Analgesic and neuromotor effects of two extracts of *Zanthoxylum rhoifolium* (Rutaceae) in the Swiss albino mouse (*Mus musculus*)
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Abstract

**Background:** Colombia has a high diversity of medicinal plants, including Tachuelo (*Zanthoxylum rhoifolium*), a tree belonging to the family Rutaceae, which has been attributed an analgesic use by communities of the Colombian southwest. Nevertheless, this species has been scarce studied in Colombia. The studies have been limited to the isolation of compounds with antifungal and insecticide activity, using the leaves, branches, and bark of the plant in aqueous, and organic extracts. Neither pharmacological tests have been carried out, nor the analgesic and neuromotor activity have been tested from preparations with this plant's fruits.

**Objectives:** To evaluate the analgesic and neuromotor effect of the aqueous and hexane extract of the fruits of *Z. rhoifolium*.
Methods: As there were no preliminary reports of this study, the first observation was made through Irwin's test. The formalin and the rotarod test were performed to determine the analgesic and motor coordination effects, respectively.

Results: All the evaluated treatments demonstrated to generate analgesia, anesthesia, passivity, reduction in the alarm reaction, and have antinociceptive activity in the formalin test; while only the high dose of the nonpolar extract generated a deficit in the motor performance of the rodents in the rotarod test.

Conclusions: the antinociceptive effect of the aqueous and hexane extracts of this species’ fruits was demonstrated. The hexane extract generated a neuromotor effect, which validates the ethnomedical reports on Z. rhoifolium fruits.
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Introduction
Pain is a sensory and unpleasant experience of the organisms when facing a nociceptive agent's action. A great variety of analgesics have been developed for pain treatment; however, these antinociceptives can generate effects on the performance of motor coordination and voluntary muscle movement (1, 2). A therapeutic alternative could be the use of medicinal plant extracts, which generate positive effects for pain treatment, reducing the development of side effects (3).

The genus *Zanthoxylum* (Rutaceae) has been of great interest for phytopharmacological studies, and comprises more than 200 trees and shrubs species with a pantropical distribution (4). In Colombia, 21 species of this genus have been registered in almost 75% of the country’s departments, and a wide variety of secondary metabolites with biological activity have been described (6). Throughout history, the extensive use of medicinal plants to relieve nociceptive pain includes *Zanthoxylum rhoifolium*. This species is commonly known as "Tachuelo", a tree found in South America, mainly in the humid tropical forests of the Atlantic Coast of Brazil (7). Infusions or boiling beverages of roots or barks from *Z. rhoifolium* are widely used as a tonic, antipyretic, anti-inflammatory, or antimicrobial (8).

The bark is used in Brazilian traditional medicine in infusions or teas as an analgesic agent (against ear pain and irreversible pulpitis), antispasmodic, diuretic, and a muscle relaxant (9, 10, 11, 12). Additionally, the antinociceptive action of *Z. rhoifolium* bark ethanolic extract (7, 8, 13) has been demonstrated by the use of pharmacological tests in bio-models subjected to chemical nociception. Several studies have also been conducted regarding the study of antinociceptive, analgesic, anti-inflammatory, and neuromotor effects with nonpolar and polar extracts using leaves, bark, branches, and roots of the plant; however, the fruits of *Z. rhoifolium* have not been used to conduct pharmacological studies. Additionally, the communities use the infusions in water to prepare their drinks. In this way, abounding uses of this plant's extracts have been reported, but no studies have been carried out with the hexane fraction (non-polar extract) and the aqueous phase (polar extract) using this species’ fruits.

As there are no phytochemical and pharmacological reports on the effects of the extracts in the hexane and aqueous phases with the fruits of *Z. rhoifolium*, it is necessary to carry out an experimental test evaluating those extracts, using Irwin’s Test. This test allows for studying the effect of drugs or natural products in murine bio-models, in shorts (1 hour) or prolonged (24-48 hours) times. The aim is to register possible changes in the central nervous system, somatic, urinary, digestive, respiratory, circulatory, and behavior alteration of the animal, in a general review, and later deepen the alterations found. Additionally, different pharmacological tests allow the evaluation of the analgesic effect of some substance. One of the most effective is the formalin test. Also, to carry out studies with compounds that can have a neuromotor effect, the rotarod test is suggested, which evaluates the alteration of locomotor performance in rodents (5, 14, 15, 16).

Considering the little research done on medicinal plants in Colombia, the scarce ethnobotanical reports on the effects of *Z. rhoifolium* extracts, and the lack of research on this plant’s fruits, the present work aimed to evaluate the analgesic and neuromotor activity of the whole extract in both, hexane and aqueous phases, obtained from *Z. rhoifolium* fruits and using three different doses for each extract (125, 250 and 500 mg/kg) on the bio-model *Mus musculus*. 
Materials and methods

Plant material and storage

Fruits of *Z. rhoifolium* were collected in January 2017 at Cali, Colombia (3°19’58.00”N, 76°32’80.40”W, 1070.4 MAMSL) under the permission of the Biology program of Universidad del Valle for the collection of wild species for scientific research purposes. The plant material collected (2280 g wet weight) was mainly ripe fruits. Those were washed with sodium hypochlorite 2% in distilled water. The plant material was dried at 70° C for five days and then stored at -80° C in liquid nitrogen (14). The material was kept at the Cellular Physiology Laboratory of Universidad del Valle.

Preparation of phytochemical extracts

The fruits of *Z. rhoifolium* were pulverized with a mortar. 600 g of the pulverized dry material were used to conduct the phytochemical extraction. This plant material was distributed in equal proportions to make the nonpolar and the aqueous extract. The material corresponding to the nonpolar extract (300 g) was mixed with 2 L of analytical methanol, and then 500 mL of *n*-hexane were added for its partitioning. The resulting solution was added to a separating funnel that divided both methanolic and hexane phases differentially. The separation funnel was agitated and wrapped with aluminum and allowed to stand for 3 days. Subsequently, the phase of interest, the hexane phase, was filtered with a 0.22 μm Millipore microfilter.

The other 300 g of dry material were macerated in 2 L of ultra-pure water at 27 °C for 3 days to prepare the aqueous extract. The obtained solution was filtered with a 0.22 μm Millipore microfilter. Both filtered samples were concentrated separately in a rotavapor under reduced pressure at 50° C until the volume was reduced to 10 mL. The extract obtained was added to Eppendorf tubes and later placed in the SpeedVac Eppendorf, where the remaining organic solvent was removed.

Solutions Preparation
The extracts were dissolved in the vehicle solution (saline solution (0.9%), Tween 80 and 96% ethanol (86: 12: 2)), which was also the negative control. Three doses of *Z. rhoifolium* extract were prepared for the hexane and aqueous phase: 125 mg / kg (low dose), 250 mg / kg (medium dose) and 500 mg / kg (high dose) (18). Morphine Hydrochloride (5mg/kg, dissolved in saline solution (0.9%)) was employed as a reference opioid analgesic (positive control) (18). Diclofenac was used as a positive control for a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID), which was diluted in 0.9% saline to obtain a 30mg/kg dose. Each time the substances were mixed, the Eppendorf tubes were placed in a Thermolyne Vortex Stirrer for 2 minutes, followed by an ultrasonic bath during the same period to facilitate the dissolution of the compounds.

**Animals**

The current project was submitted to the Animal Ethics Committee of the Universidad del Valle. It was approved through the guarantee N° 023-2015, based on good laboratory practices in scientific research with bio-models. The Swiss albino mice (*Mus musculus*, 25-35 g) were used to evaluate the analgesic and neuromotor effect of the hexane extract of the fruit of *Z. rhoifolium*. The rodents were acquired from the bioterium of the University del Valle (Cali, Colombia), where the controlled conditions before experimentation were water and food *ad libitum*, 12 h light and 12 h darkness, and 24 ± 2°C. 28, 36, and 36 mice were used to perform the Irwin, formalin, and rotarod tests, respectively. The animals and intensities of noxious stimuli used were the minima necessary to demonstrate the extract's effects.

**Irwin Test**

Since there were no preliminary reports on the effects of the aqueous and hexane extract of *Z. rhoifolium* fruits, the Irwin Test was performed. The treatments used were three doses of the aqueous extract, three doses of the hexane extract, and the vehicle solution as the negative control. Four mice were randomly selected for each one of the groups, and each one intraperitoneal injected (IP) with the respective treatment. The following parameters were examined if they were altered one hour after the treatment injection: central nervous system exciters, central nervous system depressors, eye effects, general behavioral effects, and subjective effects.
Antinociceptive essay: formalin test

We used 36 individuals in 9 independent groups (four individuals per group). Each group corresponded to a different treatment (low dose, medium dose, and high dose for aqueous and hexane extracts, negative (vehicle) and positive controls (morphine and diclofenac) (19). The mice were injected with the corresponding treatment intraperitoneally. 15 minutes after injection, 20 μL of 2.5% formalin were subcutaneously administered in the right hind paw sub-plantar space. Mice were observed from 0 to 5 min (early phase) and 20 to 25 min (late phase). The nociception score was determined by the time the animal spent licking the injected limb during the observation time. Besides, the pain inhibition percentage was calculated by comparing the different doses of the extract concerning the vehicle and using the following equation:

Equation 1: (average vehicle - average treatment / average vehicle) * 100%

Motor coordination: rotarod test

The rotarod test allows for the evaluation of the possible nonspecific muscle-relaxant or sedative effects of the extract. Nine different treatments were used: low dose, medium dose, and high dose for aqueous and hexane extract, negative and positive control (morphine and diclofenac). The nine treatments were administered intraperitoneally, and 15 minutes later, the animals were placed in the rotation bar. Two tests were performed per mouse; in the first test, the bar rotated at speed with constant acceleration, starting at 4 rpm, and gradually increased until reaching 20 rpm in a maximum time (120 seconds) (20). In the second test, the bar rotated at a constant speed of 12 rpm for 120 seconds. The rodents were trained for three days before the experiment until they could successfully reach the test values (speed with acceleration from 4 to 22 rpm for 120 seconds; or constant speed of 12 rpm for 120 seconds) (14). The results were expressed as the rpm and time (s) in which the animals fell off the bar, considering 20 rpm and 120 seconds as the maximum value in each test, respectively.

Statistical analysis

The statistical software of free distribution R 3.2.2 was implemented. Qualitative data is validated, applying the Chi-square tests corrected from Yate and Fisher. The statistical analyzes were performed by one-way ANOVA to compare the differences between the variables (persistence in the rotarod bar -rpm and s- and licking time -s-) followed by Tukey multiple comparison tests. The differences were considered significant when p <
Results and discussion

After preparing the extracts of the fruits of Z. rhoifolium, the yield of the extraction process with n-hexane was 8.4%, and with water was 3%.

Irwin Test

The control group that was only supplied with the vehicle did not show alterations in the 34 parameters evaluated. After one hour of treatment injections, both the aqueous and the hexane extract presented paralysis in the posterior extremities, analgesia, anesthesia, inhibition in the alarm reaction, respiratory frequency reduction, passivity, and fear. Significant differences were found between the doses of both extracts and the control for all 7 variables that generated response (p < 0.05). There were no differences between the medium and high dose of the aqueous extract (p = 0.194). For the hexane extract, there were significant differences among all the doses, where the high dose (500 mg/kg) presented the most notorious manifestations in comparison with the other doses (p<0.05).

Extract effect on the formalin test.

The highest percentage of inhibition registered in the early phase was for the high dose of the hexane extract, followed by the same extract's medium-dose. In the first-mentioned case, the percentage of inhibition is higher than morphine and diclofenac, and in the second case, it is higher than diclofenac (Table 1). Similarly, in the late phase, the same percentage of inhibition (100%) was observed for the three doses of hexane extract, morphine, and diclofenac. This represents a total reduction in the licking time during this period. Consequently, there was a considerable reduction in the licking time when using any of the extract doses regarding the vehicle (Table 1).

Table 1: Licking time (s) and percentage of inhibition for the different treatments used in the formalin test in both phases. The licking time data are presented with the mean and the standard deviation (mean ± S.E.M) for 4 individuals in each treatment.
A significant pain reduction response was observed with all three doses during the first (P1) and the second phase (P2) compared to the negative control. Based on the boxplot generated, it can be concluded that there were differences in the median related to the licking times between the treatments used regarding the control (Figure 1). There were significant differences between the different doses of hexane and aqueous extract with the
vehicle in both periods when Tukey’s multiple comparisons were applied. The results confirm the antinociceptive action of the extract in both phases over the formalin test. Furthermore, it was observed that there were no significant differences between morphine and diclofenac in both periods, and there were no significant differences between the high dose of hexane extract and morphine in both times.

Source: self made

Figure 1. Licking time (s) in early phase and late phase (white and gray boxes, respectively) of the formalin tests, in relation to the different treatments. V: Vehicle, A125: Aqueous extract 125mg/kg, A250: Aqueous extract 250mg/kg, A500: Aqueous extract 500mg/kg, H125: Hexane extract 125mg/kg, H250: Hexane extract 250mg/kg, H500: Hexane extract 500mg/kg, M: Morphine, D: Diclofenac.

Extract effect in the rotarod test

All three doses of the aqueous extract and the low and medium doses of the hexane extract did not significantly alter motor performance, unlike morphine, diclofenac, and high dose hexane extract. When comparing the treatments with the vehicle, it was observed that the high hexane dose was the one that most altered the motor performance of rodents in both accelerated and constant speed tests (Table 2). With the high dose of hexane extract, there was a decrease in the mice's permanence in the rotarod, except for morphine, which showed an equivalent effect in both tests (Table 2).
Table 2. Treatments effect on motor coordination of rodents subjected to the rotarod test. The data refer to the mean ± standard deviation of 4 individuals for each treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Revolutions per minute</th>
<th>Seconds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle</td>
<td>20.0 ± 0</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morphine</td>
<td>12.0 ± 2.6</td>
<td>67.25 ± 2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diclofenac</td>
<td>18.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>120 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous 125mg/kg</td>
<td>20.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous 250mg/kg</td>
<td>18.2 ± 0.81</td>
<td>116.7 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aqueous 500mg/kg</td>
<td>16.2 ± 2.0</td>
<td>98 ± 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane 125mg/kg</td>
<td>18.5 ± 1.9</td>
<td>120.0 ± 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane 250mg/kg</td>
<td>16.7 ± 0.95</td>
<td>103.7 ± 3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexane 500mg/kg</td>
<td>14.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>61.2 ± 2.98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the rotarod test without acceleration, the treatments whose mice presented greater latency were the low dose of both extracts, followed by aqueous extract's medium dose. This result was almost equivalent to the motor performance observed with the vehicle compared to the same individuals in the accelerated test, whose motor alteration was more evident (Figure 2). Similarly, it was evidenced with Tukey’s multiple comparisons for each treatment that there were no significant statistical differences between the low dose of both extracts and the vehicle, for the first acceleration test. Additionally, there were no significant differences between the low dose of both extracts and the medium dose of the aqueous extract in the second test without acceleration. Between the high doses of both extracts, there were significant differences in the second period. In the case of diclofenac, there were no significant differences in both trials regarding the control; therefore, it did not affect the animal's motor performance. In contrast, there were significant differences between the high dose and the vehicle. As expected, morphine (5 mg/kg) reduced rodent time in the rotary bar in both tests, acting as a central nervous system's repressor. Additionally, when comparing morphine with the high dose of the extract, no statistically significant differences were observed.
Figure 2. a) Rotarod test with acceleration. Duration of bio-models in revolving bar (rpm) with the different treatments b) Rotarod test without acceleration. Duration of bio-models in revolving bar (sec) with the different treatments. The maximum values of Figures 2a and 2b are represented by 20 rpm and 120 sec, respectively, and were equivalent to that the rodents did not fall off the rotating bar during the test period.

V: Vehicle, A125: Aqueous extract 125mg/kg, A250: Aqueous extract 250mg/kg, A500: Aqueous extract 500mg/kg, H125: Hexane extract 125mg/kg, H250: Hexane extract 250mg/kg, H500: Hexane extract 500mg/kg, M: Morphine, D: Diclofenac.

The Swiss albino mouse evaluated with Irwin’s test showed that the vehicle used did not affect the animal's behavior. This result is similar to the formalin test and the rotarod test because it never generated a reduction to the pain signal nor altered the animal's motor activity. The effects observed with the Irwin Test mainly demonstrate the analgesia, passivity, reduction of the alarm reaction, and slight decrease in animal's breathing frequency. These results were related to what was obtained in the formalin and rotarod test.

The extracts generated an antinociceptive effect and reduced the animal’s mobility, mainly with the nonpolar extract in its highest dose. There may be an inhibition of the central nervous system due to the presence of chemical compounds. Pereira and collaborators (8) carried out a study of the antinociceptive and neuromotor effect of the ethanolic extract of Z. rhoifolium but using branches of this species, finding alteration in both nociception and motor performance. This species’ fruits may possess chemical compounds such as flavonoids, alkylamides, steroids, and triterpenoids that are causing these effects. Additionally, most of the secondary metabolites found in the species in question are lipophilic, which could easily cross the blood-brain barrier and reach the central nervous system’s different cells, explaining the more significant effect generated by the prepared nonpolar extract (8, 22, 23).
The nonpolar extract of *Z. rhoifolium* fruit exhibited significant antinociceptive behavior in both formalin test phases, even at the lowest dose. A similar study in *Z. riedelianum* demonstrated the analgesic properties of the bark’s ethanolic extract, inhibiting the activation of the primary afferent fibers in the formalin test (24). Likewise, it has been reported that the ethanolic extract of the bark of *Z. rhoifolium* and its hexane and ethyl acetate fractions produce an antinociceptive response in both formalin test phases, with a pronounced effect in the late phase (inflammatory phase), similar to our results (8). The previous study suggested that the bark extract’s analgesic activities can be attributed to the triterpene Lupeol. This compound exhibits a wide variety of biological activities (e.g., anti-inflammatory) and is the main constituent of the hexane fraction (8). However, by isolating the pure Lupeol compound from the bark of *Lonchocarpus araripensis* (Fabaceae), the compound’s antinociceptive action was demonstrated only on the second phase of the pain of the formalin test (25). The above mentioned suggests other metabolites responsible for the analgesic action observed in the extract in the hexane phase of *Z. rhoifolium* in the first phase of the test. Other compounds registered for species of the same genus, which have shown antinociceptive behavior, are rhoifoline A, a benzophenanthrid alkaloid obtained from the ethanolic extract of *Z. nitidum*. Compounds that significantly inhibit the chemical nociception induced by acetic acid and by formalin in both phases (18), as well as the alkylamide hydroxy-alpha sanshool, isolated from *Z. piperitum*, which is known to act mainly on the voltage-dependent sodium channels Nav1.7 and Nav1.3 (26).

In the present study, we demonstrated that both extracts high dose, mainly in the hexane phase, generated a motor deficit in the rodents compared with the control group, which remain in the bar throughout the test. The rotarod test showed that morphine compromised the rodents’ motor activity, as did the hexane extract in high doses, which suggests that the extract may have the action of muscle relaxant or suppressor of the central nervous system. Other pharmacological agents such as antidepressants, tranquilizers, among others, have produced changes in the rodents’ locomotor function (27). Muscle relaxants that act at the central nervous system’s level increase interneurons’ activity that inhibit the transmission of the efferent neuromuscular signal of the spinal cord. For example, benzodiazepines increase the GABA’s effectiveness, a neurotransmitter that plays a role in regulating cerebral excitability (28). Additionally, diclofenac belongs to the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), with analgesic, antiphlogistic and antipyretic properties. It acts mainly by inhibiting the prostaglandins’ synthesis by a non-selective blocking of the enzyme cyclooxygenase (COX). It is ideal for analgesia studies at an acute and chronic level because it inhibits both phases of the painful periods. Additionally, it activates the action of nitric oxide pathways and cyclic GMP-dependent potassium channels. These two pathways are involved in the antinociception reactions for both types of drugs. Pain inhibition by morphine and diclofenac were more effective than morphine as an antinociceptive drug by reducing latency times in the plantar test and licking times during the formalin tests. The aforementioned is explained by the fact that mild and acute pains respond well to NSAIDs such as diclofenac, but moderate to severe pains require opioid medications (29, 30). The low and medium doses of both extracts demonstrated analgesic activity. They did not significantly affect motor performance, which is interesting since an ideal analgesic agent would provide antinociceptive action without compromising motor function (27). However, the use of the high dose of the extract could be convenient in
another therapeutic context, such as when required to reduce pain and muscle relaxation. The above would be an important line of research to take into account.

Conclusions

The current study demonstrated the pharmacological activity of the aqueous and hexane extract of *Zanthoxylum rhoifolium* fruits in experimental models of chemical nociception testing three doses for each extract; the effect of the highest dose in the coordination of movement of rodents was also proved. This study demonstrates the communities’ ethnobotanical knowledge of the reported analgesic effect of this species’ fruits when the preparations are ingested and even when people chew the fruits. It is necessary to continue the studies to find the possible secondary metabolites of the fruits of this species and compare them with those already reported for other parts of the plant or other species of the same genus. The inhibition of the first phase of pain, which was statistically significant, could be due to the compound’s inhibitory action at the subcutaneous lesion level, the dorsal root ganglion, or in specific central nervous system regions where neurotransmitters are manifested for the pain. On the other hand, the complete decrease in the responses associated with pain in the second phase, and the decrease in inflammation, suggest that the extract has anti-inflammatory and peripheral antinociceptive effects. This gives value to traditional Colombian medicine’s ancestral knowledge and promotes the protection and exploration of plant biodiversity as a reservoir of therapeutic compounds.
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