subseteq A$, then $U = \text{Int}(U) \subseteq \text{Int}(A)$ and so $\overline{U}^\omega \subseteq \overline{\text{Int}(A)}^\omega$. Therefore, $A \subseteq \overline{\text{Int}(A)}^\omega$.

Sufficiency. Suppose that $A \subseteq \overline{\text{Int}(A)}^\omega$. Take $U = \text{Int}(A)$. Then $U \in \tau$ with $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. It follows that A is ω_s -open. \square

Theorem 2.7. *Arbitrary unions of ω_s -open sets in a topological space is ω_s -open.*

Proof. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and let $\{A_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\} \subseteq \omega_s(X, \tau)$. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$, there exists $U_\alpha \in \tau$ such that $U_\alpha \subseteq A_\alpha \subseteq \overline{U_\alpha}^\omega$. So, we have $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \in \tau$, with

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} \overline{U_\alpha}^\omega \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} U_\alpha}^\omega.$$

It follows that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. \square

Corollary 2.8. *If $\{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is a collection of ω_s -closed subsets of a topological space (X, τ) , then $\bigcap \{C_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is ω_s -closed.*

The following example shows that the intersection of two ω_s -open sets need not to be ω_s -open in general:

Example 2.9. Consider (\mathbb{R}, τ_u) . Let $A = [0, 1]$, $B = [1, 2]$. By Theorem 1.3 (a), $\overline{(0, 1)}^\omega = \overline{(0, 1)} = A$, and $\overline{(1, 2)}^\omega = \overline{(1, 2)} = B$. Thus $A, B \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$, but $A \cap B = \{1\} \notin \omega_s(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 2.10. *For any topological space, the intersection of two ω_s -open sets where one of them is open is also ω_s -open.*

Proof. Let (X, τ) be a topological space, $A \in \tau$ and $B \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. Choose a set $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq B \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. Now we have $A \cap U \in \tau$, and then $A \cap U \subseteq A \cap B \subseteq A \cap \overline{U}^\omega \subseteq \overline{A \cap U}^\omega$. This shows that, $A \cap B \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. \square

Corollary 2.11. *For any topological space, the union of two ω_s -closed sets where one of them is closed is also ω_s -closed.*

Theorem 2.12. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space, B a non-empty subset of X and $A \subseteq B$. Then*

- (a) *If $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$, then $A \in \omega_s(B, \tau_B)$.*
- (b) *If $B \in \tau$ and $A \in \omega_s(B, \tau_B)$, then $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$.*

Proof. (a) Let $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. Then there is $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. Then $U = U \cap B \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega \cap B$. Note that $\overline{U}^\omega \cap B$ is the closure of U in $(\tau_\omega)_B$ and by Theorem 1.1 (a), it is the closure of U in $(\tau_B)_\omega$. This shows that $A \in \omega_s(B, \tau_B)$.

(b) Let $B \in \tau$ and $A \in \omega_s(B, \tau_B)$. Since $A \in \omega_s(B, \tau_B)$, there is $V \in \tau_B$ such that $V \subseteq A \subseteq H$ where H is the closure of V in $(B, (\tau_B)_\omega)$. Since $B \in \tau$, then $V \in \tau$. Also, $V \subseteq A \subseteq H \subseteq \overline{V}^\omega$. Therefore, $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. \square

Theorem 2.13. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. Let $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$ and suppose that $A \subseteq B \subseteq \overline{A}^\omega$, then $B \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$.

Proof. Since $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$, there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. Since $A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$, then $\overline{A}^\omega \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. Since $B \subseteq \overline{A}^\omega$, then $B \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. Therefore, we have $U \in \tau$ and $U \subseteq A \subseteq B \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$. This shows that $B \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. \square

Theorem 2.14. For any topological space (X, τ) we have that $\text{SO}(X, \tau_\omega) = \omega_s(X, \tau_\omega)$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, we have $\omega_s(X, \tau_\omega) \subseteq \text{SO}(X, \tau_\omega)$. Conversely, let $A \in \text{SO}(X, \tau_\omega)$, then there exists $U \in \tau_\omega$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq H$, where H is the closure of U in (X, τ_ω) . By Theorem 1.1 (b), we have $(\tau_\omega)_\omega = \tau_\omega$ and so $H = \overline{U}^\omega$. It follows that $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau_\omega)$. \square

Theorem 2.15. For any topological space (X, τ) we have the relation $\tau = \{\text{Int}(A) : A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)\}$.

Proof. It follows because from Theorem 2.2 we have $\tau \subseteq \omega_s(X, \tau)$. \square

Theorem 2.16. A subset C of a topological space (X, τ) is ω_s -closed if and only if $\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{C}) \subseteq C$.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that C is ω_s -closed in (X, τ) . Then $X - C$ is ω_s -closed and by Theorem 2.6, $X - C \subseteq \overline{\text{Int}(X - C)}^\omega$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{C}) &\subseteq \text{Ext}_\omega(X - \overline{C}) \\ &= \text{Ext}_\omega(\text{Ext}(C)) \\ &= X - \overline{\text{Ext}(C)}^\omega \\ &= X - \overline{\text{Int}(X - C)}^\omega \\ &\subseteq C. \end{aligned}$$

Sufficiency. Suppose that $\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{C}) \subseteq C$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} X - C &\subseteq X - \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{C}) \\ &= X - \text{Ext}_\omega(X - \overline{C}) \\ &= X - \text{Ext}_\omega(\text{Ext}(C)) \\ &= \overline{\text{Ext}(C)}^\omega \\ &= \overline{\text{Int}(X - C)}^\omega. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 2.6 it follows that $X - C$ is ω_s -open, and hence C is ω_s -closed. \square

Definition 2.17. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and let $A \subseteq X$.

(a) The ω_s -closure of A in (X, τ) is denoted by \overline{A}^{ω_s} and defined as follows:

$$\overline{A}^{\omega_s} = \bigcap \{C : C \text{ is } \omega_s\text{-closed in } (X, \tau) \text{ and } A \subseteq C\}.$$

(b) The ω_s -interior of A in (X, τ) is denoted by $\text{Int}_{\omega_s}(A)$ and defined as follows:

$$\text{Int}_{\omega_s}(A) = \bigcup \{U : U \text{ is } \omega_s\text{-open in } (X, \tau) \text{ and } U \subseteq A\}.$$

Remark 2.18. Let (X, τ) be a topological space and let $A \subseteq X$. Then

- (a) \overline{A}^{ω_s} is the smallest ω_s -closed set in (X, τ) containing A .
- (b) A is ω_s -closed in (X, τ) if and only if $A = \overline{A}^{\omega_s}$.
- (c) $\text{Int}_{\omega_s}(A)$ is the largest ω_s -open set in (X, τ) contained in A .
- (d) A is ω_s -open in (X, τ) if and only if $A = \text{Int}_{\omega_s}(A)$.
- (e) $x \in \overline{A}^{\omega_s}$ if and only if for every $B \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$ with $x \in B$, $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$.
- (f) $\text{Int}_{\omega_s}(X - A) \cap \overline{A}^{\omega_s} = \emptyset$.
- (g) $X = \text{Int}_{\omega_s}(X - A) \cup \overline{A}^{\omega_s}$.
- (h) $X - \overline{A}^{\omega_s} = \text{Int}_{\omega_s}(X - A)$ and $X - \text{Int}_{\omega_s}(X - A) = \overline{A}^{\omega_s}$.

Theorem 2.19. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be an open function such that $f : (X, \tau_\omega) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_\omega)$ is continuous. Then for every $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$ we have $f(A) \in \omega_s(Y, \sigma)$.

Proof. Let $A \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. Then there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $U \subseteq A \subseteq \overline{U}^\omega$, and so $f(U) \subseteq f(A) \subseteq f(\overline{U}^\omega)$. Since $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is open, then $f(U) \in \sigma$. Since $f : (X, \tau_\omega) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma_\omega)$ is continuous, then $f(\overline{U}^\omega) \subseteq \overline{f(U)}^\omega$. It follows that $f(A) \in \omega_s(Y, \sigma)$. \square

The condition “open function” cannot be dropped from Theorem 2.19 as shown by:

Example 2.20. Consider $f : (\mathbb{R}, \tau_{\text{disc}}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$, where $f(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then it is obvious that $f : (\mathbb{R}, (\tau_{\text{disc}})_\omega) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, (\tau_u)_\omega)$ is continuous. On the other hand, $\{0\} \in \omega_s(\mathbb{R}, \tau_{\text{disc}})$ but $f(\{0\}) = \{0\} \notin \omega_s(\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$.

ω_s -Continuous functions

Definition 3.1. A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is called ω_s -continuous, if for each $V \in \sigma$, the preimage $f^{-1}(V) \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$.

Theorem 3.2. *The notions of continuity satisfy that*

- (a) *Every continuous function is ω_s -continuous.*
- (b) *Every ω_s -continuous function is semi-continuous.*

Proof. Theorem 2.2. □

The following example will show that the converse of each of the two implications in Theorem 3.2 is not true in general:

Example 3.3. Let $f, g: (\mathbb{R}, \tau) \rightarrow (\{a, b\}, \tau_{\text{disc}})$, with τ as in Example 2.3 and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{N} \\ b & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{N} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q}^c \\ b & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Q} \end{cases}.$$

Since $f^{-1}(\{a\}) = \mathbb{N} \in \tau \subseteq \omega_s(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$ and $f^{-1}(\{b\}) = \mathbb{R} - \mathbb{N} \in \omega_s(\mathbb{R}, \tau) - \tau$, then f is ω_s -continuous but not continuous. Also, Since $g^{-1}(\{a\}) = \mathbb{Q}^c \in \tau \subseteq \text{SO}(X, \tau)$ and $g^{-1}(\{b\}) = \mathbb{Q} \in \text{SO}(\mathbb{R}, \tau) - \omega_s(\mathbb{R}, \tau)$, then f is semi-continuous but not ω_s -continuous.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function.*

- (a) *If (X, τ) is locally countable, then f is continuous if and only if f is ω_s -continuous.*
- (b) *If (X, τ) is anti-locally countable, then f is ω_s -continuous if and only if f is semi-continuous.*

Proof. (a) It is a consequence of Theorems 2.4 (a) and 3.2 (a).

(b) It is a consequence of Theorems 2.4 (b) and 3.2 (b). □

Theorem 3.5. *A function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is ω_s -continuous if and only if for every $x \in X$ and every open set V containing $f(x)$ there exists $U \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$ such that $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq V$.*

Proof. Necessity. Assume that $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is ω_s -continuous. Let us take $V \in \sigma$ with $f(x) \in V$. By ω_s -continuity, $f^{-1}(V) \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. Set $U = f^{-1}(V)$. Then $U \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$ satisfies $x \in U$ and $f(U) \subseteq V$.

Sufficiency. Let $V \in \sigma$. For each $x \in f^{-1}(V)$ we have $f(x) \in V$, and thus there exists $U_x \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$ such that $x \in U_x$, $f(U_x) \subseteq V$, and $x \in U_x \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$. Thus $f^{-1}(V) = \bigcup \{U_x : x \in f^{-1}(V)\}$. Therefore, by Theorem 2.7, it follows $f^{-1}(V) \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. This shows that f is ω_s -continuous. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) *The function f is ω_s -continuous.*
- (b) *Inverse images of all members of a base \mathcal{B} for σ are in $\omega_s(X, \tau)$.*
- (c) *Inverse images of all closed subsets of (Y, σ) are ω_s -closed in (X, τ) .*
- (d) *For every $A \subseteq X$ we have $f(\overline{A}^{\omega_s}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$.*
- (e) *For every $B \subseteq Y$ we have $\overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\omega_s} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B})$.*
- (f) *For every $B \subseteq Y$ we have $f^{-1}(\text{Int}(B)) \subseteq \text{Int}_{\omega_s}(f^{-1}(B))$.*

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Obvious.

(b) \implies (c). Suppose \mathcal{B} is a base for σ such that $f^{-1}(B) \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Let C be a non-empty closed subset of (Y, σ) . Then $Y - C \in \tau - \{\emptyset\}$. Choose $\mathcal{B}^* \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ such that $Y - C = \bigcup \{B : B \in \mathcal{B}^*\}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} X - f^{-1}(C) &= f^{-1}(Y - C) \\ &= f^{-1}\left(\bigcup \{B : B \in \mathcal{B}^*\}\right) \\ &= \bigcup \{f^{-1}(B) : B \in \mathcal{B}^*\}. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption $f^{-1}(B) \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$ for every $B \in \mathcal{B}^*$, then by Theorem 2.7 we have $X - f^{-1}(C) \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$, and hence $f^{-1}(C)$ is ω_s -closed in (X, τ) .

(c) \implies (d). Let $A \subseteq X$. Then $\overline{f(A)}$ is closed in (Y, σ) , and by (c) $f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)})$ is ω_s -closed in (X, τ) . Since $A \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)}) \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)})$, and $f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)})$ is ω_s -closed in (X, τ) , then $\overline{A}^{\omega_s} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)})$, and thus $f(\overline{A}^{\omega_s}) \subseteq f(f^{-1}(\overline{f(A)})) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$.

(d) \implies (e). Let $B \subseteq Y$. Then $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq X$, and by (d) $f(\overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\omega_s}) \subseteq \overline{f(f^{-1}(B))} \subseteq \overline{B}$. Therefore, $\overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\omega_s} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B})$.

(e) \implies (f). Let $B \subseteq Y$. Then by (e), $\overline{f^{-1}(Y - B)}^{\omega_s} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{Y - B})$. Also by Theorem 2.19 (h), $X - \overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\omega_s} = \text{Int}_{\omega_s}(f^{-1}(B))$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(\text{Int}(B)) &= f^{-1}(Y - \overline{Y - B}) \\ &= X - f^{-1}(\overline{Y - B}) \\ &\subseteq X - \overline{f^{-1}(Y - B)}^{\omega_s} \\ &= X - \overline{X - f^{-1}(B)}^{\omega_s} \\ &= \text{Int}_{\omega_s}(f^{-1}(B)). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let (X, τ) be a topological space and let $A \subseteq X$. Then*

$$\overline{A}^{\omega_s} = A \cup \text{Int}_{\omega_s}(\overline{A}).$$

Proof. Since \overline{A}^{ω_s} is ω_s -closed, then by Theorem 2.15 $\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{(\overline{A}^{\omega_s})}) = \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A}^{\omega_s}) \subseteq \overline{A}^{\omega_s}$. Therefore, $\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A}) \subseteq \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{(\overline{A}^{\omega_s})}) \subseteq \overline{A}^{\omega_s}$, and hence $A \cup \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{A}^{\omega_s}$. To see that $\overline{A}^{\omega_s} = A \cup \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A})$, it is sufficient to show that $A \cup \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A})$ is ω_s -closed. Since $\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A}) \subseteq \overline{A}$, then $\overline{\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A})} \subseteq \overline{A}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{Int}_\omega(A \cup \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A}))} &= \overline{\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A} \cup \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A}))} \\ &= \overline{\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A})} \\ &\subseteq A \cup \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A}), \end{aligned}$$

and by Theorem 2.15 it follows that $A \cup \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A})$ is ω_s -closed. \square

Theorem 3.8. *Let $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) f is ω_s -continuous.
- (b) For every $A \subseteq X$ we have $f(\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A})) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$.
- (c) For every $B \subseteq Y$ we have $\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{f^{-1}(B)}) \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B})$.

Proof. (a) \implies (b). Suppose that f is ω_s -continuous. Let $A \subseteq X$. Then by Theorem 3.6 (d), $f(\overline{A}^{\omega_s}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.7 we have

$$f(\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A})) \subseteq f(\overline{A}^{\omega_s}) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}.$$

(b) \implies (a). We will apply Theorem 3.6 (d). Let $A \subseteq X$. Then by (b), we have $f(\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A})) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$. Also, we have $f(A) \subseteq \overline{f(A)}$ always. Therefore, by Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\overline{A}^{\omega_s}) &= f(A \cup \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A})) \\ &= f(A) \cup f(\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{A})) \\ &\subseteq \overline{f(A)}. \end{aligned}$$

(a) \implies (c). Suppose that f is ω_s -continuous. Let $B \subseteq Y$. Then by Theorem 3.6 (e), $\overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\omega_s} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B})$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{f^{-1}(B)}) \subseteq \overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\omega_s} \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B}).$$

(c) \implies (a). We will apply Theorem 3.6 (e). Let $B \subseteq Y$. Then by (c), we have $\text{Int}_\omega(\overline{f^{-1}(B)}) \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B})$. Also, we have $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B})$ always. Therefore, by Lemma 3.7 we have

$$\overline{f^{-1}(B)}^{\omega_s} = f^{-1}(B) \cup \text{Int}_\omega(\overline{f^{-1}(B)}) \subseteq f^{-1}(\overline{B}). \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.9. *If $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is ω_s -continuous and $g: (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \lambda)$ is continuous, then $g \circ f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \lambda)$ is a ω_s -continuous.*

Proof. Let $V \in \lambda$. Since g is continuous, then $g^{-1}(V) \in \sigma$. Since f is ω_s -continuous, then $(g \circ f)^{-1}(V) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(V)) \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. \square

In general, the composition of two ω_s -continuous functions does not need to be ω_s -continuous as the following example clarifies:

Example 3.10. Let $f, g: (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$, where

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases}, \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < 1 \\ 3 & \text{if } x \geq 1 \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$(g \circ f)(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \neq 1 \\ 3 & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Since f and g are obviously semi-continuous and (\mathbb{R}, τ_u) is anti-locally countable, then by Theorem 3.4 (b) f and g are ω_s -continuous. On the other hand, since $(2, \infty) \in \tau_u$ but $(g \circ f)^{-1}(2, \infty) = \{1\} \notin \omega_s(\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$, then $g \circ f$ is not ω_s -continuous.

Theorem 3.11. *Let $\{f_\alpha: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ be a family of functions. If the function $f: (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha, \tau_{\text{prod}})$ defined by $f(x) = (f_\alpha(x))_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ is ω_s -continuous, then f_α is ω_s -continuous, for every $\alpha \in \Delta$.*

Proof. Suppose that f is ω_s -continuous and let $\beta \in \Delta$. Then $f_\beta = \pi_\beta \circ f$ where $\pi_\beta: (\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha, \tau_{\text{prod}}) \rightarrow (Y_\beta, \sigma_\beta)$ is the projection function on Y_β . Since π_β is continuous, then by Theorem 3.9, f_β is ω_s -continuous. \square

The following example will show that the converse of Theorem 3.11 is not true in general:

Example 3.12. Define $f, g: (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$, and $h: (\mathbb{R}, \tau_u) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \tau_{\text{prod}})$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } x \leq 0 \\ -2 & \text{if } x > 0 \end{cases}, \quad g(x) = \begin{cases} -2 & \text{if } x < 0 \\ 2 & \text{if } x \geq 0 \end{cases}, \quad \text{and} \quad h(x) = (f(x), g(x)).$$

Since f and g are obviously semi-continuous, and (\mathbb{R}, τ_u) is anti-locally countable, then by Theorem 3.4 (b) f and g are ω_s -continuous. On the other hand, since $(0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0) \in \tau_{\text{prod}}$ but $h^{-1}((0, \infty) \times (-\infty, 0)) = \{0\} \notin \omega_s(\mathbb{R}, \tau_u)$, then h is not ω_s -continuous.

Theorem 3.13. Let $\{f_\alpha : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y_\alpha, \sigma_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ be a family of functions. If f_{α_0} is ω_s -continuous for some $\alpha_0 \in \Delta$, and if f_α is continuous for all $\alpha \in \Delta - \{\alpha_0\}$, then the function $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha, \tau_{\text{prod}})$ defined by $f(x) = (f_\alpha(x))_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ is ω_s -continuous.

Proof. We will apply statement (b) of Theorem 3.6. Let A be a basic open set of $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} Y_\alpha, \tau_{\text{prod}})$, without loss of generality we may assume that $A = \pi_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0}) \cap \pi_{\alpha_1}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_1}) \cap \dots \cap \pi_{\alpha_n}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_n})$, where U_{α_i} is a basic open set of Y_{α_i} for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-1}(A) &= ((\pi_{\alpha_0} \circ f)^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0})) \cap ((\pi_{\alpha_1} \circ f)^{-1}(U_{\alpha_1})) \cap \dots \cap ((\pi_{\alpha_n} \circ f)^{-1}(U_{\alpha_n})) \\ &= (f_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0})) \cap [(f_{\alpha_1}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_1})) \cap \dots \cap (f_{\alpha_n}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_n}))]. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption $f_{\alpha_0}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_0}) \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$ and $f_{\alpha_i}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_i}) \in \tau$ for all $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Thus $(f_{\alpha_1}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_1})) \cap \dots \cap (f_{\alpha_n}^{-1}(U_{\alpha_n})) \in \tau$, and by Theorem 2.9, we have that $f^{-1}(A) \in \omega_s(X, \tau)$. It follows that f is ω_s -continuous. \square

Corollary 3.14. Let $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ be a function and denote by $g : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X \times Y, \tau_{\text{prod}})$ the graph function of f given by $g(x) = (x, f(x))$, for every $x \in X$. Then g is ω_s -continuous if and only if f is ω_s -continuous.

Proof. Necessity. Suppose that g is ω_s -continuous. Then by Theorem 3.11, f is ω_s -continuous.

Sufficiency. Suppose that f is ω_s -continuous. Note that $h(x) = (I(x), f(x))$ where $I : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$ is the identity functions. Since the function I is continuous, then by Theorem 3.13, g is ω_s -continuous. \square

Conflic of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest to disclse.

References

- [1] Al Ghour S. Certain covering properties related to paracompact-ness. Doctoral dissertation, *University of Jordan*, Jordan 1999.
https://theses.ju.edu.jo/Original_Abstract/JUF0492638/JUF0492638.pdf
- [2] Al-Zoubi K, Al-Nashef B. Semi ω -open subsets, *Abbatb Al-Yarmouk*, 11:829-838, 2002.
- [3] Al-Zoubi K, Al-Nashef B. The topology of ω -open subsets, *Al-Manarah Journal*, 9:169-179, 2003.
- [4] Al-Zoubi K. Semi ω -continuous functions, *Abbatb Al-Yarmouk*, 12:119-131, 2003.
- [5] Crossley SG, Hildebrand SK. Semi-closure, *Texas Journal of Sciences*, 22:99-112, 1971.
- [6] Hdeib H. ω -closed mappings, *Revista Colombiana de Matematicas*, 16:65-78, 1982.
- [7] Levine N. Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, *The American Mathematical Monthly*, 70:36-41, 1963.

Intermedio entre conjuntos abiertos y semiabiertos

Resumen. Introducimos e investigamos los conjuntos ω_s -abiertos como una nueva clase de conjuntos que se ubica estrictamente entre los conjuntos abiertos y semi-abiertos. Usamos los conjuntos ω_s -abiertos para introducir las funciones ω_s -continuas como un nuevo tipo de funciones que se encuentran entre las funciones continuas y semicontinuas. Proporcionamos varios resultados y ejemplos relacionados con nuestros nuevos conceptos. En particular, obtenemos algunas caracterizaciones de las funciones ω_s -continuas.

Palabras clave: Conjunto semiabierto; Conjunto ω -abierto; Función semicontinua

Intermédio entre conjuntos abertos e semiaberto

Resumo. Introduzimos e investigamos os conjuntos ω_s -abertos como uma nova classe de conjuntos que se localiza estritamente entre os conjuntos abertos e semiabertos. Usamos os conjuntos ω_s -abertos para introduzir as funções ω_s -contínuas como um novo tipo de função que se encontram entre as funções contínuas e semicontínuas. Proporcionamos vários resultados e exemplos relacionados com nossos novos conceitos. Particularmente, obtemos algumas caracterizações das funções ω_s -contínuas.

Palavras-chave: Conjunto semiaberto; Conjunto ω -aberto; Função semicontínua

Samer Al Ghour

He is a professor of mathematics at Jordan University of Science Technology and obtained his PhD degree in mathematics at University of Jordan. His research interests includes homogeneity and classes of sets in the structures: Topology, Generalized Topology, Bitopology and Fuzzy Topology.

Kafa Mansur

She is graduated with a master's degree in mathematics from Jordan University of Science Technology. She is working now a teacher at the Jordanian Ministry of Education.